

IS THERE A POINT OF ω^* THAT SEES ALL OTHERS?

NEIL HINDMAN

(Communicated by Thomas J. Jech)

ABSTRACT. If the cardinal c of the continuum is singular and p is an ultrafilter on ω of character c , then there is an ultrafilter q on ω which is not comparable to p in the Rudin-Keisler order.

1. Introduction. Beginning with the 1956 proof ([6, Theorem 1.5], attributed to H. Kenyon) that there are 2^c order-isomorphism classes of ultrafilters on ω , it has been clear that not all nonprincipal ultrafilters look alike. It is thus surprising that the following question (asked by van Mill in [5]) remains open: Is there a nonprincipal ultrafilter on ω which looks more or less like all of the others? That is, is there a nonprincipal ultrafilter on ω which is comparable to all others in the Rudin-Keisler order? We take the points of $\beta\omega$, the Stone-Čech compactification of the set ω of finite ordinals, to be the ultrafilters on ω , the principal ultrafilters being identified with points of ω . The points of $\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ are the nonprincipal ultrafilters. Given $p, q \in \beta\omega$ one says $p \leq q$ (in the Rudin-Keisler order) if and only if there exists $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ whose canonical extension f^β to $\beta\omega$ sends q to p . (See [2, Chapter 9, 5, §3, or 1] for historical information and numerous results on the Rudin-Keisler order.) Given $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and $p, q \in \beta\omega$ one easily sees that the statements $f^\beta(q) = p$, $(\forall A \in q)(f[A] \in p)$ and $(\forall A \in p)(f^{-1}[A] \in q)$ are equivalent. Thus, if $p \leq q$ one has that all of the structure of p is present in q . It is in this sense that p "looks more or less like" q . (It is known [7] that there is a subset of ω^* of size 2^c , no two members of which are comparable in the Rudin-Keisler order.)

It seems to the author intuitively clear that if the character of p , denoted by $\chi(p)$, is c (that is, if p is not generated by fewer than c sets), then there is some q which is not comparable to p . We prove this fact here under the additional assumption that c is a singular cardinal. (It is somewhat surprising that the singular case is easier to handle.)

The intuitive reason for adding the assumption that $\chi(p) = c$ can be appreciated by considering the extreme. If $\chi(p) = 1$, that is if p is principal, then for all $q \in \beta\omega$, $p \leq q$.

2. The results. The idea of the construction is simple enough. Given $p \in \omega^*$, there are only c functions from ω to ω which one writes as $\langle f_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < c}$. One constructs q noncomparable to p by adding at stage α , A_α such that $f_\alpha[A_\alpha] \notin p$ (so $f_\alpha^\beta(q) \neq p$) and $f_\alpha^{-1}[A_\alpha] \notin p$ (so $f_\alpha^\beta(p) \neq q$). The only problem with the construction is that

Received by the editors December 3, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 04A20, 03E05; Secondary 54D35.

Key words and phrases. Ultrafilters, Rudin-Keisler order.

The author gratefully acknowledges support received from the National Science Foundation via Grant DMS-85-20873.

one may finish too soon; the set $\{A_\sigma : \sigma < \alpha\}$ may generate an ultrafilter q with $q \leq p$. The following easy result summarizes when this simple construction works. (I know from conversation that Theorem 2.1 was obtained independently by van Mill.)

2.1 THEOREM. *Let $p \in \omega^*$ and assume that for all $r \leq p$, $\chi(r) = c$. Then there exists $q \in \omega^*$ such that q is not comparable to p .*

PROOF. Enumerate ${}^\omega\omega$ as $\langle f_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < c}$. We inductively construct $\langle A_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < c}$ so that for each α

- (1) $f_\alpha[A_\alpha] \notin p$,
- (2) $f_\alpha^{-1}[A_\alpha] \notin p$, and
- (3) $\{A_\sigma : \sigma \leq \alpha\}$ has the uniform finite intersection property. (That is, if F is a finite subset of $\{\sigma : \sigma \leq \alpha\}$, then $|\bigcap_{\sigma \in F} A_\sigma| = \omega$.)

Let $\alpha < c$ and assume we have constructed $\langle A_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma < \alpha}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A_\sigma : \sigma < \alpha\} \cup \{D \subseteq \omega : |\omega \setminus D| < \omega\}$. Then \mathcal{B} has the uniform finite intersection property. We first produce C such that $f_\alpha^{-1}[C] \notin p$ and $\mathcal{B} \cup \{C\}$ has the uniform finite intersection property.

It may be that \mathcal{B} generates an ultrafilter r . In this case $\chi(r) \leq |\mathcal{B}| < c$ so $r \not\leq p$ so $f_\alpha^\beta(p) \neq r$. Pick $C \in r$ such that $f_\alpha^{-1}[C] \notin p$. Otherwise pick distinct r and s with $\mathcal{B} \subseteq r$ and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq s$. Pick $E \in r \setminus s$. Then $f_\alpha^{-1}[E] \cap f_\alpha^{-1}[\omega \setminus E] = \emptyset$ so pick $C \in \{E, \omega \setminus E\}$ with $f_\alpha^{-1}[C] \notin p$.

Now let $\mathcal{E} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cup \{C\}, \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } |\mathcal{F}| < \omega\}$. Now $|\{f_\alpha[B] : B \in \mathcal{E}\}| < c$ so $\{f_\alpha[B] : B \in \mathcal{E}\}$ does not generate p . Pick $D \subseteq \omega$ such that $D \notin p$ and $\{f_\alpha[B] : B \in \mathcal{E}\} \cup \{D\}$ has the finite intersection property. It now suffices to show that $\mathcal{E} \cup \{f_\alpha^{-1}[D]\}$ has the finite intersection property. (For then, since \mathcal{E} contains the cofinite sets, $\mathcal{E} \cup \{f_\alpha^{-1}[D]\}$ has the uniform finite intersection property. We then let $A_\alpha = C \cap f_\alpha^{-1}[D]$.)

Since \mathcal{E} is closed under finite intersections, we let $B \in \mathcal{E}$ and show $B \cap f_\alpha^{-1}[D] \neq \emptyset$. But this follows immediately from the fact that $f_\alpha[B] \cap D \neq \emptyset$. \square

2.2 COROLLARY. *If for all $p \in \omega^*$, $\chi(p) = c$ (in particular if Martin's Axiom, or just " $\mathfrak{p} = c$ "), then for all $p \in \omega^*$ there exists $q \in \omega^*$ which is not comparable to p .*

The referee has suggested the following alternative proof of the "in particular" part of Corollary 2.2 which avoids the use of Theorem 2.1: It is well known that $\mathfrak{p} = c$ implies the existence of 2^c Ramsey ultrafilters. Any nonprincipal ultrafilter p is above at most c of these by definition of the Rudin-Keisler order, and is below no additional ones, since Ramsey ultrafilters are Rudin-Keisler minimal. So p is incomparable with most of the Ramsey ultrafilters.

2.3 THEOREM. *Assume $\text{cf}(c) = \kappa < c$ and $p \in \omega^*$ with $\chi(p) = c$. Then there exists $q \in \omega^*$ which is not comparable to p .*

PROOF. Pick a sequence $\langle \gamma_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < \kappa}$ which is cofinal in c and pick by [4] a $c \times c$ matrix of independent sets $\langle A_{\alpha,\delta} \rangle_{\alpha,\delta < c}$. That is, given $\alpha, \gamma, \delta < c$ with $\gamma \neq \delta$ one has $|A_{\alpha,\delta} \cap A_{\alpha,\gamma}| < \omega$ and given finite $F \subseteq c$ and $\mu : F \rightarrow c$, one has $|\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} A_{\alpha,\mu(\alpha)}| = \omega$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{f \in {}^\omega\omega : \text{for all } x \in \omega, f^{-1}[\{x\}] \notin p\}$. We show first that

$$(*) \quad \text{if } f \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \alpha < c, \text{ then } |\{\delta < c : f^{-1}[A_{\alpha,\delta}] \in p\}| \leq 1.$$

Indeed, given $\gamma < \delta < c$ one has $|A_{\alpha,\gamma} \cap A_{\alpha,\delta}| < \omega$ so if $f^{-1}[A_{\alpha,\delta} \cap A_{\alpha,\gamma}] \in p$, then $\bigcup_{x \in A_{\alpha,\delta} \cap A_{\alpha,\gamma}} f^{-1}[\{x\}] \in p$ so some $f^{-1}[\{x\}] \in p$, a contradiction.

Now enumerate \mathcal{M} as $\langle f_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < c}$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, we choose $\mu(\alpha) < c$ such that for all $\sigma < \gamma_\alpha$, $f_\sigma^{-1}[A_{\alpha,\mu(\alpha)}] \notin p$. (This is possible by the observation (*).)

Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{A_{\alpha,\mu(\alpha)} : \alpha < \kappa\} \cup \{B \subseteq \omega : |\omega \setminus B| < \omega\}$. Enumerate ${}^\omega\omega$ as $\langle g_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma < c}$ with the identity as g_0 . Let σ be given with $0 < \sigma < c$ and assume we have chosen $\{\mathcal{A}_\tau : \tau < \sigma\}$ so that, for each $\tau < \sigma$:

- (1) \mathcal{A}_τ has the finite intersection property;
- (2) if $\eta < \tau$, then $\mathcal{A}_\eta \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\tau$;
- (3) $|\mathcal{A}_\tau| \leq \max\{\kappa, |\tau|\}$; and
- (4) there exists $D \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$ such that $g_\tau[D] \notin p$.

All hypotheses hold at $\tau = 0$. (For (4) observe that $g_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $g_0^{-1} = g_0$.) Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{\tau < \sigma} \mathcal{A}_\tau, \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } |\mathcal{F}| < \omega\}$. Now $|\bigcup_{\tau < \sigma} \mathcal{A}_\tau| \leq \sum_{\tau < \sigma} |\mathcal{A}_\tau| \leq \max\{\kappa, |\sigma|\}$ so $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \max\{\kappa, |\sigma|\} < c$. Thus $|\{g_0[B] : B \in \mathcal{B}\}| < c$ so, since $\chi(p) = c$, pick $r \neq p$ in $\beta\omega$ such that $\{g_\sigma[B] : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subseteq r$. Pick $C \in r \setminus p$ and let $\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \bigcup_{\tau < \sigma} \mathcal{A}_\tau \cup \{g_\sigma^{-1}[C]\}$. To see that \mathcal{A}_σ has the finite intersection property, let \mathcal{F} be a finite subset of $\bigcup_{\tau < \sigma} \mathcal{A}_\tau$. Then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{B}$ so $g_\sigma[\bigcap \mathcal{F}] \in r$ and hence $g_\sigma[\bigcap \mathcal{F}] \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \cap g_\sigma^{-1}[C] \neq \emptyset$ as required.

The induction being complete, pick $q \in \beta\omega$ with $\bigcup_{\sigma < c} \mathcal{A}_\sigma \not\subseteq q$. Since $\{B \subseteq \omega : |\omega \setminus B| < \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq q$, we have $q \in \omega^*$. By hypothesis (4) we have $p \not\subseteq q$.

To see that $q \not\subseteq p$, let $h \in {}^\omega\omega$. If $h \in \mathcal{M}$, pick σ such that $h = f_\sigma$ and pick $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $\sigma < \gamma_\alpha$. Then $A_{\alpha,\mu(\alpha)} \in q$ while $h^{-1}[A_{\alpha,\mu(\alpha)}] \notin p$. Finally assume $h \notin \mathcal{M}$ and pick $x \in \omega$ such that $h^{-1}[\{x\}] \in p$. Then $h^\beta(p) = x \neq q$. \square

A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called an "independent family" provided, whenever \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are disjoint finite subsets of \mathcal{A} , one has $|\bigcap \mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{G}| = \omega$ (or, if $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, $|\omega \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{G}| = \omega$). It is reasonable, based on the above proof and several similar proofs in [5], to hope that given $p \in \omega^*$ and a suitably chosen independent family \mathcal{A} one could choose for each $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ some $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f[A] \notin p$. Our last result shows that this is not possible.

2.4 THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{A} be an independent family. Then there is some $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that $f[A]$ is cofinite for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. Consider first the possibility that $|\mathcal{A}| < \omega$. Then $|\bigcap \mathcal{A}| = \omega_{so}$ pick $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ with $f[\bigcap \mathcal{A}] = \omega$.

Thus $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \omega$ so choose a one-to-one sequence $\langle B_n \rangle_{n < \omega}$ in \mathcal{A} . Let $C_0 = \omega \setminus B_0$ and for $0 < n < \omega$, let $C_n = \bigcap_{t < n} B_t \setminus B_n$. Note that for $t < n$, $C_t \cap C_n = \emptyset$. Define $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ by $f[C_n] = \{n\}$ and (for definiteness) $f[\bigcap_{n < \omega} B_n] = \{0\}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

If $A = B_t$ for some t , then for $n > t$ we have $C_n \subseteq A$ so that $f[A] \supseteq \{n \in \omega : n > t\}$.

We thus have that $A \notin \{B_n : n < \omega\}$. But this is better. We have $A \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$ for each n so that $f[A] = \omega$. \square

REFERENCES

1. W. Comfort, *Ultrafilters: an interim report*, Surveys in General Topology, Academic Press, New York, 1980, pp. 33-54.
2. W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
3. D. Fremlin, *Consequences of Martin's Axiom*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1984.

4. K. Kunen, *Weak P -points in N^** , *Topology*, Vol. II, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai* **23** (1980), 741–749.
5. J. van Mill, *An introduction to $\beta\omega$* , *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology* (K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
6. W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problem in the theory of Čech compactifications*, *Duke Math. J.* **23** (1956), 409–419.
7. S. Shelah and M. Rudin, *Unordered types of ultrafilters*, *Topology Proc.* **3** (1978), 199–204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOWARD UNIVERSITY, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20059