

# THE FIXED-POINT-SPACE DIMENSION FUNCTION FOR A FINITE GROUP REPRESENTATION

I. M. ISAACS

(Communicated by Warren J. Wong)

**ABSTRACT.** Given a complex representation of a finite group  $G$ , construct the integer valued function  $\alpha$  on  $G$  by setting  $\alpha(g)$  to be the dimension of the fixed-point-space of  $g$  in the module corresponding to the given representation. Usually,  $\alpha$  is not a generalized character of  $G$  and for trivial reasons  $|G|\alpha$  is always a generalized character. The main result of this paper is that  $e\alpha$  is always a generalized character, where  $e$  is the exponent of  $G$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $\alpha$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued function on  $G$  which is constant on rational-classes, (i.e.  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y)$  if the cyclic groups  $\langle x \rangle$  and  $\langle y \rangle$  are  $G$ -conjugate.) Although  $\alpha$  need not be a generalized character (i.e. a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combination of  $\text{Irr}(G)$ ), it is always true that  $|G|\alpha$  is a generalized character. (This follows immediately from the observation that for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , the sum of the values over each rational-class lies in  $\mathbf{Z}$ .)

For certain natural functions,  $\alpha$ , a multiplier  $m$  smaller than  $|G|$  is sufficient to make  $m\alpha$  a generalized character. Our main result is that if  $\alpha(x)$  denotes the dimension of the fixed-point space of  $x$  in some  $\mathbf{C}$ -representation of  $G$ , then  $e\alpha$  is a generalized character, where  $e$  is the exponent of  $G$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -representation of  $G$  affording the character  $\chi$ , then the fixed-point-space dimension function  $\alpha$  associated with  $\mathcal{X}$  can be computed from  $\chi$  by the formula  $\alpha(x) = [\chi_{\langle x \rangle}, 1_{\langle x \rangle}]$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the character inner product. We introduce the notation  $\hat{\chi}$  for this function.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\chi$  be any character of  $G$ . Then  $e\hat{\chi}$  is a generalized character, where  $e$  is the exponent of  $G$  and  $\hat{\chi}(x) = [\chi_{\langle x \rangle}, 1_{\langle x \rangle}]$  for  $x \in G$ .*

In order to prove that  $e\hat{\chi}$  is a generalized character of  $G$ , it suffices by Brauer's characterization of characters to show that the restriction  $(e\hat{\chi})_N$  is a generalized character of  $N$  for every nilpotent subgroup  $N \subseteq G$ . Because

Received by the editors September 19, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 20C15.

Research partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

©1989 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$(\hat{\chi})_N = \hat{\chi}_N$  and the exponent of  $N$  divides that of  $G$ , it is enough to prove Theorem 1 in the case that  $G$  is nilpotent.

We need to show (when  $G$  is nilpotent) that  $e[\hat{\chi}, \zeta] \in \mathbf{Z}$  for all irreducible characters  $\zeta$  of  $N$ . In order to make our inductive proof of this work, we need to generalize it.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $G$  be nilpotent and suppose  $\chi$  is a character of  $G$  and  $\zeta$  is a generalized character. Then  $m[\hat{\chi}, \zeta] \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $m$  is any positive integer such that  $\zeta(x) = 0$  whenever  $x^m \neq 1$ .*

In particular, the condition that  $\zeta(x) = 0$  whenever  $x^m \neq 1$  is vacuously satisfied if  $m = e$ , the exponent of  $G$ . Proposition 2, therefore, includes the nilpotent group case of Theorem 1 and so implies the general case by Brauer's theorem.

## 2. PROOFS

If  $\chi$  is a complex-valued function on an arbitrary finite group  $G$  and  $n$  is a positive integer, we write  $\chi^{(n)}$  to denote the function on  $G$  defined by  $\chi^{(n)}(x) = \chi(x^n)$ . It is well known that if  $\chi$  is a character (or a generalized character) of  $G$ , then  $\chi^{(n)}$  is a generalized character. (See, for instance, [1, Problem 4.7].)

Somewhat analogously, we define  $\chi^{(1/n)}$  by the formula

$$\chi^{(1/n)}(x) = \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y^n = x}} \chi(y).$$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\chi$  is a generalized character of  $G$  and  $n$  is a positive integer, then  $\chi^{(1/n)}$  is a generalized character.*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi^{(1/n)}, \zeta] &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi^{(1/n)}(x) \overline{\zeta(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y^n = x}} \chi(y) \overline{\zeta(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{y \in G} \chi(y) \overline{\zeta(y^n)} \\ &= [\chi, \zeta^{(n)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\zeta^{(n)}$  is a generalized character, we have  $[\chi, \zeta^{(n)}] \in \mathbf{Z}$  and it follows that  $\chi^{(1/n)}$  is a generalized character. ■

We need one further lemma for our proof of Proposition 2.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $N \triangleleft G$  with  $G/N$  cyclic, and suppose  $\chi$  is a generalized character of  $G$  such that  $\chi(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in G - N$ . Then  $\chi = \psi^G$  for some generalized character  $\psi$  of  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C = \text{Irr}(G/N)$  so that  $C$  may be viewed as a group of linear characters of  $G$  and  $C$  acts on  $\text{Irr}(G)$  by multiplication. If  $\lambda \in C$ , then  $\chi = \chi\lambda$  since  $\chi(x) = 0$  if  $\lambda(x) \neq 1$ . It follows that  $\chi$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combination of sums of orbits of the action of  $C$  on  $\text{Irr}(G)$  and so it suffices to show that each orbit sum is induced from some character of  $N$ .

Let  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  and let  $\eta$  be the sum of the  $C$ -orbit containing  $\xi$ . If  $\alpha$  is any irreducible constituent of  $\xi_N$ , we will complete the proof by showing that  $\alpha^G = \eta$ .

If  $x \in G - N$ , we can choose  $\lambda \in C$  with  $\lambda(x) \neq 1$ . Since  $\eta$  is a  $C$ -orbit sum, we have  $\eta\lambda = \eta$  and so  $\eta(x) = 0$ . Also,  $\alpha^G(x) = 0$  and so  $\eta$  and  $\alpha^G$  agree on  $G - N$ .

Each irreducible constituent of  $\eta$  has the form  $\xi\mu$  for some  $\mu \in C$ . Since  $\mu_N = 1_N$ , we have  $(\xi\mu)_N = \xi_N$  and thus  $\eta_N$  is a multiple of  $\xi_N$  which is a multiple of the sum of the  $G$ -orbit of  $\alpha$ . Also,  $(\alpha^G)_N$  is a multiple of the  $G$ -orbit sum of  $\alpha$  and thus, to show that  $\eta$  and  $\alpha^G$  agree on  $N$  (and thereby complete the proof), it suffices to compare degrees and show that  $\eta(1) = \alpha^G(1)$ .

Let  $B \subseteq C$  be the stabilizer of  $\xi$ . Then  $\eta(1) = |C : B|\xi(1)$ . If  $T \subseteq G$  is the stabilizer of  $\alpha$ , then  $\xi = \beta^G$  where  $\beta \in \text{Irr}(T)$  and  $\beta_N$  is a multiple of  $\alpha$ . Since  $T/N$  is cyclic, we have  $\beta_N = \alpha$  and so  $\xi(1) = |G : T|\alpha(1)$  and  $\eta(1) = |C : B||G : T|\alpha(1)$ . Of course,  $\alpha^G(1) = |G : N|\alpha(1)$  and so we must show that  $|G : N| = |G : T||C : B|$ . It suffices, therefore, to prove that  $|T : N| = |C : B|$ .

We claim that  $B = \text{Irr}(G/T)$ . This would give  $|C : B| = |C|/|B| = |G : N|/|G : T| = |T : N|$ , as required. To prove the claim, let  $\mu \in B$ . Then

$$\xi = \xi\mu = \beta^G\mu = (\beta\mu_T)^G$$

and  $(\beta\mu_T)_N = \beta_N = \alpha$ . However,  $\beta$  is the unique character of  $T$  lying over  $\alpha$  which induces  $\xi$ , and thus  $\beta\mu_T = \beta$ . Since  $\beta_N$  is irreducible and  $N \subseteq \ker(\mu_T)$ , it follows that  $\mu_T = 1_T$ . (Use [1, 6.17], for instance). Thus  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(G/T)$  as required.

Conversely, suppose  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(G/T)$ . Then

$$\xi\mu = \beta^G\mu = (\beta\mu_T)^G = \beta^G = \xi$$

and so  $\mu \in B$ . The proof is now complete. ■

*Proof of Proposition 2.* The map  $\chi \mapsto \hat{\chi}$  is additive and so it is no loss to assume that  $\chi$  is an irreducible character. We proceed by induction on  $\chi(1)$ .

First, suppose  $\chi(1) = 1$  so that  $\chi$  is linear. Writing  $K = \ker(\chi)$ , we see that  $\hat{\chi}(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin K$  and  $\hat{\chi}(x) = 1$  if  $x \in K$ . Let  $N = \{g \in G \mid g^m \in K\}$ , the preimage in  $G$  of the set of elements in  $G/K$  with order dividing  $m$ . Since  $G/K$  is cyclic, it follows that  $N$  is a subgroup and  $|N/K|$  divides  $m$ . Thus

$m\hat{\chi}_N$  is a multiple of  $|N/K|\hat{\chi}_N = (1_K)^N$ . In particular,  $m\hat{\chi}_N$  is a character of  $N$ .

If  $x \in G - N$ , then  $x^m \notin K$  and so  $x^m \neq 1$  and  $\zeta(x) = 0$  by hypothesis. Since  $G/N$  is cyclic, Lemma 4 tells us that  $\zeta = \eta^G$  some generalized character  $\eta$  of  $N$ . Then  $m[\hat{\chi}, \zeta] = [m\hat{\chi}, \eta^G] = [m\hat{\chi}_N, \eta]$  and this lies in  $\mathbf{Z}$  since  $m\hat{\chi}_N$  is a character.

Now assume  $\chi(1) > 1$ . The nilpotence of  $G$  guarantees that we can write  $\chi = \psi^G$ , where  $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$  and  $H \triangleleft G$  has prime index  $p$ . Then  $\chi_H = \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \cdots + \psi_p$  where  $\psi_1 = \psi$  and the  $\psi_i$  constitute an orbit of the action of  $G$  on  $\text{Irr}(H)$ . Thus  $\hat{\chi}_H = \sum_i \hat{\psi}_i$  and the  $\hat{\psi}_i$  are all  $G$ -conjugate. We will also need to be able to compute  $\hat{\chi}(x)$  if  $x \in G - H$ ; we work with modules to do this.

Let  $V$  be a  $CG$ -module affording  $\chi$ . Then  $V = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_p$ , where the  $V_i$  are  $CH$ -submodules of  $V$  affording the characters  $\psi_i \in \text{Irr}(H)$  and these submodules are permuted by  $G$ . In fact, if  $x \in G - H$ , then  $\langle x \rangle$  permutes the  $V_i$  transitively and we may assume  $x$  carries  $V_i$  to  $V_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i < p$  and  $x$  carries  $V_p$  to  $V_1$ .

Holding  $x \in G - H$  fixed, we can define a map  $w \mapsto \tilde{w}$  from  $V_1$  into  $V$  by setting  $\tilde{w} = w + wx + wx^2 + \cdots + wx^{p-1}$ . This is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear transformation which is injective since  $\tilde{w} \neq 0$  when  $w \neq 0$  because  $wx^i \in V_{i+1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p-1$  and the sum  $\sum V_i$  is direct. If  $w$  is a fixed point of  $x^p$  in  $V_1$ , then clearly  $\tilde{w}$  is fixed by  $x$ . Conversely, if  $v \in V$  is a fixed point of  $x$ , then writing  $v = v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_p$  with  $v_i \in V_i$  and comparing the projections of the equal vectors  $v$  and  $vx$  into the  $V_i$ , we see that  $v_1x = v_2$ ,  $v_2x = v_3$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $v_{p-1}x = v_p$  and  $v_px = v_1$ . It follows that  $v = \tilde{v}_1$  and that  $v_1$  is fixed by  $x^p$ .

We have proved that the map  $w \mapsto \tilde{w}$  defines an isomorphism of the fixed-point space of  $x^p$  in  $V_1$  onto the fixed-point space of  $x$  in  $V$ . This shows that  $\hat{\chi}(x) = \hat{\psi}(x^p)$  for all  $x \in G - H$ .

Now

$$m[\hat{\chi}, \zeta] = \frac{m}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \hat{\chi}(x) \overline{\zeta(x)} = \frac{m}{|G|} S_1 + \frac{m}{|G|} S_2$$

where  $S_1$  is the sum for  $x \in H$  and  $S_2$  is the sum for  $x \in G - H$ . When  $x \in H$ , we have  $\hat{\chi}(x) = \sum_i \hat{\psi}_i(x)$  and so

$$S_1 = |H| \left[ \left( \sum_i \hat{\psi}_i \right), \zeta_H \right] = p|H|[\hat{\psi}, \zeta_H]$$

since all  $[\hat{\psi}, \zeta_H]$  are equal. (This is because the  $\hat{\psi}_i$  are  $G$ -conjugate). Thus  $(m/|G|)S_1 = m[\hat{\psi}, \zeta_H]$  and this lies in  $\mathbf{Z}$  by the inductive hypothesis since  $\psi(1) < \chi(1)$ .

To evaluate  $S_2$ , define  $\xi(y) = \sum_{x \in G-H, x^p=y} \zeta(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{x \in G-H} \hat{\chi}(x) \overline{\zeta(x)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in G-H} \hat{\psi}(x^p) \overline{\zeta(x)} \\ &= \sum_{y \in H} \hat{\psi}(y) \overline{\xi(y)} \\ &= |H|[\hat{\psi}, \xi] \end{aligned}$$

and so  $(m/|G|)S_2 = (m/p)[\hat{\psi}, \xi]$  and we need to show that this lies in  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

If  $x \in G-H$  and  $x^p = y \in H$ , then  $p$  divides the order of  $x$ . If  $p \nmid m$ , then  $x^m \neq 1$  and so  $\zeta(x) = 0$  by hypothesis. It follows that  $\xi$  is identically zero if  $p \nmid m$  and there is nothing to prove in this case. Suppose, therefore, that  $p|m$ . If  $x^m = 1$  and  $x^p = y$ , then  $y^{(m/p)} = 1$ . Therefore, if  $y^{(m/p)} \neq 1$ , we have  $\zeta(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  with  $x^p = y$  and so  $\xi(y) = 0$ .

Now

$$\xi(y) = \sum_{\substack{x \in G \\ x^p=y}} \zeta(x) - \sum_{\substack{x \in H \\ x^p=y}} \zeta(x)$$

and so  $\xi = (\zeta^{(1/p)})_H - (\zeta_H)^{(1/p)}$  and this is a generalized character by Lemma 3. Since  $\xi(y) = 0$  if  $y^{(m/p)} \neq 1$ , the inductive hypothesis yields  $(m/p)[\hat{\psi}, \xi] \in \mathbf{Z}$ , as required. ■

The proof of our main result, Theorem 1, is now complete.

### 3. FURTHER REMARKS

If we drop the nilpotence hypothesis from Proposition 2, we get a statement properly stronger than Theorem 1. Is this statement true?

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\chi$  be a character of an arbitrary finite group  $G$  and let  $\zeta$  be a generalized character of  $G$  with the property that  $\zeta(x) = 0$  whenever  $x^m \neq 1$  for some fixed positive integer  $m$ . Then  $m[\hat{\chi}, \zeta] \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* By Brauer's theorem on induced characters, the principal character  $1_G$  can be written as a sum of induced generalized characters  $\alpha_i^G$  where the  $\alpha_i$  are characters of certain nilpotent subgroups  $N_i \subseteq G$ . Then

$$\zeta = \zeta 1_G = \sum_i \zeta(\alpha_i)^G = \sum_i (\zeta_{N_i} \alpha_i)^G.$$

Thus

$$m[\hat{\chi}, \zeta] = \sum_i m[\hat{\chi}, (\zeta_{N_i} \alpha_i)^G] = \sum_i m[\hat{\chi}_{N_i}, \zeta_{N_i} \alpha_i]$$

and this is a sum of integers by Proposition 2, since the  $\zeta_{N_i} \alpha_i$  are generalized characters which vanish on elements  $x \in N_i$  such that  $x^m \neq 1$ . ■

We began this paper with the observation that if  $\alpha$  is any  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued function on  $G$ , constant on rational classes, then  $|G|\alpha$  is a generalized character; equivalently  $|G|[\alpha, \zeta] \in \mathbf{Z}$  for each  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . The point of Theorem 1 is that in certain situations, for particular  $\alpha$ , the coefficient  $|G|$  can be reduced uniformly; i.e. for all  $\zeta$ . It is true (and quite trivial) that for particular  $\zeta$  we can reduce the coefficient for all  $\alpha$ . We conclude with this observation.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\alpha$  be  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued and constant on rational-classes of  $G$ . Then*

$$\frac{|G|}{\zeta(1)}[\alpha, \zeta] \in \mathbf{Z}$$

for all  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be representatives for the conjugacy classes  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  of  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|G|}{\zeta(1)}[\alpha, \zeta] &= \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(x) \frac{\overline{\zeta(x)}}{\zeta(1)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha(x_i) \frac{\overline{\zeta(x_i)}}{\zeta(1)} |K_i| \end{aligned}$$

and this is an algebraic integer since  $\zeta(x_i)|K_i|/\zeta(1)$  is integral. Also,  $(|G|/\zeta(1))[\alpha, \zeta]$  is rational since  $|G|[\alpha, \zeta] \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The result follows. ■

In view of Theorems 1 and 6, one might guess that if  $\chi, \zeta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , then  $(e/\zeta(1))[\chi, \zeta] \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $e$  is the exponent of  $G$ . This is false since if  $G$  has order  $p^3$  and exponent  $p$  (where  $p$  is prime), then  $[\chi, \chi] = 1/p$  for  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  with  $\chi(1) = p$ .

## REFERENCES

1. I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706