

## RECURRENT HOMEOMORPHISMS ON $\mathbb{R}^2$ ARE PERIODIC

LEX G. OVERSTEEGEN AND E. D. TYMCHATYN

(Communicated by James E. West)

**ABSTRACT.** A homeomorphism  $f: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  onto  $X$  is *recurrent* provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $f^n$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to the identity map on  $X$ . The notion of a recurrent homeomorphism is weaker than that of an almost periodic homeomorphism. The result announced in the title generalizes the theorem of Brechner for almost periodic homeomorphisms and answers a question of R. D. Edwards.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, d)$  be a locally compact metric space. Let  $\text{id}_X$  denote the identity function on  $X$ , and let  $Z$  (resp.  $Z^+$ ) denote the set of integers (resp. non-negative integers). A homeomorphism  $g: X \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  onto  $X$  is *almost periodic* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a relatively dense set  $A$  in  $Z$  (i.e., there exists  $N \in Z^+$  such that  $[n, n + N] \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in Z$ ) such that  $d(g^m, \text{id}_X) < \varepsilon$  for each  $m \in A$ .

A homeomorphism  $g: X \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  onto  $X$  is *recurrent* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n > 0$  such that  $d(g^n, \text{id}_X) < \varepsilon$ .

For  $X$  compact the following are equivalent [Got]:

- (1)  $g$  is almost periodic.
- (2)  $\{g^n | n \in Z\}$  is equicontinuous.
- (3)  $\{g^n | n \in Z\}$  has compact closure in the space of all homeomorphisms of  $X$  onto  $X$  with compact open topology.

Clearly, periodic homeomorphisms are almost periodic and almost periodic homeomorphisms are recurrent. None of these implications can be reversed. By [Bre], almost periodic homeomorphisms of the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the usual metric  $d$  are periodic. Hence, each almost periodic homeomorphism of the

---

Received by the editors February 24, 1989 and, in revised form, September 5, 1989; presented at the 1989 Spring Topology Conference, Knoxville, Tennessee, March 16–18, 1989, sponsored by NSF.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54T62, 54H20, 57N05, 57S25.

*Key words and phrases.* Recurrent, periodic, homeomorphisms on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The first author was supported in part by NSF grants DMS 860-2400 and RII-8610669.

The second author was supported in part by NSERC grant A5616.

plane is conjugate either to a rational rotation or to a reflection about a line [Got], [Eil].

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that for the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with its usual metric  $d$  recurrent homeomorphisms are periodic. This answers a recent question of R. D. Edwards. This result was claimed in [Hac-1], but the proof given there appears to be deficient [Hac-2]. Theorem 1 gives a positive solution to a problem raised by J. Hachigian for  $n = 2$ . The case  $n = 1$  was done in [Coh-Hac]. We are indebted to Professor Morton Brown for references [Hac-1], [Hac-2], and [Coh-Hac] and for suggesting to us the term "recurrent homeomorphism."

## 2. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we will prove the main result of the paper, but first we prove a special case of the main result under somewhat weaker hypotheses.

By a *domain* we will mean a nonempty, bounded, connected, simply connected, open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We denote the closure (resp. boundary) of a set  $A$  by  $\text{Cl}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Bd}(A)$ ). We let  $B(A, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X | d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$ .

Let  $h: X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism. A set  $A$  in  $X$  is  *$h$ -invariant* if  $h(A) \subset A$ , and  $A$  is *completely  $h$ -invariant* if  $h(A) = A$ . A homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  onto  $X$  is *arc-recurrent* (resp. *point-recurrent*) provided that for each arc  $A$  (resp. for each point  $p$ ) in  $X$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $h^n(A) \subset B(A, \varepsilon)$  (resp.  $d(h^n(p), p) < \varepsilon$ ). Clearly, each recurrent homeomorphism is arc-recurrent and each arc-recurrent homeomorphism is point-recurrent. Notice that each irrational rotation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is arc-recurrent but not recurrent. For domains we can prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $U$  be a domain and let  $h: \text{Cl}(U) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(U)$  be an arc-recurrent homeomorphism such that  $h|_{\text{Bd}(U)} = \text{id}_{\text{Bd}(U)}$ . Then  $h = \text{id}_{\text{Cl}(U)}$ .*

*Proof.* Note first that  $h$  is orientation preserving. Let  $\text{Fix}(h) = \{x \in \text{Cl}(U) | h(x) = x\}$ . Suppose  $\text{Fix}(h) \neq \text{Cl}(U)$ , or there is nothing to prove. Then  $\text{Bd}(U) \subset \text{Fix}(h)$  and  $\text{Fix}(h)$  is closed. We prove first that  $\text{Fix}(h)$  is not connected. If  $\text{Fix}(h)$  were connected, let  $W$  be a component of  $U \setminus \text{Fix}(h)$ . Then  $W$  would be homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $h|_W$  would be an orientation preserving fixed-point free homeomorphism onto  $W$  [Bro-Kis]. By a theorem of Brouwer [And],  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup h^n(x) \in \text{Bd}(W)$  for  $x \in W$ . This would contradict point-recurrence. Thus, there exists a component  $F'$  of  $\text{Fix}(h)$  such that  $U \setminus \text{Fix}(h)$  separates  $\text{Bd}(U)$  from  $F'$ . Let  $F$  be the topological hull of  $F'$  (i.e.,  $F$  is the union of  $F'$  together with all of the bounded components of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus F'$ ).

By [Bro-Kis],  $h(F) = F$ . Since  $h$  is one to one,  $h(U \setminus F) = U \setminus F$ .

Let  $T_1$  be a simple closed curve in  $U \setminus \text{Fix}(h)$  which separates  $F$  from  $\text{Bd}(U)$ . Let  $V_1$  be the component of  $\text{Cl}(U) \setminus T_1$  which meets  $\text{Bd}(U)$ . Let

$$A = \{x \in \text{Cl}(U) | h^n(x) \notin V_1 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}.$$

Then  $A$  is a closed,  $h$ -invariant set. Since  $h$  is point-recurrent,  $A$  is completely

$h$ -invariant. So  $A \subset \text{Cl}(U) \setminus V_1$  is a compact set and  $F \subset A$ . Let  $C$  be the component of  $F$  in  $A$ . Since  $C \cap \text{Fix}(h) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $C$  is invariant. Also, by the same argument as was used in the construction of  $F$ ,  $C$  does not separate the plane.

*Claim 1.*  $F \neq C$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* Suppose  $C = F$ . Since the components of a compact Hausdorff space are quasi-components [Eng, p. 438], there exists a simple closed curve  $T_2$  in  $U \setminus A$  which separates  $T_1$  and  $F$ . Let  $V_2$  be the component of  $\text{Cl}(U) \setminus T_2$  which contains  $V_1$ . Let

$$H = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} h^n(\text{Cl}(V_2)) \subset \text{Cl}(U) \setminus F.$$

Now,  $H$  is  $h$ -invariant and  $H \cap C = \emptyset$ . If  $H$  is compact, then  $H$  is closed, and hence  $H$  is completely  $h$ -invariant, since  $h$  is point-recurrent. Hence,  $\text{Cl}(U) \setminus H \subset \text{Cl}(U) \setminus V_2$  is  $h$ -invariant. So  $\text{Cl}(U) \setminus H \subset A$ . The Boundary Bumping Theorem [Eng, p. 439] states that if  $G$  is a proper open subset of a continuum  $M$ , and  $N$  is a component of  $G$ , then  $\text{Cl}(G)$  meets  $\text{Bd}(G)$ . Since  $C$  is a component of  $\text{Cl}(U) \setminus H$ ,  $C$  meets  $\text{Bd}(\text{Cl}(U) \setminus H)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $H$  is not compact.

By [Hom-Kin],  $\{h^n(\text{Cl}(V_2))\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a bulging sequence (i.e.,  $h^n(\text{Cl}(V_2)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{Cl}(V_2) \neq \emptyset$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ), and there exists a point  $x \in \text{Cl}(V_2)$  such that  $h^n(x) \notin V_2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since  $h$  is point-recurrent,  $x \in \text{Cl}(V_2) \cap (\text{Cl}(U) \setminus V_2) = T_2$ . Clearly,  $x \in A$ . This contradicts the fact that  $T_2 \cap A = \emptyset$ . The claim is proved.

Now,  $U \setminus C$  is homeomorphic to the open annulus

$$Y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 1 < |x| < 2\},$$

since  $C$  is a continuum in the domain  $U$  which does not separate the plane. Hence [Eps] there is a uniformization  $\varphi: U \setminus C \rightarrow Y$ , i.e.,  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of  $U \setminus C$  onto  $Y$  which maps crosscuts onto crosscuts. (A *crosscut*  $K$  of  $U \setminus C$  is an arc in  $\text{Cl}(U \setminus C)$  such that  $K \cap \text{Bd}(U \setminus C)$  is the set of endpoints of  $K$  and these endpoints lie in one component of  $\text{Bd}(U \setminus C)$ .) We may suppose  $\varphi$  maps the points of  $U \setminus C$  near  $C$  to points of  $Y$  near  $S_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| = 1\}$  and  $\varphi$  maps the points of  $U \setminus C$  near  $\text{Bd}(U)$  to points of  $Y$  near  $S_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| = 2\}$ .

Let  $g = \varphi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}: Y \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $g$  is a homeomorphism of  $Y$  onto  $Y$ , and since  $h$  is orientation preserving,  $g$  is also orientation preserving. By [Eps],  $g$  extends to an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $G: \text{Cl}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(Y)$ . Since  $h|_{\text{Bd}(U)} = \text{id}_{\text{Bd}(U)}$  and  $\text{Bd}(C) \setminus \text{Fix}(h) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $G|_{S_2} = \text{id}_{S_2}$  and  $G|_{S_1} \neq \text{id}_{S_1}$ .

Choose an arc  $I$  in  $\text{Cl}(U)$  such that  $I$  irreducibly joins  $\text{Bd}(U)$  to  $C$ ,  $I \cap \text{Bd}(U) = \{a\}$ ,  $I \cap C = \{b\}$ , and  $h(b) \neq b$ . Then there exist points  $\alpha \in S_2$

and  $\beta \in S_1$  such that  $J = \{\alpha, \beta\} \cup \varphi(I \setminus \{a, b\})$  is an arc in  $\text{Cl}(Y)$  which is irreducible from  $S_1$  to  $S_2$ . The points  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the endpoints of  $J$ ,  $G(\alpha) = \alpha$  and  $G(\beta) \neq \beta$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

*Claim 2.* There is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $G^n(J) \subset B(J, \varepsilon)$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* Choose sequences  $\{L_i\}_i$  and  $\{R_i\}_i$  of arcs in  $\text{Cl}(U) \setminus I$  converging to  $I$  such that

- (1) for each  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $I$  separates  $L_i$  from  $R_j$  in a connected neighborhood  $W$  of  $I$  in  $(U \setminus C) \cup I$ ,
- (2) each  $L_i$  and each  $R_i$  meets each of  $C$  and  $\text{Bd}(U)$  in exactly one point, and
- (3) in  $W$ ,  $L_{i+1}$  (resp.  $R_{i+1}$ ) separates  $L_i$  (resp.  $R_i$ ) from  $I$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $L_i^0$  (resp.  $R_i^0$ ) be the arc  $L_i$  (resp.  $R_i$ ) minus its endpoints. Then  $\widehat{L}_i = \text{Cl}(\varphi(L_i^0))$  (resp.  $\widehat{R}_i = \text{Cl}(\varphi(R_i^0))$ ) are arcs in  $\text{Cl}(Y)$  converging to  $J$  such that each  $\widehat{L}_i$  and each  $\widehat{R}_i$  intersects each of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in exactly one point.

Suppose that, for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $G^n(J) \setminus B(J, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that the component  $O$  of  $\text{Cl}(Y) \setminus (\widehat{L}_i \cup \widehat{R}_i)$  which contains  $J$  is in  $B(J, \varepsilon)$ , and  $G(\beta) \notin O$ . Then for each  $n > 0$ ,  $G^n(J) \cap \varphi(L_i^0 \cup R_i^0) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $h^n(I) \cap (L_i \cup R_i) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n$ . This contradicts the fact that there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $h^n(I) \subset \text{Cl}(U) \setminus (R_i \cup L_i)$ . The claim is proved.

Let  $Z$  be the universal covering space of the closed annulus  $\text{Cl}(Y)$ , let  $\rho: Z \rightarrow \text{Cl}(Y)$  be the covering projection, and let  $\widetilde{G}: Z \rightarrow Z$  be a lifting of  $G$ . Then  $Z$  is the product of the line with an arc and  $\text{Bd}(Z) = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ , where  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are lines such that  $\rho(Z_i) = S_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\widetilde{G}|_{Z_2} = \text{id}_{Z_2}$  and  $\widetilde{G}|_{Z_1} \neq \text{id}_{Z_1}$ . Assign a natural linear order to the line  $Z_1$ . Let  $\widetilde{L}_i$ ,  $\widetilde{J}$ , and  $\widetilde{R}_i$  be lifts of  $\widehat{L}_i$ ,  $J$  and  $\widehat{R}_i$ , respectively, such that  $\widetilde{O}$ , the component of  $\widetilde{J}$  in  $Z \setminus (\widetilde{L}_i \cup \widetilde{R}_i)$ , maps homeomorphically onto the set  $O$  defined in the proof of Claim 2. Let  $\widetilde{\beta} \in \widetilde{J} \cap \rho^{-1}(\beta)$ . Since  $G(\beta) \notin O$ ,  $\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\beta}) \notin \widetilde{O}$ . Without loss of generality,  $\widetilde{\beta} < \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\beta})$  in  $Z_1$ . Since  $G$ , hence  $\widetilde{G}$ , are orientation preserving homeomorphisms,

$$\widetilde{\beta} < \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\beta}) < \dots < \widetilde{G}^n(\widetilde{\beta}) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Hence, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\widetilde{G}^n(\widetilde{J}) \cap (\widetilde{L}_i \cup \widetilde{R}_i) \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $G^n(J) \cap (\widehat{L}_i \cap \widehat{R}_i) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n > 0$ , which contradicts Claim 2. This completes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark.* The hypothesis that  $h|_{\text{Bd}(U)} = \text{id}_{\text{Bd}(U)}$  in Theorem 1 can be replaced by the assumption that  $h$  is orientation preserving and  $h$  has at least one accessible fixed point on  $\text{Bd}(U)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $h: X \rightarrow X$  be a recurrent homeomorphism of the metric space  $(X, d)$  onto  $X$ , and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then  $h^n$  is recurrent.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $d(h^k, \text{id}_X) < \varepsilon/n$ . Then,  $d(h^{n \cdot k}, \text{id}_X) < n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n} = \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a recurrent homeomorphism of the plane with its usual metric  $d$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $h$  is periodic.*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $d(h^n, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) < 1$ . By [Bro-1],  $h^n$  is orientation preserving, and by Lemma 2,  $h^n$  is recurrent. Let  $D^0$  be the open and  $D$  the closed unit ball centered at the origin. Define  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow D^0$  by  $\psi(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{r}{1+r}e^{i\theta}$  and  $\varphi' = \psi \circ h^n \circ \psi^{-1}: D^0 \rightarrow D^0$ . Since  $d(h^n, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) < 1$ ,  $\varphi'$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\varphi: D \rightarrow D$  such that  $\varphi|_{\text{Bd}(D)} = \text{id}_{\text{Bd}(D)}$ . Since  $d(\varphi^m, \text{id}_D) \leq d(h^{n \cdot m}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2})$  and  $h^n$  is recurrent,  $\varphi$  is also recurrent. By Theorem 1,  $\varphi = \text{id}_D$ . Hence,  $h^n = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ .

*Remark.* Note that the hypothesis of Theorem 3 (i.e.,  $h$  is recurrent) is used only to ensure that the induced map  $\varphi$  on the closed unit ball is arc-recurrent and the identity on its boundary. Hence the hypothesis of Theorem 3 can be weakened accordingly.

*Added in proof.* R. D. Edwards communicated to us that he proved Theorem 3 independently.

REFERENCES

[And] S. Andrea, *On homeomorphisms of the plane which have no fixed points*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **30** (1967), 61–74.

[Bre] B. L. Brechner, *Almost periodic homeomorphisms of  $E^2$  are periodic*, Pacific J. Math. **59** (1975), 367–374.

[Bro-1] M. Brown, *A note on Kister's isotopy*, Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 95–96.

[Bro-2] —, *A new proof of Brouwer's Lemma on translation arcs*, Houston J. Math. **10** (1984), 35–41.

[Bro-Kis] M. Brown and J. Kister, *Invariance of complementary domains of a fixed point set*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1984), 503–504.

[Coh-Hac] H. Cohen and J. Hachigian, *Iterates of continuous functions on  $I$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1967), 408–411.

[Eil] S. Eilenberg, *Sur les transformations périodiques de la surface de sphère*, Fund. Math. **22** (1934), 28–41.

[Eng] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Polon. Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1978.

[Eps] D. B. A. Epstein, *Prime ends*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **42** (1981), 385–414.

[Got] W. H. Gottschalk, *Minimal sets: An introduction to topological dynamics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1958), 336–351.

[Hac-1] J. Hachigian, *Homeomorphisms of the unit ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1293–1295.

[Hac-2] —, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1978), 252.

[Hom-Kin] T. Homma and S. Kinoshita, *On the regularity of homeomorphisms of  $E^n$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **5** (1953), 365–371.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35294

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA