

## GROUPS WITH MANY REWRITABLE PRODUCTS

MARIO CURZIO, PATRIZIA LONGOBARDI,  
MERCEDE MAJ, AND AKBAR RHEMTULLA

(Communicated by Warren J. Wong)

**ABSTRACT.** For any integer  $n \geq 2$ , denote by  $R_n$  the class of groups  $G$  in which every infinite subset  $X$  contains  $n$  elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that the product  $x_1 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$  for some permutation  $\sigma \neq 1$ . The case  $n = 2$  was studied by B. H. Neumann who proved that  $R_2$  is precisely the class of centre-by-finite groups. Here we show that  $G \in R_n$  for some  $n$  if and only if  $G$  contains an  $FC$ -subgroup  $F$  of finite index such that the exponent of  $F/Z(F)$  is finite, where  $Z(F)$  denotes the centre of  $F$ .

### INTRODUCTION

B. H. Neumann proved in [8] that a group is centre-by-finite if and only if every infinite subset contains a pair of elements that commute. Extensions of problems of this type are to be found in [7] and [6]. The notion of commutativity was extended to rewritable products in [4] with a complete description obtained in [5]. Detailed study of rewritable groups may be found in papers by R. Blyth [1] and [2]. Following earlier authors, we call  $G$  a  $P_n$ -group if given any sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of  $n$  elements in  $G$ , their product  $x_1 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$  for some permutation  $\sigma \neq 1$ . A group  $G$  is called a  $Q_n$  group if given any set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $n$  elements of  $G$ ,  $x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} = x_{\phi(1)} \cdots x_{\phi(n)}$  for some permutations  $\sigma \neq \phi$ . Clearly  $P_n \subseteq Q_n$  and Blyth [1] has shown that  $\bigcup_n P_n = \bigcup_n Q_n$ .

Call  $G$  an  $R_n$  group if every infinite subset  $X$  of  $G$  contains a subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $n$  elements such that  $x_1 \cdots x_n = x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$  for some permutation  $\sigma \neq 1$ . Clearly  $Q_n \subseteq R_n$  for every  $n$ . The relation of  $R_n$  to  $Q_n$  is rather like that of centre-by-finite groups to abelian groups. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem A.** *A group  $G$  is an  $R_n$  group for some integer  $n$  if and only if  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $F$  such that  $G/F$  is finite,  $F$  is an  $FC$ -group and the exponent of  $F/Z(F)$  is finite.*

---

Received by the editors November 7, 1990 and, in revised form, January 21, 1991.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 20F24, 20E34.

© 1992 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/92 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Recall that a group  $G$  is an  $FC$ -group if every element of  $G$  has only finitely many conjugates in  $G$ . For results on  $FC$ -groups we refer the reader to [9].

### PROOFS

Groups  $G$  in which, given any infinite sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  of elements in  $G$ , there exist some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and permutations  $\sigma \neq \phi$  in  $S_m$  such that

$$x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(m)} = x_{\phi(1)} \cdots x_{\phi(m)}$$

were studied in [3]. The class  $Q_\infty$  of all such groups was shown to be precisely the class of  $FC$ -by-finite groups. Our first lemma makes use of this.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $G \in R_n$  for some  $n$  then  $G$  is  $FC$ -by-finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $G \in R_n$  and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be any infinite sequence. Let  $X = \{x_i; i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . It contains  $n$  elements, say  $x_{\lambda_1}, \dots, x_{\lambda_n}$  such that their product is rewritable. Let  $\lambda = \max\{\lambda_i; i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Consider the sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_\lambda$ . Reorder the sequence as  $y_1, \dots, y_\lambda$  where  $y_i = x_{\lambda_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and the rest of the  $y_i$ 's are the rest of  $x_i$ 's in some order.

Now  $y_1 \cdots y_n = y_{\sigma(1)} \cdots y_{\sigma(n)}$  for some  $\sigma \neq 1$ . Hence  $y_1 \cdots y_n y_{n+1} \cdots y_\lambda = y_{\sigma(1)} \cdots y_{\sigma(n)} y_{n+1} \cdots y_\lambda$  and so  $G \in Q_\infty$ . Thus by Proposition 2 of [3],  $G$  is  $FC$ -by-finite.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/F$  is finite of exponent  $e$ ,  $G/Z(F)$  is of finite exponent  $e'$  and  $F$  is an  $FC$ -group. Then  $G \in R_{e+e'}$ .*

*Proof.* Since every finite group is in  $R_n$  for every  $n \geq 2$ , we may assume that  $G$  is not finite. Let  $X$  be any infinite set in  $G$ . Then  $|Fg \cap X| = \infty$  for some  $g \in G$ . Thus we may as well assume that  $X \subseteq Fg$ . Pick any  $x_1, \dots, x_e$  from  $X$ . Their product  $x_1 \cdots x_e = f$  lies in  $F$ . Let  $X' = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_e\}$ . Since  $F$  is an  $FC$ -group and  $f \in F$ , the centralizer  $C$  of  $\langle f^G \rangle$  in  $F$  is of finite index in  $G$ ; also  $C \supseteq Z(F)$ . Now  $|X' \cap Ch| = \infty$  for some  $h$  in  $G$  so pick any  $e'$  elements  $c_1 h, \dots, c_{e'} h$  from  $X' \cap Ch$ . Then

$$f(c_1 h) \cdots (c_{e'} h) = (c_1 h) \cdots (c_{e'} h) f^{h^{e'}} = (c_1 h) \cdots (c_{e'} h) f$$

for  $h^{e'} \in Z(F)$ . Since  $f$  is a product of  $e$  elements of  $X$ ,  $G \in R_{e+e'}$ .

The above lemma provides the easy half of the proof of Theorem A. For the other half we need the following preliminary results.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G$  be an  $FC$  group that is also nilpotent of class two. Then  $G \in R_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$  implies  $G/Z(G)$  has finite exponent.*

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then there exist  $a_1, b_1$  in  $G$  such that  $[b_1^i, a_1] = c_1^i \neq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Clearly if we set  $\bar{G} = G/\langle c_1 \rangle$ , the exponent of the group  $\bar{G}/Z(\bar{G})$  is not finite, for  $G$  is an  $FC$ -group so that  $\langle c_1 \rangle$  is finite. Next choose  $b_2, a_2$  in  $C_G\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$  such that  $[b_2^i, a_2] = c_2^i \notin \langle c_1 \rangle$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This is possible since  $C_G\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$  is of finite index in  $G$ .

Continue; at step  $k$ , pick  $b_k, a_k$  in  $C_G\langle a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \rangle$  such that  $[b_k^i, a_k] = c_k^i$  does not lie in  $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \rangle$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now put  $x_0 = b_1$  and  $x_k = a_1 \dots a_k b_{k+1}$  for  $k > 0$ . Take  $X = \{x_i; i \geq 0\}$ . We claim that if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are any  $n$  distinct nonnegative integers, then  $x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n} = x_{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\lambda_{\sigma(n)}}$  implies  $\sigma = 1$ .

For each  $\lambda_j$  let  $r(\lambda_j)$  denote the number of  $x_{\lambda_i}$ 's with  $\lambda_i > \lambda_j$  appearing to the right of  $x_{\lambda_j}$  in the expression  $x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}$ . Let  $s(\lambda_j)$  denote the number of  $x_{\lambda_i}$ 's with  $\lambda_i > \lambda_j$  appearing to the right of  $x_{\lambda_j}$  in the expression  $x_{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\lambda_{\sigma(n)}}$ . Let  $\lambda_j$  be the largest integer such that  $r = r(\lambda_j) \neq s(\lambda_j) = s$ . Then modulo  $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{\lambda_j} \rangle$ ,

$$(x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n})(x_{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\lambda_{\sigma(n)}})^{-1} \equiv b_k a_k^r a_k^{-s} b_k^{-1} a_k^{s-r} \equiv c_k^{r-s},$$

where  $k = \lambda_j + 1$ .

Since  $1 \leq |s-r| < n$ ,  $c_k^{s-r} \notin \langle c_1, \dots, c_{\lambda_j} \rangle$  and hence  $x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n} = x_{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\lambda_{\sigma(n)}}$  only if  $r(\lambda_j) = s(\lambda_j)$  for all  $j$ . But this implies that  $\sigma = 1$  and thus completes the proof.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be an FC-group such that the exponent of  $G/\zeta_2(G)$  is finite. If  $G \in R_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ , then the exponent of  $G/\zeta_1(G)$  is finite. ( $\zeta_i(G)$  denotes the  $i$ th centre of  $G$ ).*

*Proof.* Write  $Z_i$  for  $\zeta_i(G)$ . By Lemma 3, the exponent of  $Z_2/\zeta_1(Z_2)$  is finite. Put  $A = \zeta_1(Z_2)$ .

It will suffice to show that the exponent of  $A/Z_1$  is finite. Let  $e$  be the exponent of  $G/Z_2$  and suppose there is  $a \in A$  such that  $a^e \notin Z_1$ . Then  $[a^e, b] \neq 1$  for some  $b \in G$ . But  $[a^e, b] = [a, b]^e = [a, b^e] \in [A, Z_2] = 1$ , a contradiction.

*Proof of Theorem A.* Let  $G \in R_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . By Lemma 1, the FC-centre  $F$  of  $G$  is of finite index in  $G$ . Because of Lemma 2, we only need to show that  $F/Z(F)$  has finite exponent to complete the proof. If  $F$  is finite, there is nothing to prove, so we may assume  $|F| = \infty$ . If  $F/\zeta_2(F)$  has finite exponent, then Lemma 4 applies and we are done.

If  $F/\zeta_2(F)$  is not of finite exponent then consider the group  $\bar{F} = F/Z(F)$  and get a contradiction by showing that the exponent of  $\bar{F}/Z(\bar{F})$  is finite. The purpose of considering  $F/Z(F)$  is that it is residually finite (see Theorem 1.9 of [9]). So we assume that  $F$  is a residually finite FC-group in the class  $R_n$  for some  $n \geq 2$  and that the exponent of  $F/Z(F)$  is not finite. Then there exist  $a_1, b_1$  in  $F$  such that  $[a_1^i, b_1] \neq 1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $A_1 = \langle [a_1, b_1]^F \rangle$ . Note that  $A_1$  is finite. Let  $C_1 = C_F(\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle^F)$  so that  $C_1$  is of finite index in  $F$  and there exists  $N_1 \triangleleft G$  such that  $N_1 \leq C_1$ ,  $N_1 \cap A_1 = 1$  and  $F/N_1$  is finite. Note that  $N_1/Z(N_1)$  is not of finite exponent for  $F = \langle N_1, Y_1 \rangle$  for some finite set  $Y_1$  and  $C_F(Y_1)$  has finite index so that  $C_F(Y_1) \cap Z(N_1) \leq Z(F)$  is of finite index in  $Z(N_1)$  and hence  $F/Z(F)$  would have finite exponent.

Next choose  $a_2, b_2$  in  $N_1$  such that  $[a_2^i, b_2] \neq 1$  for all  $0 < i \leq n$ . Obtain subgroup  $N_2 \triangleleft F$  such that  $N_2 \leq N_1 \cap C_F(\langle a_2, b_2 \rangle^F)$ ,  $N_2 \cap \langle [a_2, b_2]^F \rangle = 1$ , and  $F/N_2$  is finite. This is possible and  $N_2$  is obtained in a similar way to  $N_1$ . Also note that the exponent of  $N_2/Z(N_2)$  is not finite.

Continue the above process to get the sequence  $a_3, b_3, a_4, b_4 \dots$ . Now put  $x_0 = b_1$ ,  $x_1 = a_1 b_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_k = a_1 \cdots a_k b_{k+1}$ ,  $\dots$  and let  $X = \{x_i, i \geq 0\}$ . We claim that if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are any  $n$  distinct nonnegative integers and

$$x_{\lambda_1} \cdots x_{\lambda_n} = x_{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\lambda_{\sigma(n)}}$$

then  $\sigma = 1$ . This is done by using an argument similar to that in Lemma 3. This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. R. D. Blyth, *Rewriting products of group elements. I*, J. Algebra **116** (1988), 506–521.
2. ———, *Rewriting products of group elements. II*, J. Algebra **118** (1988), 249–259.
3. R. D. Blyth and A. H. Rhemtulla, *Rewritable products in FC-by-finite groups*, Canad. J. Math. **41** (1989), 369–384.
4. M. Curzio, P. Longobardi, and M. Maj, *Su di un problema combinatorio in teoria dei gruppi*, Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. **8** (1983), 136–142.
5. M. Curzio, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, and D. J. S. Robinson, *A permutational property of groups*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **44** (1985), 385–389.
6. J. R. J. Groves, *A conjecture of Lennox and Wiegold concerning supersoluble groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **35** (1983), 218–220.
7. J. C. Lennox and J. Wiegold, *Extensions of a problem of Paul Erdős on groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **31** (1981), 459–463.
8. B. H. Neumann, *A problem of Paul Erdős on groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **21** (1976), 467–472.
9. M. J. Tomkinson, *FC-groups*, Res. Notes in Math., no. 96, Pitman, London, 1984.

(M. Curzio, P. Longobardi, and M. Maj) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI, VIA MEZZOCANNONE 8, 80134 NAPLES, ITALY

(A. Rhemtulla) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, CANADA T6G 2G1