

## A NOTE ON COMMUTATIVITY OF UNBOUNDED REPRESENTATIONS

SCHÔICHI ÔTA

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** Commutativity for unbounded representations is studied in terms of the Cayley transform.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

We study commutativity of unbounded representations of a  $*$ -algebra. In our previous paper [5], we introduced the notion of strong commutativity for representations by using the strong commutants of representations and gave some results related to an extension of a representation. In this note we study the relation between the strong commutativity of representations and their corresponding Cayley transforms. Moreover, in relation to a question on the integrable extension of a representation, we show that there is no integrable extension of a representation under a pointwise commutativity condition on the corresponding Cayley transforms.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $*$ -algebra with unit  $e$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_h$  be the set of all hermitian elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\pi$  be a linear mapping of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into all closable linear operators on a common dense subspace  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is invariant under each  $\pi(x)$  ( $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ ). If  $\pi$  satisfies that  $\pi(e) = I$ , the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\pi(x)\pi(y)\eta = \pi(xy)\eta$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , and also  $\pi$  satisfies  $(\pi(x)\xi, \eta) = (\xi, \pi(x^*)\eta)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ , then  $\pi$  is said to be a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\pi)$  is complete with respect to the induced topology given by the family of seminorms  $\{\|\pi(x)\xi\| : x \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ ,  $\pi$  is called *closed*. The adjoint representation  $\pi^*$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(\pi^*) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{D}(\pi(x)^*) ,$$
$$\pi^*(x) = \pi(x^*)^*|_{\mathcal{D}(\pi^*)} \quad (\text{the restriction of } \pi(x^*)^* \text{ to } \mathcal{D}(\pi^*)).$$

If  $\pi = \pi^*$ , we call  $\pi$  *selfadjoint*. A closed  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  is called *integrable* (or *standard* in terms of [6]) if  $\overline{\pi(a^*)} = \pi(a)^*$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where the

Received by the editors August 26, 1991 and, in revised form, October 1, 1991.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47D40, 46K40.

*Key words and phrases.* Unbounded representation, strong commutativity, Cayley transformation, extension.

bar denotes the closure of an operator. If  $\pi$  is integrable, then it is selfadjoint. We note that a closed  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  is integrable if and only if  $\pi(a)$  is essentially selfadjoint for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ .

For a bounded operator  $B$  and a densely defined operator  $K$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we say that  $B$  commutes with  $K$  if the relation  $BK \subseteq KB$  holds; namely,  $B$  leaves the domain  $\mathcal{D}(K)$  invariant and  $BK\xi = KB\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(K)$ . Also we say that  $B$  weakly commutes with  $K$  if  $BK \subseteq K^*B$  holds. We next recall the definitions of the strong and weak commutants of a representation. For a representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the *weak commutant*  $\mathcal{E}^w(\pi)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^w(\pi) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : T\pi(x) \subseteq \pi^*(x)T \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}\},$$

and the *strong commutant*  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^s(\pi) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : T\pi(x) \subseteq \pi(x)T \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}^w(\pi)$  is a weakly closed set of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and is  $*$ -closed; that is, it is closed under the usual adjoint operation of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , but it need not be an algebra. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)$  is an algebra, but it is not  $*$ -closed in general. If  $\pi$  is selfadjoint, then  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)$  is a von Neumann algebra with  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi) = \mathcal{E}^w(\pi)$ . For further details on unbounded representations, we refer to [7].

## 2. STRONG COMMUTATIVITY AND CAYLEY TRANSFORM

Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be  $*$ -representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We say [5] that  $\pi_1$  *strongly commutes with*  $\pi_2$  if the commutant of  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi_1)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi_2)$ ;  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi_1)' \subseteq \mathcal{E}^s(\pi_2)$ . Here, for a subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}'$  denotes the usual commutant of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

For a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ , let  $V_{\pi(x)}$  be the Cayley transform of the closed symmetric operator  $\overline{\pi(x)}$ ;

$$V_{\pi(x)} = (\overline{\pi(x)} - i)(\overline{\pi(x)} + i)^{-1},$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . Then, as usual,  $V_{\pi(x)}$  is extended to the partial isometry on  $\mathcal{H}$  that is zero on the deficiency space  $\ker(\pi(x)^* - i) \equiv \mathcal{R}(\overline{\pi(x)} + i)^\perp$ , the orthogonal complement of the range of  $\overline{\pi(x)} + i$ , and it is also denoted by  $V_{\pi(x)}$ .

**Lemma 1.** For each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ ,  $V_{\pi(x)}^* = V_{\pi(-x)}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $V_{\pi(x)}^* = (-\overline{\pi(x)} - i)(-\overline{\pi(x)} + i)^{-1}$  on  $\mathcal{R}(-\overline{\pi(x)} + i)$ , the range of  $-\overline{\pi(x)} + i$ . On the other hand,  $V_{\pi(x)} = 0$  on  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\pi(x)} + i)^\perp$  by the definition. It follows that  $V_{\pi(x)}^* = 0$  on  $\mathcal{R}(-\overline{\pi(x)} + i)^\perp$ . Clearly,  $-\overline{\pi(x)} = \overline{\pi(-x)}$ . Hence, we have  $V_{\pi(x)}^* = V_{\pi(-x)}$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\pi$  be a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)$  is  $*$ -closed, then  $V_{\pi(x)}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)'$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be in  $\mathfrak{A}_h$  and take any  $T$  in  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)$ . Since  $T$  commutes with  $\overline{\pi(x)}$ , it follows that

$$TV_{\pi(x)} = V_{\pi(x)}T \text{ on } \mathcal{R}(\overline{\pi(x)} + i).$$

On the other hand, since  $T^*$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)$  by our assumption,  $T\pi(x)^* \subseteq \pi(x)^*T$ . Therefore, for  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi(x)^*)$  with  $\pi(x)^*\xi = i\xi$ , we have  $T\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\pi(x)^*)$  and  $\pi(x)^*T\xi = T\pi(x)^*\xi = iT\xi$ . Thus  $TV_{\pi(x)} = V_{\pi(x)}T = 0$  on  $\ker(\pi(x)^* - i)$ , which implies the proposition.

In order to discuss the relation between the strong commutativity of representations and the Cayley transforms corresponding to hermitian elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we consider the following condition  $(\star)$  for  $*$ -representations  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ :

$$(\star) \quad V_{\pi(x)}V_{\rho(y)} = V_{\rho(y)}V_{\pi(x)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_h.$$

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  are  $*$ -representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that*

$$(\star\star) \quad \{V_{\pi(x)} : x \in \mathfrak{A}_h\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^s(\rho);$$

*then the condition  $(\star)$  is satisfied.*

*Proof.* For each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ , by Lemma 1 and the condition  $(\star\star)$ , both  $V_{\pi(x)}$  and  $V_{\pi(x)}^*$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}^s(\rho)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ . In the proof of Proposition 2, replace  $\pi$  by  $\rho$  and  $T$  by  $V_{\pi(x)}$ , respectively. Then the proposition follows from the same arguments as in the proof.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Propositions 2 and 3.

**Corollary 4.** *If  $\pi$  strongly commutes with  $\rho$  and  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)$  is  $*$ -closed, then the condition  $(\star)$  is satisfied.*

Conversely, we give the following:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  be  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  satisfy the condition  $(\star)$ , then*

$$\{V_{\pi(x)} : x \in \mathfrak{A}_h\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^w(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \{V_{\rho(x)} : x \in \mathfrak{A}_h\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^w(\pi).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be in  $\mathfrak{A}_h$ . Then we have only to show  $V_{\pi(x)} \in \mathcal{E}^w(\rho)$ . Since  $V_{\pi(x)}$  commutes with  $V_{\rho(y)}$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ , it follows that

$$V_{\pi(x)}(I - V_{\rho(y)})^{-1} \subseteq (I - V_{\rho(y)})^{-1}V_{\pi(x)}.$$

Since  $\overline{\rho(y)} \subseteq i(I + V_{\rho(y)})(I - V_{\rho(y)})^{-1} \subseteq \rho(y)^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\pi(x)}\overline{\rho(y)} &\subseteq i(I + V_{\rho(y)})V_{\pi(x)}(I - V_{\rho(y)})^{-1} \\ &\subseteq i(I + V_{\rho(y)})(I - V_{\rho(y)})^{-1}V_{\pi(x)} \subseteq \rho(y)^*V_{\pi(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [7, Corollary 8.2.8] that  $V_{\pi(x)} \in \mathcal{E}^w(\rho)$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\pi$  be an integrable representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and let  $\rho$  be a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with  $\mathcal{E}^s(\rho) = \mathcal{E}^w(\rho)$ . Then  $\pi$  strongly commutes with  $\rho$  if and only if the condition  $(\star)$  is satisfied.*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi$  is integrable, for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ ,  $\pi(x)$  is essentially selfadjoint. By [7, Corollary 8.2.8 or Chapter 9],  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)'$  is generated by the spectral projections of all selfadjoint operators  $\overline{\pi(x)}$  with  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)'$  is generated by all unitary operators  $V_{\pi(x)}$  with  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ ;

$$\mathcal{E}^s(\pi)' = \{V_{\pi(x)} : x \in \mathfrak{A}_h\}''.$$

Suppose the condition  $(\star)$  is satisfied. By Theorem 5,  $\{V_{\pi(x)} : x \in \mathfrak{A}_h\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^w(\rho)$ . By our assumption,  $\mathcal{E}^s(\rho)$  is a von Neumann algebra with  $\mathcal{E}^s(\rho) = \mathcal{E}^w(\rho)$ . Hence  $\{V_{\pi(x)} : x \in \mathfrak{A}_h\}'' \subseteq \mathcal{E}^s(\rho)$ , so that  $\pi$  strongly commutes with  $\rho$ .

The converse is clear by Corollary 4.

### 3. POINTWISE COMMUTATIVITY

We introduce the notion of pointwise commutativity for representations by the corresponding Cayley transforms, which seems to be reasonable by the preceding arguments.

**Definition.** Let  $\pi$  and  $\rho$  be  $*$ -representations of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We say that  $\pi$  commutes pointwise with  $\rho$ , if

$$V_{\pi(x)}V_{\rho(x)} = V_{\rho(x)}V_{\pi(x)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}_h.$$

The following theorem is an improvement of Theorem 6 in [5] in terms of the pointwise commutativity. A representation  $\rho$  is said to be an *extension* of  $\pi$  if  $\mathcal{D}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\rho)$  and  $\pi(a)\eta = \rho(a)\eta$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  and all  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\pi)$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\pi$  be a closed  $*$ -representation of a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\pi$  has an integrable extension  $\rho$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  which commutes pointwise with  $\pi$ , then  $\pi = \rho$  and so  $\pi$  is itself integrable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\rho$  is an integrable extension of  $\pi$  and commutes pointwise with  $\pi$ . Take  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_h$ . Then  $\overline{\rho(x)}$  is essentially selfadjoint. Let  $P$  be the projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\pi(x)} + i)$ ;  $P = V_{\pi(x)}^*V_{\rho(x)}$ . Since  $V_{\rho(x)}$  is unitary,  $V_{\rho(x)}V_{\pi(x)}^* = V_{\pi(x)}^*V_{\rho(x)}$ , and so  $P$  commutes with  $V_{\rho(x)}$ . It follows that  $P$  commutes with  $\overline{\rho(x)}$ .

Since  $\rho \supseteq \pi$  and  $\rho(x)$  is essentially selfadjoint,  $\overline{\pi(x)} \subseteq \overline{\rho(x)} \subseteq \pi(x)^*$ . Hence, for each  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\pi(x)})$ , we have  $P\eta \in P\mathcal{D}(\overline{\rho(x)}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{\rho(x)}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\pi(x)^*)$  and  $P\overline{\pi(x)}\eta = \overline{\rho(x)}P\eta = \pi(x)^*P\eta$ . Thus  $P\overline{\pi(x)} \subseteq \pi(x)^*P$ . Since  $I - P$  is the projection onto  $\ker(\pi(x)^* - i)$ , we obtain

$$(I - P)\overline{\pi(x)}\eta = i(I - P)\eta$$

for each  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\pi(x)})$ . On the other hand, we have  $(I - P)\overline{\pi(x)}\eta = (\overline{\pi(x)} + iP)\eta - P(\overline{\pi(x)} + i)\eta = -i(I - P)\eta$ . It follows from the density of  $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\pi(x)})$  that  $P = I$ . Similarly, the projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{\pi(x)} - i)$  is also the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $\pi(x)$  is essentially selfadjoint for each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h$ . Therefore  $\pi$  is integrable and  $\rho = \pi$ .

### REFERENCES

1. A. Inoue, H. Kurose, and S. Ôta, *Extensions of unbounded representations*, Math. Nachr. (to appear).
2. P. E. T. Jørgensen, *Operators and representation theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
3. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
4. G. Lassner, *Topological algebras of operators*, Rep. Math. Phys. 3 (1972), 279–293.
5. S. Ôta, *Commutativity of unbounded representations*, preprint, 1991.

6. R. T. Powers, *Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **21** (1971), 85–124.
7. K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded operator algebras and representation theory*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
8. J. Weidmann, *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU INSTITUTE OF DESIGN, FUKUOKA, 815 JAPAN