

## A FUNCTION IN THE DIRICHLET SPACE SUCH THAT ITS FOURIER SERIES DIVERGES ALMOST EVERYWHERE

GERALDO SOARES DE SOUZA AND G. SAMPSON

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

**ABSTRACT.** An analytic function  $F$  on the disc belongs to  $B$  if  $\|F\|_B = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta dr < \infty$ . Notice that  $B \subsetneq H^1 \subsetneq L^1$ , where  $H^1$  is the Hardy space of all analytic functions  $F$  so that

$$\|F\|_{H^1} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})| d\theta < \infty,$$

$L^1$  is the Lebesgue space of integrable functions on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , and the inclusion  $H^1 \subsetneq L^1$  is taken in the sense of boundary values, that is,  $F \in H^1 \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \Re F(re^{i\theta}) \in L^1$ .

Kolmogorov in 1923 showed that there exists an  $f$  in  $L^1$  so that its Fourier series diverges almost everywhere. In 1953 Sunouchi showed that there exists an  $f$  in  $H^1$  with an almost everywhere divergent Fourier series. The purpose of this note is to announce.

**Theorem 1.** *There exists an  $f \in B$ , whose Fourier series diverges a.e.*

This problem was mentioned to the first author by Professor Guido Weiss.

An analytic function  $F$  on the disc belongs to the Dirichlet space  $B$  if  $\|F\|_B = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |F'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta dr < \infty$ . Notice that  $B \subsetneq H^1 \subsetneq L^1$ , where  $H^1$  is the Hardy space of all analytic functions  $F$  on the disc so that  $\|F\|_{H^1} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})| d\theta < \infty$ ,  $L^1$  is the Lebesgue space of all integrable functions on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , and the inclusion  $H^1 \subsetneq L^1$  is taken in the sense of boundary values, that is,  $F \in H^1$  implies  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \Re F(re^{i\theta}) \in L^1$ .

Kolmogorov in 1923 [4] showed that there exists an  $f$  in  $L^1$  such that its Fourier series diverges almost everywhere. Also it was shown by Sunouchi [5], who modified the example given in [3], that there is a function in  $H^1$  with a divergent Fourier series. Therefore a natural question to ask is: Do the functions in  $B$  have convergent Fourier series? This question was asked by Professor Guido Weiss to the first author.

In this paper we answer this question negatively. We will prove that a subclass of functions in [3], which Sunouchi [5] proved to belong to  $H^1$  and have divergent Fourier series, indeed belongs to  $B$ .

Received by the editors June 3, 1991 and, in revised form, September 16, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42A20; Secondary 46E30.

*Key words and phrases.* Fourier series, convergence of Fourier series.

The example in [3] is defined as follows: Let  $a_j = 4\pi j/(2n_k + 1)$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n_k$ , and let  $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n_k}$  be the integers such that  $2m_j + 1$  is an integer multiple of  $2n_k + 1$ ,  $m_0 \geq n_k^2$ , and  $m_{j+1} > 2m_j$ . Now define

$$\phi_{n_k}(t) = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} K_{m_j}(t - a_j)$$

(where  $K_{m_j}$  is the Fejer kernel), which is a polynomial of order  $m_{n_k-1}$ . Then write  $\phi_{n_k}$  in the exponential form; that is,

$$\phi_{n_k}(t) = \sum_{\gamma=-m_{n_k}}^{m_{n_k}} c_{\gamma}^k e^{i\gamma t}.$$

Finally define the function

$$(1) \quad F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k e^{i\gamma_k t} \phi_{n_k}(t), \quad \text{where } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty \text{ and } \alpha_k \geq 0.$$

Hardy and Rogosinski in [3] showed that if

$$(2) \quad \gamma_k + m_{n_k} < \gamma_{k+1} - m_{n_{k+1}} \quad \text{for all } k$$

then  $F \in L^1$  and has a divergent Fourier series.

Sunouchi in [5] showed that if

$$(3) \quad \gamma_k - m_{n_k} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } k$$

in addition to the hypothesis of Hardy-Rogosinski, then  $F$  belongs to  $H^1$ .

In this note we show that if for all  $k$

$$(4) \quad \gamma_k \geq m_{n_k}^2$$

along with the hypothesis of Sunouchi, then  $F$  belongs to  $B$ . We may relax the hypothesis by

$$(4') \quad \gamma_k > 2m_{n_k} \quad \text{for all } k.$$

We will prove this as a consequence of some lemmas, but first we need some definitions.

Recall that the Fejer kernel is  $K_{n-1}(t) = \frac{2}{n} \left[ \frac{\sin nt/2}{2 \sin t/2} \right]^2$  where  $n$  is an integer and  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $n$  be integers. Then we define the complex-valued function  $G_{\gamma, n}$  on the unit disc  $D = \{z \in C; |z| \leq 1\}$ :

$$G_{\gamma, n}(z) = \frac{1}{2n} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j) z^{\gamma-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j) z^{\gamma+j} \right].$$

Notice that  $G_{\gamma, n}(z)$  is analytic everywhere if  $\gamma \geq n-1$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} G_{\gamma, n}(re^{it}) = e^{i\gamma t} K_{n-1}(t)$ , and this limit is uniform for  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The above-defined analytic function  $G_{\gamma, n}$  belongs to  $H^1$ . Moreover,  $\|G_{\gamma, n}\|_{H^1} = \pi$  for  $\gamma \geq n-1$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\|G_{\gamma, n}\|_{H^1} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |G_{\gamma, n}(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\gamma\theta} K_{n-1}(\theta)| d\theta = \pi.$$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\gamma \geq n^2$  then  $f(t) = e^{i\gamma t}K_{n-1}(t)$  is in  $B$ . Moreover,  $\|f\|_B \leq C$ , where  $C$  is independent of  $\gamma$  and  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that the derivative of  $G_{\gamma,n}$  is given by

$$G'_{\gamma,n}(z) = \gamma z^{\gamma-n}G_{n-1,n}(z) + H(z),$$

where

$$H(z) = \frac{z^{\gamma-n}}{2n} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j)jz^{n+j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j)jz^{n-j-1} \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{\gamma,n}\|_B &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |G'_{\gamma,n}(re^{i\theta})| d\theta dr \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \gamma r^{\gamma-n} |G_{n-1,n}(re^{i\theta})| d\theta dr + \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |H(re^{i\theta})| d\theta dr \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

*Estimate for I.* Here we use Lemma 1 with  $\gamma = n - 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &= \gamma \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^{2\pi} r^{\gamma-n} |G_{n-1,n}(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) dr \\ (5) \quad &\leq \gamma \|G_{n-1,n}\|_{H^1} \cdot \int_0^1 r^{\gamma-n} dr = \frac{\pi\gamma}{\gamma - n + 1} \leq 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

for  $\gamma \geq n^2 \geq 2n$ .  
*Estimate for II.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |H(re^{i\theta})| d\theta dr \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} r^{\gamma-n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} nj d\theta dr \\ &= 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j \int_0^1 r^{\gamma-n} dr = \frac{\pi n(n-1)}{\gamma - n + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5) we have  $\text{II} \leq \pi$ . Therefore,  $\|G_{\gamma,n}\|_B < 3\pi$ .

Now we have to show that the function  $F$  defined in (1) belongs to  $B$ ; in fact, we have the following

**Theorem.** *The function  $F$  defined in (1) and satisfying (2), (3), and (4) belongs to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* We want to show that  $F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k e^{i\gamma_k t} \phi_{n_k}(t)$  is in  $B$ . This follows by showing that  $e^{i\gamma_k t} \phi_{n_k}(t)$  is in  $B$  and  $\|e^{i\gamma_k(\cdot)} \phi_{n_k}(\cdot)\|_B \leq C$ , with  $C$  independent of  $n_k$  and  $\gamma_k$ . In fact, using Lemma 2 and the fact that  $\|g(t-a)\|_B =$

$\|g(t)\|_B$ ,  $\|cg(t)\|_B = |c|\|g(t)\|_B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{i\gamma_k t} \phi_{n_k}(t)\|_B &\leq \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \|e^{i\gamma_k t} K_{m_j}(t - a_j)\|_B \\ &= \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \|e^{i\gamma_k(t-a_j)} K_{m_j}(t - a_j) \cdot e^{i\gamma_k a_j}\|_B = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \|e^{i\gamma_k(t-a_j)} K_{m_j}(t - a_j)\|_B \\ &= \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \|e^{i\gamma_k t} K_{m_j}(t)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} 3\pi = \frac{3\pi(n_k)}{n_k} = 3\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\|F\|_B \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|e^{i\gamma_k t} \phi_{n_k}(t)\|_B \leq 3\pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $F \in B$ .

*Remark.* With more technical arguments, we can replace condition (4) by (4') in the theorem.

It is well known that the spaces  $H_\phi$  over  $[0, 2\pi]$  defined in [6] contain  $B$  for any nontrivial  $H_\phi$ . Then  $B \subset H_\phi$ ; we conjecture that  $B \subsetneq H_\phi$ . It was shown by Y. Meyer [8] that the space  $B$  is in some sense a minimal space.

**Corollary.** *There is an  $f \in H_\phi$ ,  $H_\phi \neq \{0\}$ , whose Fourier series diverges almost everywhere.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We had some valuable discussions with Professors Richard O'Neill, Richard Rochberg, Mitchell Taibleson, and Guido Weiss, to whom we are very thankful.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. K. Bari, *A treatise on trigonometric series*, Vols. I and II, Macmillan, New York, 1964.
2. Geraldo Soares de Souza and G. Sampson, *A real characterization of the pre-dual of Bloch functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **27** (1983), 267-276.
3. G. H. Hardy and W. W. Rogosinski, *Fourier series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 38, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge and New York, 1949.
4. A. M. Kolmogorov, *Une serie de Fourier-Lebesgue divergente presque partout*, Fund. Math. **4** (1923), 324-328.
5. Gen-Ichiro Sunouchi, *A Fourier series which belongs to the class  $H^1$  diverges almost everywhere*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **1** (1953), 27-28.
6. Akihito Uchiyama and J. Michael Wilson, *Approximate identities and  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 53-58.
7. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vols. I and II, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1959.
8. Y. Meyer, *La minimalité de l'espace de Besov  $\mathbb{B}_1^{0,1}$  et la continuité des opérateurs d'efinis par des intégrales singulières*, Monograf. Inst. Mat., vol. 4, Univ. Autonoma de Madrid.