

LINKS WITH UNLINKING NUMBER ONE ARE PRIME

C. McA. GORDON AND J. LUECKE

(Communicated by Ronald Stern)

ABSTRACT. We prove that a link with unlinking number one is prime.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L be a link in S^3 . The *unlinking number* of L , $u(L)$, is defined to be the least number of times L must pass through itself in order to transform it into the unlink. It is not hard to see that this is the same as the minimum, over all diagrams of L , of the least number of crossing changes in that diagram needed to transform L to the unlink.

Recall that a *connected sum* of links L_1 and L_2 is any link L obtained by removing the interior of a trivial ball-pair $(B_i^3, B_i^1) \subset (S^3, L_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and then gluing the resulting pairs along their boundaries (S_i^2, S_i^0) . It is convenient to write $L = L_1 \# L_2$, although in general L is not uniquely determined by L_1 and L_2 .

A natural conjecture, which is certainly classical at least in the case of knots, is the following.

Conjecture. $u(L_1 \# L_2) = u(L_1) + u(L_2)$.

We shall say that L is *prime* if $L = L_1 \# L_2$ implies that L_1 or L_2 is an unlink. (Note that although this is the definition of primality that is appropriate in our present context, it may not be the usual one; it differs from that given in [KT], for example.) Since $u(L) = 0$ if and only if L is an unlink, the above Conjecture implies that if $u(L) = 1$ then L is prime. In the case of knots, this was proved by Scharlemann [S]. Here we prove the analog for links with more than one component.

Theorem. *Let L be a link with more than one component such that $u(L) = 1$. Then L is prime.*

Received by the editors July 23, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25.

Key words and phrases. Unlinking number, prime.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant NSF-DMS-9001478. The second author was partially supported by NSF grants NSF-DMS-8903599, NSF-DMS-9158090 and a Sloan Foundation Fellowship.

An alternative proof of Scharlemann's theorem was given by Zhang [Z], by applying the main result of [GL1] to the 2-fold branched cover of the knot. The present proof follows the same philosophy, using [GL2] instead of [GL1].

2. PROOF

Let K be a knot in the interior of an orientable 3-manifold M . Let $N(K)$ be a tubular neighborhood of K , and let α be the isotopy class of an essential simple closed curve in $\partial N(K)$. The manifold obtained by α -Dehn surgery on K , which we will denote by $K(\alpha)$, is the result of attaching a solid torus V to $M - \text{int } N(K)$ by identifying ∂V with $\partial N(K)$ in such a way that α bounds a disk in V . If α and β are two such isotopy classes in $\partial N(K)$ then $\Delta(\alpha, \beta)$ denotes their minimal geometric intersection number.

If L is a link in S^3 , let $M(L)$ denote the 2-fold branched cover of L , with branched covering projection $p : M(L) \rightarrow S^3$ and canonical involution $h : M(L) \rightarrow M(L)$.

Lemma 1. *Let L, L' be links in S^3 such that L' is obtained from L by a single crossing change. Then there exists a knot K in $M(L)$ such that*

- (i) K has an h -invariant tubular neighborhood $N(K)$ such that $p(N(K))$ is a 3-ball that meets L in an unknotted pair of arcs;
- (ii) $M(L')$ is homeomorphic to $K(\alpha)$ for some α with $\Delta(\alpha, \mu) = 2$, where μ is the meridian of K .

Proof. This follows from [L, Proof of Lemma 1]. (See also [M].) \square

Note that if L is the n -component unlink, $n \geq 1$, then

$$M(L) \cong \#_{n-1} S^1 \times S^2.$$

The next lemma gives the converse.

Lemma 2. *Let L be a link in S^3 such that $M(L)$ is homeomorphic to $\#_{n-1} S^1 \times S^2$. Then L is the n -component unlink.*

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -Smith Conjecture [W]. The general case follows easily by induction on n using [KT, Lemma 1]. \square

Finally, we shall need the following fact about Dehn surgeries that yield reducible manifolds.

Lemma 3. *Let K be a knot in a 3-manifold M such that $M - K$ is irreducible but $K(\alpha)$ and $K(\beta)$ are reducible. Then*

$$\Delta(\alpha, \beta) \leq 1.$$

Proof. This is [GL2, Corollary 1.2]. \square

Proof of Theorem. Let L be an n -component link in S^3 , $n \geq 2$, with $u(L) = 1$. First note that if L is a split union $L_1 \sqcup L_2$, then clearly it must be that L_1 (say) is an unlink and $u(L_2) = 1$. Hence we may assume that L is nonsplit and, therefore, that $S^3 - L$ is irreducible.

Suppose for contradiction that L is a connected sum $L_1 \# L_2$, where L_i is not an unlink, $i = 1, 2$. Then $M(L) \cong M(L_1) \# M(L_2)$. In particular, since L_i is not the unknot, $i = 1, 2$, $M(L)$ is reducible, by the \mathbb{Z}_2 -Smith Conjecture [W].

Since $u(L) = 1$, we can apply Lemma 1 with L' the n -component unlink, giving a knot K in $M(L)$, with meridian μ , such that

$$K(\alpha) \cong M(L') \cong \#_{n-1} S^1 \times S^2,$$

where $\Delta(\alpha, \mu) = 2$. But $K(\mu) \cong M(L)$ is also reducible. It follows from Lemma 3 that $X = M(L) - \text{int } N(K)$ is reducible.

Choosing $N(K)$ as in part (i) of Lemma 1, let B_0 be the 3-ball $S^3 - \text{int } p(N(K))$, and let $L_0 = L \cap B_0$. Then X is the 2-fold branched cover of (B_0, L_0) . Since h restricts to an involution on X , [KT, Lemma 1] implies that X contains an essential 2-sphere S (one that does not bound a 3-ball) such that either $h(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ or $h(S) = S$ and S meets $p^{-1}(L)$ transversely.

In the first case, $p(S)$ is a 2-sphere in $B_0 - L_0$. Since $S^3 - L$ is irreducible by assumption, $p(S)$ bounds a 3-ball B in $B_0 - L_0$. But then B lifts to a 3-ball in X bounded by S , contradicting the essentiality of S .

In the second case, S must meet $p^{-1}(L)$ in two points. Then $p(S)$ is a 2-sphere in B_0 meeting L_0 in two points. Let B_1 be the 3-ball in B_0 bounded by $p(S)$, and let $L_1 = L \cap B_1$. Then in X , S bounds M_1 , the 2-fold branched cover of (B_1, L_1) . Since $M_1 \subset X \subset M(L') \cong \#_{n-1} S^1 \times S^2$, M_1 is homeomorphic to $\#_{k-1} S^1 \times S^2$ minus the interior of a 3-ball, where $k \leq n$. By Lemma 2 and the assumption that L is nonsplit, it follows that L_1 is an unknotted arc in B_1 , giving $M_1 \cong B^3$ and again contradicting the essentiality of S . \square

ADDED IN PROOF

After this paper was accepted for publication we learned that the theorem of the title is contained in Theorem 2 of [E-M]. So our paper should be regarded as giving a new proof of Eudave-Muñoz's result, in which the explicit combinatorial arguments of [E-M] are replaced by a reference to the main result of [GL2] (whose proof is based on more complicated combinatorial arguments). In the same way, our approach gives alternative proofs of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 of [E-M]. Eudave-Muñoz was also aware of the fact that the primality of unknotting number one knots follows from [GL1] (see [E-M, p. 775]).

REFERENCES

- [E-M] M. Eudave-Muñoz, *Primeness and sums of tangles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **306** (1988), 773-790.
- [GL1] C. McA. Gordon and J. Luecke, *Only integral Dehn surgeries can yield reducible manifolds*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **102** (1987), 97-101.
- [GL2] ———, *Reducible manifolds and Dehn surgery* (to appear).
- [KT] P. K. Kim and J. L. Tollefson, *Splitting the PL involutions of nonprime 3-manifolds*, Michigan Math. J. **27** (1980), 259-274.
- [L] W. B. R. Lickorish, *The unknotting number of a classical knot*, Contemp. Math., vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1985, pp. 117-121.
- [M] J. M. Montesinos, *Surgery on links and double branched coverings of S^3* , Ann. of Math. Stud., no. 84, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1975, pp. 227-259.

- [S] M. G. Scharlemann, *Unknotting number one knots are prime*, *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), 37–55.
- [W] F. Waldhausen, *Über Involutionen der 3-Sphäre*, *Topology* **8** (1969), 81–91.
- [Z] X. Zhang, *Unknotting number one knots are prime: a new proof*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113** (1991), 611–612.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712-1082

E-mail address: `gordon@math.utexas.edu`

E-mail address: `luecke@math.utexas.edu`