

ANTICOMMUTANT LIFTING AND ANTICOMMUTING DILATION

ZOLTÁN SEBESTYÉN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the anticommutant counterpart of the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş commutant lifting theorem and Ando's theorem on unitary dilation of a pair of commutative contractions. A dual extension theorem applies in the one-step procedure of our approach.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the best known results in dilation, extension, and interpolation theory is the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş commutant lifting theorem [8]. Ando's theorem [1] on commuting unitary dilation of a pair of commutative contractions is also a consequence of the theorem mentioned above [3, p. 321].

In Theorems 2 and 3 we prove the anticommutant counterparts of the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş commutant lifting theorem. Our proof includes both variants.

Our approach applies each step of the dual extension version of Parrott's theorem [3, Theorem 1], one of the neatest results in extension, dilation, and interpolation theory (see, e.g., [2, 4, 5]).

2. DUAL EXTENSION

We formulate here a dual extension version of Parrott's theorem on quotient norms [3, Theorem 1] in a different way than Foiaş and Tannenbaum did [2, Theorem 1] (see [4, Theorem] or [5, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 1. *Let K and K' be Hilbert spaces, $H \subset K$ and $H' \subset K'$ be subspaces, and $X: H \rightarrow K'$ and $X': H' \rightarrow K$ be given bounded linear transformations. Then there exists operator $Y: K \rightarrow K'$ extending X so that Y^* extends X' if and only if the following identity holds true:*

$$(Xh, h') = (h, X'h') \quad (h \in H, h' \in H').$$

Moreover Y can be of norm $\max\{\|X\|, \|X'\|\}$ possible at most.

Proof. Reduction to a selfadjoint norm-preserving extension theorem of Krein will be as follows. Given the symmetric operator $S: H \oplus H' \rightarrow K \oplus K'$ by

$$S(h; h') := (X'h'; Xh) \quad (h \in H, h' \in H')$$

Received by the editors October 28, 1991 and, in revised form, August 10, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A20; Secondary 93C05.

Key words and phrases. Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş commutant lifting, Parrott's theorem, Ando's theorem, dilation theory, one-step extension, anticommuting contractions and unitaries.

we see that $\|S\| = \max\{\|X\|, \|X'\|\}$. So S has selfadjoint extension \tilde{S} to $K \oplus K'$ such that $\|\tilde{S}\| = \|S\|$. Then $Y = P'\tilde{S}P^*$ is a solution of this dual extension problem with extremal (least) norm where P and P' are the natural projections of $K \oplus K'$ onto K and K' , respectively. The extension properties of Y are plain and the norm of Y not greater than $\|\tilde{S}\| = \max\{\|X\|, \|X'\|\}$ on one side but at least such otherwise.

3. (ANTI-)COMMUTANT LIFTING

Given a Hilbert space H , an operator S on H will be called a contraction if its norm ≤ 1 . And the operator X_0 on H belongs to the commutant or anticommutant of S if X_0 satisfies for $\mathcal{E} = 1$ or -1 , respectively,

$$(1) \quad SX_0 = \mathcal{E}X_0S.$$

Now let U be the minimal isometric dilation of S acting on a Hilbert space K , containing H as a subspace. We know [3, 8] that U^* extends S^* and $K = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n$ with $K_n = \bigvee_{k=0}^n U^k(H)$ (thus $K_0 = H$), moreover the orthogonal projections $P_n: K \rightarrow K_n$ satisfy $P_{n+1}U = UP_n$ for any natural n .

Let X_0 be an anticommutant or commutant operator of S . We lift X_0 to K in the minimal unitary dilation space.

Theorem 2. *Let S be a contraction and X_0 be an operator on the Hilbert space H . Assume identity (1). If U is the minimal isometric dilation of S acting on the Hilbert space K then there exists an operator $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ on K such that $X_{\mathcal{E}}^*$ extends X_0^* , $\|X_{\mathcal{E}}\| = \|X_0\|$ and*

$$(2) \quad UX_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}X_{\mathcal{E}}U.$$

Proof. At the first step we find $X_1: K_1 \rightarrow K_1$ with $X_1^*|_{K_0} = X_0^*$, $X_1|_{H_0} = \mathcal{E}UX_0U^*|_{H_0}$, where $H_0 = U(H)$, and such that $\|X_1\| = \|X_0\|$ and $\mathcal{E}UX_0P_0 = X_1P_1U$. To do this we should check the adjoining identity of Theorem 1: for $h, k \in H_0$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}UX_0U^*(Uh), k) &= \mathcal{E}(X_0h, U^*k) = \mathcal{E}(X_0h, S^*k) = \mathcal{E}(SX_0h, k) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \mathcal{E}^2(X_0Sh, k) = (P_0Sh, X_0^*k) = (Uh, X_0^*k). \end{aligned}$$

This solution then satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}UX_0P_0 = \mathcal{E}UX_0U^*(UP_0) = X_1(UP_0) = X_1P_1U.$$

The second step yields $X_2: K_2 \rightarrow K_2$ such that $X_2^*|_{K_1} = X_1^*$, $X_2|_{H_1} = \mathcal{E}UX_1U^*|_{H_1}$, where $H_1 = U(K_1)$, and moreover $\|X_2\| = \|X_1\|$ and $\mathcal{E}UX_1P_1 = X_2P_2U$. That the adjoining identity satisfies in this setting is less obvious:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}UX_1U^*(Uh), k) &= \mathcal{E}(P_1UX_1h, k) = \mathcal{E}(UP_0X_1h, k) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(h, X_1^*P_0U^*k) = \mathcal{E}(h, X_0^*P_0U^*k) \\ &= (\mathcal{E}UX_0P_0h, k) = (X_1P_1Uh, k) \\ &= (Uh, P_1X_1^*k) = (Uh, X_1^*k) \end{aligned}$$

holds indeed for any $h, k \in K_1$.

In the n th step we argue similarly to have $X_n: K_n \rightarrow K_n$ with $X_n^*|_{K_{n-1}} = X_{n-1}^*$, $X_n|_{H_{n-1}} = \mathcal{E}UX_{n-1}U^*|_{H_{n-1}}$, where $H_{n-1} = U(K_{n-1})$, and $\|X_n\| = \|X_{n-1}\|$, $\mathcal{E}UX_{n-1}P_{n-1} = X_nP_nU$.

The necessary change in checking is that one writes $n - 1$ and $n - 2$ instead of 1 and 0, respectively, used at the 2nd step. As a result of this process, thanks to the minimality of the dilation, we arrive at an operator $X_{\mathcal{E}}^*: K \rightarrow K$ as the extension of each of $\{X_n^*\}_{n=0}^\infty$, with norm $\|X_0\|$. Since then $X_n P_n$ converges to $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ in the strong operator topology, the identity $\mathcal{E} U X_{n-1} P_{n-1} = X_n P_n U$ gives the desired identity (2). Thus X_0 is lifted to a desired dilation $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ on K .

Theorem 3. *Let S be a contraction and X_0 be an operator on the Hilbert space H with identity (1). If U is the minimal unitary dilation of S acting on a Hilbert space K then there exists an operator $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ on K which is the dilation of X_0 , $\|X_{\mathcal{E}}\| = \|X_0\|$, and identity (2) also holds true.*

Proof. First let U_+ be the minimal isometric dilation of S acting on a Hilbert space K_+ that lies between H and K . An application of Theorem 2 gives a dilation $X_{\mathcal{E}_+}$ of X to K_+ with $\|X_{\mathcal{E}_+}\| = \|X\|$ and the corresponding identity holds:

$$(2_+) \quad U_+ X_{\mathcal{E}_+} = \mathcal{E} X_{\mathcal{E}_+} U_+.$$

Now, as U^* is the minimal isometric dilation of U_+ , identity (2₊) reads as follows:

$$U_+^* X_{\mathcal{E}_+}^* = \mathcal{E} X_{\mathcal{E}_+}^* U_+^*.$$

Another application of Theorem 2 yields an operator $X_{\mathcal{E}}^*$ on K that extends $X_{\mathcal{E}_+}^*$, $\|X_{\mathcal{E}}^*\| = \|X_{\mathcal{E}_+}^*\| = \|X_0\|$, and identity (2) satisfies. The proof is complete.

4. (ANTI-)COMMUTING DILATION

The situation changes to a pair of contractions S and T on a Hilbert space H , which satisfies

$$(3) \quad ST = \mathcal{E}TS, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{E} = -1 \text{ or } 1.$$

In other words S, T are (anti-)commuting operators if \mathcal{E} equals (-1) or 1 . Ando's theorem [1] ensures for the $\mathcal{E} = 1$ case a commuting pair of unitaries U, V on a larger Hilbert space so that U and V are dilations of S and T , respectively. Here we prove the similar statement for anticommuting contractions and unitaries as well.

Theorem 4. *Let S and T be (anti-)commuting contractions on a Hilbert space H . Then there exists an (anti-)commuting pair of unitaries U and V that are common unitary dilations of S and T , respectively.*

Proof. An application of Theorem 3 yields a dilation of the pair S, T , say, U_0, T_0 , respectively, acting on a Hilbert space K_0 so that U_0 is the minimal unitary dilation of S , and T_0 is a contractive dilation of T to K_0 with

$$U_0 T_0 = \mathcal{E} T_0 U_0.$$

Then let V be the minimal unitary dilation of T_0 that acts on a Hilbert space K . Our goal is to extend U_0 to a unitary operator U on K so that

$$UV = \mathcal{E} VU.$$

Due to the minimality assumption $K = \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^\infty V^n(K_0)$ the operator U has to be defined on $V^n k_0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_0 \in K_0$ as $\mathcal{E}^n V^n U_0 k_0$. Doing so, U is

seen to be an onto map. That U is isometric, hence unitary, follows by the identity (if $m \geq n$)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}^m V^m U_0 h_0, \mathcal{E}^n V^n U_0 k_0) &= \mathcal{E}^{m+n} (V^{m-n} U_0 h_0, U_0 k_0) \\ &= \mathcal{E}^{m+n} (T_0^{m-n} U_0 h_0, U_0 k_0) \\ &= \mathcal{E}^{m+n} \mathcal{E}^{m-n} (U_0 T_0^{m-n} h_0, U_0 k_0) \\ &= (T_0^{m-n} h_0, k_0) = (V^m h_0, V^n k_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $h_0, k_0 \in K_0$, are arbitrary. Remark that K_0 reduces U as well. Our final goal is to prove

$$S^m T^n = P_H U^m V^n|_H \quad (m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where P_H stands for the orthogonal projection of K to H . First we know that

$$S^m T^n = (P_H U_0^m|_H)(P_H T_0^n|_H) = P_H U_0^m T_0^n|_H,$$

as in the proof of [9, Theorem 6]. Hence we have that for $h_0, k_0 \in K_0$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} (U_0^m T_0^n h_0, k_0) &= (T_0^n h_0, U_0^{*m} k_0) = (V^n h_0, U_0^{*m} k_0) \\ &= (V^n h_0, U^{*m} k_0) = (U^m V^n h_0, k_0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the (anti-)commuting pair of unitaries U, V are common dilations of the pair of contractions S, T indeed.

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *On a pair of commutative contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **24** (1963), 88–90.
2. C. Foiaş and A. Tannenbaum, *A strong Parrott theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 777–784.
3. S. Parrott, *On the quotient norm and the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş lifting theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. **30** (1978), 311–328.
4. Z. Sebestyén, *A proof of Parrott's theorem on quotient norms*, Period Math. Hungar. **20** (1989), 85–87.
5. —, *Parrott's theorem versus strong Parrott theorem*, Semesterberichte Funktionalanalysis Tübingen, Wintersemester 89/90, pp. 227–229.
6. Z. Sebestyén and Á. Magyar, *Compact self-adjoint extensions of suboperators*, Linear Algebra Appl. (submitted).
7. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Dilation des commutants d'opérateurs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **266** (1968), 493–495.
8. —, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
9. R. G. Douglas, P. S. Muhly, and C. Pearcy, *Lifting commuting operators*, Michigan Math. J. **15** (1968), 385–395.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED ANALYSIS, EÖTVÖS UNIVERSITY, 1088 BUDAPEST, MÚZEUM KRT. 6–8, HUNGARY

E-mail address: sebestyenzol@ludens.elte.hu