

## ON THE DIMENSION OF CERTAIN TOTALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES

LEX G. OVERSTEEGEN AND E. D. TYMCHATYN

(Communicated by James E. West)

**ABSTRACT.** It is well known that there exist separable, metrizable, totally disconnected spaces of all dimensions. In this note we introduce the notion of an almost 0-dimensional space and prove that every such space is a totally disconnected subspace of an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree and, hence, at most 1-dimensional. As applications we prove that the spaces of homeomorphisms of the universal Menger continua are 1-dimensional and that hereditarily locally connected spaces have dimension at most two.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces are separable and metric. Let  $X$  be a space. The *quasicomponent* of a point  $x \in X$  is the intersection of all subsets of  $X$  which are both open and closed and which contain  $x$ . A space is *totally disconnected* provided each quasicomponent is a point. Compact, Hausdorff, totally disconnected spaces are 0-dimensional. However, it is well known that there exist even complete, separable, totally disconnected, metric spaces of all dimensions.

A space  $X$  is 0-dimensional (resp., *almost 0-dimensional*) provided there exists a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $X$  such that for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $X \setminus \bar{B}$  is a clopen set (resp.,  $X \setminus \bar{B}$  is a union of clopen sets). Note that each 0-dimensional space is almost 0-dimensional and that each almost 0-dimensional space is totally disconnected. The set of points in Hilbert space, all of whose coordinates are irrational, is an example of a 1-dimensional, almost 0-dimensional, totally disconnected space.

We prove that almost 0-dimensional separable metric spaces are embeddable in  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees and, hence, have dimension bounded above by one. We will give two applications of this result; other applications will appear in a later note.

First we show that the spaces of homeomorphisms of the universal Menger continua are 1-dimensional. This extends a well-known result of Brechner [4], who had shown that the dimension of these spaces is at least one. Next we provide a partial answer to a question of R. Duda (1964) by proving that the

---

Received by the editors October 27, 1992; presented at the 1989 Spring Topology Conference, Knoxville, TN, March 1989.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H20; Secondary 34C35, 58F13.

*Key words and phrases.* Totally disconnected, dimension,  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree, Menger continua, homeomorphism groups, hereditarily locally connected.

The second named author was supported in part by NSERC grant A5616.

dimension of a hereditarily locally connected, separable, metric space does not exceed two.

Let  $X$  be a metric space with a given metric  $d$ . We will denote by  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  the open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  around  $x$  and by  $\widehat{B}(x, \varepsilon)$  the closed ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  around  $x$ . We will call a set which is both open and closed, clopen. For basic results and definitions which are not given here the reader may consult Kuratowski [6] or Whyburn [15].

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

A space is said to be *arcwise connected* if each pair of its distinct points is contained in an arc in the space (i.e., a subset of the space which is homeomorphic to the closed unit interval). A space is *uniquely arcwise connected* if it is arcwise connected and if it does not contain a homeomorphic copy of the unit circle in the plane.

A space  $X$  is said to be an **R-tree** if it is connected, locally arcwise connected, and uniquely arcwise connected. It is known (see [10]) that a nondegenerate **R-tree** has dimension one. Every connected subset of an **R-tree** is an **R-tree**. A compact **R-tree** is called a dendrite. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be an *end-point* of  $X$  if  $x$  is an end-point of each arc in  $X$  which contains  $x$ . It is easy to see (see [10]) that the set of end-points of an **R-tree** is totally disconnected.

We shall prove that a space  $X$  is almost 0-dimensional if and only if  $X$  is homeomorphic to the set of end-points of some **R-tree**.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be almost 0-dimensional with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then for each  $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\overline{B} \cap \overline{C} = \emptyset$ , there exist disjoint clopen sets  $H$  and  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $X = H \cup K$ ,  $B \subset H$ , and  $C \subset K$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is almost 0-dimensional with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$  have disjoint closures. Then  $X \setminus \overline{B} = \bigcup H_n$  and  $X \setminus \overline{C} = \bigcup K_m$ , where  $\{H_n\}$  and  $\{K_m\}$  are families of pairwise disjoint clopen sets. Consider

$$G_n = H_n \setminus \bigcup \{K_1, \dots, K_n\},$$

and let  $F = \bigcup G_n$ . Then each  $G_n$  is clopen,  $C \subset F$ , and  $F \cap B = \emptyset$ . It remains to be shown that  $F$  is clopen. Since  $F$  is a union of open sets, it is clearly open. Hence suppose  $x \in \overline{F}$ . Suppose first that  $x \in \overline{C}$ . Then  $x \notin K_m$  for all  $m$  and  $x \in H_n$  for some  $n$ . Hence,  $x \in G_n \subset F$ . Assume next that  $x \notin \overline{C}$ . Then  $x \in K_m$  for some  $m$ . Since  $K_m$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  and  $G_n \cap K_m = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq m$ ,  $x \in \overline{\bigcup_{n < m} G_n}$ . Since each  $G_n$  is closed,  $x \in G_n$  for some  $n < m$  and  $x \in F$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be an almost 0-dimensional space. Then  $X$  embeds in (the set of end-points of) an **R-tree**. In particular,  $X$  is at most 1-dimensional.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be almost 0-dimensional with respect to the countable basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i\}$ . We will assume that  $X$  is a subset of  $Q \times \{0\} \subset Q \times I$ , where  $Q$  is the Hilbert cube with its usual metric  $d$  and  $I$  is the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

The main idea of the proof is as follows: We will construct a uniquely arc-connected subset  $R$  of  $Q \times I$  such that  $R \cap \pi^{-1}(0) = X$ , where  $\pi : Q \times I \rightarrow I$  is the natural projection. The space  $R$  is then given a finer topology which makes it an **R-tree** with  $X$  as a closed set of end-points.

Let  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{X\}$ ,  $x_{X,1} \in B_1$ ,  $X_1 = \{x_{X,1}\}$ ; and let  $T_1$  be the arc  $\{r\} \times I$  where  $x_{X,1} = (r, 0)$ . Then  $x_{X,1}$  is an end-point of  $T_1$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}_n \prec \mathcal{U}_{n-1} \prec \dots \prec \mathcal{U}_1$  are finite covers of  $X$  of minimum cardinality by pairwise disjoint clopen sets such that if  $1 \leq r < s \leq i \leq n$  and  $\overline{B_r} \cap \overline{B_s} = \emptyset$ , then no element of  $\mathcal{U}_i$  meets both  $B_r$  and  $B_s$ . Hence, each element  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  meets at least one of  $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ . Suppose  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots \subset X_n$  are subsets of  $X$  such that for each  $i \leq n$ ,  $X_i$  meets each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_i$  in exactly one point  $x_{U,i}$  and if  $x_{U,i} \in X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$ , then  $x_{U,i} \in B_j$  for some  $j \leq i$  such that  $\text{diam}(B_j)$  is minimal among  $\{B_k \mid k \leq i \text{ and } B_k \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ . Suppose  $T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \dots \subset T_n \subset Q \times I$  are finite trees such that for  $i \leq n$ ,  $X_i$  is a set of end-points of  $T_i$  and  $T_i \cap \pi^{-1}(0) = X_i$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{U}_i$  let  $K_{U,i}$  be a free arc in  $T_i$  (i.e.,  $K_{U,i}$  minus its end-points is an open set in  $T_i$ ) with end-point  $x_{U,i}$  and so  $K_{U,i} \cap K_{V,i} = \emptyset$  for  $U \neq V$  in  $\mathcal{U}_i$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $T_n$ , and  $X_n$  have been constructed. By Lemma 1, there is a finite cover  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  of  $X$  of minimal cardinality of pairwise disjoint open sets in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and such that if  $1 \leq r < n+1$  and  $\overline{B_r} \cap \overline{B_{n+1}} = \emptyset$ , then no element of  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  meets both  $B_r$  and  $B_{n+1}$ . If  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  and  $U \cap X_n = \emptyset$ , let  $x_{U,n+1} \in B_i \cap U$  where  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$  and  $B_i$  has minimal diameter among  $\{B_j \mid j \leq n+1 \text{ and } B_j \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ . If  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  and  $U \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$ , let  $W \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $U \subset W$  and let  $x_{U,n+1} = x_{W,n}$ . Let  $X_{n+1} = \{x_{U,n+1} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}\}$ .

Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $U \subset W$ . If  $U \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$ , let  $A_{U,n+1} = \emptyset$  and if  $U \cap X_n = \emptyset$ , let  $A_{U,n+1}$  be an irreducible piecewise linear arc from  $x_{U,n+1}$  to  $T_n$  such that  $\pi|_{A_{U,n+1}}$  is a homeomorphism,  $A_{U,n+1}$  meets  $K_{W,n}$ ,  $A_{U,n+1} \cap A_{V,n+1} = \emptyset$  for  $U \neq V$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ ,  $A_{U,n+1}$  is contained in the  $2^{-n-1}$  ball around the straight line segment  $[x_{U,n+1}, x_{W,n}]$  in  $Q \times I$  and the length of the arc  $A_{U,n+1}$  is less than  $d(x_{U,n+1}, x_{W,n}) + 2^{-n-1}$ . Let

$$T_{n+1} = T_n \cup \bigcup \{A_{U,n+1} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}\}.$$

By induction  $\mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $T_n$ , and  $X_n$  are constructed for each positive integer  $n$ . For  $y, z \in \bigcup T_n$  there is an integer  $m$  such that  $y, z \in T_m$ . Let  $[y, z]$  be the unique arc in  $T_m$  from  $y$  to  $z$ . Then  $[y, z]$  is well defined and  $X \cap [y, z] \subset \{y, z\}$ . Note that  $\bigcup T_n \setminus X$  is a locally finite simplicial complex which is a tree.

Let  $R = X \cup (\bigcup T_n)$ . We will first consider  $R$  with the subspace topology and prove that in this topology  $R$  is uniquely arcwise connected. Next we change the metric (and, hence, the topology) on  $R$  such that it becomes locally arc connected. Since this new topology coincides with the subspace topology on arcs and on  $X$ , we will have completed the argument.

Choose a point  $x \in X$ . We will first show that there exists an arc in  $R$  joining  $x$  to  $x_{X,1}$ . Next we will indicate how to modify the argument to show that given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $B$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that for each  $y \in B$ , there exists an arc  $A$  in  $R$  joining  $x$  to  $y$  such that  $\text{diam}(A) < \varepsilon$ .

For each  $n$  there exists a unique  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $x \in U_n$ . Put  $z_n = x_{U_n,n}$ . It suffices to show that  $\lim z_n = x$  since in that case the subtree  $T(x)$  spanned by  $\{x\} \cup \bigcup \{z_n\}$  is a dendrite and, hence, a locally connected continuum. Each pair of points of  $T(x)$  is contained in an arc in  $T(x)$  which meets  $X$  only in its end-points. Let  $\{B_{i_n}\}$  be a sequence of basic sets containing  $x$

such that  $\text{diam}(B_{i_n}) \leq 1/n$ ,  $B_{i_1} = X$ ,  $\overline{B_{i_n}} \subset B_{i_{n-1}}$ , and  $i_{n-1} < i_n$ .

It suffices to show that for each  $n$  there exists an integer  $a_n$  such that  $z_p \in B_{i_n}$  for each  $p \geq a_n$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Let  $a_1 = 1$ , and suppose  $a_{n-1}$  has been defined. Let  $m > n$  be a positive integer such that  $B(x, \frac{2}{m}) \subset B_{i_n}$ . If  $z_q \in B_{i_n}$  for each  $q \geq i_m + a_{n-1}$  let  $a_n = i_m + a_{n-1}$ . Otherwise, let  $q \geq a_{n-1} + i_m$  be smallest such that  $z_q \notin B_{i_n}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $X$ , there is an integer  $j \geq q$ , such that  $z_q \in B_j$ , and  $\overline{B_{i_m}} \cap \overline{B_j} = \phi$ . Then  $z_q \notin U_j$ . Let  $a_n$  be the smallest integer such that  $z_q \notin U_{a_n}$ . Then  $q < a_n \leq j$ . Then  $z_{a_{n-1}} = z_q$ . For  $p \geq a_n$   $z_p \in B_s$  for some  $s \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  such that  $\overline{B_s} \cap \overline{B_{i_m}} \neq \phi$  and  $\text{diam } B_s \leq \text{diam } B_{i_m} \leq \frac{1}{m}$  since  $x \in B_{i_m} \cap U_p$ . It follows that  $z_p \in B_{i_n}$ .

Let  $U = \bigcap \{B_j \mid j \leq a_n \text{ and } x \in B_j\}$ . Let  $y \in U \cap U_{a_n}$ . For each positive integer  $r$  let  $V_r$  be the member of  $\mathcal{Z}_r$  such that  $y \in V_r$  and let  $\{y_r\} = V_r \cap T_r$ . Then for  $p \leq a_n$ ,  $V_p = U_p$  and  $y_p = z_p$ . For  $p \geq a_n$ ,  $y_p \in B_{i_n}$  as above. The arc  $[y, y_{a_n}] = [y, z_{a_n}]$  has diameter less than  $\frac{2}{n} + 2^{-a_n+1}$  since it lies by construction very close to the ball  $B(x, \frac{1}{n})$  in  $Q$ . It follows that each pair of points  $y$  and  $z$  in  $U \cap U_{a_n}$  is contained in an arc  $[y, z] \subset (T(y) \cup T(z) \setminus X) \cup \{y, z\}$  of diameter  $< \frac{2}{n} + 2^{-a_n+2}$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ . Let  $k$  be the smallest integer such that  $x$  and  $y$  lie in disjoint members  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively, of  $\mathcal{Z}_k$ . Each point  $z$  of the nonempty set  $[x_{U,k}, x_{V,k}] \setminus (K_{U,k} \cup K_{V,k})$  separates  $X \cup T_k$  between  $U$  and  $V$ . By induction  $z$  separates  $X \cup T_{k+j}$  between  $U$  and  $V$  for each positive integer  $j$ . It follows that each pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $R$  is contained in a unique arc  $[x, y]$  in  $(R \setminus X) \cup \{x, y\}$ .

Consider the following metric<sup>1</sup> on  $R$ :

$$\rho(x, y) = \text{diam}([x, y]) \quad \text{for } x, y \in R.$$

Then  $\rho$  is clearly a metric which induces the same topology as the subspace topology on all arcs in  $R$  and on the subspace  $R \setminus X$ . Hence  $R$ , in the topology induced by  $\rho$ , is still uniquely arcwise connected. By definition it is locally arcwise connected in this topology and, hence, an **R**-tree. It remains to be shown that the topology induced on  $X$  by  $\rho$  coincides with the original topology (induced by the metric  $d$ ) on  $X$ . Since  $d(x, y) < \rho(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , for each sequence  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in the  $\rho$  metric  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in the  $d$  metric. Hence assume  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the above argument there exists a neighborhood  $B$  of  $x$  such that for each  $y \in B$ , there exists an arc of diameter  $< \varepsilon$  joining  $x$  to  $y$ . Hence  $\rho(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$  for each  $x_n \in B$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

### 3. APPLICATIONS

**Spaces of homeomorphisms.** For each pair of positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ ,  $n < k$ , Menger [11] has described an  $n$ -dimensional continuum  $M_k^n$  which is universal with respect to containing homeomorphic copies of every  $n$ -dimensional compactum which can be embedded in  $E^k$ . For any  $k > 0$ ,  $M_k^0$  is the Cantor set and  $M_2^1$  is the Sierpiński universal plane continuum, and for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $M_{2n+1}^n$

<sup>1</sup>Introduction of this metric simplified the original argument. We are indebted to John Mayer for this suggestion.

is the  $n$ -dimensional Menger universal continuum. Alternative constructions and related results are given in [3, 5, 7, 14]. Anderson [1] for  $n = 1$  and Bestvina [2] have provided elegant characterizations of the Menger universal continua and proved their homogeneity. Cannon [5] and Whyburn [15] characterized the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Sierpiński Continua  $M_n^{n-1}$ ,  $n \neq 4$ ; and Lewis announced that  $M_k^n$  is not homogeneous for  $n > 0$  and  $k < 2n + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_k^n$  denote the space of homeomorphisms of  $M_k^n$  with the sup metric  $d$ . Brechner [4] has essentially shown that  $\mathcal{H}_{2n+1}^n$  is at least 1-dimensional and totally disconnected. It was conjectured that this space is infinite dimensional. We will prove that it is almost 0-dimensional and, hence, 1-dimensional. We first establish the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space,  $x \in X$ , and let*

$$N = \{\varepsilon > 0 \mid \overline{B(x, \varepsilon)} \neq \widehat{B}(x, \varepsilon)\}.$$

*Then  $N$  is at most countable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i\}$  be a countable basis for  $X$ . Note that for each  $\varepsilon \in N$ , there exists a point  $x_\varepsilon \in \widehat{B}(x, \varepsilon)$  and a number  $\eta_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(x_\varepsilon, \eta_\varepsilon) = \emptyset.$$

For each  $\varepsilon \in N$ , choose a basic set  $B_{i_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x_\varepsilon \in B_{i_\varepsilon} \subset B(x_\varepsilon, \eta_\varepsilon)$ . If  $N$  is uncountable, then there exists an index  $n$  and  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$  in  $N$  such that  $i_{\varepsilon_1} = i_{\varepsilon_2} = n$ . Then  $x_{\varepsilon_1} \in B_n \subset B(x_{\varepsilon_2}, \eta_{\varepsilon_2})$ . This contradicts (1) and completes the proof of the lemma.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $D$  a dense set of points of  $X$ . Suppose that for each  $d \in D$  there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  set  $E_d$  of positive numbers such that for each  $\varepsilon \in E_d$ ,  $X \setminus \widehat{B}(d, \varepsilon)$  is a union of clopen sets. Then  $X$  is almost 0-dimensional.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3, for each  $d \in D$ , there exists a dense  $G_\delta$ ,  $F_d \subset E_d$ , such that  $\overline{B(d, \varepsilon)} = \widehat{B}(d, \varepsilon)$  for each  $\varepsilon \in F_d$ . Hence, the result follows immediately.

**Theorem 5.**  $\mathcal{H}_k^n$  is almost 0-dimensional (and, hence, at most 1-dimensional) for  $k \geq n + 1$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4, it suffices to show that the complement of each closed ball in  $\mathcal{H}_k^n$  is a union of clopen sets. Hence fix  $\mathcal{H}_k^n$  ( $k \geq n + 1$ ),  $g \in \mathcal{H}_k^n$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We shall show that for each  $h \in \mathcal{H}_k^n$  with  $d(h, g) > \varepsilon$ , there exists a clopen set  $U$  in  $\mathcal{H}_k^n$  containing  $h$  such that  $U \cap \widehat{B}(g, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $d(h, g) = \varepsilon + 4\delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $x \in M_k^n$  such that  $d(h, g) = d(h(x), g(x))$ . Choose a  $n$ -sphere  $S$  in  $M_k^n$  such that  $g(S) \subset B(g(x), \delta)$  and  $h(S) \subset B(h(x), \delta)$ . By Nagata [12], there exists a retraction  $r : M_k^n \rightarrow h(S)$  such that  $r(M_k^n \setminus B(h(x), 2\delta)) = \{\text{point}\}$ . Let  $U = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_k^n \mid r \circ f \mid S \neq *\}$ . Then  $U$  is clopen in  $\mathcal{H}_k^n$  since near maps into an ANR are homotopic. Also  $h \in U$  and for each  $f \in B(g, \varepsilon)$ ,  $f(S) \subset B(g(x), \varepsilon + \delta)$ , so  $r \circ f(S)$  is a point and  $f \notin U$ .

**Corollary 6.** *The set of homeomorphisms  $\mathcal{H}_k^s$  of  $M_k^s$  is 1-dimensional for  $(s, k) \in \{(n, 2n + 1) \mid n \geq 1\} \cup \{(n, n + 1) \mid 1 \leq n \neq 3\}$ .*

*Proof.* A space  $X$  is locally setwise homogeneous if there exists a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of connected open sets and a dense countable subset  $D \subset X$  such that for

any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and any two points  $x, y \in B \cap D$  there exists a homeomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $h(x) = y$  and  $h|_{X \setminus B} = \text{id}_{X \setminus B}$ . Brechner [4] has shown that the group of homeomorphisms of any locally setwise homogeneous continuum is at least 1-dimensional. Hence (cf. [4, 5, 2]),  $\mathcal{H}_k^s$  is at least 1-dimensional. By Theorems 5 and 2,  $\mathcal{H}_k^s$  is at most 1-dimensional and the result follows.

**Hereditarily locally connected spaces.** A space is said to be *hereditarily locally connected* if it is connected and if each of its connected subsets is locally connected. Clearly, each  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree is hereditarily locally connected. We shall prove that each hereditarily locally connected space  $X$  can be written as  $X = Y \cup C$  where  $Y$  is almost 0-dimensional and  $C$  is countable. It follows that the dimension of each hereditarily locally connected space does not exceed two. We recall two results from [13, p. 586 and p. 597] concerning hereditarily locally connected spaces.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $X$  is a hereditarily locally connected space and  $Y$  is a subset of  $X$ , then the components of  $Y$  are also the quasicomponents of  $Y$ .*

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X$  be a hereditarily locally connected space,  $T$  a totally disconnected subset of  $X$ , and  $Y$  a closed subset of  $X$ . Then the nondegenerate components of  $Y \cup T$  are the nondegenerate components of  $Y$ .*

The following corollary is of independent interest.

**Corollary 9.** *If  $Y$  is a totally disconnected subset of a hereditarily locally connected space  $X$ , then  $Y$  is almost 0-dimensional.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a basis of connected open sets for  $X$ . For each positive integer  $i$  let  $U_i = V_i \cap Y$ . Suppose  $\overline{V}_i \cap \overline{V}_j = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 8,  $\overline{V}_i$  and  $\overline{V}_j$  are components of  $Y \cup \overline{V}_i \cup \overline{V}_j$ . Hence,  $Y$  can be separated between  $U_i$  and  $U_j$  by Theorem 7.

It is known [13, p. 573] that there is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree with a 1-dimensional set  $E$  of end-points. By Corollary 9,  $E$  is almost 0-dimensional. The set of irrational sequences in the Hilbert space  $l_2$  and the set of end-points of the universal separable  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree [8] are other examples. (By a recent announcement of Fokkink and Oversteegen, see [9], these spaces are in fact homeomorphic.)

The following corollary follows immediately from Corollary 9 and Theorem 2.

**Corollary 10.** *A space  $X$  is almost 0-dimensional if and only if it can be embedded in the set of end-points of an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree.*

It is a classical result of Whyburn (see [15, p. 94]) that a compact hereditarily locally connected space  $X$  has a basis of open sets with countable boundaries and, hence, has dimension one. In [13, Theorem 2.4] Whyburn's theorem was improved by replacing compactness of  $X$  by semicolocal connectedness of  $X$ . (A space  $X$  is *semicolocally connected* if  $X$  has a basis of open sets  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that for each  $i$   $X \setminus V_i$  has finitely many components.) In [13, p. 593] it was shown (by constructing an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $T$  with a set of end-points which is *not* rim-countable) that semicolocal connectedness is necessary in that result. R. Duda posed the following (see [13, §5]):

**Problem 1.** If  $X$  is a separable, metric, nondegenerate, hereditarily locally connected space, is  $\dim(X) = 1$ ?

The following theorem provides a partial affirmative solution to Duda's problem.

**Theorem 11.** *If  $X$  is a separable, metric, hereditarily locally connected space, then  $X = Y \cup C$  where  $Y$  is almost 0-dimensional and  $C$  is countable. In particular,  $\dim(X) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* By [13, Theorem 2.3],  $X = Y \cup C$  where  $Y$  is a totally disconnected set and  $C$  is a countable set. By Corollary 9,  $Y$  is almost 0-dimensional. By Theorem 2,  $\dim(Y) \leq 1$  and, hence,

$$\dim(X) \leq \dim(Y) + \dim(C) + 1 \leq 1 + 0 + 1 = 2.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. D. Anderson, *A characterization of the universal curve and a proof of its homogeneity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 313–324.
2. Mladen Bestvina, *Characterizing  $k$ -dimensional universal Menger compacta*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 71, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
3. H. G. Bothe, *Universal mengen bzuglich der lage im  $E^n$* , Fund. Math. **56** (1964), 203–212.
4. Beverly Brechner, *On the dimension of certain spaces of homeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 516–548.
5. J. W. Cannon, *A positional characterization of the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Sierpiński curve in  $S^n$  ( $n \neq 4$ )*, Fund. Math. **79** (1973), 107–112.
6. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, II, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
7. S. Lefschetz, *On compact spaces*, Ann. of Math. **2** (1931), 521–538.
8. John C. Mayer, J. Nikiel, and L. G. Oversteegen, *Universal spaces for  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **334** (1992), 411–432.
9. John C. Mayer and L. G. Oversteegen, *Continuum theory*, Recent Progress in General Topology (M. Hušek and J. van Mill, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 453–492.
10. ———, *A topological characterization of  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320** (1990), 320–395.
11. K. Menger, *Kurventheorie*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1932.
12. J. Nagata, *Modern dimension theory*, Wiley (Interscience), Groningen, 1965.
13. Togo Nishiura and E. D. Tymchatyn, *Hereditarily locally connected spaces*, Houston J. Math. **2** (1976), 581–599.
14. B. A. Pasynkov, *Partial topological products*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. **13** (1965), 136–245; English transl., Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (1965), 153–271.
15. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1942.

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35294

*E-mail address:* overstee@math.uab.edu

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SASKATOON, SASKATOON, CANADA S7N 0W0

*E-mail address:* tymchat@snoopy.usask.ca