## SEN'S THEOREM ON ITERATION OF POWER SERIES

## JONATHAN LUBIN

(Communicated by Lance W. Small)

ABSTRACT. In the group of continuous automorphisms of the field of Laurent series in one variable over a field of characteristic p>0, Sen's Theorem describes the rapidity of convergence to the identity of the sequence formed by taking successive pth powers of a given element. This paper gives a short proof of Sen's Theorem, utilizing the methods of p-adic analysis in characteristic

The theorem in question appears in Sen's thesis [Sen], and is concerned with the group  $\mathcal{G}_{0,1}(\kappa)$  of formal power series in one variable with no constant term, and first degree coefficient equal to 1, over a field  $\kappa$  of characteristic p>0, where the group law is composition of series. If we call the variable t, this group is a closed subset of the discrete valuation ring  $\kappa[[t]]$ , namely, the set of all u(t) for which  $u\equiv t\pmod{t^2}$ . For the (t)-adic filtration of group  $\mathcal{G}_{0,1}$ , the successive quotients are isomorphic to the additive group  $\kappa$ . Thus if we call  $u^{\circ n}$  the n-fold iteration of u with itself, any time that  $u\equiv t\pmod{t^n}$ , we necessarily have  $u^{\circ p}\equiv t\pmod{t^{n+1}}$ . Sen's Theorem says much more and is best stated in terms of the additive valuation v of  $\kappa[[t]]$  normalized so that v(t)=1. According to the theorem, if  $u^{\circ p^n}$  is not the identity, then  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)\equiv v(u^{\circ p^{n-1}}(t)-t)\pmod{p^n}$ . Let us abbreviate notation by setting  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)\equiv v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$ . Sen's Theorem now says that if  $v^{\circ p^n}$  is not the identity, then  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)\equiv v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$ . Sen's Theorem now says that if  $v^{\circ p^n}$  is not the identity, then  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$  and  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$  is not the identity, then  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$  is not  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$  is not the identity, then  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$  is not  $v(u^{\circ p^n}(t)-t)$ 

As examples of this phenomenon, we have, in characteristic 2, if  $u(t) = t + t^4$ , then  $i_u(n) = 2^{2^{n+1}}$ ; if  $u(t) = t + t^4 + t^5$ , then  $i_u(n) = 2^{n+2}$ ; and if  $u(t) = t + t^3$ , then  $i_u(n) = 1 + 2^{n+1}$ . It is easy to see why the first two of these facts hold, since each of  $t + t^4$  and  $t + t^4 + t^5$  is an endomorphism of a formal group, and since in a formal-group endomorphism ring, the multiplication comes from substitution of power series. The first-mentioned series is an endomorphism of the additive formal group  $\mathscr{A}(x, y) = x + y$ , whose endomorphism ring has characteristic 2, and in that ring  $t + t^4$  is  $g = 1 + \phi$ ,  $\phi(t) = t^4$ . The powers  $g^{2^t}$  are

$$(1+\phi^{2^i})(t)=t+t^{4^{2^i}}.$$

Received by the editors September 29, 1992 and, in revised form, April 19, 1993. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 12J25; Secondary 11R37, 54H20.

The second-mentioned series is the endomorphism  $[5]_{\mathscr{M}}(t)$  of the multiplicative formal group  $\mathscr{M}(x,y)=x+y+xy$ , whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of 2-adic integers, and the iterates of [5](t) approach [1](t)=t in the manner claimed because of the congruences  $5^{2^n}\equiv 1\pmod{2^{n+2}}$ ,  $5^{2^n}\not\equiv 1\pmod{2^{n+2}}$ . To see why the 2-power iterates of the last-mentioned series  $t+t^3$  approach the identity in the manner claimed is rather more difficult, and for this the reader is referred to [K].

In this note we give a short proof of Sen's Theorem using the methods of p-adic analysis.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the field  $\kappa$  is perfect. The trick is to lift u in a particular way to a series U(x) in characteristic zero. (The choice of a complete discrete valuation ring  $\mathfrak o$  of characteristic zero to serve as constant ring for U is not crucial: the Witt ring  $W_\infty(\kappa)$  will do.) As usual in p-adic analysis, we pass from the original ground ring  $\mathfrak o$  to its integral closure  $\mathfrak D$  in an algebraic closure of the fraction field k of  $\mathfrak o$ . Of course,  $\mathfrak D$  is neither Noetherian nor complete, but every series considered will have its coefficients in a finite algebraic extension of k, in which the integer ring is complete and Noetherian. Call  $\mathfrak M$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak D$ . The number i(n) defined above is now the "Weierstrass degree" of the series  $U^{\mathfrak op^n}(t) - t$ , and i(n) is thus the number of fixed points in  $\mathfrak M$  of  $U^{\mathfrak op^n}$ , taking account of multiplicity. The idea is to choose the series U so that each periodic point of order dividing  $p^n$  has multiplicity at most one in every iterate of U. The existence of such a series will make a proof of Sen's Theorem easy. The note closes with a construction of the series U.

**Theorem.** Let o be a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic zero, maximal ideal m, and residue field  $\kappa$  of characteristic p>0. Let U(t) be a series in o[[t]] for which U(0)=0, and suppose that n is a positive integer such that  $U^{\circ p^n}(t) \not\equiv t \pmod{n}$  and all roots of  $U^{\circ p^n}(t) - t$  in  $\mathfrak M$  are simple. Then for all m with  $0 < m \le n$ ,  $i_U(m-1) \equiv i_U(m) \pmod{p^m}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $m \ge 1$  let  $Q_m(t)$  be defined by

$$Q_m(t) = \frac{U^{\circ p^m}(t) - t}{U^{\circ p^{m-1}}(t) - t}.$$

The quotient is a series in o[[t]] since for any series  $f \in o[[t]]$  with f(0) = 0 we have  $(f(t) - t)|(f^{\circ r}(t) - t)$ . Put  $Q_0(t) = U(t) - t$ . Our hypothesis on multiplicities says that no two of the series  $Q_0, Q_1, \ldots, Q_n$  have any roots in common. Thus the set of roots of  $Q_m$  in  $\mathfrak M$  is exactly the set of points of  $\mathfrak M$  that lie in an orbit of cardinality  $p^m$  under the action of U. Since, for  $m \ge 1$ , the Weierstrass degree of  $Q_m$  is  $i_U(m) - i_U(m-1)$ , the proof is done.

All the difficulty in Sen's Theorem is pushed into the construction of a lifting of the given  $u(t) \in \kappa[[t]]$  to a series  $U(t) \in \mathfrak{o}[[t]]$  of the desired form.

**Proposition.** Let  $\kappa$  be a field of characteristic p > 0, and let u be a series in  $\kappa[[t]]$  with  $u(t) \equiv t \pmod{t^2}$ . If n is an integer such that  $u^{\circ p^n}(t) \neq t$ , then there is a complete discrete valuation ring  $(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{m})$  of characteristic zero, such that  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{m}$  contains  $\kappa$ , and a lifting U of u to  $\mathfrak{o}[[t]]$ , such that all the roots of  $U^{\circ p^n}(t) - t$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$  are simple.

**Proof.** First we find any complete discrete valuation ring at all,  $(\mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ , whose residue field contains  $\kappa$ : the Witt ring of the perfect closure of  $\kappa$  will do. Lift u in any way to a series  $U_0 \in \mathfrak{o}_0[[t]]$  without constant term. Our strategy is to choose a ring  $(\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{m})$  that is the integer ring of a finite algebraic extension of the fraction field of  $\mathfrak{o}_0$  and modify  $U_0$  by adding a carefully chosen  $\Delta \in p^N \mathfrak{o}[[t]]$  so that  $U = U_0 + \Delta$  satisfies the desired conditions. We make frequent use of the continuity of the roots of a series over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , by which we mean that if  $\xi f(t) \in \mathfrak{o}[[\xi]][[t]]$  and if  $\rho \in \mathfrak{m}$  is a root of multiplicity  $\mu$  of  $\mathfrak{o}_0 f$ , then for all  $\alpha$  in a sufficiently high power of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , there are precisely  $\mu$  roots of  $\alpha f$ , counting multiplicity, that correspond to  $\rho$ . In particular, when f is varied slightly in a suitably small open set about  $\mathfrak{o}_0 f$ , the multiplicities of roots cannot increase.

We recall also that a fixed point  $\zeta$  of f(t) has multiplicity greater than 1 if and only if  $f'(\zeta) = 1$  and that  $\zeta$  will be a multiple root of  $f^{\circ r}(t) - t$  if and only if  $f'(\zeta)$  is an rth root of 1. The last tool used in the proof is the observation that if  $\Delta \in \mathfrak{o}[[t]]$  is a series that vanishes at all roots of  $U_0^{\circ p^n}(x) - x$  and if  $U = U_0 + \Delta$ , then every fixed point of  $U_0^{\circ p^n}$  is a fixed point of  $U^{\circ p^n}$ . We will modify the original  $U_0$  in this way by increments that successively decrease the multiplicity of each fixed point of  $U^{\circ p^n}$  to 1. Note that our modified series has only finitely many periodic points of order dividing  $p^n$  since  $u^{\circ p^n}(t) \neq t$ .

Now for the details: In case a fixed point  $\zeta$  of U itself is a fixed point of multiplicity greater than 1 in an iterate, we may assume (after perhaps making a finite extension of the base) that  $\zeta=0$ , so that  $U^{\circ p^n}(t)-t$  takes the form  $t^eG(t)$ , with  $G(0)\neq 0$  and e>1. The hypothesis on U is that U'(0)=w is a root of 1, so we set  $\xi U(t):=U(t)+\xi tG(t)$ , which, for small enough nonzero  $\xi$ , has  $\xi U'(0)\neq w$ , but so close to w that it cannot be a root of 1. Therefore, no iterate of the new series has a fixed point of multiplicity greater than 1 at 0.

A slightly more complicated situation is the one where  $\zeta$  is a periodic point of order  $p^r$ , with  $1 \le r \le n$ . Call  $\zeta_i := U^{\circ i}(\zeta)$ , so that  $\zeta_i \ne \zeta$  if  $0 < i < p^r$ . The hypothesis on  $\zeta$  implies that

$$U^{\circ p^n}(t) - t = G(t) \prod_{i=0}^{p^r-1} (t - \zeta_i)^{e_i},$$

where G is nonzero at all the  $\zeta$ 's and where  $e_0 > 1$ . We now set  $\Delta(t)$  equal to the series  $G(t)(t-\zeta)\prod_{i\neq 0}(t-\zeta_i)^2$  and set  $\xi U:=U+\xi \Delta$ . This has among its periodic points of order dividing  $p^n$  the corresponding periodic points of U, and since the hypothesis on  $\zeta$  implies that  $U^{\circ p'}(\zeta)=w$ , a root of 1, we will be done when we show that we can adjust  $\xi$  so that  $\xi U^{\circ p'}(\zeta)$  is so close to w that it cannot be a root of 1. We have

$$\begin{split} \xi U^{\circ p''}(\zeta) &= \prod_{i=0}^{p'-1} \xi U'(\xi U^{\circ i}(\zeta)) = \xi U'(\zeta) \prod_{i=1}^{p'-1} \xi U'(\zeta_i) \\ &= (U'(\zeta) + \xi \Delta'(\zeta)) \prod_{i=1}^{p'-1} U'(\zeta_i) = w + \xi \Delta'(\zeta) \prod_{i=1}^{p'-1} U'(\zeta_i) \,, \end{split}$$

and since we have constructed  $\Delta$  so that  $\Delta'(\zeta) \neq 0$ , the proof is done.

## REFERENCES

- [K] K. Keating, Automorphisms and extensions of k((t)), J. Number Theory 41 (1992), 314–321.
- [Sen] S. Sen, On automorphisms of local fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969), 33-46.

Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Box 1917, Providence, Rhode Island 02912

E-mail address: ma406000@brownvm.brown.edu