

## A RIM-METRIZABLE CONTINUUM

J. NIKIEL, L. B. TREYBIG, AND H. M. TUNCALI

(Communicated by Franklin D. Tall)

**ABSTRACT.** A locally connected rim-metrizable continuum is constructed which admits a continuous mapping onto a non rim-metrizable space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider Hausdorff spaces and continuous mappings only. By a *continuum* we mean a compact and connected space. An *arc* is a linearly ordered continuum. It is well known that each separable arc is homeomorphic to  $[0, 1]$ . Recall that a space  $Y$  is said to be *scattered* if each nonempty subset of  $Y$  has an isolated point. We shall say that a space  $X$  is *rim-metrizable* (resp. *rim-countable* or *rim-scattered*) if  $X$  has a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of open sets such that  $\text{bd}(U)$  is metrizable (resp. countable or scattered) for each  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ . It is well known that each compact and countable space is both scattered and metrizable. Hence, each rim-countable compact space is rim-metrizable and rim-scattered.

Of course, each 0-dimensional compact space is rim-metrizable. Therefore, it is interesting to investigate compact rim-metrizable spaces which are not 0-dimensional only. Then it is natural to restrict attention to continua. That restriction is not strong enough yet, and the most interesting problems arise when locally connected rim-metrizable continua are studied.

Our aim is to construct a continuum  $X$  whose existence proves the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *The continuous image of a locally connected and rim-metrizable continuum need not be a rim-metrizable space.*

Theorem 1 provides the negative answer to a 1987 question of E. D. Tymchatyn. The desired continuum  $X$  is constructed as the inverse limit of an  $\omega_1$ -long transfinite inverse sequence of copies of the square  $[0, 1]^2$  with carefully chosen bonding maps. The construction of  $X$  and proofs of its properties are given in Section 3, while Section 2 contains auxiliary results. Recall here

---

Received by the editors February 18, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54F15; Secondary 54B15, 54C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Continuum, locally connected, rim-metrizable, continuous image, inverse limit.

The third author was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

that [11] contains a rather simple example of a rim-metrizable continuum which is not locally connected and can be mapped onto a non-rim-metrizable space.

In 1967 S. Mardešić proved that each space which is a continuous image of an arc is rim-metrizable ([6], see also [7] and [5] for stronger results). Simple examples show that there exist locally connected rim-metrizable continua which are not continuous images of arcs. Rather complicated methods were employed in [8] in order to get a locally connected and rim-countable (whence: rim-metrizable) continuum which is the continuous image of no arc. Many nice results about rim-metrizable spaces were obtained in [9], [10] and [11]. In particular, the following Theorem 2 is related to our Theorem 1:

**Theorem 2** [11, Theorem 3.5]. *If  $Y$  is a locally connected continuum which is the image of a rim-metrizable continuum under a pseudo-confluent mapping, then  $Y$  is rim-metrizable.*

**Theorem 3** [11, Theorem 2.8]. *A product  $X \times Y$  of compact spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is rim-metrizable if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (a) *both  $X$  and  $Y$  are metrizable;*
- (b) *both  $X$  and  $Y$  are 0-dimensional;*
- (c) *one of  $X$  and  $Y$  is rim-metrizable and the other is metrizable and zero-dimensional.*

Since rim-metrizability is a hereditary property, Theorem 3 yields the following Lemma 1 which will be needed later.

**Lemma 1.** *If a space  $Z$  contains a subset homeomorphic to the product  $X \times [0, 1]$  of a non-metrizable compact space  $X$  and  $[0, 1]$ , then  $Z$  is not rim-metrizable.*

The reader is referred to [2] for general and well-known facts on inverse systems and their limits. More special properties of inverse limit spaces will be provided with appropriate references.

## 2. AUXILIARY CONSTRUCTIONS

Let  $C$  be a copy of the Cantor set in the open interval  $]0, 1[$ . We shall consider  $C$  with its linear ordering inherited from  $]0, 1[$ .

Let  $Z$  be a subset of  $[0, 1]^2$  such that  $Z$  is homeomorphic to  $C \times [0, 1]$ . Let  $B = (\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1]) \cup ([0, 1] \times \{0, 1\})$  denote the boundary of the square  $[0, 1]^2$ . We shall say that  $Z$  is *well-placed* if  $K \cap B$  consists of exactly two points which are the end points of  $K$ , for each component  $K$  of  $Z$ .

Now, let  $Z$  be a well-placed copy of  $C \times [0, 1]$  in  $[0, 1]^2$ . Then  $[0, 1]^2 - K$  has exactly two components, for each component  $K$  of  $Z$ . Let  $h: Z \rightarrow C \times [0, 1]$  be a homeomorphism and, for each component  $K$  of  $Z$ , let  $c_K \in C$  be such that  $h(K) = \{c_K\} \times [0, 1]$ . We shall say that  $h$  is a *placement homeomorphism* if  $h(Z \cap M) = \{c \in C : c < c_K\} \times [0, 1]$  or  $h(Z \cap M) = \{c \in C : c > c_K\} \times [0, 1]$  for each component  $K$  of  $Z$  and each component  $M$  of  $[0, 1]^2 - K$ .

We omit proofs of the following two lemmas. Lemma 2 is quite trivial, and proofs of results similar to Lemma 3 can be found in [3].

**Lemma 2.** *If  $Z$  is a well-placed copy of  $C \times [0, 1]$  in  $[0, 1]^2$ , then there exists a placement homeomorphism  $h: Z \rightarrow C \times [0, 1]$ .*

**Lemma 3.** *If  $Z$  is a well-placed copy of  $C \times [0, 1]$  in  $[0, 1]^2$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow C \times [0, 1]$  is a placement homeomorphism, then  $h$  can be extended to a homeomorphism  $H: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$ .*

Let  $A$  be a subset of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  of  $]0, 1[$  such that the complement of  $A$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$  (the latter assumption is going to simplify some arguments in the next section). Let  $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be an enumeration of  $A$ .

Let  $L = ([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times [0, 1])$  and order  $L$  lexicographically; i.e., let  $\langle x, y \rangle < \langle x', y' \rangle$  if either  $x < x'$  or  $x = x'$  and  $y < y'$ . Then  $<$  is a linear ordering on  $L$ . We consider  $L$  with its order topology introduced by the subbasis of intervals of the form  $\{u : u < v\}$  or  $\{u : v < u\}$ ,  $v \in L - \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle\}$ , for open sets. Then  $L$  is an ordered continuum ( $\equiv$  a Hausdorff arc) which is nonseparable and, hence, nonmetrizable.

Define  $r: L \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $r(\langle x, y \rangle) = x$ . Then  $r$  is a continuous onto map and  $r^{-1}(x)$  is a nondegenerate closed sub-arc of  $L$  for each  $x \in A$ . For every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  let  $I_\alpha = r^{-1}(a_\alpha)$ . Hence, each  $I_\alpha$  is a copy of  $[0, 1]$  and  $I_\alpha \cap I_{\alpha'} = \emptyset$  if  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ .

For  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$  denote the decomposition of  $L$  into the arcs  $I_\beta$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ , and points. Since each decomposition of an arc into sub-arcs and points is upper semicontinuous, the quotient space  $L_\alpha = L/\mathcal{G}_\alpha$  is a Hausdorff arc again. Let  $r_\alpha: L \rightarrow L_\alpha$  denote the quotient map.

Observe that  $L_0 = [0, 1]$  and  $r_0 = r$ . Also, each arc  $L_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , is separable and therefore homeomorphic to  $[0, 1]$ .

Now, suppose that  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma < \omega_1$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_\beta$  refines  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ . Hence, there is the unique  $r_\alpha^\beta: L_\beta \rightarrow L_\alpha$  such that  $r_\alpha = r_\alpha^\beta \circ r_\beta$ . Clearly,  $r_\alpha^\beta$  is continuous, monotone, and onto. Moreover,  $r_\alpha^\gamma = r_\alpha^\beta \circ r_\beta^\gamma$ . Thus, we obtain an inverse system  $\mathcal{R} = (L_\alpha, r_\alpha^\beta, \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1)$  of metrizable arcs  $L_\alpha$  with monotone onto bounding maps  $r_\alpha^\beta$ .

Note that  $L$  is canonically homeomorphic to  $\lim \text{inv } \mathcal{R}$ . Furthermore, if  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal number with  $\lambda < \omega_1$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_\lambda = (L_\alpha, r_\alpha^\beta, \alpha \leq \beta < \lambda)$  is an inverse system such that  $L_\lambda$  is canonically homeomorphic to  $\lim \text{inv } \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ . We identify  $L$  with  $\lim \text{inv } \mathcal{R}$  and each  $L_\lambda$  with  $\lim \text{inv } \mathcal{R}_\lambda$ .

It is convenient to introduce the following notation. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  let  $s_\alpha = \text{id}_C \times r_\alpha$  denote the product map of  $C \times L$  onto  $C \times L_\alpha$ , i.e.,  $s_\alpha(c, u) = (c, r_\alpha(u))$  for all  $c \in C$  and  $u \in L$ . Furthermore, for every  $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ , let  $s_\alpha^\beta = \text{id}_C \times r_\alpha^\beta: C \times L_\beta \rightarrow C \times L_\alpha$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{S} = (C \times L_\alpha, s_\alpha^\beta, \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1)$  is an inverse system such that  $\lim \text{inv } \mathcal{S} = C \times L$ .

### 3. THE MAIN RESULT

We are going to apply transfinite induction to construct spaces  $X_\alpha$  and  $Z_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , and mappings  $t_\alpha^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ , and  $h_\alpha: C \times L_\alpha \rightarrow Z_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , such that the following properties (1)–(6) are satisfied for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma < \omega_1$ :

- (1)  $X_\alpha = [0, 1]^2$ ;
- (2)  $Z_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$  and  $Z_\alpha = C \times [0, 1]$ ;
- (3)  $h_\alpha$  is a homeomorphism of  $C \times L_\alpha$  onto  $Z_\alpha = C \times [0, 1]$  such that the first coordinate of each point  $h_\alpha(c, u)$  is  $c$ ;
- (4)  $t_\alpha^\beta(Z_\beta) = Z_\alpha$  and  $(t_\alpha^\beta|_{Z_\beta}) \circ h_\beta = h_\alpha \circ s_\alpha^\beta$ ;

- (5)  $t_\alpha^\beta(X_\beta - Z_\beta) = X_\alpha - Z_\alpha$  and  $t_\alpha^\beta|_{X_\beta - Z_\beta} : X_\beta - Z_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha - Z_\alpha$  is a homeomorphism;  
 (6)  $t_\alpha^\alpha = \text{id}_{X_\alpha}$  and  $t_\alpha^\gamma = t_\alpha^\beta \circ t_\beta^\gamma$ .

Let  $X_0 = [0, 1]^2$ ,  $Z_0 = C \times [0, 1] = C \times L_0$ , and  $h_0 = \text{id}_{Z_0}$ .

Suppose that for some ordinal number  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < \omega_1$ , the required spaces  $X_\alpha$  and  $Z_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \delta$ , and mappings  $t_\alpha^\beta$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta < \delta$ , and  $h_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , are already constructed.

First, consider the case when  $\delta = \varepsilon + 1$ . Let  $X_\delta = [0, 1]^2$  and  $Z_\delta = C \times [0, 1] \subset X_\delta$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the decomposition of  $X_\delta$  into the arcs  $\{c\} \times [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$ ,  $c \in C$ , and points. Also, let  $f: X_\delta \rightarrow X_\delta/\mathcal{F}$  denote the quotient map. Since  $X_\delta/\mathcal{F}$  is homeomorphic to the square, we may let  $i: X_\delta/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  be a homeomorphism. Clearly,  $i(f(Z_\delta))$  is a well-placed copy of  $C \times [0, 1]$ .

Recall that there is exactly one point in  $L_\varepsilon$  whose pre-image under  $r_\varepsilon^\delta$  is nondegenerate, and this point is not an end point of  $L_\varepsilon$ . Furthermore,  $s_\varepsilon^\delta = \text{id}_C \times r_\varepsilon^\delta$ . Therefore, there exist homeomorphisms  $h_\delta: C \times L_\delta \rightarrow Z_\delta$  and  $j: i(f(Z_\delta)) \rightarrow Z_\varepsilon$  such that the first coordinate of each point  $h_\delta(c, u)$  is  $c$  and  $h_\varepsilon \circ s_\varepsilon^\delta = j \circ i \circ f \circ h_\delta$ . Clearly,  $j$  must be a placement homeomorphism. By Lemma 3, there exists a homeomorphism  $J: i(f(X_\delta)) = [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow X_\varepsilon = [0, 1]^2$  which extends  $j$ . It suffices to let  $t_\varepsilon^\delta = J \circ i \circ f$  and  $t_\alpha^\delta = t_\alpha^\varepsilon \circ t_\varepsilon^\delta$  for each  $\alpha \leq \varepsilon$ .

Now, consider the case when  $\delta$  is a limit ordinal number,  $0 < \delta < \omega_1$ . By the inductive assumptions (6) and (4),  $(X_\alpha, t_\alpha^\beta, \alpha \leq \beta < \delta)$  and  $(Z_\alpha, t_\alpha^\beta|_{Z_\beta}, \alpha \leq \beta < \delta)$  are well-defined inverse systems. Let  $X'_\delta$  and  $Z'_\delta$  denote their inverse limits, respectively. Then  $Z'_\delta \subset X'_\delta$ . Also, let  $\pi_\alpha: X'_\delta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \delta$ , denote the natural projections and let  $h'_\delta: C \times L_\delta \rightarrow Z'_\delta$  be the homeomorphism induced by the homeomorphisms  $h_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \delta$ .

For any sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of ordinals which increases to  $\delta$ , there exists the natural homeomorphism of  $X'_\delta$  onto the inverse limit space  $\lim \text{inv}(X_{\varepsilon_n}, t_{\varepsilon_n}^{\varepsilon_{n+1}})$  of the inverse sequence  $(X_{\varepsilon_n}, t_{\varepsilon_n}^{\varepsilon_{n+1}})$ . Since the spaces  $X_{\varepsilon_n}$  are copies of the square and all the maps  $t_{\varepsilon_n}^{\varepsilon_{n+1}}$  are monotone,  $X'_\delta$  is homeomorphic to the square (see [1, Theorem 4 and the Corollary following it on p. 482]). Let  $i: X'_\delta \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  be a homeomorphism. We already know that  $Z'_\delta$  is homeomorphic to  $C \times L_\delta$  and so to  $C \times [0, 1]$ . A simple direct proof shows that  $i(Z'_\delta)$  is a well-placed copy of  $C \times [0, 1]$ . Hence there exists a homeomorphism  $j: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$  such that  $j(i(Z'_\delta)) = C \times [0, 1]$  and the first coordinate of  $j \circ i \circ h'_\delta(c, u)$  is  $c$  for each  $(c, u) \in C \times L_\delta$ .

It suffices to let  $X_\delta = j(i(X'_\delta)) = [0, 1]^2$ ,  $Z_\delta = j(i(Z'_\delta)) = C \times [0, 1]$ ,  $h_\delta = j \circ i \circ h'_\delta: C \times L_\delta \rightarrow Z_\delta$ , and  $t_\alpha^\delta = \pi_\alpha \circ i^{-1} \circ j^{-1}: X_\delta \rightarrow X_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha < \delta$ . This concludes the inductive construction.

By (6),  $(X_\alpha, t_\alpha^\beta, \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1)$  is an inverse system. We let  $X$  denote its inverse limit. Then  $X$  is a continuum as the inverse limit of continua. Since all the factor spaces  $X_\alpha$  are locally connected continua and all the bonding maps  $t_\alpha^\beta$  are monotone and onto,  $X$  is a locally connected continuum (see, e.g., [4]). Thus we have the following properties of  $X$ :

*Claim 1.*  $X$  is a locally connected continuum.

Now, let us prove two more special properties of  $X$ .

*Claim 2.*  $X$  is rim-metrizable.

Let  $t_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , denote the projections. If  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  is a basis of  $X_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , then the collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of all sets  $t_\alpha^{-1}(U)$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , is a basis of  $X$ . For each  $\alpha$ , we are going to find a basis  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  of  $X_\alpha$  such that if  $U \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ , then  $t_\alpha^{-1}(U)$  has metrizable boundary. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  as above will be a basis of  $X$  which consists of open sets with metrizable boundaries.

Let  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Let  $P_\alpha = \{x \in X_\alpha: t_\alpha^{-1}(x) \text{ is nondegenerate}\}$ . If  $x \in P_\alpha$ , then  $x \in Z_\alpha$  and  $s_\alpha^{-1}(h_\alpha^{-1}(x))$  is nondegenerate, because  $s_\alpha^{-1}(h_\alpha^{-1}(x)) = I_\beta$  for some  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ . It follows that  $h_\alpha^{-1}(P_\alpha)$  is a subset of  $C \times L_\alpha$  which is contained in  $C \times M_\alpha$ , where  $M_\alpha$  is the set of all points  $(r_0^\alpha)^{-1}(a_\beta)$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ . Since  $[0, 1] - A$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , the set  $M_\alpha$  is 0-dimensional. By Lemma 3, we may assume that  $P_\alpha \subset C \times N_\alpha$  for some 0-dimensional subset  $N_\alpha$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  be a countable basis of  $X_\alpha = [0, 1]^2$  such that  $\text{bd}(U) \cap (C \times N_\alpha) = \emptyset$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ , and observe that  $\text{bd}(t_\alpha^{-1}(U)) = t_\alpha^{-1}(\text{bd}(U))$  and  $t_\alpha$  is one-to-one on the set  $\text{bd}(t_\alpha^{-1}(U))$ . Hence,  $t_\alpha$  maps  $\text{bd}(t_\alpha^{-1}(U))$  homeomorphically onto  $\text{bd}(U) \subset [0, 1]^2$ , and so  $\text{bd}(t_\alpha^{-1}(U))$  is metrizable. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

*Claim 3.*  $X$  can be mapped onto a space which is not rim-metrizable.

Let

$$Z = \lim \text{inv}(Z_\alpha, t_\alpha^\beta|_{Z_\beta}, \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1) = \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} t_\alpha^{-1}(Z_\alpha) \subset X.$$

The homeomorphisms  $h_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , induce the homeomorphism  $h: C \times L \rightarrow Z$ .

Let  $f$  be any mapping of the Cantor set  $C$  onto  $[0, 1]$ . Define  $g: C \times L \rightarrow [0, 1] \times L$  by  $g(c, u) = (f(c), u)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the decomposition of  $X$  into the sets  $h(g^{-1}(w))$ ,  $w \in [0, 1] \times L$ , and points. Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is upper semicontinuous, whence the quotient space  $X/\mathcal{G}$  is Hausdorff. Observe that  $X/\mathcal{G}$  contains a subset homeomorphic to  $[0, 1] \times L$ . Since  $L$  is non-metrizable,  $X/\mathcal{G}$  is not rim-metrizable, by Lemma 1.

#### 4. REMARKS

1. The spaces  $X$  and  $Z$  were constructed by means of monotone mappings and inverse limits. However, the decomposition  $\mathcal{G}$  in the proof of Claim 3 is not monotone. Furthermore, the construction cannot be modified to provide a monotone decomposition  $\mathcal{G}'$  with all the required properties. Indeed, by Theorem 2, a monotone image of a locally connected rim-metrizable continuum is rim-metrizable again.

2. Let  $M$  be a subset of  $X$  which consists of all points  $y$  such that either  $y = f_0^{-1}(x)$  for some  $x \in ]0, 1[ - (C \times [0, 1])$  or  $y = h(c, u)$  for some  $c \in C$  and  $u \in L$  such that  $u \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} I_\alpha = r^{-1}(A)$  and  $u$  is not an end point of any  $I_\alpha$ . One can show that  $M$  is a Hausdorff 2-manifold, i.e., each point of  $M$  has an open neighborhood homeomorphic to  $]0, 1]^2$ . Also,  $M$  is a  $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space which is not normal.

3. The space  $X$  we constructed above is 2-dimensional. It contains a closed subspace  $Y$  such that  $Y$  is a locally connected curve which has a basis of open sets with metrizable 0-dimensional boundaries and yet  $Z \subset Y$ , whence continuous images of  $Y$  need not be rim-metrizable.

In fact, let  $S$  be a copy of the Sierpiński universal plane curve such that  $C \times [0, 1] \subset S \subset [0, 1]^2 = X_0$  and let  $Y = t_0^{-1}(S)$ . By [12], it easily follows that  $(t_0^\alpha)^{-1}(S)$  is a copy of  $S$  contained in  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . A modification of the proof of Claim 2 provides a basis of  $Y$  as needed.

4. Continuous images of rim-countable continua and locally connected rim-scattered continua were considered in [10], where it was proved that they can contain no subset homeomorphic to the product of a nonmetric compact space and a perfect set. It would be interesting to know if continuous images of locally connected rim-countable continua must be rim-metrizable. Also, it is unknown if one can find a perfectly normal space which is not rim-metrizable and is the continuous image of a locally connected rim-metrizable continuum. Some other questions concerning rim-properties of continua can be found in [8, p. 85]. In particular, it is asked there (see also [7]) if a locally connected rim-scattered continuum must be rim-countable.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Brown, *Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 478–483.
2. R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977.
3. R. J. Fokkink and L. G. Oversteegen, *The geometry of laminations* (in preparation).
4. G. R. Gordh, Jr., and S. Mardešić, *Characterizing local connectedness in inverse limits*, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975), 411–417.
5. J. Grispolakis, J. Nikiel, J. N. Simone, and E. D. Tymchatyn, *Separators in continuous images of ordered continua and hereditarily locally connected continua*, Canad. Math. Bull. (to appear).
6. S. Mardešić, *Images of ordered compacta are locally peripherally metric*, Pacific J. Math. **23** (1967), 557–568.
7. J. Nikiel, H. M. Tuncali, and E. D. Tymchatyn, *On the rim-structure of continuous images of ordered compacta*, Pacific J. Math. **149** (1991), 145–155.
8. ———, *A locally connected rim-countable continuum which is the continuous image of no arc*, Topology Appl. **42** (1991), 83–93.
9. H. M. Tuncali, *Some generalizations of the Hahn-Mazurkiewicz theorem*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, 1989.
10. ———, *Analogues of Treybig's product theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 855–858.
11. ———, *Concerning continuous images of rim-metrizable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 461–470.
12. G. T. Whyburn, *Topological characterization of the Sierpiński curve*, Fund. Math. **45** (1958), 320–324.

(J. Nikiel) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT, BEIRUT, LEBANON

*E-mail address:* nikiel@layla.aub.ac.lb

(L. B. Treybig) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843-3368

*E-mail address:* treybig@math.tamu.edu

(H. M. Tuncali) COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, NORTH BAY, ONTARIO, CANADA P1B 8L7

*E-mail address:* muratt@einstein.unipissing.ca