

UNIFORM HOMEOMORPHISMS BETWEEN THE UNIT BALLS IN L_p AND l_p

GUN-MARIE LÖVBLOM

(Communicated by William J. Davis)

ABSTRACT. Let $T: B(L_p) \rightarrow B(l_p)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, be a uniform homeomorphism with modulus of continuity δ_T . It is shown that for any γ , $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{2-p}{2p}$, there exists $K > 0$ and a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $\delta_T^{-1}(\delta_T(\varepsilon_n)) \geq K\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^\gamma$ for all ε_n .

It was proved by Mazur [6] that for $p, q \geq 1$ the spaces L_p and L_q , l_p and l_q are homeomorphic. From this work it also follows that the unit balls $B(L_p)$ and $B(L_q)$, $B(l_p)$ and $B(l_q)$ are uniformly homeomorphic. However, in Lindenstrauss [4] and Enflo [2] the nonexistence of a uniform homeomorphism between L_p and L_q was established. Enflo also proved that L_1 and l_1 are not uniformly homeomorphic (see [3, pp. 30–32]), and in [1] this result is generalized by Bourgain to the case $1 \leq p < 2$. From the argument used in [1] and [3] it also follows that the unit balls in L_p and l_p are not Lipschitz equivalent. This was also known before (see [3, p. 27]).

In order to get more quantitative information about uniform homeomorphisms between L_p and l_p we study the *modulus of continuity*, $\delta_T(\varepsilon)$, defined by

$$\delta_T(\varepsilon) = \sup\{\|T(x_1) - T(x_2)\| : \|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

In [5] it was proved by the author that for $p = 1$ there is a sequence (ε_n) with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and a $K > 0$ such that

$$(*) \quad \delta_{T^{-1}}(\delta_T(\varepsilon_n)) \geq K\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n| \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon_n.$$

In this paper we will give a similar result for the case $1 \leq p < 2$. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 1. Let $T: B(L_p) \rightarrow B(l_p)$, $1 \leq p < 2$, be a uniform homeomorphism, and let γ be any number satisfying $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{2-p}{2p}$. Then there exist a $K > 0$ and a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$(**) \quad \delta_{T^{-1}}(\delta_T(\varepsilon_n)) \geq K\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^\gamma \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon_n.$$

The main idea of the proof of (*) was to construct a noncompact set of well-separated metric midpoints in L_1 . These points are mapped on “almost

Received by the editors September 22, 1989 and, in revised form, May 3, 1993.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B25.

metric midpoints" in l_1 , and by a simple compactness argument we can find well-separated points in L_1 such that the distance between their images is much smaller. To prove Theorem 1 we just modify the ideas used in proof of (*). Instead of using well-separated metric midpoints we use well-separated "almost metric midpoints" in L_p . For the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following lemma. Using our method we get the logarithmic estimate. We do not know what is the best estimate.

Lemma 1. *Let α, γ be any numbers with $0 \leq \alpha, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta_T(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\gamma} = 0$, then there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that*

$$\delta_T \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} (1 + \log 2 |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-1}) \delta_T(\varepsilon)$$

for all ε_n .

In the proofs of Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 the following properties of $\delta_T(\varepsilon)$ will be used frequently. The proofs are simple and will be omitted.

- (a) There exists a $K > 0$ such that $\delta_T(\varepsilon) \geq K\varepsilon$ for all ε .
- (b) For every integer N we have $\delta_T(\frac{\varepsilon}{N}) \geq \frac{1}{N} \delta_T(\varepsilon)$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $\delta_T(\varepsilon) = K(\varepsilon)\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\gamma$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$(I) \quad K \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right) \leq K(\varepsilon_n).$$

To see this we assume the contrary. Then there exists ε_0 such that for all ε , $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, we have $K(\varepsilon) < K \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right)$. Let $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$, and let $\varepsilon_n = \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_{n-1}|^{-2\alpha}}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then we get $0 < K(\varepsilon_1) < K(\varepsilon_2) < K(\varepsilon_3) < \dots < K(\varepsilon_N)$. This gives a contradiction since, by assumption, $K(\varepsilon_n) \rightarrow 0$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Now let $\{\varepsilon_n\}$, $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, be a sequence satisfying (I) and let $s = \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}}$. Since $\gamma \leq 1$, we get $(|\log \varepsilon_n| + \log 2)^\gamma \leq |\log \varepsilon_n|^\gamma (1 + \log 2 |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-1})$. From this inequality and by (I) we obtain for ε_n small enough

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_T(\varepsilon_n s_n / 2) &\leq \varepsilon_n s_n K(\varepsilon_n) |\log \varepsilon_n s_n / 2|^\gamma / 2 \leq \varepsilon_n s_n K(\varepsilon_n) |\log \varepsilon_n / 2|^\gamma / 2 \\ &\leq \delta_T(\varepsilon_n) s_n (1 + \log 2 |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since L_p contains l_2 isometrically, it is enough to prove the theorem for T a uniform homeomorphism from $B(l_2)$ into $B(l_p)$. Given γ , $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{2-p}{2p}$, we let α be any number satisfying $\frac{p}{2-p} \gamma < \alpha < \frac{1}{p} - \gamma$.

We first assume that for some $K_1 > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $\delta_T(\varepsilon_n) \geq K_1 \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^\gamma$ for all ε_n . Since we always can find $K_2 > 0$ such that $\delta_{T^{-1}}(\varepsilon) \geq K_2 \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the theorem follows trivially for this case. Now, if we cannot find such a sequence for any $K_1 > 0$, then we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta_T(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^\gamma} = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1, we can find a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ satisfying the inequality (I).

Let $K_1 > 0$ and $K(\varepsilon)$ be such that $K_1\varepsilon \leq \delta_T(\varepsilon) = K(\varepsilon)\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|^\gamma \forall \varepsilon \leq 1$. Let ε_n be in the sequence, and let r be such that

$$0 < r < 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} (1 + \log 2 |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-1}).$$

Then we can find $x = \sum x_i e_i$, $y = \sum y_i e_i$ in $B(l_2)$ supported by a finite number of coordinates and with $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon_n$ such that

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| > (1 - r)\delta_T(\varepsilon_n).$$

By Lemma 1 and by the assumption of r this inequality implies that $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon_n/2$. For any i outside the union of the supports of x and y we let z_i be the almost metric midpoint to x , y defined by

$$z_i = \frac{x + y}{2} + \|x - y\| |\log \|x - y\||^{-2\alpha} e_i.$$

Then we have

$$\|z_i - x\| = \|z_i - y\| = \frac{\|x - y\|}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \|x - y\||^{-2\alpha}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}},$$

$$\|z_i - z_i\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|x - y\| |\log \|x - y\||^{-\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \left| \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \right|^{-\alpha},$$

$$\|T(x) - T(z_i)\| \leq \delta_T \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right),$$

and

$$\|(Ty) - T(z_i)\| \leq \delta_T \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right).$$

Now, let A be a finite set such that up to a negligible error $T(x)$ and $T(y)$ are supported on A . We let π denote the coordinate projection. Then we have

$$(1 - r)\delta_T(\varepsilon_n) < \|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \|T(x) - \pi_A T(z_i)\| + \|T(y) - \pi_A T(z_i)\|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - T(z_i)\|^p &= \|\pi_A T(x) - \pi_A T(z_i)\|^p + \|\pi_{N \setminus A} T(x) - \pi_{N \setminus A} T(z_i)\|^p \\ &\geq \|T(x) - \pi_A T(z_i)\|^p + \|\pi_{N \setminus A} T(z_i)\|^p - r. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for x replaced by y . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & 2 \left(\delta_T \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right) \right)^p \geq \|T(x) - T(z_i)\|^p + \|T(y) - T(z_i)\|^p \\ & \geq \|T(x) - \pi_A T(z_i)\|^p + \|T(y) - \pi_A T(z_i)\|^p + 2\|\pi_{N \setminus A} T(z_i)\|^p - 2r \\ & \geq 2^{-p/p'} (1 - r)^p (\delta_T(\varepsilon_n))^p + 2\|\pi_{N \setminus A} T(z_i)\|^p - 2r. \end{aligned}$$

Let $C_n = \inf_{i, j; i \neq j} \|T(z_i) - T(z_j)\|$. Then we have the following.

Claim.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_n}{\delta_T(\varepsilon_n) |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)}} = 0.$$

To prove the claim we assume the contrary, i.e., there exists $K > 0$ and an infinite subsequence of $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ such that

$$C_n \geq K \delta_T(\varepsilon_n) |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)}.$$

Thus we have

$$(ii) \quad \|T(z_i) - T(z_j)\| \geq K\delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)})$$

for all i, j and for all ε_n in the subsequence.

Since A is finite, we have that $\{\pi_A T(z_i)\}$ is compact. Thus by (ii) and the triangle inequality we get unit vectors e_i for which

$$\|\pi_{N \setminus A} T(z_i)\| \geq \frac{1}{2} K \delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)}).$$

This together with (i) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left(\delta_T \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right) \right)^p - 2^{-p/p'} (1-r)^p (\delta_T(\varepsilon_n))^p \\ & \geq 2^{1-p} K^p \delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)})^p - 4r. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for r arbitrarily small, we get

$$2 \left(\delta_T \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} \right) \right)^p - 2^{-p/p'} (\delta_T(\varepsilon_n))^p \geq 2^{1-p} K^p \delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)})^p.$$

Using the fact that

$$\delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)}) \geq \frac{1}{2} |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)} \delta_T(\varepsilon_n)$$

and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{1-p} \left(\sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} (1 + \log 2 |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-1}) \right)^p - 2^{-2/2'} \\ & \geq 2^{1-2p} K^p |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-p(\alpha+\gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$|\log \varepsilon_n|^{p(\alpha+\gamma)} \left(\left(\sqrt{1 + |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-2\alpha}} (1 + \log 2 |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-1}) \right)^p - 1 \right) \geq 2^{-p} K^p.$$

Since by assumption of α, γ we have $p(\alpha+\gamma) - 2\alpha < 0$ and $p(\alpha+\gamma) - 1 < 0$, this inequality gives a contradiction for ε_n small enough. Thus we have proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_n}{\delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)})} = 0.$$

Now assume that for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $K > 0$ we have

$$\delta_{T^{-1}}(\delta_T(\varepsilon_n)) \leq K \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^\gamma \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_0.$$

Given integer N there exists $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon_0$ such that for all $\varepsilon_n < \varepsilon'$ we can find i, j such that

$$\|T(z_i) - T(z_j)\| < \frac{1}{N} \delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)}).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-\alpha} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|x - y\| |\log \|x - y\||^{-\alpha} = \|z_i - z_j\| \\ & \leq \delta_{T^{-1}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \delta_T(\varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)}) \right) \leq \delta_{T^{-1}} \left(\delta_T \left(\frac{1}{N} \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)} \right) \right) \\ & \leq K \frac{1}{N} \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)} \left| \log \varepsilon_n + \log \frac{1}{N} \right| |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)} \\ & \leq K \frac{2}{N} \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)+\gamma} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon_n \text{ small enough.} \end{aligned}$$

From this we get $N \leq 16K$, and by taking N large enough we get a contradiction. Thus there exists $K > 0$ and an infinite subsequence

$$\left\{ \varepsilon'_n ; \varepsilon'_n \frac{1}{N} \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^{-(\alpha+\gamma)} \right\}$$

such that $\delta_{T^{-1}}(\delta_T(\varepsilon_n)) \geq K \varepsilon_n |\log \varepsilon_n|^\gamma$ and the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain, *Remarks on the extension of Lipschitz maps defined on discrete sets and uniform homeomorphisms*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1267 (J. Lindenstrauss and V. D. Milaman, eds.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987, pp. 157–167.
2. P. Enflo, *On the nonexistence of uniform homeomorphisms between L_p -spaces*, Ark. Mat. **8** (1969), 103–105.
3. Y. Benyamini, *The uniform classification of Banach spaces*, University of Texas Functional Analysis Seminar (E. Odell and H. P. Rosenthal, eds.), Longhorn Notes, Univ. of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, 1985, pp. 15–38.
4. J. Lindenstrauss, *On nonlinear projections in Banach spaces*, Michigan J. Math. **11** (1964), 268–287.
5. G.-M. Lövblom, *Uniform homeomorphisms between unit balls in L_p -spaces*, Math. Scand. **62** (1988), 294–302.
6. S. Mazur, *Une remarque sur l'homéomorphie des champs fonctionnels*, Studia Math. **1** (1929).

THE ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Stockholm, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden