

ON SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF PERTURBED OPERATORS

M. THAMBAN NAIR

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Farid (1991) has given an estimate for the norm of a perturbation V required to obtain an eigenvector for the perturbed operator $T + V$ within a given ball centered at a given eigenvector of the unperturbed (closed linear) operator T . A similar result is derived from a more general result of the author (1989) which also guarantees that the corresponding eigenvalue is simple and also that the eigenpair is the limit of a sequence obtained in an iterative manner.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [3] Farid has considered a method based on contraction mapping theorem instead of the fixed-point theorem approach of Rosenbloom [7] to address the following problem in perturbation theory:

If (λ_0, ϕ_0) is an eigenpair of a densely defined closed linear operator in a Banach space X , and r and ρ are given positive reals, then obtain an estimate for the radius of the disc $\{V \in BL(X): \|V\| \leq \delta\}$ such that the perturbed operator $T + V$ has an eigenpair (λ, ϕ) with

$$\|\phi - \phi_0\| \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \rho$$

for every V in $\{V \in BL(X): \|V\| \leq \delta\}$, where $BL(X)$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators on X .

In this note a result similar to that of Farid [3] is derived from a more general result in Nair [5]. While the results of Farid [3] and Rosenbloom [7] are essentially existential results, ours is an iterative procedure where sequences (λ_k) and (ϕ_k) are obtained in an iterative manner with the property that $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ and $\phi_k \rightarrow \phi$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the eigenvalue λ is shown to be a *simple* eigenvalue of $T + V$, and a disc centered at λ_0 is obtained where λ is the only spectral value of $T + V$ lies. The uniqueness of the pair (λ, ϕ) established by Farid [3] is a consequence of the simplicity of λ .

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let T be a closed linear operator in a Banach space X with a dense domain D . Let λ_0 be an eigenvalue of T with a corresponding eigenvector ϕ_0 with

Received by the editors August 16, 1993 and, in revised form, October 15, 1993.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A55, 47A10.

$\|\phi_0\| = 1$. The basic assumption in Farid [3] is the following:

- (i) λ_0^* , the complex conjugate of λ_0 , is an eigenvalue of the adjoint operator T^* , and $\phi_0^* \in X^*$ is a corresponding eigenvector such that $\langle \phi_0, \phi_0^* \rangle = 1$.
- (ii) λ_0 does not belong to the spectrum of the operator $\tilde{T} := T|_Y$, where $Y = \{x \in D: \langle x, \phi_0^* \rangle = 0\}$.

Here and in what follows X^* denotes the adjoint space of X , that is, the space of all conjugate linear functionals on X , and $\langle x, f \rangle$ denotes the complex conjugate of $f(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$. The adjoint operator T^* is defined by $\langle x, T^*f \rangle = \langle Tx, f \rangle$ for all $x \in D$ and $f \in D(T^*) := \{f \in X^* : \text{there exists } g \in X^* \text{ with } \langle Tx, f \rangle = \langle x, g \rangle \text{ for all } x \in D\}$. First we observe that assumption (i) implies the subspaces

$$X_1 := \{x \in X: \langle x, \phi_0^* \rangle \phi_0 = x\}$$

and

$$X_2 := \{x \in X: \langle x, \phi_0^* \rangle = 0\}$$

are invariant under T , i.e., $Tx \in X_i \cap D$ for every $x \in X_i \cap D$, $i = 1, 2$, with

$$X = X_1 \oplus X_2,$$

and assumption (ii) implies, as a consequence of Theorem 4.2 in Nair [5], that λ is in fact a *simple* eigenvalue of T . Also, we note that the operator $P_0: X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$P_0x = \langle x, \phi_0^* \rangle \phi_0, \quad x \in X,$$

is the projection operator onto X_1 along X_2 , and $\|P_0\| = \|\phi_0^*\|$. Let

$$S_0 := (\tilde{T} - \lambda_0)^{-1}: X_2 \rightarrow X_2.$$

With the above notation the main result of Farid [3] is the following.

Theorem (Farid [3, Theorem 2.1]). *For every real number r satisfying*

$$0 < r < \left(\frac{\|S_0(I - P_0)\|}{\|P_0\| \|S_0\|} \right)^{1/2}$$

and every bounded linear operator V on X satisfying

$$\|V\| \leq \delta(r) := r / (\|P_0\| \|S_0\| r^2 + (\|P_0\| \|S_0\| + \|S_0(I - P_0)\|)r + \|S_0(I - P_0)\|),$$

the operator $T + V$ has a unique eigenpair (λ, ϕ) such that

$$\langle \phi, \phi_0^* \rangle = 1, \quad \|\phi - \phi_0\| \leq r,$$

and

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \|V\|(1 + \|\phi - \phi_0\|)\|P_0\|.$$

The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem *. *For every real number $r > 0$ and for every bounded linear operator V on X satisfying*

$$\beta_V := \max\{\|P_0V\|, \|(I - P_0)V\|\} \leq \frac{r}{\|S_0\|(1+r)^2},$$

the operator $T + V$ has a simple eigenvalue λ and a corresponding (unique)

eigenvector ϕ such that

$$\langle \phi, \phi_0^* \rangle = 1, \quad \|\phi - \phi_0\| \leq r, \\ |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \|V\|(\|\phi - \phi_0\| + 1)\|P_0\|,$$

and λ is the only spectral value of $T + V$ lying in the disc

$$\Delta_0 := \{z: |z - q_0| < \frac{d_0}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\mu})\},$$

where

$$d_0 = \frac{(1 - 2\beta_V \|S_0\|)}{\|S_0\|}, \quad q_0 = \lambda_0 + \langle V\phi_0, \phi_0^* \rangle, \quad \mu = \left(\frac{r}{1 + r^2}\right)^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\lambda = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k, \quad \phi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_k,$$

where λ_k and ϕ_k are defined iteratively as

$$(\tilde{T} + \tilde{V} - \lambda_0 - \langle V\phi_0, \phi_0^* \rangle)\psi_1 = -(I - P_0)V\phi_0, \\ \phi_1 = \phi_0 + \psi_1, \\ \lambda_1 = \lambda_0 + \langle V\psi_1, \phi_0^* \rangle$$

and, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(\tilde{T} + \tilde{V} - \lambda_0 - \langle V\phi_0, \phi_0^* \rangle)x_k = \langle V\psi_k, \phi_0^* \rangle\psi_k, \\ \psi_{k+1} = \psi_1 + x_k, \\ \phi_{k+1} = \phi_0 + \psi_{k+1}, \\ \lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_0 + \langle V\psi_{k+1}, \phi_0^* \rangle.$$

Here $\tilde{T} = T|_Y$ and $\tilde{V} = (I - P_0)V_{(I - P_0)X}$.

Remark. We note that

$$\beta_V := \max\{\|P_0V\|, \|(I - P_0)V\|\} \leq c_0\|V\|,$$

where $c_0 = \max\{\|P_0\|, \|I - P_0\|\}$. Therefore, a sufficient condition for Theorem * to hold is

$$\|V\| \leq \omega(r) := \frac{r}{c_0\|S_0\|(1 + r)^2}.$$

Also,

$$\|P_0\| \|S_0\| r^2 + (\|P_0\| \|S_0\| + \|S_0(I - P_0)\|)r + \|S_0(I - P_0)\| \leq c_0\|S_0\|(1 + r)^2,$$

so that in general,

$$\omega(r) \leq \delta(r),$$

and thereby the assumption ' $\|V\| \leq \delta(r)$ ' of Farid [3] is weaker than ' $\|V\| \leq \omega(r)$ '. However, if $\|P_0\| = 1 = \|I - P_0\|$, then

$$\beta_V \leq \|V\|, \quad \omega(r) = \delta(r) = \frac{r}{\|S_0\|(1 + r)^2},$$

so in this case the condition in Theorem * is weaker than that of Farid [3], and therefore Theorem * improves the result of Rosenbloom [7] also. Examples with $\beta_V < \|V\|$ can be easily constructed. It is to be noted that if X is a

Hilbert space and T is a normal operator on X , then we have $\phi_0^* = \phi_0$, so that the projection P_0 is orthogonal and therefore $\|P_0\| = 1 = \|I - P_0\|$.

We recall the following from [5] or [4]. If $X = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ is a decomposition of X into closed subspaces Y_1 and Y_2 , B is a bounded linear operator on Y_1 , and C is a closed linear operator in Y_2 with domain D_C , then the operator $F: BL(Y_1, Y_2 \cap D_C) \rightarrow BL(Y_1, Y_2)$ defined by

$$F(K) = CK - KB, \quad K \in BL(Y_1, Y_2 \cap D_C)$$

has a bounded inverse on $BL(Y_1, Y_2)$ if and only if $\sigma(B) \cap \sigma(C) = \emptyset$. The separation between B and C is defined by

$$sep(B, C) := \begin{cases} 1/\|F^{-1}\| & \text{if } F \text{ has bounded inverse,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $E_1 \in BL(Y_1)$ and $E_2 \in BL(Y_2)$, then

$$sep(B + E_1, C + E_2) \geq sep(B, C) - (\|E_1\| + \|E_2\|).$$

Proof (Theorem *). Let (T_{ij}) , (V_{ij}) , and (A_{ij}) , $i, j = 1, 2$, be the 2×2 matrix representations of T, V , and $A = T + V$ respectively with respect to the decomposition $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ (cf. [8, p. 286]). Then it is seen that

$$\|V_{ij}\| \leq \|P_i V\| \leq \beta_V := \max\{\|P_0 V\|, \|(I - P_0)V\|\}, \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$

with $P_1 = P_0$ and $P_2 = I - P_0$. Therefore, we have

$$sep(A_{11}, A_{22}) \geq sep(T_{11}, T_{22}) - (\|V_{11}\| + \|V_{22}\|) \geq \frac{(1 - 2\beta_V \|S_0\|)}{\|S_0\|}.$$

Now the condition $\beta_V \leq r/(1+r)^2 \|S_0\|$ implies that $2\beta_V \|S_0\| \leq 2r/(1+r)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, so that $sep(A_{11}, A_{22}) > 0$ and consequently the assumption $\sigma(A_{11}) \cap \sigma(A_{22}) = \emptyset$ in Nair [5] is satisfied. Now the quantity ε in [5] is seen to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &:= \frac{\|F^{-1}(A_{12})\| \|A_{12}\|}{sep(A_{11}, A_{22})} \leq \frac{\|A_{12}\| \|A_{21}\|}{sep(A_{11}, A_{22})^2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\beta_V \|S_0\|}{1 - 2\beta_V \|S_0\|} \right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{r}{1 + r^2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\mu = (r/(1+r^2))^2$ and $g(\mu) = (1 - \sqrt{1-4\mu})/2\mu$, it follows from ([5, Theorem 4.3 and relation (4.4)]) that $A := T + V$ has a simple eigenvalue λ and a corresponding eigenvector ϕ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, \phi_0^* \rangle &= 1, \\ \|\phi - \phi_0\| &\leq \alpha g(\mu), \\ |\lambda - \lambda_0| &\leq \frac{\delta_0}{2} (1 - \sqrt{1-4\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

and λ is the only spectral value of A lying in the disc

$$\{z: |z - \lambda_0| < \frac{\delta_0}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1-4\mu})\} \supseteq \Delta_0.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 &:= sep(A_{11}, A_{22}) \geq \frac{(1 - 2\beta_V \|S_0\|)}{\|S_0\|} = d_0, \\ \alpha &\leq \frac{\|(I - P_0)V\|}{sep(A_{11}, A_{22})} \leq \frac{\beta_V \|S_0\|}{1 - 2\beta_V \|S_0\|} \leq \frac{r}{1 + r^2} = \sqrt{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

and $g(t)$, $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{4}$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq g(t) \leq 2, \\ g(t_1) &\leq g(t_2) \quad \text{for } t_1 \leq t_2, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(t) &= 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1/4} g(t) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\alpha g(\mu) \leq \sqrt{\mu} g(\mu) \leq r,$$

so that $\|\phi - \phi_0\| \leq r$. Since $\langle \phi, \phi_0^* \rangle = 1$ and $T^* \phi_0^* = \lambda_0^* \phi_0^*$, we have

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + \langle V(\phi - \phi_0), \phi_0^* \rangle + \langle V\phi_0, \phi_0^* \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \beta_V (\|\phi - \phi_0\| + 1) \|P_0\|.$$

If $\tilde{\phi}$ is another eigenvector of $T + V$ corresponding to the simple eigenvalue λ such that $\langle \tilde{\phi}, \phi_0^* \rangle = 1$, then $\tilde{\phi} = c\phi$ for some constant $c \neq 0$, and therefore $1 = \langle \tilde{\phi}, \phi_0^* \rangle = c \langle \phi, \phi_0^* \rangle = c$. Thus $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$, proving the uniqueness of ϕ .

Lastly, the iterative procedure to obtain (λ_k) and (ϕ_k) , and their convergence to λ and ϕ respectively, are the consequences of [5, relations (3.5), (3.6)] and [5, Theorem 4.3], respectively.

Remark. We note that the generalized Rayleigh quotient $q = \langle (T + V)\phi_0, \phi_0^* \rangle$ of $T + V$ at (ϕ_0, ϕ_0^*) satisfies

$$|\lambda - q| \leq \beta_V \|\phi - \phi_0\|.$$

A similar reformulation of the results in Nair [5, 6] and Stewart [9] involving spectral sets and spectral subspaces will show their applicability to more general situations of diagonally dominant infinite matrices than the ones described in [1–3].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was done during the author's visit to the Centre for Mathematics and Its Applications, The Australian National University, Canberra, during June–December 1993. The support received and the useful discussions he had with Dr. R. S. Anderssen are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. F. O. Farid and P. Lancaster, *Spectral properties of diagonally dominant infinite matrices, Part I*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **111** (1989), 301–314.
2. F. O. Farid, *Spectral properties of diagonally dominant infinite matrices, Part II*, Linear Algebra Appl. **143** (1991), 7–17.
3. ———, *Spectral properties of perturbed linear operators and their application to infinite matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 1013–1022.
4. M. T. Nair, *Approximation and localization of eigenelements*, Ph.D. Thesis, I. I. T. Bombay, 1984.
5. ———, *Approximation of spectral sets and spectral subspaces in Banach spaces*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **54** (1989), 187–200.
6. ———, *On iterative refinements for spectral sets and spectral subspaces*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **10** (1989), 1019–1037.

7. P. Rosenbloom, *Perturbation of linear operators in Banach spaces*, Arch. Math. **6** (1955), 89–101.
8. A. E. Taylor and D. C. Lay, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1980.
9. G. W. Stewart, *Error bounds for approximate invariant subspaces of closedlinear operators*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **8** (1971), 796–808.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOA UNIVERSITY, GOA - 403 203, INDIA