

## CHARACTERIZATION OF THE FOURIER SERIES OF A DISTRIBUTION HAVING A VALUE AT A POINT

RICARDO ESTRADA

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. Let  $f$  be a periodic distribution of period  $2\pi$ . Let  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta}$  be its Fourier series. We show that the distributional point value  $f(\theta_0)$  exists and equals  $\gamma$  if and only if the partial sums  $\sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{in\theta_0}$  converge to  $\gamma$  in the Cesàro sense as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $a > 0$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the relationship between the local behavior of a periodic function at a point and the convergence and summability of the corresponding Fourier series has been one of the main concerns of classical harmonic analysis, a subject with many years of history.

In particular, the characterization of the Fourier series having a “value” at a point is a very interesting problem, whose solution depends on the notion of value used. Among the various useful notions of value we could mention the value of a continuous function at a point, the measure-theoretical approximate value of an integrable function, or the distributional point value of a generalized function.

In this article we shall be concerned with the characterization of the Fourier series of generalized functions having a distributional point value. The notion of distributional point value was introduced by Łojasiewicz [8] and corresponds, roughly, to the existence of value “on the average”. If  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is a distribution and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $f$  has the distributional value  $\gamma$  at  $x = x_0$ , and write  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  if for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$  we have  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle f(x_0 + \varepsilon x), \phi(x) \rangle = \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx$  (if  $f$  is given by an integral and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx = 1$  this means that the “average”  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_0 + \varepsilon x) \phi(x) dx$  tends to  $\gamma$ ). It can be shown that  $f(x_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  if and only if there exists a primitive of order  $k$  of  $f$ , i.e.,  $F^{(k)} = f$ , which is continuous in a neighborhood of  $x = x_0$  and satisfies  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} k! (x - x_0)^{-k} F(x) = \gamma$ . This corresponds to the notion of generalized derivatives (see [13] and the references therein) used in the theory of trigonometric series well before the definition of Łojasiewicz and even before the introduction of the notion of distributions by Schwartz [10].

It turns out that the existence of distributional point values is equivalent to the existence *in the Cesàro sense* of the limits of certain partial sums of the corresponding Fourier series. Convergence in the Cesàro sense is also a kind of “convergence

on the average" and is defined as follows [6]: if  $f$  is an integrable function defined for  $x \geq 0$  we say that  $F(x)$  tends to  $L$  in the  $(C, 1)$  sense as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , and write  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = L (C, 1)$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x F(t) dt = L$ . Convergence in the  $(C, k)$  sense,  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , is defined recursively by  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = L (C, k)$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x F(t) dt = L (C, k-1)$ . We say that  $F(x)$  converges to  $L$  in the Cesàro sense and write  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = L (C)$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = L (C, k)$  for some  $k$ .

The Cesàro convergence of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  can be defined similarly and it is equivalent to the Cesàro convergence of the function  $F$  defined by  $F(t) = x_{[t]}$ . In particular a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is Cesàro summable to the sum  $S$ , written as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = S (C)$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j = S (C)$ . A series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n$  is  $(C)$  summable to  $S$  if both series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n}$  are  $(C)$  summable to  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively, with  $S = S_1 + S_2$ . A series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n$  is principal value Cesàro summable to  $S$ , written as p. v.  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n = S (C)$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=-n}^n a_j = S (C)$ .

Now let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$  be a periodic distribution of period  $2\pi$  and let  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta}$  be the associated Fourier series. Let  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following two results are known [3, 12]:

(1) If  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma (C)$ , i.e., if the partial sums  $\sum_{n=-N}^M a_n e^{in\theta_0}$  tend to  $\gamma$  in the Cesàro sense as  $N$  and  $M$  tend to infinity independently, then  $f(\theta_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . The converse does not hold ( $f(\theta) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{in\theta}}{n \log n}$ , at  $\theta = 0$ , is an example).

(2) If  $f(\theta_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , then p. v.  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma (C)$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma (C).$$

The converse does not hold ( $f(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \sin n\theta$ , at  $\theta = 0$ , is an example).

Here we prove the following

**Theorem.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$  be a periodic distribution of period  $2\pi$  and let  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta}$  be its Fourier series. Let  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$(1.1) \quad f(\theta_0) = \gamma, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}',$$

if and only if there exists  $k$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma, \quad (C, k)$$

for each  $a > 0$ .

Our approach is based on the theory of distributional asymptotic expansions [4, 5, 11] and is inspired by the work of Ramanujan [9] who was one of the first to study a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  by studying the asymptotic behavior of series of the type  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \phi(n\varepsilon)$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , for smooth  $\phi$ . See also [1, 2]. Here we study the Fourier series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0}$  by analysing the behavior as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \phi(n\varepsilon)$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Notice that we use the standard notation concerning spaces of distributions and test functions [7, 10].

## 2. ASYMPTOTICALLY HOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONS

In this section we define and give the basic properties of the asymptotically homogeneous functions of degree 0. These functions play a central role in our analysis.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\tau$  be a real-valued continuous function defined in an interval of the form  $[A, \infty)$  for some  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose*

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(ax) = a^\mu \tau(x) + o(1), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

for each  $a > 0$ . If  $\mu < 0$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(x) = o(1), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $x_0 > 0$  be such that  $|\tau(2x) - 2^\mu \tau(x)| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $x > x_0$ . Let  $M = \max\{|\tau(x)| : x_0 \leq x \leq 2x_0\}$ . An inductive argument shows that if  $x \in [2^n x_0, 2^{n+1} x_0]$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $|\tau(x)| \leq 2^{n\mu} M + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2^{j\mu} \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |\tau(x)| \leq \frac{2^\mu}{1-2^\mu} \varepsilon$  and (2.2) follows.  $\square$

The lemma does not hold if  $\mu = 0$ . Indeed, there are functions like  $\ln(\ln x)$ ,  $|\ln x|^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < 1$ , or  $\cos \sqrt{|\ln x|}$  that satisfy  $\tau(ax) = \tau(x) + o(1)$ , as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , for each  $a > 0$ , but which do not tend to zero at infinity.

**Definition.** Let  $\tau$  be a continuous function defined in an interval of the form  $[A, \infty)$  for some  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $\tau$  is asymptotically homogeneous of degree 0 if for each  $a > 0$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad \tau(ax) = \tau(x) + o(1), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The asymptotically homogeneous functions of degree 0 are related to the slowly oscillating functions [5], also known as regularly varying functions of order 0. These are positive functions that satisfy  $\rho(ax) = \rho(x) + o(\rho(x))$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $a > 0$ . However, the two concepts are different:  $\ln x$  is slowly oscillating but not asymptotically homogeneous of degree 0 while  $\cos \sqrt{|\ln x|}$  is asymptotically homogeneous of degree 0 but not slowly oscillating.

Observe that the argument of the proof of Lemma 1 shows that if  $\tau$  is an asymptotically homogeneous function of order 0, then  $\tau(x) = o(\ln x)$ , as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Notice also that we did not ask for any uniform behavior with respect to  $a$  in (2.3). The fact that (2.3) holds uniformly on  $a \in [A, B]$  if  $[A, B] \subseteq (0, \infty)$  follows from the definition, as we shall see.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\tau$  be an asymptotically homogeneous function of degree 0, continuous in  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $H$  be the Heaviside function. Then*

$$(2.4) \quad \tau(\lambda x)H(x) = \tau(\lambda)H(x) + o(1), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

If  $[A, B] \subseteq (0, \infty)$ , then (2.3) holds uniformly for  $a \in [A, B]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\tau$  is bounded in  $[0, \infty)$ . Then if  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'$  we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty (\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)) \phi(x) dx = 0.$$

This is (2.4).

The uniform convergence follows from the distributional formula (2.4). Indeed, weak convergence in  $\mathcal{S}'$  implies strong convergence [10]. Thus if  $K$  is a

compact subset of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $\langle \tau(\lambda x)H(x) - \tau(\lambda)H(x), \phi(x) \rangle = o(1)$  uniformly for  $\phi \in K$ . Let  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}$  be a function that satisfies  $\int_0^\infty \phi_0(x) dx = 1$ . Then if  $[A, B] \subseteq (0, \infty)$  the set  $K = \{a^{-1}\phi_0(a^{-1}x) : a \in [A, B]\}$  is a compact set of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\langle \tau(\lambda x)H(x), \phi_0(x) \rangle = \tau(\lambda) + o(1)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , and so  $\tau(\lambda a) = \langle \tau(\lambda a x), \phi_0(x) \rangle + o(1) = \langle \tau(\lambda x), a^{-1}\phi_0(a^{-1}x) \rangle + o(1) = \tau(\lambda) + o(1)$  uniformly for  $a \in [A, B]$ .

Let us now return to the general case when  $\tau$  is not necessarily bounded. We shall first show that if  $[A, B] \subseteq (0, \infty)$ , then  $\tau(ax) = \tau(x) + o(1)$ , as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , uniformly on  $a \in [A, B]$ . Observe first that the functions  $\cos \tau(x)$  and  $\sin \tau(x)$  are bounded asymptotically homogeneous functions. By what we have already proven it follows that if  $[A, B] \subseteq (0, \infty)$ , then  $e^{i\tau(ax)} = e^{i\tau(x)} + o(1)$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on  $a \in [A, B]$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon < \pi$  and  $A < 1 < B$ . There exists  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $|e^{i\tau(ax)} - e^{i\tau(x)}| \leq |1 - e^{i\varepsilon}|$  for  $x \geq x_0$  and  $a \in [A, B]$ . For each  $x \geq x_0$  the set  $\{\tau(ax) : A \leq a \leq B\}$  is a connected set contained in  $\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^\infty [\tau(x) - \varepsilon + 2n\pi, \tau(x) + \varepsilon + 2n\pi]$  and it follows that it is contained in the component that contains  $\tau(x)$ ; that is,  $|\tau(ax) - \tau(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ , for  $a \in [A, B]$ .

To prove (2.4) it would be enough to prove that there are constants  $A_0, A_1$  such that  $|\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)| \leq A_0 |\ln x| + A_1$  for  $x > 0$  and  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  for then the dominated convergence theorem could be invoked again.

Let  $\lambda_0 > 0$  be such that  $|\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)| \leq 1$  if  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and  $x \in [1/2, 2]$ . Then it follows by induction that  $|\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)| \leq n + 1$  if  $x \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}]$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore  $|\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)| \leq \frac{|\ln x|}{\ln 2} + 1$  if  $x \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Proceeding similarly, if  $x \in [\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{2^n}]$ ,  $\lambda \geq 2^n \lambda_0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $|\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)| \leq n + 1 \leq \frac{|\ln x|}{\ln 2} + 1$ . Recall now that  $\tau(x) = o(\ln x)$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we can find constants  $M_0, M_1$  such that  $|\tau(x)| \leq M_0 |\ln x| + M_1$ ,  $x > 0$ . Therefore, if  $x \in [\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{2^n}]$  but  $\lambda < 2^n \lambda_0$ , then  $\lambda < \lambda_0/x$  and so  $|\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)| \leq 2(M_0 |\ln \lambda| + M_1) \leq 2(M_0 |\ln x| + M_0 |\ln \lambda_0| + M_1)$ . Summarizing, if  $A_0 = \max\{2M_0, 1/\ln 2\}$ ,  $A_1 = \max\{2(M_0 |\ln \lambda_0| + M_1), 1\}$ , then  $|\tau(\lambda x) - \tau(\lambda)| \leq A_0 |\ln x| + A_1$  for  $x > 0$  and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ .  $\square$

The asymptotically homogeneous functions of degree 0 do not have to be smooth, i.e.,  $C^\infty$ . However, we have

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\tau$  be an asymptotically homogeneous function of degree 0, continuous in  $[0, \infty)$ . Then there exists a function  $\sigma$ , asymptotically homogeneous of degree 0, smooth in  $[0, \infty)$ , such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \tau(x) = \sigma(x) + o(1), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Define  $\sigma(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \tau(\lambda x) \phi_0(x) dx$ ,  $\lambda \geq 1$ , where  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfies  $\int_0^\infty \phi_0(x) dx = 1$ , and extend to  $[0, +\infty)$  in any smooth way. Then (2.4) gives (2.5).  $\square$

### 3. PRIMITIVES OF A DISTRIBUTIONALLY NULL SEQUENCE

If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous functions that converge uniformly to zero on an interval of the form  $[-A, A]$ , then the sequence of primitives  $\{\int_0^x f_n(t) dt\}$  also converges uniformly to zero while, in general, the sequence of derivatives  $\{f'_n\}$ , even if defined, does not. On the other hand, the situation with the distributional convergence is the opposite: if  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ , then also  $f'_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  but the sequence of primitives  $\{\int_0^x f_n(t) dt\}$ , even if defined, might be divergent. We now turn our attention to the study of this last problem.

Let  $F \in \mathcal{S}'$ . If  $F$  is integrable near  $x = 0$ , then we can define the primitive  $\int_0^x F(t) dt$ . If  $F$  is more singular near  $x = 0$ , then there is no canonical way to

define  $\int_0^x F(t) dt$ , that is, there is no canonical way to single out a primitive of  $F$  that vanishes at  $x = 0$ . However, if  $F$  is locally even near  $x = 0$ , it has a unique locally odd primitive, which we denote as  $\int_0^x F(t) dt$ . Observe that with this notation  $\int_0^x \delta(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} x$ , where  $\operatorname{sgn} x = x/|x|, x \neq 0$ , is the sign function. We shall use the notation  $\int_0^x F(t) dt$  so long as  $F = F_0 + F_1$ , where  $F_0$  is locally integrable near  $x = 0$  and where  $F_1$  is locally even.

Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of distributions of  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Then [10] there are primitives of the  $F_n$  that also tend to zero. In particular, if  $\int_0^x F_n(t) dt$  is defined for each  $n$ , there are constants  $c_n$  such that  $\int_0^x F_n(t) dt = c_n + o(1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . The example  $F_n(x) = -2nx e^{-x^2} (1 - e^{-x^2})^{n-1}, \int_0^x F_n(t) dt = 1 - (1 - e^{-x^2})^n = 1 + o(1)$  shows that the  $c_n$ 's cannot be replaced by 0, in general. Observe also that if the  $F_\lambda$  depend smoothly on the parameter  $\lambda$  and  $F_\lambda = o(1)$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\int_0^x F_\lambda(t) dt = \sigma(\lambda) + o(1)$ , where  $\sigma$  is smooth.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $F_0 \in \mathcal{S}'$  be a Radon measure such that  $\int_0^x F_0(t) dt$  is defined. Define the distributions  $F_n, n \geq 1$ , recursively by  $F_n(x) = \int_0^x F_{n-1}(t) dt, n \geq 1$ . Suppose*

$$(3.1) \quad F_0(\lambda x) = o(1/\lambda), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

*Then there exists an asymptotically homogeneous function of degree 0,  $\sigma(\lambda)$ , such that*

$$(3.2) \quad F_n(\lambda x) = \frac{\lambda^{n-1} x^{n-1} \sigma(\lambda)}{(n-1)!} + o(\lambda^{n-1}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ in } \mathcal{S}',$$

*for  $n \geq 1$ . There exists  $n_0$  such that the convergence in (3.2) is uniform on compacts for  $n \geq n_0$ . Conversely, if (3.2) holds for some  $n \geq 1$ , then  $F_0(\lambda x) = o(1/\lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $F_0(\lambda x) = o(1/\lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Then there exists a smooth function  $\sigma(\lambda)$  such that  $F_1(\lambda x) = \sigma(\lambda) + o(1)$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Replacing  $\lambda x$  by  $\lambda x a$  and grouping in two different ways, we obtain  $\sigma(a\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda) + o(1)$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $a > 0$ . Thus  $\sigma$  is asymptotically homogeneous of degree 0. Hence (3.2) holds for  $n = 1$ . Suppose now it holds for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then integrating again we obtain  $F_{n+1}(\lambda x) = \lambda^n x^n \sigma(\lambda)/n! + \rho(\lambda) + o(\lambda^n)$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , for some function  $\rho$ . Evaluating at  $\lambda a x$  thus yields  $\rho(\lambda a) = \rho(\lambda) + o(\lambda^n)$  and thus by Lemma 1, applied to  $\lambda^{-n} \rho(\lambda)$ , it follows that  $\rho(\lambda) = o(\lambda^n)$  and (3.2) is obtained for  $n + 1$ .

That the convergence in (3.2) is uniform for  $x$  in compacts if  $n$  is large follows by the definition of the convergence of distributions.

The converse is obtained by differentiating (3.2)  $n$  times with respect to  $x$ .  $\square$

Observe that if  $F_0$  is even, then  $F_1$  is odd and thus  $\sigma(\lambda) = o(1)$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , and (3.2) becomes  $F_n(\lambda x) = o(\lambda^{n-1})$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . The same conclusion is obtained if  $\operatorname{supp} F_0 \subseteq [0, \infty)$ .

The sequence of functions  $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is also related to Cesàro summability. The following lemma is an immediate corollary of the results proved in [6, p. 110].

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $F_0$  be a function defined for  $x > 0$  that, suitably extended to  $\mathbb{R}$ , defines an element of  $\mathcal{S}'$  for which  $\int_0^x F_0(t) dt$  is defined. Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F_0(x) = \gamma$  ( $C, n$ ) if and only if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} n! x^{-n} F_n(x) = \gamma$ .  $\square$*

#### 4. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we apply the results of the previous sections to characterize the Fourier series of the periodic distributions having a distributional point value.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$  be a periodic distribution of period  $2\pi$  and let  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta}$  be its Fourier series. Let  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$(4.1) \quad f(\theta_0) = \gamma, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}',$$

if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \delta(\lambda x - n) = \frac{\gamma \delta(x)}{\lambda} + o(1/\lambda), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta_0) = \gamma, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}' \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle f(\theta_0 + \varepsilon\theta), \phi(\theta) \rangle &= \langle \gamma, \phi(\theta) \rangle \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D} \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle f(\theta_0 + \varepsilon\theta), \phi(\theta) \rangle &= \langle \gamma, \phi(\theta) \rangle \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S} \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \langle e^{in\varepsilon\theta}, \phi(\theta) \rangle &= \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S} \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \hat{\phi}(n\varepsilon) &= \gamma \hat{\phi}(0) \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S} \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \psi(n\varepsilon) &= \gamma \psi(0) \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S} \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \delta(x - n\varepsilon) &= \gamma \delta(x) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}' \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \delta(\lambda x - n) &= \frac{\gamma \delta(x)}{\lambda} + o(1/\lambda), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to give our main result.

**Theorem.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$  be a periodic distribution of period  $2\pi$  and let  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta}$  be its Fourier series. Let  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$(4.3) \quad f(\theta_0) = \gamma, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}',$$

if and only if there exists  $k$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma \quad (C, k)$$

for each  $a > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F_0(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} \delta(x - n)$  and define  $F_1, F_2, \dots$  recursively by  $F_{n+1}(x) = \int_0^x F_n(t) dt$ . Let  $a > 0$ , put  $G_0(x) = G_0(a, x) = \sum_{-x \leq n \leq ax} a_n e^{in\theta_0}$  and define  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  recursively by  $G_{n+1}(x) = \int_0^x G_n(t) dt$ . Observe that (4.4) means that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} k! x^{-k} G_k(x) = \gamma$ .

Suppose first that  $f(\theta_0) = \gamma$ . Then  $F_0(\lambda x) = \gamma \delta(\lambda x) + o(1/\lambda)$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Using Lemma 4, there exists an asymptotically homogeneous function of degree 0 such that if  $n \geq 1$ , then

$$F_n(\lambda x) = \frac{\gamma \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda x) (\lambda x)^{n-1}}{2(n-1)!} + \frac{\sigma(\lambda) (\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + o(\lambda^{n-1}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'$$

and there exists  $n_0$  such that if  $n \geq n_0$  this holds uniformly on  $x \in [-A, A]$  for  $A > 0$ . Thus, if  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n-1}(x) &= a^{1-n}F_n(ax) - (-1)^{1-n}F_n(-x) \\ &= \frac{\gamma x^{n-1}}{2(n-1)!} + \frac{\sigma(x)}{(n-1)!} + \frac{\gamma}{2(n-1)!} - \frac{\sigma(x)}{(n-1)!} + o(x^{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{\gamma x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + o(x^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and (4.4) follows with  $k = n - 1$ .

Conversely, suppose (4.4) holds. Let  $n = k + 1$ , so that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (n-1)! x^{1-n} G_{n-1}(x) = \gamma.$$

Define  $\tau(x) = (n-1)! x^{1-n} F_n(x) - \gamma/2$ . Then  $\tau$  is asymptotically homogeneous of degree 0. Thus there exists a smooth asymptotically homogeneous function of degree 0 such that  $\tau(x) = \sigma(x) + o(1)$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that

$$F_n(x) = \frac{\gamma \operatorname{sgn} x x^{n-1}}{2(n-1)!} + \frac{\sigma(x)x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + o(x^{n-1}), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and therefore, by Lemma 2,

$$F_n(\lambda x) = \frac{\gamma \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda x)(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{2(n-1)!} + \frac{\sigma(\lambda)(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + o(\lambda^{n-1}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'.$$

Differentiating  $n$  times we obtain  $F_0(\lambda x) = \gamma \delta(\lambda x) + o(1/\lambda)$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , as required.  $\square$

It is interesting to observe that (4.4) holds uniformly on  $a \in [A, B]$  if  $[A, B] \subseteq (0, \infty)$  but that it is not necessary to assume such uniform behavior to obtain (4.3).

Notice also that the theorem remains valid if (4.4) holds uniformly for  $a \in H$  where  $H$  is a set dense in some interval,  $\overline{H} = [A, B]$ ,  $0 < A < B < \infty$ . In particular,  $f(\theta_0) = \gamma$  if and only if

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-\lfloor N/p \rfloor}^{\lfloor N/q \rfloor} a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma \quad (C, k)$$

for each  $p, q \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , uniformly if  $A < p/q < B$  for  $[A, B] \subseteq (0, \infty)$ . Observe that (4.5) considers the convergence, in the Cesàro sense, of sequences.

The following consequences are worth recording.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $f$  is symmetric about  $\theta = \theta_0$ , i.e.,  $f(\theta - \theta_0) = f(\theta_0 - \theta)$ , then  $f(\theta_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma (C)$ .*  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If  $f$  is antisymmetric about  $\theta = \theta_0$ , i.e.,  $f(\theta - \theta_0) = -f(\theta_0 - \theta)$ , then  $f(\theta_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  if and only if there is a function  $\sigma$ , asymptotically homogeneous of degree 0, such that  $\sum_{n=1}^N a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \sigma(N) + o(1) (C)$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case  $\gamma = 0$ .*  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *If the Fourier series of  $f$  is of the power series type, i.e.,  $f(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta}$ , then  $f(\theta_0) = \gamma$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{in\theta_0} = \gamma (C)$ .*  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. B. C. Berndt and R. J. Evans, *Extensions of asymptotic expansions from Chapter 15 of Ramanujan's second notebook*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **361** (1985), 118–134. MR **87b**:41031
2. R. Estrada, *The asymptotic expansion of certain series considered by Ramanujan*, Appl. Anal. **43** (1992), 191–228. MR **95i**:46053
3. ———, *Valores puntuales distribucionales de series de Fourier*, Memorias II Encuentro Centroamericano de Matemáticos, San Ramón, Costa Rica, 1994.
4. R. Estrada and R. P. Kanwal, *Asymptotic separation of variables*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **178** (1993), 130–142. MR **94g**:46045
5. ———, *Asymptotic analysis: A distributional approach*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994. MR **95g**:46071
6. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949. MR **11**:25a
7. R. P. Kanwal, *Generalized functions: Theory and technique*, Academic Press, New York, 1983. MR **85f**:46001
8. S. Łojasiewicz, *Sur la valeur et la limite d'une distribution en un point*, Studia Math. **16** (1957), 1–36. MR **19**:433d
9. S. Ramanujan, *Notebooks*, 2 vols., Bombay, 1957. MR **20**:6340
10. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions* Hermann, Paris, 1966. MR **35**:730
11. V. S. Vladimirov, Y. N. Drozhinov, and B. I. Zavyalov *Multidimensional Tauberian theorems for generalized functions*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986. MR **87m**:46092
12. G. Walter, *Pointwise convergence of distributions*, Studia Math. **26** (1966), 143–154. MR **32**:8036
13. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2 vols., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge and New York, 1959. MR **21**:6498

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843  
 Current address: P. O. Box 276, Tres Ríos, Costa Rica  
 E-mail address: restrada@cariari.ucr.ac.cr