

AMENABILITY AND WEAK AMENABILITY
OF SECOND CONJUGATE BANACH ALGEBRAS

F. GHARAMANI, R. J. LOY, AND G. A. WILLIS

(Communicated by Theodore Gamelin)

ABSTRACT. For a Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} , amenability of \mathfrak{A}^{**} necessitates amenability of \mathfrak{A} , and similarly for weak amenability provided \mathfrak{A} is a left ideal in \mathfrak{A}^{**} . For \mathfrak{G} a locally compact group, indeed more generally, $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable if and only if \mathfrak{G} is finite. If $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is weakly amenable, then $M(\mathfrak{G})$ is weakly amenable.

0. INTRODUCTION

For a Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{A}^{**} is a Banach algebra under two Arens products, of which we will always take the first, or left, product. For further details see the survey article [8]. This product can be characterized as the extension to $\mathfrak{A}^{**} \times \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ of the bilinear map $\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} : (x, y) \mapsto xy$ with the following continuity properties: for fixed $y \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, $x \mapsto xy$ is weak*-continuous on \mathfrak{A}^{**} ; for fixed $y \in \mathfrak{A}$, $x \mapsto yx$ is weak*-continuous on \mathfrak{A}^{**} . Here, as elsewhere, we identify \mathfrak{A} with its canonical image in \mathfrak{A}^{**} .

In terms of the asymmetry here, define the *topological centre* of \mathfrak{A}^{**} by

$$Z_t(\mathfrak{A}^{**}) = \{y \in \mathfrak{A}^{**} : x \mapsto yx \text{ is weak}^*\text{-continuous}\}.$$

Clearly, $Z_t(\mathfrak{A}^{**})$ contains the (algebraic) centre $Z(\mathfrak{A}^{**})$ of \mathfrak{A}^{**} ; it also contains \mathfrak{A} . In the case that $Z_t(\mathfrak{A}^{**}) = \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, \mathfrak{A}^{**} is said to be *Arens regular*. Any C^* -algebra is Arens regular [6, Theorem 7.1], but for a locally compact group \mathfrak{G} , $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ is Arens regular if and only if \mathfrak{G} is finite [25].

A Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is *amenable* if every derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is inner, for every Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodule X . If one only considers the bimodule $X = \mathfrak{A}$, one has the notion of *weak amenability*.

There are many alternative formulations of the notion of amenability, of which we need the following two, first introduced in [18]. For further details see [3, 16, 7]. The Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is amenable if and only if either, and hence both, of the following hold:

- (i) \mathfrak{A} has an *approximate diagonal*, that is, a bounded net $(m_i) \subset \mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}$ such that for each $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, $m_i x - x m_i \rightarrow 0$, $\pi(m_i)x \rightarrow x$;
- (ii) \mathfrak{A} has a *virtual diagonal*, that is, an element $M \in (\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A})^{**}$ such that for each $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, $xM = Mx$, $(\pi^{**}M)x = x$.

Received by the editors June 27, 1994 and, in revised form, October 19, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46H20; Secondary 43A20.

Key words and phrases. Amenability, locally compact group, second conjugate space.

Note that here $\pi : \mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is the natural product map.

The purpose of the present note is to investigate the relation between the amenability of \mathfrak{A} and that of \mathfrak{A}^{**} . Some of the difficulties of this task were already apparent in [17], and were dealt with in some cases in [5]. Here we take a different viewpoint by generally putting the primary hypothesis on \mathfrak{A}^{**} rather than \mathfrak{A} .

1. AMENABILITY

Lemma 1.1. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra such that \mathfrak{A}^{**} has a bounded approximate identity. Then \mathfrak{A}^{**} has an identity.*

Proof. Let (e_i) be a bounded approximate identity in \mathfrak{A}^{**} . By passing to a subnet, if necessary, we may suppose that (e_i) converges weak* to some $E \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have, using weak*-continuity in the second variable for $x \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$xe_i \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x \quad \text{and} \quad xe_i \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} xE,$$

so that $x = xE$. But then by weak*-density of \mathfrak{A} in \mathfrak{A}^{**} and weak*-continuity in the first variable, we conclude that $m = mE$ for $m \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$. Further,

$$e_i m \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} Em \quad \text{and} \quad e_i m \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} m,$$

showing that E is the identity for \mathfrak{A}^{**} . □

Note that a standard argument using Goldstine’s theorem shows consequently that \mathfrak{A} has a bounded approximate identity, however this result is subsumed in Theorem 1.8 below.

We have defined the topological centre $Z_t(\mathfrak{A}^{**})$ above, and noted that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq Z_t(\mathfrak{A}^{**})$ always holds. One important case of equality is the following. Recall that a semigroup \mathfrak{G} is *weakly cancellative* if for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{G}$, $\{x \in \mathfrak{G} : xa = b\}, \{y \in \mathfrak{G} : ay = b\}$ are finite.

Theorem 1.2 ([21, 20]). *For \mathfrak{G} a locally compact group, or a discrete weakly cancellative semigroup, $Z_t(L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}) = L^1(\mathfrak{G})$.*

This result is an ingredient in the following characterization.

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathfrak{G} be a locally compact group, or a discrete weakly cancellative semigroup. Then $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable only if \mathfrak{G} is finite (and conversely if \mathfrak{G} is a group).*

Proof. The result is clear if \mathfrak{G} is a finite group, so assume that $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable. By Lemma 1.1, the hypothesis ensures that $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ has an identity e . Clearly the (identity) map $x \mapsto ex$ on $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is weak* to weak*-continuous, so by Theorem 1.2 above, $e \in L^1(\mathfrak{G})$, so that \mathfrak{G} must therefore be discrete. In the semigroup case we in fact have this by hypothesis. By [6, Theorem 3.2] for the group case and [8, Theorem 9] in the semigroup case, we thus have

$$L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**} = L^1(\mathfrak{G}) \oplus C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp,$$

a Banach space direct sum, with $C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$ a weak*-closed two-sided ideal. Since $C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$ is a complemented ideal in the amenable algebra $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$, it is itself amenable. In particular $C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$ has a bounded approximate identity (e_i) , and without loss of generality we may suppose that (e_i) converges weak* to some $E \in L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$, necessarily $E \in C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$. For $x \in C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$ we have

$$e_i x \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x \quad \text{and} \quad e_i x \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} Ex$$

by weak*-continuity in the first variable. Thus E is a left identity for $C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$. But then for $x \in C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$, $xE = \lim(xE)e_i = \lim x(Ee_i) = x$, so that E is a two-sided identity for $C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$. Thus for $n \in L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$, $En = (En)E = E(nE) = nE$, since $En, nE \in C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$, and it follows that E is central in $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$. By Theorem 1.2, $E \in L^1(\mathfrak{G})$, hence $E = 0$, and $C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp = \{0\}$, showing that \mathfrak{G} is finite. \square

Corollary 1.4. *Let \mathfrak{G} be a locally compact group, or a discrete weakly cancellative semigroup. Then $M(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable only if \mathfrak{G} is finite (and conversely if \mathfrak{G} is a group).*

Proof. Since $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ is complemented in $M(\mathfrak{G})$, $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is complemented in $M(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$. Further $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is an ideal in $M(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$, and so is itself amenable if $M(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable [7]. The result is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3. \square

The latter part of the argument of the theorem in fact shows the following, though there is a paucity of examples where the basic hypothesis is satisfied.

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra such that $\mathfrak{A}^{**} = Z_t(\mathfrak{A}^{**}) \oplus J$ for some weak*-closed ideal J . Then amenability of \mathfrak{A}^{**} necessitates $J = 0$, so that $\mathfrak{A}^{**} = Z_t(\mathfrak{A}^{**})$ is Arens regular.* \square

We need the following result.

Lemma 1.6 ([1, §3]). *Let $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$ be Banach spaces, $T : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a continuous bilinear form. Then T has a continuous extension $\overline{T} : \mathfrak{X}^{**} \times \mathfrak{Y}^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for $F \in \mathfrak{X}^{**}$, $G \in \mathfrak{Y}^{**}$ and nets $(a_i) \subset \mathfrak{X}, (b_j) \subset \mathfrak{Y}$ with $a_i \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} F, b_j \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} G$,*

$$\overline{T}(F, G) = \lim_i \lim_j T(a_i, b_j).$$

Lemma 1.7. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra. Then there is a continuous linear mapping $\Psi : \mathfrak{A}^{**} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}^{**} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A})^{**}$ such that for $a, b, x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $m \in \mathfrak{A}^{**} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ the following hold:*

- (i) $\Psi(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b$;
- (ii) $\Psi(m) \cdot x = \Psi(m \cdot x)$;
- (iii) $x \cdot \Psi(m) = \Psi(x \cdot m)$;
- (iv) $(\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^{**}(\Psi(m)) = \pi_{\mathfrak{A}^{**}}(m)$.

Proof. First recall that for any Banach spaces X, Y there is an isometric isomorphism between the space of bilinear maps $X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $(X \hat{\otimes} Y)^*$, namely $T \mapsto \varphi_T$ where $\varphi_T(x \otimes y) = T(x, y)$. Define $\Psi : \mathfrak{A}^{**} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}^{**} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A})^{**}$ by

$$\langle \Psi(F \otimes G), \varphi_T \rangle = \overline{T}(F, G).$$

For $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\langle \Psi(a \otimes b), \varphi_T \rangle = \overline{T}(a, b) = T(a, b) = \langle \varphi_T, a \otimes b \rangle,$$

so (i) certainly holds.

For (ii), let $F \otimes G \in \mathfrak{A}^{**} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, $x \in \mathfrak{A}, T$ a bilinear map $X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and take nets $(a_i), (b_j) \subset \mathfrak{A}$, with $a_i \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} F, b_j \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} G$. Then $b_j x \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} G \cdot x$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi(F \otimes G) \cdot x, \varphi_T \rangle &= \langle \Psi(F \otimes G), x \cdot \varphi_T \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j (x \cdot \varphi_T)(a_i \otimes b_j) \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \varphi_T(a_i \otimes b_j \cdot x) \\ &= \overline{T}(F, G \cdot x) \\ &= \langle \Psi(F \otimes G \cdot x), \varphi_T \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The argument for (iii) is analogous.

Finally, for (iv), let $F \otimes G \in \mathfrak{A}^{**} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, $h \in \mathfrak{A}^*$, and take nets $(a_i), (b_j) \subset \mathfrak{A}$, with $a_i \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} F, b_j \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^{**}(\Psi(F \otimes G)), h \rangle &= \langle \Psi(F \otimes G), (\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^* h \rangle \\ &= \overline{(\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^* h}(F, G) \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j (\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^* h(a_i, b_j) \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle (\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^* h, (a_i \otimes b_j) \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle h, \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_i \otimes b_j) \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \lim_j \langle h, a_i b_j \rangle \\ &= \langle FG, h \rangle = \langle \pi_{\mathfrak{A}^{**}}(F \otimes G), h \rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.8 (Gourdeau). *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra such that \mathfrak{A}^{**} is amenable. Then \mathfrak{A} is amenable.*

Proof. Let (m_i) be an approximate diagonal for \mathfrak{A}^{**} . By Lemma 1.7, for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\Psi(m_i) \cdot x - x \cdot \Psi(m_i) \rightarrow 0$ and $(\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^{**}(\Psi(m_i)x) \rightarrow x$. If M is a weak*-cluster point of $(\Psi(m_i))$ in $(\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A})^{**}$, then necessarily $Mx = xM$ and $((\pi_{\mathfrak{A}})^{**}M)x = x$. Thus M is a virtual diagonal for \mathfrak{A} . □

A variant on Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence.

Corollary 1.9. *For \mathfrak{G} a discrete left (or right) cancellative semigroup with identity, $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable if and only if \mathfrak{G} is a finite group.*

Proof. If $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable, then by Theorem 1.8, $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ is amenable. But then by [15, Theorem 2.3] \mathfrak{G} is a group. Now apply Theorem 1.3. □

The example given at the end of [9] shows a discrete weakly cancellative semigroup \mathfrak{G} with $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ amenable need not be a group. So the conclusion of Corollary 1.9 that \mathfrak{G} is a group cannot be added to Theorem 1.3. For a discrete semigroup \mathfrak{G} , amenability of $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ is considered in [15, 9], amongst others. In particular, [9, Theorem 2] shows that if $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ is amenable, then \mathfrak{G} is regular with finitely many idempotents. Results on when $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is amenable for general discrete semigroups \mathfrak{G} are given in the forthcoming paper [22].

For any locally compact space K , $C_0(K)$ is amenable, $C_0(K)^{**} = C(Y)$ for some compact space Y , and so is amenable. More generally, any C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} is Arens regular and weakly amenable, as is the von Neumann algebra \mathfrak{A}^{**} . However $\mathcal{K}(l_2)$

is amenable, yet $\mathcal{K}(l_2)^{**} = \mathcal{B}(l_2)$ is not. Indeed, amenable von Neumann algebras are precisely finite direct sums of $C(X) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some Stone space X , and some $n \geq 1$ [24, Corollary 1.9]. (This requires knowing that amenability and nuclearity are the same for C^* -algebras, a highly nontrivial result. A direct proof does not seem to be known.)

In view of this last example, it is natural to raise the question as to whether there is an infinite-dimensional commutative \mathfrak{A} such that \mathfrak{A}^{**} is amenable, but \mathfrak{A} is not $C_0(X)$. If so, is there one with \mathfrak{A}^{**} also commutative?

It is also of interest to look at the case when \mathfrak{A} is amenable and reflexive. By Lemma 1.1 \mathfrak{A} has an identity e . Then the same is true of the enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{A}^e = \mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}^{\text{op}}$. Consider \mathfrak{A} as a left module over \mathfrak{A}^e . Now let M be a complemented ideal of \mathfrak{A} . Then M is reflexive as a Banach space, and is a \mathfrak{A}^e -submodule, so by [16, Proposition VII.2.30] there is a \mathfrak{A}^e -module projection $Q : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow M$. But then if $x \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$xQ(e) = xQ(e)e = Q(xee) = Q(x) = Q(eex) = eQ(e)x = Q(e)x.$$

It follows that $M = Q(e)\mathfrak{A}$ where $Q(e)$ is a central idempotent in \mathfrak{A} . This should be compared with [11, Lemma 2.2].

The same style of argument shows the following. In view of [11] it would be of considerable interest to know if the result holds for Banach algebras with reflexive underlying space.

Proposition 1.10. *An amenable Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} which is a Hilbert space is finite dimensional.*

Proof. First note that such \mathfrak{A} must be semisimple, for by the above any nontrivial closed ideal contains an idempotent. By Lemma 1.1, \mathfrak{A} has an identity $\mathbf{1}$, and so it has maximal left ideals which are necessarily complemented, and so have right units. Similarly for right ideals, so that \mathfrak{A} is an annihilator algebra and furthermore the annihilators always contain idempotents. So by [3, Theorem 32.3] any maximal left ideal has the form $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{1} - e)$ for some minimal idempotent e .

Let \mathcal{E} be the set of minimal idempotents in \mathfrak{A} . Then the left ideal generated by \mathcal{E} must be dense, for otherwise it lies in a maximal left ideal, whence there is a minimal idempotent annihilating it on the right, which is absurd. Thus in fact $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}e_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{A}e_k$ for some $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathcal{E}$.

Similarly, $\mathfrak{A} = f_1\mathfrak{A} + \dots + f_l\mathfrak{A}$ for some $f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathcal{E}$. In particular $\mathbf{1} = f_1a_1 + \dots + f_la_l$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathfrak{A}$, so that

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathbf{1}\mathfrak{A} = (f_1a_1 + \dots + f_la_l)(\mathfrak{A}e_1 + \dots + \mathfrak{A}e_k) = \bigoplus_{i,j} f_i\mathfrak{A}e_j,$$

which is finite dimensional by [3, Proposition 31.6(iii)]. □

2. WEAK AMENABILITY

It is known that $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ is weakly amenable for every locally compact group \mathfrak{G} ; see [19], [10]. If \mathfrak{G} is a compact abelian group, then by [6, Theorem 3.15, 3.18]

$$L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}/\mathfrak{S} \cong M(\mathfrak{G})$$

where $\mathfrak{S} = \{F \in L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**} : L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}F = 0\}$ is a closed ideal having zero product. If \mathfrak{G} is not discrete, then $M(\mathfrak{G})$ has nonzero point derivations [4], which lift to $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$, which is thus not weakly amenable. That this fact remains true without the compactness hypothesis follows from the next result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{G} be a locally compact group, and suppose that $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is weakly amenable. Then $M(\mathfrak{G})$ is weakly amenable.*

Proof. Recall that $LUC(\mathfrak{G})$ is the space of bounded left uniformly continuous functions on \mathfrak{G} , under the supremum norm. By Lemma 1.1 of [13], $LUC(\mathfrak{G})^* = M(\mathfrak{G}) \oplus C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$, where the latter is a closed ideal in $LUC(\mathfrak{G})^*$. Thus for $f \in M(\mathfrak{G})^*$ define $T_f \in LUC(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ by

$$\langle T_f, \mu + r \rangle = \langle f, \mu \rangle \quad (\mu \in M(\mathfrak{G}), r \in C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp).$$

Now suppose that $M(\mathfrak{G})$ fails to be weakly amenable, and take a noninner derivation $D : M(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow M(\mathfrak{G})^*$. Define $\Delta : LUC(\mathfrak{G})^* \rightarrow LUC(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ by

$$\Delta(\mu + r) = T_{D(\mu)}.$$

Since $C_0(\mathfrak{G})^\perp$ is an ideal in $LUC(\mathfrak{G})^*$, Δ is a derivation, which moreover cannot be inner. For if there was $\Phi \in LUC(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ with $\Delta(n) = n\Phi - \Phi n$ for all $n \in LUC(\mathfrak{G})^*$, then restricting Φ to $M(\mathfrak{G})$ would give an element of $M(\mathfrak{G})^*$ which implemented D .

Now let E be a right identity of $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ with $\|E\| = 1$. A characterization of such E is given in [13, Proposition 2.1]; what we need here is that $EL^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $LUC(\mathfrak{G})^*$ [12], so that we may consider Δ above to be defined on $EL^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$. But since E is an idempotent in $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$, $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**} = EL^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**} + (1-E)L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ where the latter is a closed ideal having trivial product. Thus $n \mapsto \Delta(En) : L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**} \rightarrow L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{***}$ is a noninner derivation. \square

Corollary 2.2. *If \mathfrak{G} is a nondiscrete abelian group, then $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$ is not weakly amenable.*

Proof. We need only observe as before that by [4] $M(\mathfrak{G})$ is not weakly amenable. \square

In parallel to Theorem 1.8 we have the following result. For convenience we will write $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ for the canonical embedding of a Banach space into its second dual.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra such that \mathfrak{A}^{**} is weakly amenable, and suppose that $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a left ideal in \mathfrak{A}^{**} . Then \mathfrak{A} is weakly amenable.*

Proof. Let $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^*$ be a derivation, D^{**} its second adjoint. Take $F, G \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ and take bounded nets $(a_i), (b_j) \subset \mathfrak{A}$, with $a_i \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} F, b_j \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} G$. Then $b_j x \xrightarrow{\text{weak}^*} Gx$, and

$$\begin{aligned} D^{**}(FG) &= \text{weak}^* \lim_i \lim_j D^{**}(\widehat{a_i b_j}) \\ &= \text{weak}^* \lim_i \lim_j (D(a_i)b_j + a_i D(b_j))^\wedge \\ &= D^{**}(F)G + \text{weak}^* \lim_i \widehat{a_i} D^{**}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $R : \mathfrak{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^*$ be the “restriction”: $\langle R(\Psi), a \rangle = \langle \Psi, \hat{a} \rangle$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}, \Psi \in \mathfrak{A}^{***}$, and Λ the subsequent “extension”: $\Lambda(\Psi) = (R(\Psi))^\wedge$. It is $\Lambda \circ D^{**}$ which we wish to consider. From above,

$$\Lambda \circ D^{**}(FG) = \Lambda(D^{**}(F)G) + \Lambda\left(\text{weak}^* \lim_i \widehat{a_i} D^{**}(G)\right).$$

But for $x \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\langle \Lambda(D^{**}(F)G), \hat{x} \rangle = \langle D^{**}(F)G, \hat{x} \rangle = \langle D^{**}(F), G\hat{x} \rangle.$$

Further, since $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ is assumed to be a left ideal in \mathfrak{A}^{**} , $G\hat{x} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$. Thus

$$\langle D^{**}(F), G\hat{x} \rangle = \langle \Lambda(D^{**}(F)), G\hat{x} \rangle = \langle \Lambda \circ D^{**}(F)G, \hat{x} \rangle.$$

It follows that $\Lambda(D^{**}(F)G) = (\Lambda \circ D^{**})(F)G$.

Again, for $x \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda(\text{weak}^* \lim_i \hat{a}_i D^{**}(G)), \hat{x} \rangle &= \langle \text{weak}^* \lim_i \hat{a}_i D^{**}(G), \hat{x} \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \hat{a}_i D^{**}(G), \hat{x} \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle D^{**}(G), \hat{x} \hat{a}_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \Lambda D^{**}(G), \hat{x} \hat{a}_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_i \langle \hat{x} \hat{a}_i, R(D^{**}(G)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{x} F, R(D^{**}(G)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda(D^{**}(G)), \hat{x} F \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $F\Lambda(D^{**}(G)) = (F(R(D^{**}(G))))^\wedge$, we have

$$\Lambda\left(\text{weak}^* \lim_i \hat{a}_i D^{**}(G)\right) = F\Lambda(D^{**}(G)).$$

Thus we have that

$$\Lambda \circ D^{**}(FG) = \Lambda \circ D^{**}(F)G + F(\Lambda \circ D^{**}(G)),$$

showing that $\Lambda \circ D^{**}$ is a derivation of \mathfrak{A}^{**} into \mathfrak{A}^{***} . But then by assumption there is $F_0 \in \mathfrak{A}^{***}$ such that $\Lambda \circ D^{**}(\Phi) = \Phi F_0 - F_0 \Phi$ for all $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$. Setting $f_0 = R(F_0)$ we have $D(a) = af_0 - f_0 a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ so that D is indeed inner. \square

We remark that if derivations from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{A}^* are known to be weakly compact, Theorem 2.3 is true without any hypothesis on $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$. For we then have

$$\text{weak}^* \lim_i \hat{a}_i D^{**}(G) = FD^{**}(G),$$

whence

$$D^{**}(FG) = D^{**}(F)G + FD^{**}(G)$$

so that $D^{**} : \mathfrak{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{***}$ is a derivation, and the argument finishes as before.

It is shown in [2] that for an infinite compact metric space K , $\text{lip}_\alpha(K)$ is Arens regular and weakly amenable but not amenable for $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\text{lip}_\alpha(K)^{**} = \text{Lip}_\alpha(K)$, the second conjugates are not weakly amenable [23, Proposition 9.2].

Theorem 2.3 clearly prompts the search for an example where \mathfrak{A} is not weakly amenable, and not a left ideal in \mathfrak{A}^{**} , yet \mathfrak{A}^{**} is weakly amenable. Perhaps $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ for a discrete semigroup \mathfrak{G} is a possible area to investigate for this—if $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ is an ideal in $L^1(\mathfrak{G})^{**}$, [8, Theorem 9] shows that $\mathfrak{G}t \cup t\mathfrak{G}$ is finite for all $t \in \mathfrak{G}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper was partly written while the first author was visiting the Australian National University and University of Newcastle in November 1993. We acknowledge with thanks the support for this visit provided by ARC grant S6600319. The first author was also supported by NSERC grant OGP003664.

After writing this paper we discovered that Theorem 1.8 had been proved by F. Gourdeau [14] by different methods. We thank Dr. M. C. White for bringing this to our attention.

REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 839–848. MR **13**:659f
2. W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis, Jr., and H. G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 359–377. MR **88f**:46098
3. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. MR **54**:11013
4. G. Brown and W. Moran, *Point derivations on $M(G)$* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **8** (1976), 57–64. MR **54**:5744
5. J. W. Bunce and W. L. Paschke, *Derivations on a C^* -algebra and its double dual*, J. Funct. Anal. **37** (1980), 235–247. MR **81k**:46064
6. P. Civin and B. Yood, *The second conjugate space of a Banach algebra as an algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 820–847. MR **26**:622
7. P. C. Curtis, Jr. and R. J. Loy, *The structure of amenable Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **40** (1989), 89–104. MR **90k**:46114
8. J. Duncan and S. A. R. Hosseiniun, *The second dual of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **19** (1979), 309–325. MR **81f**:46057
9. J. Duncan and A. L. T. Paterson, *Amenability for discrete convolution semigroup algebras*, Math. Scand. **66** (1990), 141–146. MR **91m**:43001
10. M. Despić and F. Ghahramani, *Weak amenability of group algebras of locally compact groups*, Canad. Bull. Math. **37** (1994), 165–167. MR **95c**:43003
11. J. E. Galé, T. J. Ransford, and M. C. White, *Weakly compact homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992), 815–824. MR **92h**:46074
12. F. Ghahramani and A. T.-M. Lau, *Isometric isomorphisms between the conjugate algebras of group algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **20** (1988), 342–344. MR **89e**:43008
13. F. Ghahramani, A. T.-M. Lau, and V. Losert, *Isometric isomorphisms between Banach algebras related to locally compact groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321** (1990), 273–283. MR **90m**:43010
14. F. Gourdeau, *Amenability of Banach algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 1989. MR **90a**:46125
15. N. Grønbaek, *Amenability of weighted discrete convolution algebras on cancellative semigroups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **110** (1988), 351–360. MR **89k**:43004
16. A. Ya. Helemskii, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989. MR **92d**:46178
17. B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1972). MR **51**:11130
18. ———, *Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 685–698. MR **47**:5598
19. ———, *Weak amenability of group algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 281–284. MR **92k**:43004
20. A. T.-M. Lau, *Continuity of Arens multiplication on the dual space of bounded uniformly continuous functions on locally compact groups and topological semigroups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **99** (1986), 273–283. MR **87i**:43001
21. A. T.-M. Lau and V. Losert, *On the second conjugate algebra of $L^1(\mathfrak{G})$ of a locally compact group*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1988), 464–470. MR **89e**:43007
22. A. T.-M. Lau and R. J. Loy, *Amenability of convolution algebras*, Math. Scand. (to appear).

23. D. R. Sherbert, *The structure of ideals and point derivatives in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1964), 240–272. MR **28**:4385
24. S. Wasserman, *On tensor products of certain group C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **23** (1976), 239–254.
25. N. J. Young, *The irregularity of multiplication in group algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **24** (1973), 59–62. MR **47**:9290

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND ASTRONOMY, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG,
CANADA R3T 2N2

E-mail address: ghahram@cc.umanitoba.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, ACT 0200, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: loyrmath@durras.anu.edu.au

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NEWCASTLE 2308, AUS-
TRALIA

E-mail address: george@frey.newcastle.edu.au