

## THE LARGENESS OF SETS OF POINTS WITH NON-DENSE ORBIT IN BASIC SETS ON SURFACES

YONG MOO CHUNG

(Communicated by Mary Rees)

ABSTRACT. We show that if  $f$  is a diffeomorphism of a closed surface and  $A$  is a basic set for  $f$ , then  $HD(\{x \in A : \text{the orbit of } x \text{ by } f \text{ is not dense in } A\}) = HD(A)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the largeness of the set of points with non-dense orbit for a given diffeomorphism of a compact manifold.

In general, if a homeomorphism defined on a compact metric space is topologically transitive, then such a set belongs to the first category. This fact means that it is a small set in the sense of general topology.

On the other hand, Urbański [6] has proved the following theorem: For a given transitive  $C^2$ -Anosov diffeomorphism of a compact manifold  $M$ , the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points with non-dense orbit is equal to  $\dim M$ . From this theorem we can say that it has the same ‘fatness’ as the manifold.

Let  $A$  be a basic set of a diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  of a closed manifold  $M$ . There is no way to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of  $A$  in general. However when  $M$  is a surface, McCluskey and Manning [5] have shown the Hausdorff dimension of  $A$  can be represented by the topological pressures of two continuous functions.

Using this result, we shall estimate a certain probability measure on  $A$  and show that the set of points with non-dense orbit for the restriction  $f|_A : A \rightarrow A$  has the same Hausdorff dimension as  $A$ .

### 2. DEFINITIONS AND THE RESULT

Let  $M$  be a closed manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  a diffeomorphism, and let  $A \subset M$  be a compact invariant set,  $fA = A$ . We say that  $A$  is *hyperbolic* for  $f$  if: (a) the tangent bundle of  $M$  restricted to  $A$  decomposes as a continuous direct sum,  $T_A M = E^s \oplus E^u$ , which is invariant by the differential of  $f$ ,  $Df$ ; (b) there exists a Riemannian metric (adapted metric) and a number  $0 < \gamma < 1$  such that  $\|Df(v)\| \leq \gamma\|v\|$  and  $\|Df^{-1}(u)\| \leq \gamma\|u\|$  for any  $v \in E^s, u \in E^u$ . Further we say that a hyperbolic set  $A$  for  $f$  is *isolated* if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $A$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f^n U = A$ .  $A \subset M$  is called a *basic set* for  $f$  if  $A$  is an isolated hyperbolic

---

Received by the editors August 8, 1994 and, in revised form, November 3, 1994.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58F15.

set for  $f$  and  $f|_A : A \rightarrow A$  is topologically transitive. We say that a basic set for  $f$  is *trivial* if it is a periodic orbit for  $f$ .

For each subset  $A$  of  $M$  and  $\alpha > 0$  we define the  $\alpha$ -measure of  $A$  by

$$m_\alpha(A) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} (\text{diam}U)^\alpha$$

where the infimum is taken over all countable covers  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $A$  by sets with diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . The *Hausdorff dimension* of  $A$  is defined by

$$HD(A) = \inf\{\alpha : m_\alpha(A) = 0\}.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of a Riemannian metric. Remark that for each  $A \subset B \subset M$ ,  $0 \leq HD(A) \leq HD(B) \leq HD(M) = \dim M$ ; also  $HD(A) = 0$  if  $A \subset M$  is at most countable.

Our purpose is to prove the following.

**Theorem.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^1$ -Hölder diffeomorphism of a closed surface  $M$  and  $\Lambda \subset M$  be a basic set for  $f$ . For each open set  $V \subset M$  which intersects with  $\Lambda$ ,*

$$HD(\{x \in V \cap \Lambda : \mathcal{O}_f(x) \text{ is not dense in } \Lambda\}) = HD(\Lambda)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_f(x)$  denotes the orbit of  $x$  by  $f$ .

*Remark.* When  $\Lambda = M$ , i.e.  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a transitive Anosov diffeomorphism, this result is the same as Urbański's theorem [6].

It is unknown that the theorem is extended on manifolds of higher dimension.

In order to prove the above theorem we need a few known results.

Let  $(\Sigma_A, \sigma)$  be a topologically mixing Markov subshift and  $\eta : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a Hölder continuous function. Denote for each  $\mathbf{a} = (a_i) \in \Sigma_A$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $k \leq l$ ,  $k[a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_l]_l = \{\mathbf{b} = (b_i) \in \Sigma_A : a_j = b_j \text{ for every } j = k, k + 1, \dots, l\}$ . Bowen [2] has proved that there is a unique Borel probability measure  $m$  called Gibbs measure on  $\Sigma_A$  for which one can find a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that for any  $\mathbf{a} = (a_i) \in \Sigma_A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{m([a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1}]_{n-1})}{\exp\{-P(\Sigma_A, \sigma, \eta)n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \eta(\sigma^j \mathbf{a})\}} \leq C$$

where  $P(\Sigma_A, \sigma, \eta)$  is the topological pressure of  $\eta$  for  $(\Sigma_A, \sigma)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure on a compact manifold  $M$  with distance  $d$  for which one can find constants  $h > 0$ ,  $D \geq 1$ , and  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $\mu(B_r(x)) \leq Dr^h$  for every  $x \in \text{supp}\mu$  and  $0 < r < r_0$  where  $B_r(x) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) \leq r\}$ . For any integer  $k \geq 1$  let  $E_k$  denote a finite collection of compact subsets of  $\text{supp}\mu$  with positive measure  $\mu$  and let  $\bigcup E_k$  denote the union of all elements of  $E_k$ . We assume that the collection  $E_k$  satisfies the following conditions:  $\bigcup E_1 = \text{supp}\mu$ ,  $\mu(F \cap G) = 0$  for  $F, G \in E_k$  with  $F \neq G$ , and every set  $H \in E_{k+1}$  is contained in a unique element  $I \in E_k$ . We write  $\Delta_k = \inf\{\text{density}(\bigcup E_{k+1}, F) : F \in E_{k+1}\}$  where  $\text{density}(\bigcup E_{k+1}, F) = \frac{\mu(\bigcup E_{k+1} \cap F)}{\mu(F)}$ , and  $d_k = \sup\{\text{diam}F : F \in E_k\}$  for every  $k \geq 1$ . It is known that if  $\Delta_k > 0$ ,  $d_k < 1$  for every  $k \geq 1$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_k = 0$ , then

$$HD\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup E_k\right) \geq h - \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \log \Delta_j}{\log d_k}.$$

This is a result by McMullen (see [6]).

In order to state the McCluskey-Manning theorem [5], let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism of a closed surface  $M$  and  $\Lambda \subset M$  be a basic set for  $f$  with  $\dim E^s = 1$ . Define  $\phi^{(u)}, \phi^{(s)} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\phi^{(u)}(x) = -\log \|D_x f|_{E^u}\|$ ,  $\phi^{(s)}(x) = -\log \|D_x f^{-1}|_{E^s}\|$ . Then there exist unique  $0 \leq \delta^u, \delta^s \leq 1$  such that

$$P(\Lambda, f|_\Lambda, \delta^u \phi^{(u)}) = 0, \quad P(\Lambda, f^{-1}|_\Lambda, \delta^s \phi^{(s)}) = 0 \text{ and } HD(\Lambda) = \delta^u + \delta^s.$$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We shall prove that

$$HD(\{x \in V \cap \Lambda : \mathcal{O}_f(x) \text{ is not dense in } \Lambda\}) \geq HD(\Lambda).$$

We can check the case  $\dim E^s = 0$  or  $\dim E^s = 2$ ,  $\Lambda$  is trivial. So,  $HD(\Lambda) = 0$  and there is nothing to prove. Thus we consider  $\dim E^s = 1$ .

Taking an adapted metric  $\|\cdot\|$ , there is a constant  $\beta > 1$  such that  $\min_{x \in \Lambda} \{\|D_x f\|, \|D_x f^{-1}\|\} > \beta$ . Remark that  $\|D_x f\| = \|D_x f|_{E^u}\|$ ,  $\|D_x f^{-1}\| = \|D_x f^{-1}|_{E^s}\|$ . Let  $d$  be the distance on  $M$  induced by  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\delta^u, \delta^s$  be as above. If  $\delta^u = 0$  or  $\delta^s = 0$ , then the topological entropy of  $(\Lambda, f|_\Lambda)$  is zero. Thus  $\Lambda$  is trivial, and  $HD(\Lambda) = 0$ . Therefore it suffices to check with the case  $\delta^u, \delta^s > 0$ . For convenience we may assume that  $f|_\Lambda : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  is topologically mixing. (Use the spectral decomposition [2] if necessary.)

Let  $0 < c < 1$  be an expansive constant of  $f|_\Lambda$ . As  $\Lambda$  is a basic set of a  $C^1$ -Hölder diffeomorphism  $f$ , we can choose  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < c/4$  so small as to satisfy  $y \mapsto \|D_y f\|, \|D_y f^{-1}\|$  are Hölder continuous functions on  $2\varepsilon_0$  neighborhood of  $\Lambda$ , and there is a  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $x, z \in \Lambda$  and  $d(f^k x, f^k z) \leq \varepsilon_0$  for  $k = -n, \dots, 0, \dots, n$ , then  $d(x, z) < \lambda^n$  (see [2]).

Let  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  be as above and pick a Markov partition  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, \dots, R_s\}$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\text{diam } \mathcal{R} < \varepsilon_0$  and  $\#\{1 \leq q \leq s : R_p \cap R_q = \emptyset\} > 0$  for every  $p = 1, 2, \dots, s$  (see [2]).

Let  $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq s}$  be the structure matrix of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $(\Sigma_A, \sigma)$  be the corresponding Markov subshift. We denote the set of all words of length  $n$  of  $\Sigma_A$  by  $\Sigma(n)$ . Since  $(\Sigma_A, \sigma)$  is topologically mixing, there is a  $K_0 \geq 2$  such that  $A^K > 0$ , i.e.  $(A^K)_{ij} > 0$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq s$  and  $K \geq K_0$ .

Let  $h : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Lambda$  be the continuous surjection which satisfies  $h \circ \sigma = f \circ h$  and  $\bigcap_{j=k}^l f^{-j} R_{a_j} = h_{(k}[a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_l]_l)$  for every  $(a_k \dots a_l) \in \Sigma(k+l+1)$ . We denote  $\mathcal{R}(k, l) = \{\bigcap_{j=k}^l f^{-j} R_{a_j} : (a_k \dots a_l) \in \Sigma(k+l+1)\}$  for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $k \leq l$ . Remark that  $h$  is Hölder continuous (see [4]) and that  $h$  is bounded finite to one (this is a Bowen's result, see [1]), so there is an integer  $e_0 > 0$  such that  $\#\{W \in \mathcal{R}(k, l) : x \in W\} \leq e_0$  for every  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $k \leq l$ . (If  $\Lambda$  is totally disconnected, then the map  $h$  is bijective.)

By choosing  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  small enough and reordering if necessary we can assume that  $R_1 \subset V \cap \Lambda$  holds.

Since  $P(\Sigma_A, \sigma, \delta^u \phi^{(u)} \circ h) = P(\Lambda, f|_\Lambda, \delta^u \phi^{(u)}) = 0$ , and  $\delta^u \phi^{(u)} \circ h$  is Hölder continuous, there is a Borel probability measure (Gibbs measure)  $m_+$  on  $\Sigma_A$  and a constant  $C_3 \geq 1$  such that

$$C_3^{-1} \leq \frac{m_+(0[a_0 \dots a_n]_n)}{\exp\{\sum_{j=0}^n \delta^u \phi^{(u)} \circ h(\sigma^j \mathbf{a})\}} \leq C_3$$

for every  $\mathbf{a} = (a_i) \in \Sigma_A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Similarly, we can find a Borel probability measure  $m_-$  on  $\Sigma_A$  and a constant  $C_4 \geq 1$  such that

$$C_4^{-1} \leq \frac{m_-(-n[a_{-n} \dots a_0]_0)}{\exp\{\sum_{j=0}^n \delta^s \phi^{(s)} \circ h(\sigma^{-j} \mathbf{a})\}} \leq C_4$$

for every  $\mathbf{a} = (a_i) \in \Sigma_A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Let  $m$  be a Borel probability measure on  $\Sigma_A$  such that

$$m(-k[a_{-k} \dots a_0 \dots a_l]_l) = \begin{cases} C_5 m_+(0[a_0 \dots a_l]_l) m_-(-k[a_{-k} \dots a_0]_0) & (a_0 = 1), \\ 0 & (a_0 \neq 1) \end{cases}$$

for each  $\mathbf{a} = (a_i) \in \Sigma_A$ ,  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $C_5 = m_+(0[1]_0)^{-1} m_-(0[1]_0)^{-1}$ .

Define a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $M$  by

$$\mu(A) = m(h^{-1}(A \cap \Lambda))$$

for each Borel set  $A$  of  $M$ . Clearly  $\text{supp} \mu = R_1$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f^{-i}(\partial \mathcal{R})) = 0$  where  $\partial \mathcal{R} = \{x \in \Lambda : x \in R_p \cap R_q \text{ for some } 1 \leq p < q \leq s\}$ .

If  $a_0 = 1$  and  $(a_{-k} \dots a_0 \dots a_l) \in \Sigma(k+l+1)$ ,  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then we have that for  $x \in \bigcap_{i=-k}^l f^{-i} R_{a_i}$ ,

$$C_1^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu(\bigcap_{i=-k}^l f^{-i} R_{a_i})}{\exp\{\sum_{i=0}^l \delta^u \phi^{(u)}(f^i x) + \sum_{j=0}^k \delta^s \phi^{(s)}(f^{-j} x)\}} \leq C_1$$

where  $C_1 = C_3 C_4 C_5$ . (Such a measure  $\mu$  has been constructed by Mañé in [4].)

**Lemma 1.** *There are constants  $C_2 \geq 1$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\mu(B_r(x)) \leq C_2 r^{HD(\Lambda)}$$

for all  $x \in R_1$  and  $0 < r < r_0$ .

*Remark.* The measure  $\mu$  is true that for some constant  $C_7 \leq 1$ ,

$$\mu(B_r(x)) \geq C_7 r^{HD(\Lambda)} \quad (x \in R_1, 0 < r < r_0).$$

Applying this  $\mu$  to McMullen's result, our result is obtained as follows.

Take an integer  $l_0 \geq 1$  so large as to satisfy  $C_1^2 l \beta^{-\delta^u l}$ ,  $C_1^2 l \beta^{-\delta^s l} < 1/4$  for all  $l \geq l_0$ .

As  $(\Sigma_A, \sigma)$  is topologically mixing, for some  $1 \leq p_0, p_1, p_2, q_0, q_1, q_2 \leq s$ ,

$$p_1 \neq p_2, \quad q_1 \neq q_2, \quad \text{and} \quad A_{p_0 p_1} = A_{p_0 p_2} = A_{q_1 q_0} = A_{q_2 q_0} = 1.$$

Fix an integer  $l > \max\{l_0, K_0\}$ , and pick  $(v_{-l} \dots v_0 \dots v_l) \in \Sigma(2l+1)$  with  $v_0 \neq 1$  and so that  $(p_0 p_1), (q_1 q_0)$  do not appear as any substring of  $(v_0 \dots v_l), (v_{-l} \dots v_0)$ , respectively. Set  $Y = \bigcap_{j=-l}^l f^{-j} R_{v_j}$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{R_1\}, \\ E_{k+1} &= E_{k+1}(l) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W = \bigcap_{j=-kl}^{kl} f^{-j} R_{a_j} : (a_{-kl} \dots a_0 \dots a_{kl}) \in \Sigma(2kl+1), \quad a_0 = 1, \\ f^n W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} = \emptyset \text{ for every } n = -kl+1, \dots, 0, \dots, kl-1 \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\overset{\circ}{Y}$  is the interior of  $Y$  in  $\Lambda$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then we can check that for each  $k \geq 1$ ,  $d_k < \lambda^{(k-1)l}$ .

**Lemma 2.** (1)  $\Delta_1 > 0$ , (2)  $\Delta_{k+1} \geq 1/2$  for every  $k \geq 1$ .

Therefore,

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \log \Delta_j}{\log d_k} \leq \frac{\log \Delta_1 + (k-1) \log 1/2}{(k-1)l \log \lambda}$$

for every  $k \geq 2$ . Other conditions of McMullen’s result are obviously satisfied.

On the other hand, since

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup E_k \subset \{x \in V \cap \Lambda : \mathcal{O}_f(x) \text{ is not dense in } \Lambda\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & HD(\{x \in V \cap \Lambda : \mathcal{O}_f(x) \text{ is not dense in } \Lambda\}) \\ & \geq HD\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup E_k\right) \\ & \geq HD(\Lambda) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Delta_1 + (k-1) \log 1/2}{(k-1)l \log \lambda} \\ & = HD(\Lambda) - \frac{\log 1/2}{l \log \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $l > \max\{l_0, K_0\}$  is arbitrary, our requirement is obtained.

4. PROOF OF LEMMA 1

Mañé [4] has proved Lemma 1 when  $\Lambda$  is totally disconnected. We give a proof of Lemma 1, for the general case, as follows.

Choose  $C_6 \geq 1$  such that for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$C_6^{-1} \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \|D_{f^j z} f\|}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \|D_{f^j x} f\|} \leq C_6$$

for all  $x \in \Lambda$ ,  $z \in M$  satisfying  $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} d(f^k x, f^k z) \leq \varepsilon_0$ , and

$$C_6^{-1} \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \|D_{f^{-j} w} f^{-1}\|}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \|D_{f^{-j} y} f^{-1}\|} \leq C_6$$

for all  $y \in \Lambda$ ,  $w \in M$  satisfying  $\max_{0 \leq k \leq m-1} d(f^{-k} y, f^{-k} w) \leq \varepsilon_0$ .

Put  $\delta_0 = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in R_p, y \in R_q, R_p \cap R_q = \emptyset, 1 \leq p < q \leq s\} > 0$  and  $r_0 = \min\{\delta_0/2, \varepsilon_0/2\} > 0$ .

**Step 1.** For each  $x \in \Lambda$ ,  $0 < r < r_0$ , and  $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $C_6 r \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} < r_0$  and  $C_6 r \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \phi^{(s)}(f^{-i} x)\} < r_0$ , then

$$B_r(x) \subset B_{r_0}^f(x, -l, n)$$

where  $B_{r_0}^f(x, -l, n) = \{z \in M : d(f^j x, f^j z) \leq r_0 \text{ for } j = -l, \dots, 0, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* We first show that for  $y \in B_r(x)$ ,  $d(f^j x, f^j y) \leq r_0$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, n$  if  $C_6 r \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} < r_0$ .

To see this, we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so small that  $C_6(r + \varepsilon) \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} < r_0$  and take a smooth curve  $\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\xi(0) = x$ ,  $\xi(1) = y$ , and  $\int_0^1 \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds \leq r + \varepsilon$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, \xi(t)) &\leq \int_0^t \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds \\ &\leq r + \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx, f\xi(t)) &\leq \int_0^t \|Df(\dot{\xi}(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|D_{\xi(s)}f\| \cdot \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds \\ &\leq C_6 \exp\{-\phi^{(u)}(x)\} \int_0^t \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that for each  $1 \leq k-1 < n$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ,

$$d(f^j x, f^j \xi(t)) \leq C_6 \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} \int_0^t \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^j x, f^j \xi(t)) &\leq C_6(r + \varepsilon) \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} \\ &< r_0 < \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^k x, f^k \xi(t)) &\leq \int_0^t \|Df^k(\dot{\xi}(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \|D_{f^i \xi(s)}f\| \cdot \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds \\ &\leq C_6 \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} \int_0^t \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds \end{aligned}$$

for each  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

Using the induction, for each  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^j x, f^j \xi(t)) &\leq C_6 \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} \int_0^t \|\dot{\xi}(s)\| ds \\ &\leq C_6(r + \varepsilon) \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\} < r_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $d(f^j x, f^j y) < r_0$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) if  $t = 1$ .

Similarly, we can check that  $d(f^{-j}x, f^{-j}y) < r_0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$  if  $C_6 r \exp\{-\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \phi^{(s)}(f^{-i}x)\} < r_0$ .  $\square$

**Step 2.** For each  $x \in \Lambda$ ,  $0 < r < r_0$ , let

$$n_1 = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : C_6 r \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=0}^n \phi^{(u)}(f^i x)\right\} \geq r_0 \right\},$$

$$n_2 = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : C_6 r \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=0}^n \phi^{(s)}(f^{-i} x)\right\} \geq r_0 \right\}.$$

Then there exist  $m = m(x, r_0, -n_2, n_1) \leq s^2 e_0$  and  $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{R}(-n_2, n_1)$  such that

$$W_k \cap B_{r_0}^f(x, -n_2, n_1) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for every } k = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$B_r(x) \cap \Lambda \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m W_k.$$

*Proof.* For  $y \in \Lambda$ , define  $\mathcal{R}_y = \{Q \in \mathcal{R} : R \cap Q \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } y \in R \in \mathcal{R}\}$  and  $P_y = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{R}_y} Q$ . Remark that  $P_y \subset B_{2e_0}(y) \cap \Lambda$ , and if  $z \in \Lambda, d(y, z) < \delta_0$ , then for any  $Q \in \mathcal{R}$  containing  $z$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{R}_y$ .

Put

$$\mathcal{P}(x, -n_2, n_1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W = \bigcap_{j=-n_2}^{n_1} f^{-j} R_{a_j} \in \mathcal{R}(-n_2, n_1) : \\ R_{a_j} \subset P_{f^j x} \quad \text{for every } j = -n_2, \dots, 0, \dots, n_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

By Step 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_r(x) \cap \Lambda &\subset B_{r_0}^f(x, -n_2, n_1) \cap \Lambda \\ &\subset \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{P}(x, -n_2, n_1)} W \\ &\subset \bigcap_{j=-n_2}^{n_1} f^{-j} P_{f^j x}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}(x, r_0, -n_2, n_1) = \{W \in \mathcal{P}(x, -n_2, n_1) : B_{r_0}^f(x, -n_2, n_1) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then we have that  $m = \#\mathcal{P}(x, r_0, -n_2, n_1) \leq s^2 e_0$ . To prove this, take  $l_1 = \#\mathcal{R}_{f^{n_1} x}$ ,  $l_2 = \#\mathcal{R}_{f^{-n_2} x}$ , and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{l_2} \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  such that  $P_{f^{n_1} x} = \bigcup_{p=1}^{l_1} R_{\alpha_p}$ ,  $P_{f^{-n_2} x} = \bigcup_{q=1}^{l_2} R_{\beta_q}$ .

Then,  $\mathcal{P}(x, r_0, -n_2, n_1) = \bigcup_{p=1}^{l_1} \bigcup_{q=1}^{l_2} \mathcal{Q}_{p,q}$  where  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q} = \{W \in \mathcal{P}(x, r_0, -n_2, n_1) : W \subset f^{n_2} R_{\beta_q} \cap f^{-n_1} R_{\alpha_p}\}$ .

Fix  $1 \leq p \leq l_1$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq l_2$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q} \neq \emptyset$ , and put  $n = n(p, q) = \#\mathcal{Q}_{p,q}$ . Let  $W_{p,q}^1, W_{p,q}^2, \dots, W_{p,q}^n$  denote all elements belonging to  $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q}$ .

We can choose  $(\alpha_p w_1 \dots w_{K_0-1} \beta_q) \in \Sigma(K_0 + 1)$  and take

$$z_{p,q}^i \in W_{p,q}^i \cap \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{K_0-1} f^{-n_1-k} R_{w_k} \right) \text{ such that } f^{n_1+n_2+K_0} z_{p,q}^i = z_{p,q}^i$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Then we have that for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^k z_{p,q}^i, f^k z_{p,q}^j) &\leq d(f^k z_{p,q}^i, f^k x) + d(f^k x, f^k z_{p,q}^j) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon_0 + 2\varepsilon_0 < c \\ &\text{for } k = -n_2, \dots, 0, \dots, n_1, \\ d(f^{n_1+k} z_{p,q}^i, f^{n_1+k} z_{p,q}^j) &\leq \text{diam} R_{w_k} < c \\ &\text{for } k = 1, \dots, K_0 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $z_{p,q}^i = z_{p,q}^j$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , and therefore

$$z_{p,q}^1 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n W_{p,q}^i = \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,q}} W.$$

Since  $\#\{W \in \mathcal{R}(-n_2, n_1) : z_{p,q}^1 \in W\} \leq e_0$ , we have  $\#\mathcal{Q}_{p,q} \leq e_0$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \#\mathcal{P}(x, r_0, -n_2, n_1) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{l_1} \sum_{q=1}^{l_2} \#\mathcal{Q}_{p,q} \leq s^2 e_0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Step 3.** *There exists  $C_2 \geq 1$  such that*

$$\mu(B_r(x)) \leq C_2 r^{HD(\Lambda)}$$

for all  $x \in R_1$  and  $0 < r < r_0$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in R_1$  and  $0 < r < r_0$ . Take  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $m \leq s^2 e_0$ , and  $W_1, \dots, W_m \in \mathcal{R}(-n_2, n_1)$  as Step 2. For  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , pick  $y_k \in W_k \cap B_{r_0}^f(x, -n_2, n_1)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i y_k) &\leq C_6 \exp \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \phi^{(u)}(f^i x), \\ \exp \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} \phi^{(s)}(f^{-j} y_k) &\leq C_6 \exp \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} \phi^{(s)}(f^{-j} x), \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(W_k) &\leq C_1 \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \delta^u \phi^{(u)}(f^i y_k) + \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} \delta^s \phi^{(s)}(f^{-j} y_k) \right\} \\ &\leq C_1 C_6^{\delta^u + \delta^s} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \delta^u \phi^{(u)}(f^i x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} \delta^s \phi^{(s)}(f^{-j} x) \right\} \\ &\leq C_1 C_6^{HD(\Lambda)} \left( \frac{C_6 r}{r_0} \right)^{\delta^u + \delta^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B_r(x)) &= \mu(B_r(x) \cap A) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(W_k) \leq C_2 r^{HD(A)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_2 = s^2 e_0 r_0^{-HD(A)} C_1 C_6^{2HD(A)}$ . □

5. PROOF OF LEMMA 2

*Proof of (1).* As  $l - 1 \geq K_0$ , we pick  $(a_{-l} \dots a_0 \dots a_l) \in \Sigma(2l + 1)$  with  $a_{-l} = q_1$ ,  $a_{-l+1} = q_0$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_{l-1} = p_0$ , and  $a_l = p_1$ .

Put  $W_0 = \bigcap_{j=-l}^l f^{-j} R_{a_j}$ ; then  $W_0 \in \bigcup E_2$  and so  $\Delta_1 = \frac{\mu(R_1 \cap \bigcup E_2)}{\mu(R_1)} \geq \mu(W_0) > 0$ . □

*Proof of (2).* Fix  $k \geq 1$  and  $W = \bigcap_{j=-kl}^{kl} f^{-j} R_{a_j} \in E_{k+1}$ ,  $(a_{-kl} \dots a_0 \dots a_{kl}) \in \Sigma(2kl + 1)$ . By the definition of  $E_{k+1}$ , we have that  $f^j W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} = \emptyset$  for  $j = -kl + 1, \dots, 0, \dots, kl - 1$  and that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-kl} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset &\text{ iff } (a_{(k-1)l} \dots a_{kl}) = (v_{-l} \dots v_0), \\ f^{-kl-1} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset &\text{ iff } (a_{(k-1)l+1} \dots a_{kl}) = (v_{-l} \dots v_{-1}), \\ &\dots \\ f^{-(k+1)l+1} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset &\text{ iff } (a_{kl-1} a_{kl}) = (v_{-l} v_{-l+1}), \\ f^{kl} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset &\text{ iff } (a_{-kl} \dots a_{-(k-1)l}) = (v_0 \dots v_l), \\ f^{kl+1} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset &\text{ iff } (a_{-kl} \dots a_{-(k-1)l-1}) = (v_1 \dots v_l), \\ &\dots \\ f^{(k+1)l-1} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset &\text{ iff } (a_{-kl} a_{-kl+1}) = (v_{l-1} v_l). \end{aligned}$$

For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, l - 1$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} W_j^- &= \begin{cases} h_{(-kl[a_{-kl} \dots a_0 \dots a_{kl} v_{-j+1} \dots v_{-j+l}]_{(k+1)l})} & (f^{-kl-j} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset), \\ \emptyset & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \\ W_j^+ &= \begin{cases} h_{(-(k+1)l[v_{j-1} \dots v_{j-1} a_{-kl} \dots a_0 \dots a_{kl}]_{kl})} & (f^{kl+j} W \cap \overset{\circ}{Y} \neq \emptyset), \\ \emptyset & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $W \setminus \bigcup E_{k+2} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{l-1} (W_j^- \cup W_j^+)$ , and take  $x \in W_j^- \subset W$  if  $W_j^- \neq \emptyset$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, l - 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(W_j^-)}{\mu(W)} &\leq \frac{C_1 \exp\{\sum_{i=0}^{(k+1)l} \delta^u \phi^{(u)}(f^i x) + \sum_{j=0}^{kl} \delta^s \phi^{(s)}(f^{-j} x)\}}{C_1^{-1} \exp\{\sum_{i=0}^{kl} \delta^u \phi^{(u)}(f^i x) + \sum_{j=0}^{kl} \delta^s \phi^{(s)}(f^{-j} x)\}} \\ &= C_1^2 \exp\left\{ \sum_{i=kl+1}^{(k+1)l} \delta^u \phi^{(u)}(f^i x) \right\} \\ &\leq C_1^2 \beta^{-\delta^u l} \leq \frac{1}{4l}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for every  $j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$

$$\frac{\mu(W_j^+)}{\mu(W)} \leq C_1^2 \beta^{-\delta^s l} \leq \frac{1}{4l}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(\bigcup E_{k+2} \cap W)}{\mu(W)} &= 1 - \frac{\mu(W \setminus \bigcup E_{k+2})}{\mu(W)} \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left( \frac{\mu(W_j^-)}{\mu(W)} + \frac{\mu(W_j^+)}{\mu(W)} \right) \geq 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\Delta_{k+1} \geq 1/2$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . □

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Aoki, *Topological Dynamics*, Topics in General Topology, North-Holland (1989), 625–740. MR **91m**:58120
2. R. Bowen, *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms*, Springer Lect. Notes. **470** (1975). MR **56**:1364
3. M. Brin and A. Katok, *On local entropy*, in "Geometric Dynamics", Springer Lect. Notes. **1007** (1983), 30–38. MR **85c**:58063
4. R. Mañé, *The Hausdorff dimension of horseshoes of diffeomorphisms of surfaces*, Bol. Soc. Bras. Math. **20**, **2** (1990), 1–24. MR **92k**:58200
5. H. McCluskey and A. Manning, *Hausdorff dimension for horseshoes*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **3** (1983), 251–260. MR **85j**:58127
6. M. Urbański, *The Hausdorff dimension of the set of points with non-dense orbit under a hyperbolic dynamical system*, Nonlinearity **4** (1991), 385–397. MR **92k**:58204
7. P. Walters, *A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1976), 937–971. MR **52**:11006
8. L. S. Young, *Dimension, entropy and Lyapunov exponents*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **2** (1982), 109–124. MR **84h**:58087

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1,  
HACHIOJI-SHI, TOKYO, 192-03 JAPAN

*E-mail address*: chong@math.metro-u.ac.jp