

## A NOTE ON FUCHS' PROBLEM 34

U. F. ALBRECHT AND H. P. GOETERS

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

ABSTRACT. We investigate to what extent an abelian group  $G$  is determined by the homomorphism groups  $\text{Hom}(G, B)$  where  $B$  is chosen from a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of abelian groups. In particular, we address Problem 34 in Professor Fuchs' book which asks if  $\mathcal{X}$  can be chosen in such a way that the homomorphism groups determine  $G$  up to isomorphism. We show that there is a negative answer to this question. On the other hand, there is a set  $\mathcal{X}$  which determines the torsion-free groups of finite rank up to quasi-isomorphism.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Of fundamental importance in the study of abelian groups is the structure of the homomorphism group  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$ . Naturally one would like to know the extent to which  $A$  is determined by the structure of the groups  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  where  $G$  is chosen from a given set  $\mathcal{X}$  of abelian groups. In particular, is it possible to choose a set  $\mathcal{X}$  in such a way that  $A$  is determined up to isomorphism by the groups  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  for  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ , as has been asked in Problem 34 of [4]? The subject of this paper is to address these questions for  $p$ -groups and torsion-free groups of finite rank.

The first result of Section 2 shows that it is not possible to give a positive answer to [4, Problem 34]: For any set  $\mathcal{X}$  of abelian groups, there are non-isomorphic reduced abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  such that  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ . We, therefore, turn to the problem of describing properties shared by abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  for which  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G$  in a given set of abelian groups. In Theorem 2.2, we characterize those collections  $\mathcal{X}$  of abelian  $p$ -groups such that the groups  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  for  $G \in \mathcal{X}$  determine the finite Ulm-Kaplansky invariants  $\{f_n(A) | n < \omega\}$ . Unfortunately, the characterization is valid only under the additional assumption that the weak Continuum Hypothesis ( $2^\kappa = 2^\lambda \Rightarrow \kappa = \lambda$ ) holds. We show that there exists a model of ZFC in which this characterization fails (Corollary 2.6). As a consequence of the proof of Corollary 2.6, we obtain that there are non-isomorphic abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  such that, for any set  $\mathcal{X}$  of abelian groups, there is a model of ZFC in which  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Section 3 addresses Problem 34 for torsion-free groups of finite rank. The restriction to finite rank is necessary to avoid set-theoretic problems similar to those described in the context of  $p$ -groups. Our arguments are general enough to hold for

---

Received by the editors October 1, 1993 and, in revised form, December 12, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20K15, 20K30; Secondary 20J05.

*Key words and phrases*. Homomorphism group, Martin's Axiom,  $p$ -group, torsion-free group.

modules over integral domains. We want to remind the reader that the concepts of quasi-isomorphism and quasi-equality carry over from torsion-free abelian groups to torsion-free modules over an integral domain  $R$ . Theorem 3.2 shows that two torsion-free  $R$ -modules  $A$  and  $C$  whose ranks do not exceed  $m < \omega$  are determined up to quasi-isomorphism by the modules  $\text{Hom}_R(A, G)$  where  $G \in \mathcal{X} = \{G \mid G \text{ is torsion-free and } r_0(G) \leq m\}$ . Example 3.5 shows that the set  $\mathcal{X}$  does not determine  $A$  and  $C$  up to isomorphism in general.

## 2. A NEGATIVE ANSWER TO FUCHS' PROBLEM 34

The first result of this section shows that there is a negative answer to [4, Problem 34]. Since the groups  $A$  and  $C$  which are to be constructed will be  $p$ -groups, it is enough to restrict our attention to the case that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set of abelian  $p$ -groups. In the following, we write  $f_\sigma(A)$  for the  $\sigma$ th-Ulm-Kaplansky-Invariant  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} p^\sigma A[p]/p^{\sigma+1}A[p]$  of an abelian  $p$ -group  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any set  $\mathcal{X}$  of reduced abelian  $p$ -groups, there exist two nonisomorphic, totally projective  $p$ -groups  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that the groups  $\text{Hom}(A_1, G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(A_2, G)$  are isomorphic for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau = \sup\{\text{length}(G) \mid G \in \mathcal{X}\}$ , and choose a cardinal  $\kappa > \tau + \omega$ . For an ordinal  $\sigma < \tau$ , define  $g_1(\sigma) = g_2(\sigma) = \kappa$ . For  $n < \omega$ , set  $g_1(\tau + n) = \aleph_0$  if  $n$  is even, and  $g_1(\tau + n) = 0$  if  $n$  is odd. Similarly,  $g_2(\tau + n) = 0$  for even  $n$  and  $\aleph_0$  for odd  $n$ . Clearly,  $\sup\{\sigma + 1 \mid g_i(\sigma) \neq 0\} = \tau + \omega$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma$  is an ordinal with  $\sigma + \omega < \tau + \omega$ , then  $\sigma < \tau$  and  $\sum_{n < \omega} g_i(\sigma + n) \geq g_i(\sigma) = \kappa$  while  $\sum_{\rho \geq \sigma + \omega} g_i(\rho) \leq \kappa$ . This shows that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are  $\tau + \omega$ -admissible functions. By [4, Theorem 83.6], there are non-isomorphic totally projective  $p$ -groups  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  of length  $\tau + \omega$  such that  $f_\sigma(A_i) = g_i(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma < \tau + \omega$ .

Observe that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  have  $p$ -basic subgroups  $B'_1$  and  $B'_2$  isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{n < \omega} \bigoplus_{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{n < \omega} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  contains a proper  $p$ -basic subgroup, we can find a  $p$ -basic subgroup  $B_i$  of  $B'_i$  with  $B'_i/B_i \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ . Since  $|A_i| = \sum_{\sigma < \tau + \omega} g_i(\sigma) = \kappa$ , we have  $A_i/B_i \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ . If  $D$  is a divisible  $p$ -group, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(A_i, D) &\cong \text{Hom}(B_i, D) \oplus \text{Hom}(A_i/B_i, D) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(B_i, D) \oplus \left[ \prod_{\kappa} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty), D) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\text{Hom}(A_1, D) \cong \text{Hom}(A_2, D)$ .

Let  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ . Write  $G = D \oplus E$  such that  $D$  is divisible and  $E$  is reduced. By what has been shown so far, it is enough to establish  $\text{Hom}(A_1, E) \cong \text{Hom}(A_2, E)$ . The choice of  $\tau$  guarantees that  $E$  is a reduced  $p$ -group of length at most  $\tau$ . Therefore,  $\text{Hom}(A_i, E) \cong \text{Hom}(A_i/p^\tau A_i, E)$ . Since  $A_1/p^\tau A_1 \cong A_2/p^\tau A_2$ , we indeed have  $\text{Hom}(A_1, E) \cong \text{Hom}(A_2, E)$ .  $\square$

We now investigate properties shared by  $p$ -groups  $A$  and  $C$  for which  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G$  in a family  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $p$ -groups. Our first result shows that the finite Ulm-Kaplansky invariants can be recovered in this way:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Consider the following conditions for a class  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $p$ -groups:*

- (a) *If abelian  $p$ -groups  $A$  and  $C$  satisfy  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ , then  $f_n(A) = f_n(C)$  for all  $n < \omega$ .*

(b) For every  $0 < n < \omega$ , there is a group  $G \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $0 < |p^n G[p]| < \infty$  and  $f_{n-1}(G) = 0$ .

Then, (a) always implies (b), and the converse holds if one assumes the weak GCH, i.e.  $\kappa < \lambda$  implies  $2^\kappa < 2^\lambda$  for all cardinals  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be any abelian  $p$ -group with  $p^n G[p]$  finite; and choose a  $p$ -basic subgroup  $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n$  of  $G$  where  $B_n \cong \bigoplus_{I_n} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$  for some index-set  $I_n$ . Then,  $G = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n \oplus D$  for some subgroup  $D$  of  $G$ . Since  $D$  has no cyclic summands of order less than  $p^{n+1}$  and  $p^n D[p]$  is finite,  $D = T \oplus [\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)]$  where  $T$  is a finite group and  $m < \omega$ .

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Suppose that (b) fails for the positive integer  $n$ . We consider the groups  $A_i = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}(p^i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $i = n+1$ . Set  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^n) \oplus A_{n+1}$  and  $C = A \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ . Since  $f_{n-1}(A) = 1$  and  $f_{n-1}(C) = 2$ , there is a group  $G \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \not\cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$ . If  $p^n G[p] = 0$ , then  $p^n G = 0$ , so that any map from  $A_{n+1}$  into  $G$  contains  $p^n A = p^n A_{n+1}$  in its kernel. Consequently,  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(A' \oplus (A_{n+1}/p^n A_{n+1}), G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(C, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C' \oplus (A_{n+1}/p^n A_{n+1}), G)$  where  $A' = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$  and  $C' = A' \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ . However,  $A' \oplus (A_{n+1}/p^n A_{n+1}) \cong C' \oplus (A_{n+1}/p^n A_{n+1})$ , so that  $G[p^n] = 0$  is impossible. Suppose that  $p^n G[p]$  is infinite, and choose a  $p$ -basic subgroup  $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n$  of  $G$  where each  $B_n$  is a direct sum of cyclics of order  $p^n$ . We write  $G = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n \oplus E$  for some subgroup  $E$  of  $G$ . Observe  $\text{Hom}(A_i, G) \cong \prod_\omega \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(p^i), G) \cong \prod_\omega G[p^i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1, n+1$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(p^n), G) \cong G[p^n] = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n \oplus E[p^n]$ . We can write  $E[p^n] = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$  where each  $L_i$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups of order  $p^i$ . By Szele's Theorem,  $B_i \oplus L_i$  is a direct summand of  $G[p^i]$ , and hence  $\prod_\omega G[p^i] \oplus B_i \oplus L_i \cong \prod_\omega G[p^i]$ . This shows  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \prod_\omega [\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} G[p^i] \oplus G[p^{n+1}]] \oplus B_n \oplus L_n$  while  $\text{Hom}(C, G) \cong \text{Hom}(A, G) \oplus B_n \oplus L_n$ . Once we have shown that  $B_n \oplus L_n$  is infinite, we obtain  $(B_n \oplus L_n)^2 \cong B_n \oplus L_n$  and  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$ .

If  $B_n \oplus E$  has an infinite  $p$ -basic subgroup  $B'$ , then  $B'$  has no cyclic direct summands of order less than  $p^n$ . In particular,  $B'[p^n]$  is an infinite direct sum of groups isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ . By Szele's Theorem,  $B'[p^n]$  is a direct summand of  $G[p^n]$ , which is only possible if  $B_n \oplus L_n$  is infinite. If  $B_n \oplus E$  has a finite  $p$ -basic subgroup, then  $B_n \oplus E \cong T \oplus \bigoplus_I \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  for some index-set  $I$  and some finite group  $T$ . If  $I$  were finite, then  $p^n G = p^n E$  would have a finite  $p$ -socle, contrary to our assumption. Thus,  $I$  is infinite, and  $B_n \oplus E$  contains a subgroup  $D$  which is an infinite direct sum of cyclic groups of order  $p^n$ . As before,  $D$  is a direct summand of  $G[p^n]$ , and  $B_n \oplus L_n$  is infinite. Consequently,  $p^n G[p]$  is finite.

Since (b) fails for  $n$  and  $0 < |p^n G[p]| < \infty$ , we have  $f_{n-1}(G) \neq 0$ . Since  $E$  has no cyclic summands of order less than  $p^{n+1}$ , we obtain  $p^{n-1} E[p] = p^n E[p]$ . Therefore,  $p^{n-1} G[p]/p^n G[p] \cong p^{n-1} B_n[p]$  is non-zero. By the initial remarks to this proof,  $E$  is finitely cogenerated; and  $L_n$  is finite. We write  $G[p^{n+1}] = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n \oplus K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_{n+1}$  where  $K_i \cong \bigoplus_{J_i} \mathbb{Z}(p^i)$  is a subgroup of  $E$ . Since  $B_n \neq 0$ , we have  $[\prod_\omega B_n] \oplus B_n \oplus L_n \cong \prod_\omega B_n$ , and  $[\prod_\omega G[p^{n+1}]] \oplus B_n \oplus L_n \cong \prod_\omega G[p^{n+1}]$ . This shows  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \prod_\omega [\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} G[p^i] \oplus G[p^{n+1}]] \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$ . The resulting contradiction establishes (b).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Assume the weak continuum hypotheses. Let  $A$  and  $C$  be abelian  $p$ -groups with  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ . Choose a  $p$ -basic subgroup  $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n$  of  $A$  with  $B_n$  a direct sum of cyclics of order  $p^n$ . If  $B_n \bigoplus_{\sigma_n} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ , then  $f_{n-1}(A) = \sigma_n$ . Choose a group  $G \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $0 < |p^n G[p]| < \infty$  and  $f_{n-1}(G) =$

0. By the initial remarks of this proof,  $G = D_0 \oplus [\bigoplus_{i=1}^m D_i] \oplus S$  where  $p^{n-1}D_0 = 0$ ,  $D_i \cong \bigoplus_{t_i} \mathbb{Z}(p^{s_i})$  with integers  $t_i > 0$  and  $s_i > n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $S \cong \bigoplus_r \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  for some  $r < \omega$ . Observe that  $G$  has no direct summand isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$  since  $f_{n-1}(G) = 0$ . We now determine the  $(n-1)^{st}$ -Ulm-Kaplansky-invariant of the torsion subgroup  $t\text{Hom}(A, G)$  of  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$ :

Write  $A = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n \oplus E$ , and obtain a decomposition

$$\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_{n-1}, G) \oplus \text{Hom}(B_n \oplus E, G).$$

Since the first summand in this direct sum is annihilated by  $p^{n-1}$ , we have

$$f_{n-1}(t\text{Hom}(A, G)) = f_{n-1}(t\text{Hom}(B_n \oplus E, G))$$

is the sum of  $f_{n-1}(\text{Hom}(B_n, \bigoplus_{i=1}^m D_i \oplus S))$  and  $f_{n-1}(t\text{Hom}(E, \bigoplus_{i=1}^m D_i \oplus S))$ . To show that the second term vanishes, we observe that

$$\text{Hom}(E, D_i) \cong \text{Hom}(E/p^{s_i}E, D_i) \cong \text{Hom}\left(\bigoplus_{j=n+1}^\infty B_j/p^{s_i}B_j, D_i\right)$$

is bounded, and hence a direct sum of cyclic groups of order at least  $p^{n+1}$ . Therefore,  $f_{n-1}(\text{Hom}(E, D_i)) = 0$ . If  $S \neq 0$ , then

$$\text{Hom}(E, S) \cong \bigoplus_r [\Pi_{j \geq n+1}(\Pi_{L_j} \mathbb{Z}(p^j)) \oplus \Pi_L J_p]$$

for index-sets  $L$  and  $L_{n+1}, \dots$ . Therefore,  $\bigoplus_r [\bigoplus_{j \geq n+1}(\Pi_{L_j} \mathbb{Z}(p^j))]$  is a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $t\text{Hom}(E, S)$ . Consequently,  $f_{n-1}(t\text{Hom}(E, S)) = 0$ . This shows

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n-1}(t\text{Hom}(A, G)) &= f_{n-1}\left(\text{Hom}\left(B_n, \bigoplus_{i=1}^m D_i \oplus S\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma_n(t_1 + \dots + t_m + r) & \text{if } \sigma_n \text{ is finite,} \\ 2^{\sigma_n} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If  $f_{n-1}(C) = \tau_n$ , then a similar computation shows that  $\sigma_n$  is infinite if and only if  $\tau_n$  is. For finite values of  $\sigma_n$ , we have  $\sigma_n = \tau_n$  since  $t_1 + \dots + t_n + r \neq 0$ . If  $\sigma_n$  is infinite, then  $2^{\sigma_n} = 2^{\tau_n}$  yields  $\sigma_n = \tau_n$  because we assume the weak GCH.  $\square$

The weak GCH in the proof of implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) in the last result can be removed if  $\mathcal{X}$  contains all bounded  $p$ -groups. We want to remind the reader that a homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$  between  $p$ -groups is small if, for every  $m < \omega$ , there is  $n < \omega$  such that  $\alpha(p^n A[p^m]) = 0$ . The collection of all small homomorphisms between  $G$  and  $H$  forms a subgroup of  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  which is denoted by  $\text{Hom}_S(G, H)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a class of  $p$ -groups which contains all bounded  $p$ -groups. The following conditions are equivalent for abelian  $p$ -groups  $A$  and  $C$ :*

- (a)  $f_n(A) = f_n(C)$  for all  $n < \omega$ .
- (b)  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all torsion-complete  $p$ -groups  $G$ .
- (c)  $\text{Hom}_S(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}_S(C, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ .
- (d)  $t\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong t\text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): If  $B$  is a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $A$ , then  $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty B_n$  with  $B_n \cong \bigoplus_{f_{n-1}(A)} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ . Since torsion-complete groups are injective with respect to pure-exact sequences of abelian  $p$ -groups, we obtain an exact sequence  $\text{Hom}(A/B, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, G) \rightarrow 0$ . If  $G$  is torsion-complete, then  $G$  is reduced so that

$\text{Hom}(A/B, G) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  is completely determined by  $B$ . Since  $A$  and  $C$  have isomorphic  $p$ -basic subgroups, we have  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$ .

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let  $G$  be in  $\mathcal{X}$ . As before, let  $B$  be a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $A$ . We consider the induced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A/B, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, G) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}(B, G)$  in which  $\alpha$  is the restriction map. Clearly,  $\alpha(\text{Hom}_S(A, G)) \subseteq \text{Hom}_S(B, G)$ . By [4], for every small homomorphism  $\sigma : B \rightarrow G$ , there is a small homomorphism  $\tau : A \rightarrow G$  with  $\tau|_B = \sigma$ . Hence,  $\alpha(\text{Hom}_S(A, G)) = \text{Hom}_S(B, G)$ . Let  $\sigma : A \rightarrow G$  be a small homomorphism with  $\sigma(B) = 0$ . For any  $m < \omega$ , there is an  $n < \omega$  with  $\sigma(p^n A[p^m]) = 0$ . Let  $a \in A[p^m]$ . Since  $p^n A + B = A$ , we can write  $a = p^n a' + b$  for some  $a' \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . We have  $p^{n+m} a' + p^m b = 0$ . Therefore, there is  $b' \in B$  with  $p^{n+m} a' = p^{n+m} b'$ . Then,  $p^n a' - p^n b' \in p^n A[p^m]$ . Thus,  $\sigma(a) = \sigma(p^n a' - p^n b') + \sigma(b + p^n b') = 0$ . This shows that  $\alpha : \text{Hom}_S(A, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(B, G)$  is an isomorphism, which establishes  $\text{Hom}_S(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}_S(C, G)$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d): Since every torsion element of  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  is a small homomorphism, the given isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_S(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}_S(C, G)$  induces the desired isomorphism between  $t\text{Hom}(A, G)$  and  $t\text{Hom}(C, G)$ .

(b) or (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Suppose that one of the two conditions holds, and let  $n$  be given. For a chosen cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $G = \bigoplus_{\kappa} \mathbb{Z}(p^{n+1})$ , an element of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then,  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  is bounded, and hence  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$ . In a way similar to that used in the proof of implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) of Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$f_n(\text{Hom}(A, G)) = \begin{cases} f_n(A)\kappa & \text{if } f_n(A) \text{ is finite,} \\ \kappa^{f_n(A)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $f_n(A)$  is finite, we choose  $\kappa$  to be finite, from which  $f_n(A) = f_n(C)$  follows. We now consider the case that  $f_n(A)$  and  $f_n(C)$  are infinite. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $f_n(A) \leq f_n(C)$ . Let  $\kappa$  be a strong limit cardinal with  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = (f_n(A))^+$  and  $f_n(C) < \kappa$ . For every  $\lambda < \kappa$  we have  $\lambda^{f_n(C)} < \kappa$  since  $\kappa$  is a strong limit cardinal. Therefore,  $\kappa^{f_n(C)} = \kappa^{\text{cf}(\kappa)} > \kappa$  by König's Theorem. On the other hand, if  $f_n(A) < f_n(C)$ , then  $\kappa^{f_n(A)} = \kappa$ . This shows  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \not\cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $f_n(A) = f_n(C)$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of the arguments used in the proof of the last implication of Theorem 2.3, we obtain

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $C$  be either both direct sums of cyclics or both torsion-complete. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A \cong C$ .
- (b)  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $G$  which are direct sums of cyclics.
- (c)  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $G$ .

However, without some immediate restrictions on the structure of  $A$  as in Corollary 2.4, it is impossible to show that  $A$  is determined up to isomorphism by the structure of the groups  $\{\text{Hom}(A, G) \mid G \text{ is a } p\text{-group}\}$ .

**Example 2.5.** *There exist abelian  $p$ -groups  $A$  and  $C$  such that  $f_n(A) = f_n(C)$  for all  $n < \omega$ , but  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \not\cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for some  $p$ -group  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$  and  $C$  be the torsion-complete group with  $p$ -basic subgroup  $A$ . Then,  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})) \cong \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(p^n)$  has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}))$  contains  $\text{Hom}(C/A, \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}))$  as a subgroup. Since

$C/A \cong \bigoplus_{2^{\aleph_0}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ , we have  $|\text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty))| \geq |\prod_{2^{\aleph_0}} J_p| = 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)) \not\cong \text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty))$ , while  $f_n(A) = f_n(C) = 1$  for all  $n < \omega$ .  $\square$

Although Theorem 2.3 shows that it is possible to remove the set-theoretic assumptions from Theorem 2.2 if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a class which contains all bounded  $p$ -groups, this is not possible if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set as is required in [4, Problem 34]:

**Corollary 2.6.** (a) *The following conditions are equivalent if both  $A$  and  $C$  are countable  $p$ -groups or the weak GCH holds:*

- (i)  $f_n(A) = f_n(C)$  for all  $n < \omega$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all cyclic  $p$ -groups  $G$ .
- (iii)  $\text{char}(A) \cong \text{char}(C)$ .

(b) *Let  $\aleph_\nu$  be an uncountable cardinal number, and assume that Martin's Axiom and  $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_\nu$  hold in addition to ZFC. There exist abelian  $p$ -groups  $A$  and  $C$  with  $|A|, |C| \leq \aleph_\nu$  which satisfy  $f_0(A) \neq f_0(C)$  and  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all abelian groups  $G$  with  $|G| \leq \aleph_\nu$ .*

*Proof.* (a) It remains to consider the case that  $A$  and  $C$  are countable: The arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.3 can be used to establish  $f_n(A) = f_n(C)$  if  $f_n(A)$  or  $f_n(C)$  is finite. Therefore,  $f_n(A)$  and  $f_n(C)$  are either both finite or both infinite. They have to coincide in the latter case since  $f_n(A)$  and  $f_n(C)$  are countable.

(b) Let  $A = \bigoplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z}(p)$  and  $C = \bigoplus_{\aleph_1} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ . If  $G[p] = 0$ , then  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G) = 0$ . If  $|G| \leq \aleph_\nu$  and  $G[p] \neq 0$ , then  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \prod_{\aleph_0} G[p]$  has cardinality  $|G[p]|^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$  since  $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_\nu$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Hom}(C, G)$  has cardinality  $|G[p]|^{\aleph_1} = 2^{\aleph_1}$  for these  $G$  by the same argument. By the Martin-Solovay Theorem [5, Theorem 52],  $2^{\aleph_1} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , and  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(C, G)$  are isomorphic since both are  $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ -vector-spaces.  $\square$

In particular, the abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  given in the proof of part (b) of the last corollary have the following property: For a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of abelian groups, choose an uncountable cardinal number  $\aleph_\nu$  with  $\aleph_\nu > \sup\{|G| \mid G \in \mathcal{X}\}$ . By part (b) of the last corollary, we can find a model of ZFC in which  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{X}$ .

As a consequence of the last result, we obtain that the statement “Two  $p$ -groups  $A$  and  $C$  which are either torsion-complete or a direct sum of cyclics are isomorphic if and only if  $\text{char}(A) \cong \text{char}(C)$ ” is undecidable in ZFC.

### 3. TORSION-FREE GROUPS

In this section, we restrict our attention to the case in which we compare the groups  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  and  $\text{Hom}(C, B)$  for  $B$  chosen from a family of torsion-free abelian groups. The first result is categorical in nature:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Two  $R$ -modules  $A$  and  $C$  are isomorphic if and only if the modules  $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(C, B)$  are naturally isomorphic for all  $R$ -modules  $B$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\phi : A \rightarrow C$  is an isomorphism, then the induced isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}(\phi, B) : \text{Hom}_R(C, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, B)$$

are natural.

Conversely, consider a collection  $\{\Phi_B : \text{Hom}_R(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, B) \mid B \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}$  of natural isomorphisms. Let  $\alpha = \Phi_C^{-1}(1_C)$  and  $\beta = \Phi_A(1_A)$ . By the naturality of the maps  $\Phi$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, \alpha)} & \text{Hom}_R(A, A) \\ \Phi_A \Big\downarrow \wr & & \Phi_C \Big\downarrow \wr \\ \text{Hom}_R(C, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(C, \alpha)} & \text{Hom}_R(C, C) \end{array}$$

commutes. Thus,  $[\Phi_C \text{Hom}(A, \alpha)](1_A) = [\text{Hom}(C, \alpha)\Phi_A(1_A)]$ , from which we obtain

$$1_C = \Phi_C(\alpha) = \Phi_C \text{Hom}(A, \alpha)(1_A) = \text{Hom}(C, \alpha)\Phi_A(1_A) = \alpha\beta.$$

Since the maps  $\Phi k_B^{-1}$  also form a natural collection of isomorphisms, we obtain  $\beta\alpha = 1$  in the same way. □

Evidently, the last theorem carries over literally to the quasi-category of torsion-free modules over an integral domain  $R$ . However, we can improve it in this case by not only removing the naturality requirement on the isomorphism between  $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(C, A)$ , but also by restricting the ranks of the modules  $B$  which need to be considered. We want to remind the reader that the rank of a torsion-free module  $M$  over an integral domain  $R$  is the dimension of the vector-space  $Q(R) \otimes_R M$  where  $Q(R)$  is the field of quotients of  $R$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain, and  $m < \omega$ . Two torsion-free  $R$  modules  $A$  and  $C$  of rank at most  $m$  are quasi-isomorphic if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(C, B)$  are quasi-isomorphic for all torsion-free  $R$ -modules  $B$  whose rank is at most  $m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $M$  be torsion-free  $R$ -modules whose ranks are at most  $m$ . It is enough to verify that  $A$  and  $C$  are quasi-isomorphic when  $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(C, B)$  are quasi-isomorphic for each torsion-free module  $B$  of rank not exceeding  $m$ . The  $A$ -socle of  $M$ , which is denoted by  $S_A(M)$ , is defined to be  $\text{Hom}_R(A, M)A$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_R(A, C) = \text{Hom}_R(A, S_A(C))$ , we have that  $\text{Hom}_R(C, S_A(C))$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  are quasi-isomorphic  $R$ -modules. Observing that the module  $\text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  has finite rank, we obtain that  $\text{Hom}_R(C, S_A(C))$  contains  $d\text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  for some non-zero  $d \in R$ . Therefore,  $d1_C \in \text{Hom}_R(C, S_A(C))$ . This shows  $dC \subseteq S_A(C)$ . Likewise,  $d'A \subseteq S_C(A)$  for some non-zero  $d' \in R$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  and  $C = C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_m$  where each  $A_j$  and  $C_i$  is a strongly indecomposable  $R$ -module since  $Q(R)E_R(A)$  and  $Q(R)E_R(C)$  are Artinian rings. Let  $N$  be the nilradical of the endomorphism ring,  $E_R(C)$ , of  $C$ . We know that  $N$  contains  $\text{Hom}_R(C_i, C_j)$  whenever  $C_i$  is not quasi-isomorphic to  $C_j$ . Also, for quasi-isomorphic pairs  $C_i$  and  $C_j$ , the ideal  $N$  contains all those elements of  $\text{Hom}_R(C_i, C_j)$  which are not monomorphisms.

Since  $N$  is nilpotent,  $C/NC$  has positive torsion-free rank. If this were false, then  $C/N^k C$  would be a torsion module for all  $k < \omega$  which is not possible. Since  $S_C(A) \doteq A$  and  $S_A(C) \doteq C \not\subseteq NC$ , there is a map  $\phi : S_C(A) \rightarrow C$  such that  $\text{Im } \phi \not\subseteq NC$ . Since  $S_C(A) = S_C(A_1) \oplus \dots \oplus S_C(A_n)$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $\phi(S_C(A_1)) \not\subseteq NC$ . Moreover,  $S_C(A_1) = \Sigma S_{C_j}(A_1)$  yields  $\phi(S_{C_j}(A_1)) \not\subseteq NC$  for some  $j$ . Choose elements  $c_1, \dots, c_r \in C_j$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in$

$\text{Hom}_R(C_j, A_1)$  with  $\phi(\sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i(c_i)) \notin NC$ . Evidently, not all the maps  $\phi\gamma_i$  can be in  $N$ , say  $\phi\gamma_1 \notin N$ .

Let  $\pi_i : C \rightarrow C_i$  denote the natural projection. Observe that  $\pi_i\phi\gamma_1$  is an endomorphism of  $C$ . However, not all the elements of the form  $\pi_i\phi\gamma_1$  are in  $N$ , say  $\pi_1\phi\gamma_1 \notin N$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_R(C_1, C_j) \subseteq N$  if  $C_1$  and  $C_j$  are not quasi-isomorphic, we obtain  $C_1 \sim C_j$  and the fact that  $\pi_1\phi\gamma_1$  is a monomorphism. In particular,  $\gamma_1$  has to be a monomorphism.

Since  $C_j \sim C_1$ , there is a monomorphism  $\delta : C_1 \rightarrow A_1$  such that  $\pi_1\phi\delta \in E(C_1)$  is a monomorphism. Therefore,  $\pi_1\phi\delta$  is invertible in  $Q(R)E_R(C_1)$  since  $C_1$  is strongly indecomposable. This shows that  $\delta$  quasi-splits. Since  $A_1$  is strongly indecomposable, this is only possible if  $A_1 \sim C_1$ .

For a torsion-free module  $B$  of finite rank, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(A, B) &\sim \text{Hom}_R(A_1, B) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n, B) \\ &\sim \text{Hom}_R(C_1, B) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(C_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_n, B) = \text{Hom}_R(C, B). \end{aligned}$$

By the Krull-Schmidt Theorem in the category of quasi-homomorphisms,

$$\text{Hom}_R(A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n, B) \sim \text{Hom}_R(C_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_m, B).$$

An induction argument shows  $A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n \sim C_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_m$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Two torsion-free  $R$ -modules  $A$  and  $C$  of finite rank are quasi-isomorphic if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(A, M) \sim \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$  for all torsion-free  $R$ -modules (of finite rank).*

If  $A$  is a  $J$ -group, i.e. a torsion-free group of finite rank such that all groups  $C \sim A$  are isomorphic to  $A$ , then we obtain

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $J$ -group, and  $C$  be a torsion-free group of finite rank. Then,  $C \cong A$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}(C, B)$  for all torsion-free modules  $B$  of finite rank.*

However, considering the stronger requirement  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}(C, B)$  for all groups  $B$  with  $r_0(B) \leq m$  in Theorem 3.2 does not ensure that torsion-free abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  of rank at most  $m$  are isomorphic as the following example demonstrates. We want to remind the reader that every strongly indecomposable torsion-free group of rank 2 has a commutative endomorphism ring. It was shown in [3] that such a group is a  $J$ -group if and only if it is a Murley group, i.e.  $r_p(A) \leq 1$  for all primes  $p$ .

**Example 3.5.** *There are torsion-free abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  of rank 2 which are quasi-isomorphic, but not nearly isomorphic and satisfy  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}(C, B)$  for all torsion-free groups  $B$  with  $r_0(B) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We may use the construction in [1] to find a homogeneous torsion-free group  $A$  of rank 2 whose type is the type of  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ , the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at the distinct primes  $p$  and  $q$ , and has the following properties:

- (a)  $E(A) = \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ .
- (b) Every rank 1 image of  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Having done this, we observe that  $A$  is not a Murley group as mentioned above since  $r_p(A) = 2$ . In particular,  $A$  is not a  $J$ -group, and we can find a torsion-free group  $C$  of rank 2 which is quasi-isomorphic, but not isomorphic to  $A$ . Since  $A$  is semi-local,  $C$  is not nearly isomorphic to  $A$  either. Let  $C$  be quasi-isomorphic

to  $A$ , and consider a torsion-free abelian group  $B$  of rank at most 2. In the first instance, consider the case when  $\text{rank } B = 1$ . If  $B$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then we have  $\text{Hom}(A, B) = \text{Hom}(C, B) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$  since every rank 1 quotient of  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . This shows  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Clearly,  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Now let  $B$  have rank 2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $B$  is indecomposable. If  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \neq 0$ , then  $S_A(B)$  has rank 1 or 2. In the former case, we can apply the arguments of the previous paragraph to show  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}(C, B)$  since  $S_C(B)$  is quasi-equal to  $S_A(B)$ . Therefore, it remains to consider the case that  $S_A(B)$  has rank 2. Then,  $A$  embeds as a subgroup into  $B$ . Because  $r_{p'}(A) \leq 1$  for all primes  $p' \neq p$ , we have that  $C$  is isomorphic to a subgroup  $C'$  of  $A$  whose index is a power of  $p$ . If  $B$  is  $p$ -divisible, we have  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}(C, B)$ . We, therefore, assume that  $B$  is not  $p$ -divisible.

In the case that  $q^\omega B \neq 0$ , we observe that  $B$  contains a pure subgroup  $U$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since every rank-1 quotient of  $B$  contains one of  $A$ , the group  $B/U$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module too. This implies that  $B$  itself is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. The sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0$  induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \text{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B)$$

for which  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B) = 0$ . Moreover, the groups  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}, B)$  are isomorphic to  $B$ .

Observe, the fact that  $B$  is indecomposable guarantees that it is strongly indecomposable in this case. We want to remind the reader that a strongly indecomposable  $p$ -local group of rank 2 is a Murley group. In particular,  $E(B)$  is a principal ideal domain. Choose an epimorphism  $\beta : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  independent of  $\alpha$ . The map  $\beta$  induces an embedding  $\beta_* : \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B)$ . If  $g \in \text{Im } \alpha_* \cap \text{Im } \beta_*$ , then  $g = \gamma_1 \alpha = \gamma_2 \beta$  for some maps  $\gamma_i : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow B$ . For non-zero elements  $a \in \ker \alpha$  and  $b \in \ker \beta$ , we have  $A = \langle a, b \rangle_*$ . Therefore,  $g(a) = 0 = g(b)$ , and  $g = 0$ . Define  $\theta : \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}, B) \rightarrow \text{Im } \beta_*$  to be any isomorphism. Since  $\gamma\theta$  is a non-zero element of  $E(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}, B)) \cong E(B)$  and  $E(B)$  is a principal ideal domain, this implies that  $\gamma\theta$  is invertible in  $QE(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}, B))$ . Therefore, the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \text{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}, B) \rightarrow 0$  quasi-splits. Since  $\text{Ext}(B, B)$  is torsion-free, the last sequence splits, i.e.  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong B \oplus B$ . Now  $\text{Hom}(C, B) \sim B \oplus B$ , so there is a quasi-splitting sequence  $0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, B) \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow 0$  with  $B_i \sim B$ . But  $B$  is a  $J$ -group, and so  $B_i \cong B$ . As before,  $\text{Hom}(C, B) \cong B \oplus B$ .

It remains to consider the case  $q^\omega B = 0$ . We shall show that  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  has rank 1. Since  $\text{Hom}(C, B)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ , these two groups have to be isomorphic as well. Set  $F$  to be a subgroup of  $A$  for which  $A/F \cong \mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$ . We then observe that  $F$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -module, and that  $A/F \subseteq B/F \subseteq \mathbb{Q}A/F \cong \mathbb{Z}^2(p^\infty) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2(q^\infty)$ . In the case under consideration,  $B/A$  does not contain a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$ . If  $B/A$  is finite, then  $A \sim B$  and  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ . If  $B/A$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}^2(p^\infty)$ , then  $p^\omega B = B$ , a case which has been settled earlier. Thus, we may assume that  $B/A \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) \oplus T$  where  $T$  is finite. Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ . Since neither  $A$  nor  $\phi(A)$  map onto  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ , the subgroup  $(\phi(A) + A)/A$  of  $B/A$  must be finite. For some non-zero integer  $n$ , we have  $n\phi(A) \subseteq A$ . In particular,  $n\phi \in E(A)$ , and  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  has rank 1. □

We conclude this paper with a result which shows that the consideration of groups of the form  $\text{Hom}(A, T)$  with  $T$  torsion does not yield any further information beyond what has been already obtained.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $p$  be a prime. The following conditions are equivalent for torsion-free abelian groups  $A$  and  $C$  of finite rank:*

- (a)  $r_p(A) = r_p(C)$ ; and  $r_0(A) = r_p(A)$  if and only if  $r_0(C) = r_p(C)$ .
- (b)  $\text{Hom}(A, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C, G)$  for all  $p$ -groups  $G$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group, and  $F$  a  $p$ -basic subgroup of  $A$ . There is an induced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow A_p \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$  in which  $H$  is a torsion-free divisible group whose rank is  $m = r_0(A/F) = r_0(A) - r_p(A)$ . This sequence induces the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Q}, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_p, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F_p, G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Q}, G)$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Q}, G)$  and  $\text{Ext}(\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Q}, G)$  are torsion-free divisible, the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Q}, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_p, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F_p, G) \rightarrow 0$  splits. Thus,  $\text{Hom}(A_p, G) \cong \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Q}, G) \oplus \bigoplus_{r_p(A)} G$ .

Suppose that  $m \neq 0$ . Then,  $r_0(\text{Hom}(\bigoplus_m \mathbb{Q}, G)) = mr_0(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, G))$ . If  $G$  is reduced, then  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, G) = 0$ . Otherwise,  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$  contains a direct summand isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty))$  which has torsion-free rank  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . Consequently,

$$\text{Hom}(A_p, G) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{r_p(A)} G & \text{if } r_0(A) = r_p(A), \\ \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, G) \oplus \bigoplus_{r_p(A)} G & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This shows  $\text{Hom}(A_p, G) \cong \text{Hom}(C_p, G)$ . On the other hand, there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_p \rightarrow H' \rightarrow 0$  in which  $H'$  is a divisible torsion group with  $H'[p] = 0$ . This induces the exact sequence  $0 = \text{Hom}(H', G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_p, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, G) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H', G) = 0$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Observe  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \cong A/pA \cong \bigoplus_{r_p(A)} \mathbb{Z}(p)$ . Therefore,  $r_p(A) = r_p(C)$ . Assume  $r_p(A) < r_0(A)$ , but  $r_p(C) = r_0(C)$ . Using the arguments from the proof of the previous implication, we obtain that  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty))$  is a direct summand of  $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty))$  while  $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty))$  is a torsion group. This proves the proposition.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce, *Torsion-free groups of rank two*, Memoirs of the AMS, Vol. 38 (1961). MR **24**:A162
2. R. A. Beaumont and R. J. Wisner, *Rings with additive group which is torsion-free of rank two*, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **20** (1959), 105–116. MR **21**:5651
3. T. Faticoni and H. P. Goeters, *On torsion-free Ext*, Comm. in Algebra **16**(9) (1988), 1853–1876. MR **90d**:20098
4. L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. I/II, Academic Press, New York, London (1970/73). MR **41**:333; MR **50**:2362
5. T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Academic Press, New York, London (1978). MR **80a**:03062

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, ALABAMA 36849

*E-mail address:* albreuf@mail.auburn.edu

*E-mail address:* goetehp@mail.auburn.edu