

## NON-COMMUTATIVE DISC ALGEBRAS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

GELU POPESCU

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the smallest closed subalgebra

$$\text{Alg}(I_{\mathcal{K}}, V_1, \dots, V_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty)$$

generated by any sequence  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  of isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $V_1 V_1^* + \dots + V_n V_n^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$  is completely isometrically isomorphic to the non-commutative “disc” algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$  introduced in Math. Scand. **68** (1991), 292–304. We also prove that for  $n \neq m$  the Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{A}_m$  are not isomorphic. In particular, we give an example of two non-isomorphic Banach algebras which are completely isometrically embedded in each other.

The completely bounded (contractive) representations of the “disc” algebras  $\mathcal{A}_n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ) on a Hilbert space are characterized. In particular, we prove that a sequence of operators  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is simultaneously similar to a contractive sequence  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  (i.e.,  $T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^* \leq I$ ) if and only if it is completely polynomially bounded.

The first cohomology group of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$  is calculated, showing, in particular, that the disc algebras are not amenable. Similar results are proved for the non-commutative Hardy algebras  $F_n^\infty$  introduced in Math. Scand. **68** (1991), 292–304.

The right joint spectrum of the left creation operators on the full Fock space is also determined.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $B(\mathcal{H})$  the set of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We identify  $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$ , the set of  $m \times m$  matrices with entries from  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , with  $B(\underbrace{\mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}}_{m\text{-times}})$ . Thus we have a natural  $C^*$ -norm on  $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$ . If  $X$  is an operator space, i.e., a closed subspace of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , we consider  $M_m(X)$  as a subspace of  $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$  with the induced norm. Let  $X, Y$  be operator spaces and  $u : X \rightarrow Y$  a linear map. Define  $u_m : M_m(X) \rightarrow M_m(Y)$  by

$$u_m [(x_{ij})] = [(u(x_{ij}))].$$

We say that  $u$  is completely bounded (*cb* in short) if

$$\|u\|_{cb} = \sup_{m \geq 1} \|u_m\| < \infty.$$

---

Received by the editors January 30, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D25; Secondary 47A67.

If  $\|u\|_{cb} \leq 1$  (resp.  $u_m$  is an isometry for any  $m \geq 1$ ), then  $u$  is completely contractive (resp. isometric), and if  $u_m$  is positive for all  $m$ , then  $u$  is called completely positive. In the following we fix  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ .

Let us consider the full Fock space [E]

$$F^2(H_n) = \mathbf{C}1 \oplus \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} H_n^{\otimes m}$$

where  $H_n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  if  $n$  is finite, or  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  if  $n = \infty$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $S_i \in B(F^2(H_n))$  is the left creation operator with  $e_i$ , i.e.,  $S_i \xi = e_i \otimes \xi$ ,  $\xi \in F^2(H_n)$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the set of all  $p \in F^2(H_n)$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad p = a_0 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $a_0, a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathbf{C}$  and the sum contains only a finite number of summands. The set  $\mathcal{P}_n$  may be viewed as the algebra of polynomials in  $n$  non-commuting indeterminates, with  $p \otimes q$ ,  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , as multiplication. Define  $F_n^\infty$  as the set of all  $g \in F^2(H_n)$  such that

$$\|g\|_\infty := \sup\{\|g \otimes p\|_2 : p \in \mathcal{P}_n, \|p\|_2 \leq 1\} < \infty$$

where  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{F^2(H_n)}$ .  $(F_n^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a non-commutative Banach algebra [Po3]. We denote by  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the closure of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  in  $(F_n^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . The Banach algebra  $F_n^\infty$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_n$ ) can be viewed as a non-commutative analogue of the Hardy space  $H^\infty$  (resp. disc algebra); when  $n = 1$  they coincide.

Let  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  denote the unit ball of  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$ , i.e.,

$$(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1 = \{(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}\}.$$

For any sequence  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$  given by (1.1) we denote by  $p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  the operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ , defined by

$$p(T_1, \dots, T_n) = a_0 I_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_k} T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k}.$$

The von Neumann inequality [vN],[SzF] for  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  (see [Po3]) asserts that if  $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in (B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , then

$$(1.2) \quad \|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \|p\|_\infty.$$

According to [Po3] the mapping

$$\Psi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Psi(f) = f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

is a contractive homomorphism.

A sequence  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$  will be called completely polynomially bounded (in short c.p.b.) if there is a constant  $C$  such that for all  $m$  and all  $m \times m$  matrices  $(p_{ij})$  with  $p_{ij} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  we have

$$\|(p_{ij}(A_1, \dots, A_n))\|_{M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))} \leq C \|(p_{ij})\|_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_n)}.$$

Here of course we consider  $\mathcal{A}_n$  as a subalgebra of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  (see [Po3]). Notice that  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  is c.p.b. if and only if the homomorphism  $p \rightarrow p(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  defines a completely bounded homomorphism from the “disc” algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$  into  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . All the above considerations hold true for  $n = \infty$  in a slightly adapted version (see also [Po3]).

2. COMPLETELY BOUNDED REPRESENTATIONS OF  $\mathcal{A}_n$

It is well known that an operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is a contraction if and only if it gives rise to a completely contractive representation of the classical disc algebra. In what follows we get an extension of this result to our non-commutative setting.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $[A_1, \dots, A_n]$  is a contraction if and only if the map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Phi(p) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

is completely contractive.

*Proof.* Assume that  $[A_1, \dots, A_n]$  is a contraction. According to [Po2] there is a sequence  $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$  of isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}} \quad \text{and} \quad V_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = A_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Using the result from [Po5], the map  $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi(p) = p(V_1, \dots, V_n)$$

can be extended to a  $*$ -representation of  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  by setting

$$\Phi(S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{j_1}^* \cdots S_{j_p}^*) = V_{i_1} \cdots V_{i_k} V_{j_1}^* \cdots V_{j_p}^*,$$

where  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_p \leq n$ , and by extending it by linearity. In particular we have  $\|\Psi\|_{cb} \leq 1$ . According to (2.1) we have

$$\Phi(p) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n) = P_{\mathcal{H}} p(V_1, \dots, V_n)|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

which together with (2.2) implies

$$\Phi(f) = f(A_1, \dots, A_n) = P_{\mathcal{H}} f(V_1, \dots, V_n)|_{\mathcal{H}} = P_{\mathcal{H}} \Psi(f)|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ . Therefore  $\|\Phi\|_{cb} \leq \|\Psi\|_{cb} \leq 1$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$  such that the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Phi(p) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

is completely contractive. In particular we have

$$\left\| \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\| \leq \left\| \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} S_1 & S_2 & \cdots & S_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right] \right\|.$$

Hence,  $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i A_i^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* \right\| = 1$ . This completes the proof. □

Let us remark that if  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$  such that the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Phi(p) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

is completely contractive, one can get another proof for the existence of an isometric dilation for  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  (see [Po2]).

Indeed, according to [Arv, Prop. 1.2.8]  $\Phi$  has a unique completely positive extension  $\tilde{\Phi}$  to the closure of  $\mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{P}_n^*$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(p + q^*) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n) + q(A_1, \dots, A_n)^*$$

for any  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n \subset C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ . Here  $\mathcal{P}_n^*$  stands for  $\{p(S_1, \dots, S_n)^*; p \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ . Using the extension theorem of Arveson [Arv] we infer that there is a completely positive linear map  $\Psi : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \Psi|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}_n + \mathcal{P}_n^*}} = \tilde{\Phi}.$$

According to Stinespring's theorem [S]

$$(2.5) \quad \Psi(f) = V^* \pi(f) V, \quad \text{for any } f \in C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n),$$

where  $\pi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  on some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $V$  is a bounded operator from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\Psi(1) = I$ , it follows that  $V^*V = I$ , that is,  $V$  is an isometric embedding of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Using  $V$ , we can identify  $\mathcal{H}$  with a subspace of  $\mathcal{K}$ , and (2.5) becomes  $\Psi(f) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \pi(f)|_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  being the projection of  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since

$$\pi(S_i)^* \pi(S_j) = \pi(S_i^* S_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ I_{\mathcal{K}}, & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

it follows that  $\{\pi(S_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  are isometries with orthogonal ranges. On the other hand, the relations (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) imply

$$p(A_1, \dots, A_n) = P_{\mathcal{H}} p(\pi(S_1), \dots, \pi(S_n))|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \text{for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } p \in \mathcal{P};$$

that is,  $\{\pi(S_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is an isometric dilation of  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .

**Corollary 2.2.**  $[A_1, \dots, A_n]$  is a contraction if and only if the map

$$\Phi : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Phi(S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{j_1}^* \cdots S_{j_p}^*) = A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k} A_{j_1}^* \cdots A_{j_p}^*,$$

$1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_p \leq n$ , is a completely contractive linear map.

**Corollary 2.3.**  $[A_1, \dots, A_n]$  is a contraction if and only if there is a completely positive linear map  $\Phi : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\Phi(S_i) = A_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

In [P1] V. Paulsen proved that an operator  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is similar to a contraction if and only if it is completely polynomially bounded. In what follows we will extend this result to our setting.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There exist a contraction  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and an invertible operator  $S$  such that*

$$A_i = S^{-1}T_iS, \quad \text{for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(ii) *The sequence  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  is c.p.b.*

*Proof.* Assume (i) holds. We have

$$\Phi(p) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n) = Sp(T_1, \dots, T_n)S^{-1} \text{ for any } p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Since the map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Psi(p) = p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

is completely contractive by Theorem 2.1, it follows that

$$\|\Phi\|_{cb} \leq \|S\| \|\Psi\|_{cb} \|S^{-1}\| < \infty.$$

Conversely, assume that (ii) holds. Since  $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Phi(f) = f(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  is completely bounded, according to [P1] there is an invertible operator  $S$  such that

$$A_n \in f \rightarrow S\Phi(f)S^{-1} = f(SA_1S^{-1}, \dots, SA_nS^{-1}) \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

is completely contractive. Now, Theorem 2.1 shows that  $[SA_1S^{-1}, \dots, SA_nS^{-1}]$  is a contraction. Setting  $SA_iS^{-1} = T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *A representation  $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  is c.b. if and only if it is given by  $\Phi(S_i) = ST_iS^{-1}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is a contraction and  $S$  is an invertible operator.*

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a sequence of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Following [B2] we define the “spectral radius” of this sequence as being

$$r(A_1, \dots, A_n) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|f|=k} A_f A_f^* \right\|^{1/2k},$$

where for any  $f = (i_1, \dots, i_k), 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n, A_f$  stands for the product  $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}$  and  $|f| = k$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . If the map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Phi = p(A_1, \dots, A_n)$$

*is c.b., then  $r(A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* According to [Po1, Prop.3.5]

$$r(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \inf_S \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (SA_iS^{-1})(SA_iS^{-1})^* \right\|^{1/2}$$

where the infimum is taken over all invertible operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . By Theorem 2.4 there exist a contraction  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and an invertible operator  $S$  such that  $A_i = S^{-1}T_iS$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $r(A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq \left\| \sum T_i T_i^* \right\|^{1/2} \leq 1. \quad \square$

**Propositon 2.7.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$  such that one of the following statements holds:*

(i) *There exists  $b > a > 0$  such that*

$$a\|h\|^2 \leq \sum_{|f|=k} \|A_f^* h\|^2 \leq b\|h\|^2 \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

(ii)  $r(A_1, \dots, A_n) < 1$ .

*Then, the map  $\Phi : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ ;  $\Phi(p) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  is c.b.*

*Proof.* If either one of the above statements holds, then, according to [Po1], there exist a contraction  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and an invertible operator  $S$  such that  $A_i = S^{-1}T_iS$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . According to Theorem 2.4 the result follows.  $\square$

Let us remark that if (i) holds, then  $r(A_1, \dots, A_n) = 1$ . All the results of this section can be easily extended to  $n = \infty$ .

### 3. THE NON-COMMUTATIVE DISC ALGEBRAS $\mathcal{A}_n$

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $V_1V_1^* + \dots + V_nV_n^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Let  $Alg(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  denote the smallest closed subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{K})$  containing  $I_{\mathcal{K}}, V_1, \dots, V_n$ . This algebra is the closure in the uniform norm of the collection of polynomials in  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ , that is,

$$Alg(V_1, \dots, V_n) = \text{clos}\{p(V_1, \dots, V_n) : p \in \mathcal{P}_n\}.$$

In [Po3] we proved that if  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are the left creation operators on the Fock space  $F^2(H_n)$ , then the Banach algebras  $Alg(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n$  are isometrically isomorphic. In what follows we will obtain a more general result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The Banach algebras  $Alg(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ) are completely isometrically isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Consider the case  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . Suppose first that  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are isometries on  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $V_1V_1^* + \dots + V_nV_n^* = I_{\mathcal{K}}$ . According to the von Neumann inequality (1.2) we have

$$(3.1) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\|, \quad \text{for any } p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

On the other hand, according to [Po2, Prop.2.6] there is a sequence  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  of isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n W_iW_i^* = I_{\mathcal{G}} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} = P_{\mathcal{K}}W_{i_1} \cdots W_{i_k}|_{\mathcal{K}}$$

for any  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n$ . Hence we deduce that

$$p(S_1, \dots, S_n) = P_{\mathcal{K}}p(W_1, \dots, W_n)|_{\mathcal{K}}, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_n,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| \leq \|p(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|.$$

Since the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  does not depend on the generators [Cu], we have that

$$(3.3) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| = \|p(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|,$$

which together with (3.1) and (3.2) shows that

$$(3.4) \quad \|p(V_1, \dots, V_n)\| = \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\|, \text{ for any } p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

In the case when  $V_1V_1^* + \dots + V_nV_n^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$ , the relation (3.4) holds also according to [Po5]. This relation shows that the map  $V_i \rightarrow S_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) extends to an isometric isomorphism from  $Alg(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . The completely isometric (in short c.i.) part follows in the same way, passing to matrices. The case  $n = \infty$  follows from [Cu]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  be a sequence of isometries satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^k V_iV_i^* \leq I$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . According to Theorem 3.1 we have  $Alg(V_1, \dots, V_k) \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_k$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $Alg(V_1, V_2, \dots) \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_\infty$ . Thus, it is clear that

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{A}_2 \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\subset} \mathcal{A}_3 \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\subset} \dots \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\subset} \mathcal{A}_\infty.$$

On the other hand, consider  $\mathcal{A}_2 = Alg(S_1, S_2)$ . If we put

$$V_1 = S_1, V_2 = S_2S_1, \dots, V_k = S_2^{k-1}S_1, \dots,$$

then  $\sum_{i=1}^k V_iV_i^* \leq I$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\simeq} Alg(V_1, V_2, \dots) \subset Alg(S_1, S_2) \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_3 \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\simeq} Alg(V_1, V_2, V_3) \subset \mathcal{A}_2.$$

By induction we get the following chain of inclusions:

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{A}_2 \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\supset} \mathcal{A}_3 \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\supset} \dots \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\supset} \mathcal{A}_\infty.$$

In [A] A. Arias showed that, as Banach spaces, the disc algebras are completely isomorphic. In what follows we will show that they are not isomorphic as Banach algebras.

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be in  $\overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)}_1 = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : |\lambda_1|^2 + \dots + |\lambda_n|^2 \leq 1\}$ , and define the “evaluation” functional

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi_\lambda : \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad \Phi_\lambda(p) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

According to the von Neumann inequality (1.2) we have

$$|p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)| = \|p(\lambda_1 I_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, \lambda_n I_{\mathbb{C}})\| \leq \|p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\| = \|p\|_\infty.$$

Hence,  $\Phi_\lambda$  has a unique extension to the disc algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is a character of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Let  $M_{\mathcal{A}_n}$  be the set of all characters of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and let  $\Psi : \overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)}_1 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{A}_n}$  be defined by  $\Psi(\lambda) = \Phi_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.2.**  $\Psi$  is a homeomorphism of  $\overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)_1}$  onto  $M_{\mathcal{A}_n}$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ).

*Proof.* Let us show that  $\Psi$  is one-to-one. If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  are in  $\overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)_1}$ , then  $\Psi(\lambda) = \Psi(\mu)$  implies that

$$\lambda_i = \Phi_\lambda(S_i) = \Phi_\mu(S_i) = \mu_i, \text{ for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore  $\lambda = \mu$ . Now, assume that  $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a character. Setting  $\Phi(S_i) = \lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\Phi(p(S_1, \dots, S_n)) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \text{ for any } p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Since  $\Phi$  is a character, it follows that it is completely contractive. Applying Theorem 2.1 when  $A_i = \lambda_i I_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we infer that  $[\lambda_1 I_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, \lambda_n I_{\mathbb{C}}]$  is a contraction, i.e.,  $|\lambda_1|^2 + \dots + |\lambda_n|^2 \leq 1$ . Moreover the identity

$$\Phi(p(S_1, \dots, S_n)) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \Phi_\lambda(p(S_1, \dots, S_n))$$

proves that  $\Phi$  agrees with  $\Phi_\lambda$  on the dense subset  $\mathcal{P}_n$  of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , therefore  $\Phi = \Phi_\lambda$ .

Since both  $\overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)_1}$  and  $M_{\mathcal{A}_n}$  are compact Hausdorff spaces and  $\Psi$  is one-to-one and onto, to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $\Psi$  is continuous.

Suppose that  $\lambda^\alpha = (\lambda_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda_n^\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in J$ , is a net in  $\overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)_1}$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \in J} \lambda^\alpha = \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Since  $\sup_{\alpha \in J} \|\Phi_{\lambda^\alpha}\| \leq 1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , and since

$$\lim_{\alpha \in J} \Phi_{\lambda^\alpha}(p(S_1, \dots, S_n)) = \lim_{\alpha \in J} p(\lambda_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda_n^\alpha) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \Phi_\lambda(p(S_1, \dots, S_n))$$

for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , it follows that  $\Psi$  is continuous. The proof is complete. □

*Remark 3.3.* The above theorem can be easily extended to the case  $n = \infty$  in a slightly adapted version, showing that  $(\ell^2)_1$  (with the weak topology) is homeomorphic to  $M_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}$ .

The Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is uniquely defined as the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $n = 2, 3, \dots$  isometries satisfying

$$(3.8) \quad s_i^* s_j = \delta_{ij} 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n s_i s_j^* = 1$$

[Cu]. We define  $\mathcal{O}_1 = C(\mathbb{T})$  (see [C]), and  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$  as the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by isometries  $s_1, s_2, \dots$  satisfying merely the first relation in (3.8). Notice that the disc algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$  can be viewed as a subalgebra of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_n$ . Indeed, if  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  is a system of generators for  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , then according to Theorem 3.1  $\mathcal{A}_n \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\simeq} Alg(s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathcal{O}_n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) and  $\mathcal{A}_\infty \stackrel{\text{c.i.}}{\simeq} Alg(s_1, s_2, \dots) \subset \mathcal{O}_\infty$ .

It was proved by Pimsner and Popa [PP] that if  $n \neq m$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_n \not\cong \mathcal{O}_m$  (see also [PS]). Using Theorem 3.2 and the dimension theory [HW] it is easy to get the following.

**Corollary 3.4.** *The Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{A}_m$  are not isomorphic if  $n \neq m$ ,  $n, m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ .*

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{O}_n$  has no non-trivial character, it follows that  $\mathcal{A}_n \not\cong \mathcal{O}_m$  for any  $n, m = 2, \dots, \infty$ .

4. DISC ALGEBRAS AND COHOMOLOGY

Let  $A$  be a complex Banach algebra with unit,  $X$  a Banach  $A$ -bimodule, and  $X'$  the dual Banach  $A$ -bimodule (see [BD]). We need to recall from [BD] a few definitions.

A bounded  $X$ -derivation is a bounded linear mapping  $D$  of  $A$  into  $X$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad D(ab) = (Da)b + a(Db), \quad \text{for any } a, b \in A.$$

The set of all bounded  $X$ -derivations is denoted by  $Z^1(A, X)$ . For each  $x \in X$  let us define  $\delta_x : A \rightarrow X$  by  $\delta_x(a) = ax - xa$ . We call  $\delta_x$  an inner  $X$ -derivation, and denote by  $B^1(A, X)$  the set of all inner  $X$ -derivations. The quotient space  $Z^1(A, X)/B^1(A, X)$  is called the first cohomology group of  $A$  with coefficients in  $X$ , and it is denoted by  $H^1(A, X)$ . A Banach algebra  $A$  is said to be amenable if  $H^1(A, X') = \{0\}$  for every Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ .

In what follows we shall show that the disc algebras  $\mathcal{A}_n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ) are not amenable.

Of course  $\mathbb{C}$ , the set of all complex numbers, is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -bimodule under the module multiplication

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda \cdot f = f \cdot \lambda = \lambda f(0),$$

where for each  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $f(0) := \Phi_{(0, \dots, 0)}(f)$  (see the relation (3.7)). Notice that  $|\lambda \cdot f| \leq |\lambda| \|f\|_\infty$ , for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The first cohomology group of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$  is isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e.,  $H^1(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ . If  $n = \infty$ , then  $H^1(\mathcal{A}_\infty, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (\ell^2, +)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $B^1(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$ . If  $D \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{C})$ , then, using (4.1) and (4.2) it is easy to see that  $D(1) = 0$  and  $D(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}) = 0$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Therefore the derivation  $D$  is determined by  $D(e_1), D(e_2), \dots, D(e_n)$ . Let  $D(e_i) = \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $D$  is a linear mapping for each  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $f = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i + \dots$ , we have  $D(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i$ . It is easy to see that

$$D(f \otimes g) = D(f)g + fD(g), \quad \text{for any } f, g \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Let us show that  $D$  is bounded if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 < \infty$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ). For

each  $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $f = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i + \dots$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D(f)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i \right| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|f\|_2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|f\|_\infty \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $D$  is a bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -derivation. We need to prove the converse only for  $n = \infty$ . Suppose that  $D : \mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded derivation. For each  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\infty, f = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i + \dots, D(f) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \lambda_i$  for some  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$(4.3) \quad |D(f)| \leq M \|f\|_\infty, \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{A}_\infty.$$

In particular, for any  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \ell^2, g = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i e_i$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  and  $\|g\|_\infty = \|g\|_2$ . In this case the relation (4.3) shows that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \lambda_i \right| \leq M \|\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty\|_2,$$

for any  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \ell^2$ . Hence we deduce that  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \ell^2$ .

Now it is clear that  $H^1(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^n, +)$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $H^1(\mathcal{A}_\infty, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (\ell^2, +)$ . □

Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is a dual bimodule, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.2.** *The disc algebras  $\mathcal{A}_n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ) are not amenable.*

A similar proof to that of Theorem 4.1 shows the following.

*Remark 4.3.*  $H^1(F_n^\infty, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^n, +)$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $H^1(F_\infty^\infty, \mathbb{C}) \simeq (\ell^2, +)$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** *The non-commutative Hardy algebras  $F_n^\infty$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ) are not amenable. Moreover, if  $n \neq m, n, m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ , then  $F_n^\infty$  and  $F_m^\infty$  are not Banach isomorphic.*

### 5. THE RIGHT JOINT SPECTRUM OF $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$

If  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple of operators acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the joint left (resp. right) spectrum of  $A$  is the set of  $n$ -tuples of complex numbers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  such that the left (resp. right) ideal of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  generated by the set  $\{\lambda_1 I - A_1, \lambda_2 I - A_2, \dots, \lambda_n I - A_n\}$  does not contain the identity operator (see [B1], [B2]). Let us denote the left (resp. right) spectrum of  $A$  by  $\sigma_l(A)$  (resp.  $\sigma_r(A)$ ). Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be the left creation operators on the full Fock space  $F^2(H_n)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.**  $\sigma_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)}_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)}_1$ . Suppose that there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \|(S_i - \mu_i I)^* h\|^2 \geq \delta \|h\|^2, \quad \text{for any } h \in F^2(H_n).$$

For

$$h = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k} e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k},$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)_1$ , we have  $S_i^* h = \lambda_i h, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|(S_i - \mu_i I)^* h\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \mu_i|^2 \|h\|^2.$$

The relation (5.1) becomes

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \bar{\mu}_i|^2 \geq \delta, \quad \text{for any } (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)_1.$$

Since (5.2) is not true, according to the Corona-type theorem [Po4] it does not exist  $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \subset B(F^2(H_n))$  such that

$$(S_1 - \mu_1 I)B_1 + \dots + (S_n - \mu_n I)B_n = I.$$

Therefore  $\overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)}_1 \subset \sigma_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ .

Conversely, let  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \sigma_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ . This means that the left ideal of  $B(F^2(H_n))$  generated by  $\{S_1^* - \bar{\lambda}_1 I, \dots, S_n^* - \bar{\lambda}_n I\}$  does not contain the identity. According to [D, Theorem 2.9.5] there is a state  $\sigma$  on  $B(F^2(H_n))$  such that  $\sigma(XS_i^*) = \bar{\lambda}_i \sigma(X)$  for each  $X \in B(F^2(H_n))$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then, for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$|\sigma(\sum_{|f|=k} S_f S_f^*)| \leq \|\sum_{|f|=k} S_f S_f^*\| = 1.$$

Hence,  $\sum_{|f|=k} \|\lambda_f\|^2 \leq 1$ . Since  $(\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^2)^k = \sum_{|f|=k} |\lambda_f|^2$ , it follows that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \overline{(\mathbb{C}^n)}_1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using Theorem 3.2 we infer the following.

- Remark 5.2.* (1)  $\sigma_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \{(\Phi(S_1), \dots, \Phi(S_n)) : \Phi \in M_{\mathcal{A}_n}\}$ .  
 (2) If  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , then  $\sigma_r(f_1, \dots, f_n) \supset \{(\Phi(f_1), \dots, \Phi(f_n)) : \Phi \in M_{\mathcal{A}_n}\}$ .

### 6. OPEN PROBLEMS

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)_1$  and let  $\Phi_\lambda : F_n^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by  $\Phi(f) = \langle f, z_\lambda \rangle$ ,  $f \in F^\infty$ , where  $z_\lambda = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n)^k$ . One can prove that  $\Phi_\lambda$  is a multiplicative functional on  $F_n^\infty$ .

**Problem 6.1.** Is  $\{\Phi_\lambda : \lambda \in (\mathbb{C}^n)_1\}$   $w^*$ -dense in the set of all multiplicative functionals on  $F_n^\infty$ ?

In [Arv] W. Arveson proved that if  $T$  is a contraction on a Hilbert space, then  $T$  gives rise to a completely isometric representation of the disc algebra if and only if the spectrum of  $T$  contains the unit disc.

**Problem 6.2.** Characterize the contractions  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  for which the map

$$\mathcal{A}_n \in p \mapsto p(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

is a completely isometric representation of the disc algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$ .

According to [Po3], [Po5] and Theorem 3.1, one can show that if  $T_i = A_i \oplus V_i$ , where  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are isometries, then the above map is a completely isometric representation.

Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\Phi(p) = p(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ , where  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Problem 6.3.** Is the implication,  $\Phi$  contractive  $\implies \Phi$  completely contractive, true?

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Gilles Pisier for useful discussions on the subject of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [A] A. Arias, *Completely bounded isomorphisms of operator algebras*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. **124** (1966), 1091–1101.
- [Arv] W.B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta.Math. **123** (1969), 141–224. MR **40**:6274
- [BD] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin (1973). MR **54**:11013
- [B1] J.W. Bunce, *The joint spectrum of commuting nonnormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 449–505. MR **44**:832
- [B2] J.W. Bunce, *Models for  $n$ -tuples of noncommuting operators*, J.Funct.Anal. **57** (1984), 21–30. MR **85k**:47019
- [C] L.A. Coburn, *The  $C^*$ -algebra generated by an isometry*, Bull.AMS **73** (1967), 722–726. MR **35**:4760
- [Cu] J. Cuntz, *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries*, Commun.Math.Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185. MR **57**:7189
- [D] J. Dixmier,  *$C^*$ -algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1977). MR **56**:16388
- [E] D.E. Evans, *On  $O_n$* , Publ.Res.Int.Math.Sci. **16** (1980), 915–927. MR **82g**:46099
- [HW] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press (1941). MR **3**:312b
- [PS] W.L. Paschke and N. Salinas, *Matrix algebras over  $\mathcal{O}_n$* , Michigan Math.J. **26** (1979), 3–12. MR **81a**:46075
- [P1] V.I. Paulsen, *Every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction*, J.Funct.Anal. **55** (1984), 1–17. MR **86c**:47021
- [P2] V.I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986. MR **88h**:46111
- [PP] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, *The Ext-groups of some  $C^*$ -algebras considered by J. Cuntz*, Rev.Roumaine Math.Pures Appl. **23** (1978), 1069–1076. MR **81j**:46094
- [Po1] G. Popescu, *Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, Acta.Sci. Math. **53** (1989), 355–368. MR **91b**:47025
- [Po2] G. Popescu, *Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, Trans. Amer.Math.Soc. **316** (1989), 523–536. MR **90c**:47006
- [Po3] G. Popescu, *Von Neumann inequality for  $(B(H)^n)_1$* , Math.Scand. **68** (1991), 292–304. MR **92k**:47073
- [Po4] G. Popescu, *Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces*, Math.Ann. **303** (1995), 31–46. CMP 95:17
- [Po5] G. Popescu, *Functional calculus for noncommuting operators*, Michigan Math.J. **42** (1995), 345–356. CMP 95:15
- [S] W.F. Stinespring, *Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. **6** (1955). MR **16**:1033b
- [SzF] B.Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, *Harmonic analysis on operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1970). MR **43**:947
- [vN] J. von Neumann, *Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes*, Math.Nachr. **4** (1951), 258–281. MR **13**:254a

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTER SCIENCE AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78249

*E-mail address:* gpopescu@ringer.cs.utsa.edu