

## ON $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

M. B. BEKKA, E. KANIUTH, A. T. LAU, AND G. SCHLICHTING

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and let  $G_d$  denote the same group  $G$  with the discrete topology. There are various  $C^*$ -algebras associated to  $G$  and  $G_d$ . We are concerned with the question of when these  $C^*$ -algebras are isomorphic. This is intimately related to amenability. The results can be reformulated in terms of Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras and of weak containment properties of unitary representations.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $G_d$  the group  $G$  equipped with the discrete topology. Denote by  $\lambda_G$  and  $\lambda_{G_d}$  the left regular representation of  $G$  and  $G_d$  on  $L^2(G)$  and  $\ell^2(G_d)$ , respectively. Let  $C_\delta^*(G)$  and  $C_r^*(G_d)$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(G))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(G_d))$  generated by  $\lambda_G(G)$  and  $\lambda_{G_d}(G_d)$ , respectively.

When  $G$  is abelian,  $C_r^*(G_d)$  via Fourier transform is isomorphic to the algebra  $C(\widehat{G_d})$  of all continuous functions on the compact dual group  $\widehat{G_d}$  of  $G_d$ . Similarly,  $C_\delta^*(G)$  is isomorphic to the norm closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$  generated by the elements of  $G_d$  considered as functions on  $\Gamma = \widehat{G}$  by means of the canonical isomorphism between  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ . By a classical result,  $\widehat{G_d}$  is equal to the Bohr compactification  $b\Gamma = (\widehat{\Gamma})_d$  of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, the algebra  $C(b\Gamma)$  of almost periodic functions on  $\Gamma$  considered as a subalgebra of  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$  is generated by the set  $G_d = \widehat{\Gamma}_d = \widehat{b\Gamma}$  of continuous characters on  $\Gamma$ . Hence,  $C_\delta^*(G)$  is isomorphic to  $C_r^*(G_d)$ .

In this paper, we shall be concerned with possible extensions of this result to non-abelian groups.

Relationships between the two unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_\delta^*(G)$  and  $C_r^*(G_d)$  were studied by Dunkl and Ramirez [DuR] and by Bédos [Béd]. It was shown in [DuR], Theorem 2.5 (see also [Béd], Lemma 2) that  $\lambda_{G_d}$  extends to a (surjective)  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Phi : C_\delta^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G_d).$$

---

Received by the editors January 27, 1995 and, in revised form, March 8, 1995 and April 3, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 43A30, 22D10.

*Key words and phrases*. Amenable group, connected Lie group, group  $C^*$ -algebra, weak containment, Fourier algebra.

This research is supported by a NATO collaborative research grant 940184.

This means that  $\lambda_G$ , when viewed as a unitary representation of  $G_d$ , weakly contains  $\lambda_{G_d}$  (concerning the notion of weak containment, we refer to [Dix], Chap. 18). For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce below (Proposition 1) a very short proof of this fact appearing in [BeV], Proposition 1.

A natural question is whether  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism (that is, whether  $\Phi$  is injective). This is easily settled in case  $G$  is amenable (see also [DuR], [Béd]):  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G_d$  is amenable. Indeed, in this case, by Hulanicki's theorem (see [Pat], Theorem 4.21 or [Pie], Theorem 8.9) the trivial one-dimensional representation  $1_G$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_G$  (as representations of  $G$  and, a fortiori, as representations of  $G_d$ ). The claim now follows, as  $G_d$  is amenable if and only if  $1_G$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_{G_d}$ .

Our main result in this paper is a complete answer to the question raised above.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $\Phi : C_\delta^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G_d)$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  contains an open subgroup  $H$  such that  $H_d$  is amenable.*

It is well-known that, for a connected Lie group  $G$ ,  $G_d$  is amenable if and only if  $G$  is solvable. So, the following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group. Then  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  is solvable.*

In terms of weak containment, Theorem 1 states that  $\lambda_G$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_{G_d}$  if and only if  $G$  contains an open subgroup  $H$  such that  $H_d$  is amenable. In fact, we shall prove the following stronger result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\lambda_{G_d}$  weakly contains  $\lambda_G$ ;
- (ii)  $\lambda_{G_d}$  weakly contains some continuous unitary representation of  $G$ , viewed as representation of  $G_d$ ;
- (iii)  $G$  contains an open subgroup  $H$  such that  $H_d$  is amenable.

As is to be expected, the difficult part in the proof of this theorem is to show that (ii) implies (iii). This will require several steps, the main one being the case where  $G$  is a connected Lie group. The proof in this situation is based on the existence, for  $G$  non-solvable, of many non-abelian free subgroups of  $G$  and on estimates for the norm of convolution operators on free groups, similar to those appearing in [Kes], [Lei] and [AkO].

We now reformulate our results in terms of the Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras of  $G$  and  $G_d$ . Recall that the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$  is the linear span of all continuous positive definite functions on  $G$ . Recall also that  $B(G)$  may be identified, in a natural way, with the dual of  $C^*(G)$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$ . The Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  of  $G$  is the closed subalgebra, generated by all functions in  $B(G)$  with compact support.  $A(G)$  may also be described as the set of all matrix coefficients of the regular representation  $\lambda_G$  of  $G$ . More details on  $A(G)$  and  $B(G)$  are to be found in [Eym] where these spaces are extensively studied.

Let  $\overline{A(G_d)}^{w^*}$  denote the closure of  $A(G_d)$  in  $B(G_d)$ , with respect to the weak\* topology  $\sigma(B(G_d), C^*(G_d))$ .

Then  $\overline{A(G_d)}^{w^*}$  coincides with the space, denoted by  $B_{\lambda_d}(G_d)$  in [Eym], of all matrix coefficients of the unitary representations of  $G_d$  which are the linear span

of the positive definite functions associated with the unitary representations which are weakly contained in  $\lambda_{G_d}$  (see [Eym], (2.1) Proposition). Hence, the equality  $\overline{A(G_d)}^{w*} = B_{\lambda_d}(G_d)$  follows from [Eym], (1.2.1) Proposition.

As any continuous function in  $B(G_d)$  actually lies in  $B(G)$  ([Eym], (2.24) Corollaire 1), it is now clear that Theorem 2 may be reformulated as follows.

**Theorem 2'.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\overline{A(G_d)}^{w*}$  contains  $A(G)$ ;
- (ii)  $\overline{A(G_d)}^{w*}$  contains a non-zero continuous function on  $G$ ;
- (iii)  $G$  contains an open subgroup  $H$  such that  $H_d$  is amenable.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we treat the case of connected Lie groups. The proof of Theorem 2 is then completed in Section 2. Section 3 contains some remarks about other  $C^*$ -algebras associated with  $G$ .

### 1. THE CONNECTED LIE GROUP CASE

In this section, we show that (ii) implies (iii) in Theorem 2 when  $G$  is a connected Lie group.

As mentioned above, we first reproduce the proof given in [BeV] for the existence of  $\Phi$ .

**Proposition 1.**  *$\lambda_{G_d}$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_G$ , for any locally compact group  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda_{G_d}$  is cyclic, it suffices to show that  $\delta_e$ , the Dirac function at the group unit  $e$ , is the pointwise limit of positive definite functions associated to  $\lambda_G$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $G \setminus \{e\}$ . Choose a neighbourhood  $K$  of  $e$  such that  $gK \cap K = \emptyset$  for all  $g \in F$ . Set

$$\varphi(g) = \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \langle \lambda_G(g) \chi_K, \chi_K \rangle, \quad g \in G,$$

where  $\chi_K$  is the characteristic function of  $K$ , and  $\mu$  is a left Haar measure on  $G$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a positive definite function associated to  $\lambda_G$  such that  $\varphi(g) = \delta_e(g)$  for all  $g \in F \cup \{e\}$ . □

We shall use the following estimate for the norm of convolution operators on free groups. This may easily be deduced from more general results appearing in [AkO], [Kes] and [Lei]. For the sake of completeness, we prefer to give an independent, short proof (compare also [BCH], Proof of Lemma 2.2).

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-abelian free group on the generators  $x$  and  $y$ , and let  $\lambda$  denote the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ . Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda(y^n x y^{-n}) \right\| \leq 2 \|a\|_2$$

for all sequences  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W_0$  be the subset of  $\Gamma$  consisting of the words which do not begin with a nontrivial power of  $y$ . Let  $W_n = y^n W_0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $W_n \cap W_m = \emptyset$  for all  $n \neq m$ . For any  $f, g \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \lambda(y^n x y^{-n}) f, g \rangle| &= |\langle \lambda(y^n x y^{-n}) \chi_{W_n} f, g \rangle| + |\langle \lambda(y^n x y^{-n}) \chi_{\Gamma \setminus W_n} f, g \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\chi_{W_n} f\| \|g\| + \|f\| \|\chi_{y^n x y^{-n} \Gamma \setminus W_n} g\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of  $A \subseteq \Gamma$ . Since  $y^n xy^{-n}(\Gamma \setminus W_n) \subseteq W_n$ , we get

$$|\langle \lambda(y^n xy^{-n})f, g \rangle| \leq \|\chi_{W_n} f\| \|g\| + \|f\| \|\chi_{W_n} g\|$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda(y^n xy^{-n})f, g \rangle| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| (\|\chi_{W_n} f\| \|g\| + \|f\| \|\chi_{W_n} g\|) \\ &\leq 2\|a\|_2 \|f\| \|g\|, \end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. □

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $G$  be a connected non-solvable Lie group. Then no continuous unitary representation of  $G$ , viewed as a representation of  $G_d$ , is weakly contained in  $\lambda_{G_d}$ .*

*Proof.* It is well-known that such a group contains a non-abelian free subgroup  $F$  on two generators  $a$  and  $b$  (see [Pat], Theorem 3.9). For any finite set of integers  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $p_{i_1 \dots i_n} : G \rightarrow G$  denote the word function

$$p_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) = \begin{cases} a^{i_1} x^{i_2} \dots a^{i_{n-1}} x^{i_n}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ a^{i_1} x^{i_2} \dots x^{i_{n-1}} a^{i_n}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then, the set

$$G_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \{x \in G, p_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) \neq e\}$$

is open. It is also nonempty since  $b \in G_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ . Moreover, because  $p_{i_1 \dots i_n}$  is an analytic function on  $G$ ,  $G_{i_1 \dots i_n}$  is dense. So, by Baire's category theorem, the intersection

$$X = \bigcap \{G_{i_1 \dots i_n}; i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$$

is dense in  $G$ .

By the definition of  $X$ , for any  $x \in X$ , the subgroup  $\Gamma_x$  generated by  $a$  and  $x$  is a free group. Hence, by Proposition 2, for any  $x \in X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have the following estimate:

$$(*) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_{\Gamma_x}(a^n x a^{-n}) \right\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}}$$

where  $\lambda_{\Gamma_x}$  denotes the regular representation of the discrete group  $\Gamma_x$ . But, since the restriction of  $\lambda_{G_d}$  to  $\Gamma_x$  is a multiple of  $\lambda_{\Gamma_x}$ , we may replace  $\lambda_{\Gamma_x}$  by  $\lambda_{G_d}$  in the above inequality (\*).

Now suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a continuous unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  which is weakly contained in  $\lambda_{G_d}$ . Then, by (\*),

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \pi(a^n x a^{-n}) \right\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}}$$

for any  $x \in X$  and any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, for any unit vector  $\xi$  in the Hilbert space of  $\pi$ , we have

$$(**) \quad \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \pi(a^n x a^{-n}) \xi, \xi \rangle \right| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $X$  is dense and  $\pi$  is (strongly) continuous, (\*\*) holds for any  $x \in G$ .

Taking  $x = e$  and  $N \geq 5$ , we reach the contradiction

$$1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \pi(a^n e a^{-n}) \xi, \xi \rangle \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

□

## 2. THE GENERAL CASE

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 2. This will require two results related to Proposition 3.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $G$  be an amenable locally compact group. Assume that  $\lambda_{G_d}$  weakly contains some continuous unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ . Then  $G_d$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\pi}$  denote the representation conjugate to  $\pi$ . Then the (inner) tensor product  $\pi \otimes \bar{\pi}$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_{G_d} \otimes \bar{\lambda}_{G_d}$  and, hence, in  $\lambda_{G_d}$ , since  $\lambda_{G_d} \otimes \bar{\lambda}_{G_d}$  is a multiple of  $\lambda_{G_d}$ .

On the other hand, because  $G$  is amenable, the trivial representation  $1_G$  is weakly contained in  $\pi \otimes \bar{\pi}$ , by [Bek], Theorems 2.2 and 5.1. Hence,  $1_G$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_{G_d}$ . Therefore,  $G_d$  is amenable. □

Next, we extend Proposition 3 to all connected groups.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $G$  be a connected locally compact group. Assume that  $\lambda_{G_d}$  weakly contains some continuous unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ . Then  $G_d$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* By the structure theory for connected groups,  $G$  contains a compact normal subgroup  $K$  such that  $G/K$  is a Lie group (see [MoZ], p. 175).

We first claim that  $K_d$  is amenable. Indeed, since the restriction  $\lambda_{G_d}|_K$  of  $\lambda_{G_d}$  to  $K$  is a multiple of  $\lambda_{K_d}$ ,  $\lambda_{K_d}$  weakly contains  $\pi|_K$ . As  $K$  is amenable, the claim follows from Proposition 4.

Suppose, by contradiction, that  $(G/K)_d$  is not amenable. Then, by the proof of Proposition 3, there exist an element  $\dot{a} \in G/K$  and a dense subset  $\dot{X}$  of  $G/K$  such that, for any  $\dot{x} \in \dot{X}$ , the subgroup generated by  $\dot{a}$  and  $\dot{x}$  is free.

Let  $p : G \rightarrow G/K$  denote the canonical projection. Choose any  $a \in G$  with  $p(a) = \dot{a}$ , and set  $X = p^{-1}(\dot{X})$ . Then, for any  $x \in X$ , the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $a$  and  $x$  is free. As in the proof of Proposition 3, this, together with the fact that  $X$  is dense in  $G$ , yields a contradiction.

Therefore,  $(G/K)_d$  and  $K_d$  are amenable. It follows that  $G_d$  is amenable. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.* That (i) implies (ii) is obvious. Suppose (ii) holds, that is,  $\lambda_{G_d}$  weakly contains some continuous representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ . Let  $G^0$  denote the connected component of  $e$  in  $G$ . As  $\lambda_{G_d^0}$  weakly contains  $\pi|_{G^0}$ ,  $G_d^0$  is amenable by

Proposition 5. Since  $G/G^0$  is totally disconnected, we may choose an open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  containing  $G^0$  such that  $H/G^0$  is compact (see [HeR], Theorem 7.7). We claim that  $H_d$  is amenable. Indeed since  $H$  is amenable, the claim follows immediately from Proposition 4. This completes the proof that (ii) implies (iii).

Suppose (iii) holds, that is,  $G$  contains an open subgroup  $H$  such that  $H_d$  is amenable. Then  $\lambda_{H_d}$  weakly contains  $\lambda_H$  (in fact,  $\lambda_{H_d}$  weakly contains any unitary representation of  $H_d$ ).

Now, since  $G/H$  is discrete, the induced representation  $\text{ind}_{H_d}^{G_d} \lambda_H$  is equivalent to  $\text{ind}_H^G \lambda_H = \lambda_G$ . Therefore, by continuity of inducing,  $\lambda_G$  is weakly contained in  $\text{ind}_{H_d}^{G_d} \lambda_{H_d} = \lambda_{G_d}$ . This shows that (i) holds and completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

### 3. SOME REMARKS ON OTHER $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH $G$

Let  $\omega$  be the universal representation of the locally compact group  $G$ . Let  $C^*(G_d)$  be the (maximal)  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G_d$ , and let  $C_{\delta,\omega}^*(G)$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by all operators  $\omega(x)$ ,  $x \in G$ . There is an obvious surjective  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Psi : C^*(G_d) \rightarrow C_{\delta,\omega}^*(G).$$

The main result in [BeV] may be reformulated as follows.

**Theorem 3** ([BeV]). *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group. Then  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  is solvable.*

*Remark 1.* We do not know how to extend this result to other locally compact groups. A reasonable conjecture seems to be:  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  contains an open subgroup  $H$  such that  $H_d$  is amenable (that is, by Theorem 1, if and only if  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism).

Let  $\Lambda : C_{\delta,\omega}^*(G) \rightarrow C_\delta^*(G)$  be the surjective  $*$ -homomorphism, defined in the natural way. The following result, for which we offer a simple proof, may also be deduced from [Béd, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $\Lambda$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is amenable, then  $\lambda_G$  is weakly equivalent to the universal representation  $\omega$  (even as representations of  $G$ ). This implies that  $\Lambda$  is an isomorphism. Conversely, assume  $\Lambda$  is injective. Then  $1_G$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_G$ , where both representations are viewed as representations of  $G_d$ . That is,  $G$  has Reiter's weak property  $(P_2^*)$  (see [Pie], p. 56) which is known to characterise the amenability of  $G$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.* We used in the above proof the surprising fact that Reiter's weak property  $(P_2^*)$  is equivalent to the stronger property  $(P_2)$  (saying that  $1_G$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_G$ , as representations of  $G$ ) and, hence, to the amenability of  $G$ . Usually, the proof of this equivalence is a long and tedious one involving topological invariant means (compare [Pie], p. 56). It is worth mentioning that the argument used by Bédos in [Béd], Proof of Theorem 1, provides a quick and elegant proof for this equivalence. Indeed, assume  $1_G$  is weakly contained in  $\lambda_G$ , as representations

of  $G_d$ . Then  $1_G$  defines a state  $\varphi$  on  $C_\delta^*(G)$  such that  $\varphi(\lambda_G(x)) = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Extend  $\varphi$  to a state  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(G))$ . Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda_G(x)T) = \tilde{\varphi}(T\lambda_G(x))$$

for all  $x \in G$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(G))$ .

Then, denoting by  $M_f$  the multiplication operator on  $L^2(G)$  by  $f \in L^\infty(G)$ , one defines a mean  $m$  on  $L^\infty(G)$  as follows:

$$m(f) = \tilde{\varphi}(M_f), \quad \forall f \in L^\infty(G).$$

Since

$$M_{\lambda_G(x)f} = \lambda_G(x)M_f\lambda_G(x^{-1}), \quad \forall x \in G, \quad f \in L^\infty(G),$$

$m$  is left invariant. This shows that  $G$  is amenable.

It should be observed that, using the notion of amenable representations as defined in [Bek], the above argument shows that  $\lambda_G$  is amenable.

The following corollary is also proved in [DuR], Proposition 3.2.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then*

$$\Phi \circ \Lambda : C_{\delta,\omega}^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(G_d)$$

*is an isomorphism if and only if  $G_d$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* If  $G_d$  is amenable, then, as shown earlier,  $\Lambda$  and  $\Phi$  are isomorphisms.

Conversely, suppose  $\Phi \circ \Lambda$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\Lambda$  is an isomorphism, by Theorem 4,  $G$  is amenable. As mentioned in the introduction, this implies that  $G_d$  is amenable, as  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AkO] C. Akemann and P. Ostrand, *Computing norms in group C\*-algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 1015–1048. MR **56**:1079
- [Béd] E. Bédos, *On the C\*-algebra generated by the left regular representation of a locally compact group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 603–608. MR **94d**:22004
- [BCH] M.B. Bekka, M. Cowling and P. de la Harpe, *Some groups whose reduced C\*-algebra is simple*, Publ. Math. IHES **80** (1994), 117–134.
- [Bek] M.B. Bekka, *Amenable unitary representations of locally compact groups*, Invent. Math. **100** (1990), 383–401. MR **91g**:22007
- [BeV] M.B. Bekka and A. Valette, *On duals of Lie groups made discrete*, J. reine angew. Math. **439** (1993), 1–10. MR **94k**:22003
- [Dix] J. Dixmier, *C\*-algebras*, North-Holland, 1977. MR **56**:16388
- [DuR] C. Dunkl and D. Ramirez, *C\*-algebras generated by Fourier-Stieltjes transforms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **164** (1972), 435–441. MR **46**:9646
- [Eym] P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** (1964), 181–236. MR **37**:4208
- [HeR] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Kes] H. Kesten, *Symmetric random walks on groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1959), 336–354. MR **22**:253
- [Lei] M. Leinert, *Faltungsoperatoren auf gewissen diskreten Gruppen*, Studia Math. **52** (1974), 149–158. MR **50**:7594

- [MoZ] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience (1955). MR **17**:383
- [Pat] A. Paterson, *Amenability*, Amer. Math. Soc. (1988). MR **90e**:43001
- [Pie] J.P. Pier, *Amenable Locally Compact Groups*, Wiley, 1984. MR **86a**:43001

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITY DE METZ, UFR M.I.M. ILE DU SAULCY, F-57045 METZ CEDEX 01, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `bekka@poncelet.univ-metz.fr`

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK-INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT-GH PADERBORN, WARBURGER STR. 100, D-33098 PADERBORN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `kaniuth@uni-paderborn.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1

*E-mail address:* `tlau@vega.math.ualberta.ca`

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, ARCSSTRASSE 21, W-80333 MÜNCHEN 2, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `gschlich@mathematik.tu-muenchen.de`