

ISOMETRIES OF CERTAIN OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

BRIAN J. COLE AND JOHN WERMER

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. To a given basis ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n on an n -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we associate the algebra \mathfrak{A} of all linear operators on \mathcal{H} having every ϕ_j as an eigenvector. So, \mathfrak{A} is commutative, semisimple, and n -dimensional. Given two algebras of this type, \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} , there is a natural algebraic isomorphism τ of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} . We study the question: When does τ preserve the operator norm?

0. INTRODUCTION

We consider an n -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and fix an ordered basis ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n in \mathcal{H} . For each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in \mathbf{C}^n , we denote by T_λ the operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $T_\lambda \phi_j = \lambda_j \phi_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. We put $\mathfrak{A} = \{T_\lambda | \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^n\}$. Thus \mathfrak{A} is an n -dimensional commutative semisimple operator algebra on \mathcal{H} .

Given two such algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' , there is a natural map τ of \mathfrak{A} on \mathfrak{B} , described as follows:

Let us denote by P_j the idempotent operator in \mathfrak{A} such that $P_j \phi_j = \phi_j$, $P_j \phi_k = 0$ if $k \neq j$, $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Then, for each λ ,

$$T_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j P_j.$$

Given two such algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} such that \mathfrak{A} corresponds to the idempotents P_j and \mathfrak{B} corresponds to the idempotents Q_j , we define the map τ of \mathfrak{A} on \mathfrak{B} by

$$\tau \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j P_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j Q_j.$$

Then, τ is an algebraic isomorphism of \mathfrak{A} on \mathfrak{B} and $\tau(P_j) = Q_j$, for each j . We are interested in the following questions:

- (a) Under what conditions is τ an isometric map from \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{B} taken in the operator norm?
- (b) Assuming that τ is isometric, is τ induced by a unitary equivalence of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} ?

We shall prove the following results:

Received by the editors January 20, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D25.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{H} be an n -dimensional Hilbert space. Fix $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\text{rank } T = 1$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \|T + zS\| = \|T\| + \|T\|^{-1} \text{Re}[z \text{trace}(T^*S)] + o(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Consider next algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} corresponding to idempotents P_j and Q_j , and let τ be the isomorphism of \mathfrak{A} on \mathfrak{B} . The following theorem gives an answer to question (a) above.

Theorem 2. *If τ is isometric, then, writing tr for trace,*

$$(2) \quad \text{tr}(P_i^*P_j) = \text{tr}(Q_i^*Q_j) \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

If $n = 3$, then (2) is sufficient for τ to be isometric.

We shall use Theorem 1 in the proof of Theorem 2. The next theorem is due to Warren Wogen.

Theorem 3. *There exist algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} such that the isomorphism τ is isometric, but τ is not induced by a unitary map on the underlying Hilbert spaces.*

The final result concerns an interesting class of algebras for which the answer is positive. These algebras arise in the work of Sarason [3] on Pick interpolation, and are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras to quotients of the disk algebra by a closed ideal. They can be described as operator algebras in several ways, and we do it as follows: As in [1], we fix an n -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of distinct points in the open unit disk and associate to α an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_\alpha$ on \mathbf{C}^n by putting

$$(t, s)_\alpha = \sum_{j,k=1}^n t_j \bar{s}_k (1 - \alpha_j \bar{\alpha}_k)^{-1}, \quad t, s \in \mathbf{C}^n.$$

We denote the resulting inner product space by \mathbf{C}_α^n . For each $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ in \mathbf{C}^n we define the operator R_w on \mathbf{C}_α^n by

$$R_w(t) = (t_1 w_1, \dots, t_n w_n), \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n.$$

We denote the algebra consisting of all operators R_w with $w \in \mathbf{C}^n$ by \mathfrak{A}_α and we call \mathfrak{A}_α the *Pick algebra corresponding to α* . The standard basis of \mathbf{C}^n then consists of simultaneous eigenvectors of the operators in \mathfrak{A}_α , and so \mathfrak{A}_α belongs to the class of algebras introduced earlier. We studied Pick algebras in [1] and shall use some results obtained there. We shall show:

Theorem 4. *Fix α as above and form the algebra \mathfrak{A}_α . If \mathfrak{B} is another algebra of the type considered such that the map $\tau : \mathfrak{A}_\alpha \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$ is isometric, then τ is induced by a unitary map of \mathbf{C}_α^n on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} where \mathfrak{B} is defined.*

1. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1. We denote by M_n the space of all $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries. For $T, S \in M_n$, we put

$$(T, S)_0 = \text{tr}(TS^*).$$

The corresponding norm $\|T\|_0$ satisfies

$$\|T\|_0^2 = \text{tr}(TT^*).$$

We write $\|T\|$ for the operator norm of T on \mathbf{C}^n , taken in the Euclidean norm.

Claim 1. Fix $T, S \in M_n$ with $\|T\|_0 = 1$. Then, for $z \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$\|T + zS\|_0 = 1 + \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{tr}(ST^*)] + O(z^2).$$

Proof of Claim 1. The formula follows from the fact that $\|\cdot\|_0$ is induced by an inner product on M_n .

Claim 2. Fix $T \in M_n$. Then $\|T\| \leq \|T\|_0$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of TT^* , $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Then

$$\|TT^*\| = \lambda_n \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = \operatorname{tr}(TT^*),$$

and so $\|T\|^2 \leq \|T\|_0^2$.

Claim 3. Fix $T \in M_n$ with $\operatorname{rank} T = 1$. Then $\|T\| = \|T\|_0$.

Proof of Claim 3. WLOG $\|T\| = 1$. So, $\|TT^*\| = \|T\|^2 = 1$. Also, $TT^* \geq 0$. Since $\operatorname{rank} T = 1$, $\operatorname{rank}(TT^*) = 1$. It follows that $\operatorname{tr}(TT^*) = 1$. So, $\|T\|_0 = \|T\|$, as claimed.

We next require the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 1. Let f, g be convex real-valued functions defined on \mathbf{R}^N such that

- (i) $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all x ,
- (ii) $f(0) = g(0)$, and
- (iii) g is differentiable at 0.

Then, f is differentiable at 0 and f and g have the same linear part at 0.

To prove Theorem 1, we now fix operators S and T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\operatorname{rank} T = 1$ and $\|T\| = 1$. We define the functions

$$\phi_0(z) = \|T + zS\|_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(z) = \|T + zS\|, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Thus ϕ_0 and ϕ are convex functions defined in the z -plane. By Claims 2 and 3 we have

$$(3) \quad \phi(z) \leq \phi_0(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{C},$$

and

$$(4) \quad \phi(0) = \phi_0(0) = 1.$$

Applying the lemma to the functions ϕ and ϕ_0 which are defined on \mathbf{R}^2 , and using the fact that by Claim 1

$$\phi_0(z) = 1 + \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{tr}(ST^*)] + O(z^2)$$

we conclude that

$$\phi(z) = 1 + \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{tr}(ST^*)] + o(z),$$

and so

$$(5) \quad \|T + zS\| = 1 + \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{tr}(ST^*)] + o(z).$$

If we drop the assumption that $\|T\| = 1$, we may apply (5) to $\|T\|^{-1}T$ and $\|T\|^{-1}S$, and obtain

$$(6) \quad \|T + zS\| = \|T\| + \|T\|^{-1} \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{tr}(ST^*)] + o(z).$$

Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. We are given an algebra \mathfrak{A} spanned by the idempotents P_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, and an algebra \mathfrak{B} spanned by the idempotents Q_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. We assume that the isomorphism τ of \mathfrak{A} on \mathfrak{B} , which takes P_j to Q_j for each j , is isometric. For given i, j , we have by Theorem 1 that

$$\|P_i + zP_j\| = \|P_i\| + \|P_i\|^{-1} \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{tr}(P_i^* P_j)] + o(z)$$

and

$$\|Q_i + zQ_j\| = \|Q_i\| + \|Q_i\|^{-1} \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{tr}(Q_i^* Q_j)] + o(z).$$

Since τ is isometric, the left-hand sides are equal, and hence the right-hand sides are equal, and so the linear terms on the right-hand sides coincide. It follows that

$$\operatorname{tr}(P_i^* P_j) = \operatorname{tr}(Q_i^* Q_j) \quad \text{for all } i, j,$$

i.e., (2) holds. Thus (2) is a necessary condition for τ to be isometric.

We now assume that $n = 3$. We choose algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} so that (2) holds. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^3$, we put

$$S_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j P_j \in \mathfrak{A} \quad \text{and} \quad T_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j Q_j \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

Then, $\tau(S_\lambda) = T_\lambda$. Fix now $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ with $\lambda_j \neq 0$ for each j .

We denote by μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 the eigenvalues of $S_\lambda^* S_\lambda$ and by μ'_1, μ'_2, μ'_3 the eigenvalues of $T_\lambda^* T_\lambda$. By (2),

$$\operatorname{tr}(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda) = \sum_{i,j} \bar{\lambda}_i \lambda_j \operatorname{tr}(P_i^* P_j) = \sum_{i,j} \bar{\lambda}_i \lambda_j \operatorname{tr}(Q_i^* Q_j) = \operatorname{tr}(T_\lambda^* T_\lambda).$$

Thus

$$(7) \quad \sum_i \mu_i = \sum_i \mu'_i.$$

We use coordinatewise multiplication in \mathbf{C}^3 , so $1/\lambda = (1/\lambda_1, 1/\lambda_2, 1/\lambda_3)$. Then

$$(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^{-1} = S_\lambda^{-1} (S_\lambda^{-1})^* = S_{1/\lambda} (S_{1/\lambda})^*,$$

$$\operatorname{tr}[(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^{-1}] = \operatorname{tr}[S_{1/\lambda} (S_{1/\lambda})^*] = \operatorname{tr}[T_{1/\lambda} (T_{1/\lambda})^*] = \operatorname{tr}[(T_\lambda^* T_\lambda)^{-1}].$$

The eigenvalues of $(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda)^{-1}$ are $1/\mu_1, 1/\mu_2, 1/\mu_3$ and similarly for $(T_\lambda^* T_\lambda)^{-1}$. So

$$(8) \quad \sum_i 1/\mu_i = \sum_i 1/\mu'_i.$$

Next we note that for all λ

$$\det(S_\lambda) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = \det(T_\lambda),$$

and so we have

$$\det(S_\lambda^* S_\lambda) = |\det S_\lambda|^2 = |\det T_\lambda|^2 = \det(T_\lambda^* T_\lambda),$$

so

$$(9) \quad \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 = \mu'_1 \mu'_2 \mu'_3.$$

Hence (8) is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad \mu_2 \mu_3 + \mu_1 \mu_3 + \mu_1 \mu_2 = \mu'_2 \mu'_3 + \mu'_1 \mu'_3 + \mu'_1 \mu'_2.$$

Equations (7), (9), and (10) give us that the triples μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 and μ'_1, μ'_2, μ'_3 have the same elementary symmetric functions, and so it follows that

$$\max \mu_j = \max \mu'_j.$$

Thus $\|S_\lambda^* S_\lambda\| = \|T_\lambda^* T_\lambda\|$, and hence $\|S_\lambda\| = \|T_\lambda\|$. This holds for all λ with $\lambda_j \neq 0$ for all j . Hence, τ is isometric. Theorem 2 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 3 (Wogen's example). Choose an orthonormal basis E_1, E_2, E_3 for a 3-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Define vectors ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 by

$$\phi_1 = E_1, \quad \phi_2 = -E_1 + E_2, \quad \phi_3 = -E_2 + E_3.$$

The ϕ_j form a basis for \mathcal{H} . The dual basis ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 , which satisfies $(\phi_j, \psi_k) = \delta_j^k$, is given by

$$\psi_1 = E_1 + E_2 + E_3, \quad \psi_2 = E_2 + E_3, \quad \psi_3 = E_3.$$

We define the idempotent operators P_j , $1 \leq j \leq 3$, by

$$P_j \phi_k = \delta_j^k \phi_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 3,$$

and we similarly define Q_j , $1 \leq j \leq 3$, by

$$Q_j \psi_k = \delta_j^k \psi_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 3.$$

We denote by \mathfrak{A} the algebra spanned by the P_j and by \mathfrak{B} the algebra spanned by the Q_j . Let τ be the corresponding map: $\mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$.

Since the ψ_j form a dual basis for the ϕ_j , we have that

$$Q_j^* = P_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3.$$

Hence for all j, k

$$\text{tr}(Q_j Q_k^*) = \text{tr}(P_j^* P_k) = \text{tr}(P_k P_j^*).$$

Also each P_j has a matrix, relative to the basis E_1, E_2, E_3 , with real entries. Hence,

$$\text{tr}(P_k P_j^*) = \text{tr}[(P_k P_j^*)^*] = \text{tr}(P_j P_k^*).$$

So,

$$\text{tr}(Q_j Q_k^*) = \text{tr}(P_j P_k^*).$$

Theorem 2 now gives that τ is isometric.

On the other hand, suppose that there exists a unitary transformation U of \mathcal{H} on itself which induces τ . Then

$$U P_j U^{-1} = Q_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Fix j . Then

$$U P_j U^{-1}(\psi_j) = Q_j \psi_j = \psi_j, \quad \text{so } P_j(U^{-1} \psi_j) = U^{-1} \psi_j.$$

It follows that there exist constants c_j such that

$$U^{-1} \psi_j = c_j \phi_j.$$

Then

$$(\psi_j, \psi_k) = (U^{-1} \psi_j, U^{-1} \psi_k) = c_j \bar{c}_k (\phi_j, \phi_k) \quad \text{for all } j, k.$$

But, by construction,

$$(\phi_1, \phi_3) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi_1, \psi_3) = 1.$$

This is a contradiction and it follows that U cannot exist. We have shown: For the algebras \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} , as given, τ is isometric and is not induced by a unitary map. This proves Theorem 3. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. The following lemma is implicitly proved in [1]. For convenience, we give a direct, explicit proof here.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathcal{H} be an n -dimensional Hilbert space and let B be a linear transformation on \mathcal{H} with eigenvectors ν_1, \dots, ν_n and corresponding eigenvalues z_1, \dots, z_n . Assume that*

$$(\nu_j, \nu_k) = \frac{1}{1 - z_j \bar{z}_k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j, k \leq n.$$

Then $\|B^p\| = 1, p = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Proof. Fix $t = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \nu_j$ in \mathcal{H} . For $p = 1, 2, \dots,$

$$\begin{aligned} ((B^p)^* B^p t, t) &= (B^p t, B^p t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j^p t_j \nu_j, \sum_{k=1}^n z_k^p t_k \nu_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} z_j^p \bar{z}_k^p t_j \bar{t}_k (\nu_j, \nu_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ((I - (B^p)^* B^p)t, t) &= \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1 - z_j^p \bar{z}_k^p}{1 - z_j \bar{z}_k} \right) t_j \bar{t}_k \\ &= \sum_{j,k} (1 + z_j \bar{z}_k + \dots + z_j^{p-1} \bar{z}_k^{p-1}) t_j \bar{t}_k \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j t_j \right|^2 + \dots + \left| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{p-1} t_j \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \leq n - 1$, we can choose $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \neq 0$ such that each term vanishes and so

$$\|t\|^2 = \|B^p t\|^2,$$

and hence $\|B^p\| \geq 1$. Also, for every $t \in \mathbf{C}^n$, setting $p = 1$ gives

$$((I - B^* B)t, t) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \right|^2 \geq 0,$$

and so $\|t\|^2 \geq \|Bt\|^2$. Hence $\|B\| \leq 1$, and so $\|B^p\| \leq 1$ for all p . It follows that

$$\|B^p\| = 1 \quad \text{for } p = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

as claimed. \square

We note that, in the notation used in the paragraph following the statement of Theorem 3, we can apply Lemma 2 to the following situation: The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{C}_\alpha^n$ where α is a fixed n -tuple in \mathbf{C}^n , the operator B is the operator R_α , the eigenvectors ν_j are the standard basis in \mathbf{C}^n and the corresponding eigenvalues are

given by $z_j = \alpha_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, by definition of the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_\alpha$, we have that

$$(\nu_j, \nu_k) = \frac{1}{1 - z_j \bar{z}_k}$$

as required in Lemma 2. Hence we conclude that

$$\|R_\alpha^p\| = 1, \quad p = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

In [1], we proved a converse to Lemma 2 (Lemma 4 in [1]), and related results are given in Lotto [2].

Converse. *Let \mathcal{H} be an n -dimensional Hilbert space, and let B be an operator on \mathcal{H} with eigenvalues z_1, \dots, z_n such that $z_j \neq z_k$ if $j \neq k$, and $|z_j| < 1$ for all j . Assume that $\|B^p\| = 1$, $p = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then there exists a unitary map U of \mathcal{H} on \mathbf{C}_z^n such that*

$$R_z = UBU^{-1}.$$

For each $w \in \mathbf{C}^n$, R_w is the operator in \mathfrak{A}_α with eigenvalues w_1, \dots, w_n . Let T_w denote the operator in the algebra \mathfrak{B} with the same eigenvalue set w . Then the isomorphism τ of \mathfrak{B} on \mathfrak{A}_α maps T_w on R_w . Since τ is isometric,

$$\|R_w\| = \|T_w\|$$

for all w . In particular

$$\|T_\alpha^p\| = \|R_\alpha^p\| = 1, \quad p = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

By the Converse above, there exists a unitary operator U from \mathcal{H} to \mathbf{C}_α^n with

$$R_\alpha = UT_\alpha U^{-1}.$$

Fix $w^0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$. We choose a polynomial g such that $g(\alpha) = w^0$, in the sense that $g(\alpha_j) = w_j^0$ for each j . Then $g(T_\alpha) = T_{w^0}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(T_{w^0}) &= \tau[g(T_\alpha)] = g(\tau(T_\alpha)) = g(R_\alpha) \\ &= g(UT_\alpha U^{-1}) = Ug(T_\alpha)U^{-1} = UT_{w^0}U^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all w^0 . Hence τ is induced by the unitary map U . Theorem 4 is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Cole, K. Lewis, and J. Wermer, *A characterization of Pick bodies*, J. London Math. Soc. **48** (1993), 316–328. MR **95a**:30032
- [2] B. Lotto, *Von Neumann's inequality for commuting, diagonalizable contractions*, I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 889–901. MR **94e**:47012
- [3] D. Sarason, *Generalized interpolation in H^∞* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 179–203. MR **34**:8193

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02912
E-mail address: Brian_Cole@brown.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02912
E-mail address: John_Wermer@brown.edu