

MIXING PROPERTIES OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL CELLULAR AUTOMATA

RUNE KLEVELAND

(Communicated by Palle E. Jørgensen)

ABSTRACT. We study a class of endomorphisms on the space of bi-infinite sequences over a finite set, and show that such a map is onto if and only if it is measure-preserving. A class of dynamical systems arising from these endomorphisms are strongly mixing, and some of them even m -mixing. Some of these are isomorphic to the one-sided shift on \mathbb{Z}_n in both the topological and measure-theoretical sense. Such dynamical systems can be associated to \mathcal{O}_n , the Cuntz-algebra of order n , in a natural way.

1. INTRODUCTION

Shirvani and Rogers have proved in [Sh-Ro] that continuous maps $\prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$ that commute with the shift are surjective if and only if they are measure-preserving. We generalize this result to products over finite sets $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}_S$. We also generalize the mixing results from [Sh-Ro], and prove that some specific maps are m -mixing.

Theorem 2.1 states that we can obtain all continuous maps $\prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}$ that commute with the shift by extending maps $\mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$. A nice result from [Hed], Theorem 2.2, states that the extended map is onto if and only if the extension to $\mathbb{L}^{n+m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^m$ is an S^{n-1} -1 map for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We replace the term “for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ” with “for $m = \binom{2S^{n-1}-1}{S^{n-1}-1}$ ”, thus we provide an algorithm for deciding onto-ness of such maps.

This work is also inspired by [Mat], where some very specific endomorphisms are considered, and it is shown that the C^* -algebra generated by the continuous functions on $\prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}$ and the isometry induced by the endomorphism is the Cuntz-algebra \mathcal{O}_4 . We extend this result.

2. TWO BASIC STRUCTURE THEOREMS

Let $S \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, and let $\mathbb{L} = \{0, 1, \dots, S-1\}$ be the set of S symbols with discrete topology and normalized Haar-measure $\dot{\mu}$, that is, $\dot{\mu}(\{a\}) = S^{-1}$. A bi-infinite sequence over \mathbb{L} is a function $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$.

Let $\mathbb{L}^{\infty} = \prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}$ be the family of bi-infinite sequences over \mathbb{L} equipped with the product topology τ and the product measure μ defined by $\dot{\mu}$. It is well known that \mathbb{L}^{∞} is a compact, totally disconnected perfect metric space, and hence homeomorphic to the Cantor discontinuum.

Received by the editors October 23, 1995 and, in revised form, December 13, 1995.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A35, 22D25; Secondary 28D05, 46L05.

Let $C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ be the set of maps $\mathbb{L}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$. If $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$, define a map $f_m : \mathbb{L}^{m+n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^m$ by $f_m(x)_i = f(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+n-1})$. In addition, define a map $f_\infty : \mathbb{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ by $f_\infty(x)_i = f(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+n-1})$. Let σ be the left-shift on \mathbb{L}^∞ , that is, $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([Hed, Theorem 3.1 and 3.4]). *If $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$, then f_∞ is continuous and f_∞ commutes with the shift σ . Conversely, for every continuous map $\psi : \mathbb{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ that commutes with σ , there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi = f_\infty \circ \sigma^k$.*

This theorem shows that the set of continuous maps $\mathbb{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ which commute with the shift is countable, since $\text{Card } C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L}) = S^{S^n}$. We will mainly consider the surjective maps that commute with the shift.

Theorem 2.2 ([Hed, Theorem 5.1 and 5.4]). *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) f_∞ is surjective.
- ii) f_m is surjective for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- iii) f_m is a S^{n-1} -1 map for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $g_\infty = f_\infty \circ \sigma^r$ for some $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^{s-r}, \mathbb{L})$, we will use the sloppy notation $g_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$.

An n -block over \mathbb{L} is an element in \mathbb{L}^n . The length n of an n -block x is denoted by $|x|$. Let $x = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ be an n -block over \mathbb{L} . Define

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Cyl}(x, p) = \{y \in \mathbb{L}^\infty : y_p y_{p+1} \dots y_{p+n-1} = x\}.$$

The length of a cylinder $\text{Cyl}(x, p)$ is the length of the block x . It is evident that $\text{Cyl}(x, p)$ is a clopen set with $\mu(\text{Cyl}(x, p)) = S^{-|x|}$.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{L}^\infty$ be a measurable set. We say that the support of A , denoted $\text{Supp}(A)$, is contained in a subset \tilde{A} of \mathbb{Z} and write $\text{Supp}(A) \subseteq \tilde{A}$ if A is a member of the least σ -algebra that contains every cylinder set $\text{Cyl}(a, p)$ where $p \in \tilde{A}$ and $a \in \mathbb{L}$. Note that we do not require $\text{Supp}(A)$ to be an explicit subset of \mathbb{Z} , even if this might be possible. If two sets $A, B \in \Omega$ have disjoint support, then $\mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$, since μ is a product measure.

Let f_∞ defined by $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ be surjective, and let $x \in \mathbb{L}^m$ be an m -block. By Theorem 2.2 we conclude that $f_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p))$ is a union of S^{n-1} cylinders $\text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p)$ where $y^{(\kappa)} \in \mathbb{L}^{n+m-1}$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}$, since $f_{|x|}$ is a S^{n-1} -1 map. Thus we have

$$(2.2) \quad f_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p)) = \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}} \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p).$$

Let $g_\infty = f_\infty \circ \sigma^r = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. Since f_∞ commutes with σ we have

$$(2.3) \quad g_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p)) = f_\infty^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-r}(\text{Cyl}(x, p)) = \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r).$$

From this observation we obtain the important inclusion

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Supp}(g_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p))) \subseteq [p+r, p+s+|x|-1].$$

3. THE MAPS f_∞ DEFINED BY PERMUTATIVE f

It is possible to describe a class of surjective f_∞ in terms of properties of f .

Definition 3.1. Let $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. We say that f is permutative in x_j if the map $g_{x_r \dots x_{j-1} x_{j+1} \dots x_s} : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ given by

$$(3.1) \quad g_{x_r \dots x_{j-1} x_{j+1} \dots x_s}(x_j) = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$$

is a permutation for all $x_r \dots x_{j-1} x_{j+1} \dots x_s \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r-1}$.

Theorem 3.2 ([Hed, Theorem 6.6 and Theorem 6.7]). *Let $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. If f is permutative in the first or the last variable, then f_∞ is surjective. If f is permutative in both the first and the last variable, then f_∞ is a S^{s-r} -1 map.*

Proof. Suppose $f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ is permutative in x_s . We show that f_m is a S^{s-r} -1 map. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}$ and $a \in \mathbb{L}$. Since f is permutative in x_s , the map $g_\kappa : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ given by $g_\kappa(a) = f(\kappa a)$ is a permutation of \mathbb{L} , which implies that, for each $\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}$, there is a unique $a_\kappa \in \mathbb{L}$ such that $f(\kappa a_\kappa) = b$. Since $\text{Card } \mathbb{L}^{s-r} = S^{s-r}$, $f = f_1$ is a S^{s-r} -1 map.

Assume that $\text{Card } f_m^{-1}(x) = k$. Choose $y^{(j)} \in \mathbb{L}^{m+s-r}$ such that $f_m^{-1}(x) = \{y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(k)}\}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{L}$. If f is permutative in x_s , there exists, for each j , a unique $a_j \in \mathbb{L}$ such that $f_{m+1}(y^{(j)} a_j) = xa$. It follows that $f_{m+1}^{-1}(xa) = \{y^{(1)} a_1, y^{(2)} a_2, \dots, y^{(k)} a_k\}$, thus $\text{Card } f_{m+1}^{-1}(xa) = k$. By induction on m , f_m is a S^{s-r} -1 map for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 2.2, f_∞ is surjective. The proof is analogous if f is permutative in x_r .

Suppose f is permutative in both x_r and x_s , and that $f_\infty(x) = y$. If $\kappa = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{s-r} \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}$ is given, the argument above shows that $x_{s-r+1}, x_{s-r+2}, \dots$ are uniquely determined by y , and by symmetry x_{r-1}, x_{r-2}, \dots also are uniquely determined. This implies that $\text{Card } f_\infty^{-1}(y) \leq S^{s-r}$. But each $\kappa = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{s-r} \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}$ gives rise to $x \in f_\infty^{-1}(y)$, thus $\text{Card } f_\infty^{-1}(y) = S^{s-r}$. \square

Remark 3.3. The conclusion of the first part of Theorem 3.2 cannot be obtained if f is permutative in some other variable than the first or last one. A simple counterexample for $S = 2$ is provided by $f(a, b, c, d) = abd + c \pmod 2$. It is easy to check that $\text{Card } f_2^{-1}(0, 0) = 9 \neq 2^3$, thus f_∞ is not surjective by Theorem 2.2.

Remark 3.4. It is possible to show that if $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ is a S^{s-r} -1 map, then f is permutative in both x_r and x_s . This is Theorem 17.2 in [Hed].

Remark 3.5. Let $S = 2$. Theorem 3.2 implies that g_∞ and h_∞ defined by

$$(3.2) \quad g(a, b, c) = ab + c \pmod 2 \quad \text{and} \quad h(a, b, c) = a + bc \pmod 2$$

are surjective. This implies that $f_\infty = g_\infty \circ h_\infty$ defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(a, b, c, d) &= g(h(a, b, c), h(b, c, d), h(c, d, e)) \\ &= (a + bc)(b + cd) + c + de \\ &= ab + acd + bc + bcd + de \pmod 2 \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. But this f is not covered by Theorem 3.2, so the result is not complete.

Remark 3.6. The construction in the proof of Theorem 3.2 tells us something about the structure of the inverse image of a cylinder under f_∞ . Let $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^{s-r}, \mathbb{L})$ be permutative in the last variable, and let $x \in \mathbb{L}^m$. We saw that for each $\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}$

there exist $y^{(\kappa)} \in f_m^{-1}(x)$ such that $\kappa = y_1^{(\kappa)} y_2^{(\kappa)} \dots y_{s-r}^{(\kappa)}$. If we index the set $f_m^{-1}(x)$ over \mathbb{L}^{s-r} , we can write $f_m^{-1}(x) = \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} y^{(\kappa)}$.

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that if $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ and f is permutative in x_s , the S^{s-r} cylinders in $f_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p))$ can be indexed over \mathbb{L}^{s-r} such that

$$(3.4) \quad f_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p)) = \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r), \quad \kappa = y_1^{(\kappa)} y_2^{(\kappa)} \dots y_{s-r}^{(\kappa)}.$$

If f is permutative in both x_r and x_s , each $y^{(\kappa)}$ is uniquely determined by $y_{k+1}^{(\kappa)} y_{k+2}^{(\kappa)} \dots y_{k+s-r}^{(\kappa)}$ if $0 \leq k \leq m$. This implies that, if

$$\text{Supp}(\text{Cyl}(\kappa, q)) \subseteq [p+r, p+s+|y^{(\kappa)}|-1] \supseteq \text{Supp}(\text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r)),$$

which is equivalent to $p+r \leq q \leq p+r+|x|$, then

$$(3.5) \quad f_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p)) \cap \text{Cyl}(\kappa, q) = \text{Cyl}(z, p+r)$$

for some $z \in \mathbb{L}^{|x|+s-r}$. This observation is used in the proof of Theorem 7.1.

4. WHICH $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ DEFINES A SURJECTIVE f_∞ ?

Using Theorem 2.2, one can show that many of the maps f_∞ are not surjective. But this theorem does not give a general algorithm for deciding if a particular f give rise to a surjective f_∞ , since it offers no restriction on m .

For a non-surjective $f_\infty \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$, let $m(f) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : f_m \text{ is not } S^{n-1}\}$, and let

$$(4.1) \quad \rho_S(n) = \max\{m(f) : f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L}), f_\infty \text{ is not surjective}\}.$$

Since $\text{Card } C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ is finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho_S(n) \leq \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ and $f_{\rho_S(n)}$ is S^{n-1} , then f_∞ is surjective. This implies that condition iii) in Theorem 2.2 can be replaced by “for all $m \leq \rho_S(n)$ ”. But if f_m is a S^{n-1} map, then f_{m-1} is also has this property by a simple counting argument. Thus the condition can be relaxed to “for $m = \rho_S(n)$ ”.

In [Sh-Ro] it is claimed (at least in remark 2.5) that $\rho_2(n) \leq n$. This is true for $n \leq 3$, since we for $n \leq 3$ have that every $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ such that f_n is a 2^{n-1} -1 map turns out to be permutative in either the first or last variable, and hence surjective by Theorem 3.2. But $\rho_2(n) > n$ for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$. We have a particular $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^4, \mathbb{L})$ defined by

$$(4.2) \quad f(a, b, c, d) = (1+a)(1+d) + d(b+ac) \pmod 2$$

with the property that $f_4 : \mathbb{L}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$ is a 2^{4-1} -1 map. But $f_5 : \mathbb{L}^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^5$ is not a 2^{4-1} -1 map, thus $\rho_2(4) \geq 5$. In addition we have an $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^5, \mathbb{L})$ defined by

$$(4.3) \quad f(a, b, c, d, e) = a(b(d+e) + c) + e \pmod 2.$$

This function has the property that $f_6 : \mathbb{L}^{10} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$ is a 2^{5-1} -1 map, but $f_7 : \mathbb{L}^{11} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^7$ is not a 2^{5-1} -1 map. Thus $\rho_2(5) \geq 7$. The function in equation (4.3) is quite rare, and was found by a computer.

To obtain a good estimate for $\rho_S(n)$ is to my knowledge an open problem. I will now provide a bad one.

A configuration of order n is a function $\psi : \mathbb{L}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\|\psi\|$ be the one-norm, that is, $\|\psi\| = \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}} \psi(\kappa)$. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be the configuration defined by $\mathbf{1}(\kappa) = 1$.

Let $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ be fixed. For $x \in \mathbb{L}^m$, define the configuration $\mathbf{1}_x$ by

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{1}_x(\kappa) = \text{Card}\{y \in f_m^{-1}(x) : y_{m+1}y_{m+2} \cdots y_{m+n-1} = \kappa\}.$$

It is obvious that $\|\mathbf{1}_x\| = \text{Card } f_{|x|}^{-1}(x)$. We call ψ legal if $\|\psi\| = S^{n-1}$ and possible if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{L}^m$ such that $\psi = \mathbf{1}_x$. Theorem 2.2 implies that f_∞ is surjective if and only if every possible configuration is legal.

Lemma 4.1. *The number of legal configurations corresponding to a function $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ is less than or equal to $\binom{2S^{n-1}-1}{S^{n-1}}$.*

Proof. There is a bijective correspondence between the legal configurations of order n and finite sequences of length $2S^{n-1} - 1$ consisting of S^{n-1} ones and $\text{Card } \mathbb{L}^{n-1} - 1 = S^{n-1} - 1$ zeros. To a configuration ψ we associate the finite sequence consisting of $\psi(0)$ ones, one zero, $\psi(1)$ ones, one zero and so on. Then each legal configuration is associated to a unique sequence with the given property, and it is fairly easy to see that each such sequence gives a legal configuration. Thus the number of legal configurations is the number of legal sequences, that is, $\binom{2S^{n-1}-1}{S^{n-1}}$. \square

We define the depth of a possible configuration ψ as the least $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi = \mathbf{1}_x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{L}^m$, with the convention that the depth of $\mathbf{1}$ is 0.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $a(m)$ be the number of possible configurations with depth less than or equal to m .*

- i) *If $a(m + 1) = a(m)$, then $a(m + k) = a(m)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- ii) *If $a(m + 1) > a(m)$, then $a(m) > m$.*
- iii) *If f_m is an S^{n-1} -1 map and $a(m + 1) = a(m)$, then f_∞ is surjective.*
- iv) *If f_m is an S^{n-1} -1 map for $m = \binom{2S^{n-1}-1}{S^{n-1}}$, then f_∞ is surjective, thus $\rho_S(n) \leq \binom{2S^{n-1}-1}{S^{n-1}}$.*

Proof.

- i) Suppose that $a(m + 2) > a(m + 1)$. Then there is a configuration φ_{xb} where $x \in \mathbb{L}^{n+1}$ and $b \in \mathbb{L}$ with depth $m + 2$. But this implies that the depth of φ_x is $m + 1$, a contradiction. The statement follows by induction on m .
- ii) If $a(m + 1) > a(m)$, then $a(k + 1) > a(k)$ for all $k \leq m$ by the first statement, thus $a(m) > m$, since $a(0) = 1$.
- iii) Since $a(m + 1) = a(m)$, every possible configuration has depth less or equal to m by i), thus every possible configuration is legal, since the assumption that f_m is a S^{n-1} -1 map implies that $f_{m'}$ is a S^{n-1} -1 map for $m' \leq m$.
- iv) Let $m = \binom{2S^{n-1}-1}{S^{n-1}}$. If f_∞ is not surjective, then $a(m + 1) > a(m)$ thus there would exist at least $a(m) > m$ legal configurations. This contradicts Lemma 4.1. \square

Part iv) in the above theorem gives an upper bound for the function $\rho_S(n)$, but this is certainly not an optimal result. One way to improve it is to consider the growing rate of $a(m)$. Another could be a complete change of strategy, and the solution might be simple

Theorem 4.2 above gives an algorithm for deciding if a particular $f \in C(\mathbb{L}^n, \mathbb{L})$ gives rise to a surjective f_∞ . We calculate the number $a(m)$ until $a(m + 1) = a(m)$ or until we find an illegal configuration. One of these events has to occur before $\max(a(m), m) \geq \binom{2S^{n-1}-1}{S^{n-1}}$. In the first case f_∞ is surjective; in the second it is not.

5. MEASURE-PRESERVING PROPERTIES OF f_∞

The part i) \Leftrightarrow iii) of Theorem 2.2 in fact states that f_∞ is surjective if and only if f_m is measure-preserving for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The next theorem extends this result.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose ψ is a continuous map $\mathbb{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ that commutes with the shift σ . Then ψ is onto if and only if ψ is measure-preserving.*

Proof. Let ψ be measure-preserving, and put $A = \psi(\mathbb{L}^\infty)$. Since \mathbb{L}^∞ is compact, A is compact and hence closed. In addition

$$(5.1) \quad \mu(A) = \mu(\psi^{-1}(A)) = \mu(\mathbb{L}^\infty) = 1,$$

thus A^c is open with zero measure, hence empty.

Assume ψ is onto. Theorem 2.1 implies that $\psi = f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. Theorem 1.1 in [Wal] implies that f_∞ is measure-preserving if it is measure-preserving for every set in the elementary family that generates the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{L}^∞ , that is, all the cylinder-sets $A_p = \text{Cyl}(x^{(p)}, -p)$ where $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x^{(p)} \in \mathbb{L}^{2p+1}$. Now $\mu(A_p) = S^{-(2p+1)}$. Equation (2.3) says that $f_\infty^{-1}(A_p)$ is a union of exactly S^{s-r} cylinders, each of length $2p+1+s-r$ and hence measure $S^{-(2p+1+s-r)}$. It follows that

$$(5.2) \quad \mu(f_\infty^{-1}(A_p)) = S^{s-r} \cdot S^{-(2p+1+s-r)} = S^{-(2p+1)} = \mu(A_p),$$

thus $\psi = f_\infty$ is measure-preserving. □

Remark 5.2. The above theorem can also be found in [Sh-Ro, Theorem 2.4], but only for $S = 2$, and with a different line of proof. The authors of this article claim to have proven two more equivalent conditions, but their use of this result gives a false conclusion, as shown at the start of section 4.

In Theorem 5.1 we assume that ψ commutes with the shift. One might ask if something similar can be obtained for continuous ψ that does not have this property. Observing that \mathbb{L}^∞ in fact is a compact group, we can apply the following nice theorem, taken from an example in [Wal]:

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathcal{G} be a compact Abelian group equipped with the Haar-measure μ . Suppose ψ is a continuous, surjective and additive map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Then ψ is measure-preserving.*

Proof. Define a measure ν on \mathcal{G} by $\nu(E) = \mu(\psi^{-1}(E))$ for all measurable $E \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Then $\nu(\mathcal{G}) = 1$ and we have

$$(5.3) \quad \nu(\psi(x) + E) = \mu(\psi^{-1}(\psi(x) + E)) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mu(x + \psi^{-1}(E)) = \mu(\psi^{-1}(E)) = \nu(E).$$

(*) follows from the additivity of ψ . This shows that ν is a rotation-invariant probability measure, since ψ is surjective, thus it is the unique Haar-measure μ . It follows that ψ is measure-preserving. □

Remark 5.4. Let $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a bi-infinite sequence over \mathbb{L} with the property that $\gcd(S, a_j, a_{j+1}) = 1$ and a_j or a_{j+1} or both are nonzero. Define a transformation $\psi : \mathbb{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ by

$$(5.4) \quad \psi(x)_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{2i+1} a_j x_j \pmod S & \text{for } i \geq 0, \\ \sum_{j=2i}^{-1} a_j x_j \pmod S & \text{for } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that this map satisfies the requirements of Theorem 5.3. Since there are uncountably many sequences $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ that satisfy the conditions for every $S \geq 2$, there are uncountably many measure-preserving maps $\mathbb{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$. But only a countable subset of these commutes with the shift σ by Theorem 2.1.

6. MIXING

The following lemma generalizes Theorem 5.1 for $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ where f is permutative in x_r of x_s . It will be used to prove mixing-properties.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $x \in \mathbb{L}^m$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $A = \text{Cyl}(x, p)$ and $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ where f is permutative in x_s , respectively x_r . If $B \subseteq \mathbb{L}^\infty$ is a measurable set and $\text{Supp}(B) \subseteq (-\infty, p+s-1] \cup [p+s+m, \infty)$, respectively $\text{Supp}(B) \subseteq (-\infty, p+r-1] \cup [p+r+m, \infty)$, then $\mu(f_\infty^{-1}(A) \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$.*

Proof. Assume that f is permutative in x_s . By equation (3.4) we have

$$(6.1) \quad f_\infty^{-1}(A) = f_\infty^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p)) = \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{n-1}} \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r), \quad \kappa = y_1^{(\kappa)} y_2^{(\kappa)} \dots y_{m-1}^{(\kappa)},$$

where $y^{(\kappa)} \in \mathbb{L}^{m+s-r}$. This implies that $f_\infty^{-1}(A) \cap \text{Cyl}(\kappa, p+r) = \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r)$.

For every $\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}$, define $B_\kappa = B \cap \text{Cyl}(\kappa, p+r)$. Then $B = \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} B_\kappa$ and

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(f_\infty^{-1}(A) \cap B) &= \mu\left(\left(\bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r)\right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} B_\kappa\right)\right) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} B_\kappa \cap \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r)\right) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} \mu(B_\kappa \cap \text{Cyl}(y^{(\kappa)}, p+r)) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} \mu\left(B_\kappa \cap \text{Cyl}(y_{1+s-r}^{(\kappa)} y_{2+s-r}^{(\kappa)} \dots y_{m+s-r}^{(\kappa)}, p+s)\right) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} \mu(B_\kappa) \cdot S^{-m} = \mu(A)\mu(B). \end{aligned}$$

(*) follows since the intersection of the support of the two sets is disjoint. The proof of the second part is similar. \square

In the proofs of the mixing properties, we will need some observations about composite functions. Let $f_\infty = f(x_{r_1}, x_{r_1+1}, \dots, x_{s_1})$ and $g_\infty = g(x_{r_2}, x_{r_2+1}, \dots, x_{s_2})$. Then

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_\infty \circ g_\infty(x)_i &= f_\infty\left(\dots, g(x_{r_2-1}, \dots, x_{s_2-1}), g(x_{r_2}, \dots, x_{s_2}), g(x_{r_2+1}, \dots, x_{s_2+1}), \dots\right)_i \\ &= f\left(g(x_{r_2+r_1+i}, \dots, x_{s_2+r_1+i}), g(x_{r_2+r_1+i+1}, \dots, x_{s_2+r_1+i+1}), \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, g(x_{r_2+s_1+i-1}, \dots, x_{s_2+s_1+i-1}), g(x_{r_2+s_1+i}, \dots, x_{s_2+s_1+i})\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exists some $h \in C(\mathbb{L}^{s_1+s_2-r_1-r_2}, \mathbb{L})$ such that $h_\infty = f_\infty \circ g_\infty = h(x_{r_1+r_2}, \dots, x_{s_1+s_2})$. In particular, if $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$, we have $f_\infty^n = g(x_{nr}, \dots, x_{ns})$. We also observe that if f is permutative in x_{r_1} , respectively x_{s_1} , and g is permutative in x_{r_2} , respectively x_{s_2} , then h is permutative in $x_{r_1+r_2}$, respectively $x_{s_1+s_2}$.

Recall that a measure-theoretic dynamical system (Ω, μ, ψ) is called strongly mixing if we for every measurable $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ have

$$(6.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\psi^{-n}(A) \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. If $s < 0$ or $r > 0$, then $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, \mu, f_\infty)$ is strongly mixing.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.17 in [Wal] it is sufficient to prove equation (6.4) for all cylinders $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{L}^\infty$, since the elementary family of cylinder sets generates the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{L}^∞ . Let $A = \text{Cyl}(x, p)$, $B = \text{Cyl}(y, q)$. Now $f_\infty^n = g(x_{nr}, \dots, x_{ns})$, thus

$$(6.5) \quad \text{Supp}(f_\infty^{-n}(A)) = \text{Supp}\left((f_\infty^n)^{-1}(\text{Cyl}(x, p))\right) \subseteq [p + nr, p + ns + |x| - 1].$$

If $r > 0$, choose n so large that $p + nr \geq q + |y|$, and if $s < 0$, choose n so large that $p + ns + |x| - 1 < q$. In both cases $\text{Supp}(f_\infty^{-n}(A)) \cap \text{Supp}(B) = \emptyset$, which implies that

$$(6.6) \quad \mu(f_\infty^{-n}(A) \cap B) = \mu(f_\infty^{-n}(A)) \cdot \mu(B) = \mu(A)\mu(B). \quad \square$$

Theorem 6.3. *Let $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. If f is permutative in x_r and $r < 0$ or f is permutative in x_s and $s > 0$, then $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, \mu, f_\infty)$ is strongly mixing.*

Proof. Suppose $s > 0$ and f permutative in x_s . Let $A = \text{Cyl}(x, p)$, $B = \text{Cyl}(y, q)$. Now $f_\infty^n = g(x_{nr}, \dots, x_{ns})$. Choose n such that $p + ns - 1 \geq q + |y|$. Then $\text{Supp}(B) \subseteq (-\infty, p + ns - 1]$. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that

$$(6.7) \quad \mu(f_\infty^{-n}(A) \cap B) = \mu(f_\infty^{-n}(A)) \cdot \mu(B) = \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

The second part is analogous. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. If f is permutative in both x_r and x_s , then $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, \mu, f_\infty)$ is strongly mixing if and only if $r \neq 0$ or $s \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $s < 0$ or $r > 0$ Theorem 6.2 applies. If $s > 0$ or $r < 0$ Theorem 6.3 applies. The only option left is $r = s = 0$, in which case f_∞ is clearly not strongly mixing. □

Remark 6.5. Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 and Corollary 6.4 can also be found in [Sh-Ro, Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, and Corollary 3.5], but only for $S = 2$.

A dynamical system (Ω, μ, ψ) is called m -mixing if we for every measurable A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m have that

$$(6.8) \quad \lim_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_0 \cap \psi^{-k_1}(A_1) \dots \cap \psi^{-(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_m)}(A_m)) = \mu(A_0)\mu(A_1)\dots\mu(A_m).$$

It is evident that 1-mixing is strongly mixing. The converse is not a general fact, but we have the following direct generalization of Theorem 6.3:

Theorem 6.6. *Let $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$. If f is permutative in x_r and $r < 0$ or f is permutative in x_s and $s > 0$, then $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, \mu, f_\infty)$ is m -mixing for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the assertion for all cylinders A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m . Choose t such that $\text{Supp}(A_j) \subseteq [-p, p]$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Suppose f is permutative in x_s and $s > 0$. If $A_j = \text{Cyl}(x^{(j)}, p_j)$, then $-p \leq p_j \leq p_j + |x^{(j)}| - 1 \leq p$. Since for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a function g_j such that

$$(6.9) \quad f_\infty^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j} = g_j \left(x_{(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j)r}, \dots, x_{(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j)s} \right),$$

we obtain

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Supp} \left(f_\infty^{-(k_1+\dots+k_j)}(A_j) \right) &= \text{Supp} \left((f_\infty^{k_1+\dots+k_j})^{-1}(A_j) \right) \\ &\subseteq [p_j + (k_1 + \dots + k_j)r, p_j + (k_1 + \dots + k_j)s + |x^{(j)}| - 1] \\ &\subseteq [-p + (k_1 + \dots + k_j)r, p + (k_1 + \dots + k_j)s - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Choose k_j so large that $k_j s - 1 \geq 2p$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. This implies that

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Supp} \left(A_0 \cap f_\infty^{-k_1}(A_1) \cap f_\infty^{-(k_1+k_2)}(A_2) \cap \dots \cap f_\infty^{-(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{j-1})}(A_{j-1}) \right) \\ \subseteq (-\infty, p + (k_1 + \dots + k_{j-1})s] \\ \subseteq (-\infty, p + (k_1 + \dots + k_{j-1})s + k_j s - 2p - 1] \\ \subseteq (-\infty, -p + (k_1 + \dots + k_j)s - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

The above equation together with Lemma 6.1 implies that

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \left(A_0 \cap f_\infty^{-k_1}(A_1) \cap f_\infty^{-(k_1+k_2)}(A_2) \cap \dots \right. \\ \left. \dots \cap f_\infty^{-(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{j-1})}(A_{j-1}) \cap f_\infty^{-(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j)}(A_j) \right) \\ = \mu \left(A_0 \cap f_\infty^{-k_1}(A_1) \cap \dots \cap f_\infty^{-(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{j-1})}(A_{j-1}) \right) \\ \cdot \mu \left(f_\infty^{-(k_1+k_2+\dots+k_j)}(A_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since f_∞ is measure-preserving, the statement of the theorem follows by induction on m . If f is permutative in x_r and $r < 0$ the proof is analogous. \square

The above mixing results are not complete. Shirvani and Rogers suggest in [Sh-Ro] that every onto or equivalently measure-preserving $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ where $s > r$ is strongly mixing. If this conjecture is true, I believe it is difficult to prove in general.

7. MEASURE-THEORETIC AND TOPOLOGICAL ISOMORPHISM

Let \mathbb{Z}_n be the ring of n integers with discrete topology and normalized Haar-measure. Define $\mathbb{Z}_n^\infty = \prod_{m=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_n$ and equip \mathbb{Z}_n^∞ with product topology and product measure ν . Let σ be the left shift on \mathbb{Z}_n^∞ . It is easy to see that σ is measure-preserving, since we only need to consider cylinder sets. This implies that the triple $(\mathbb{Z}_n^\infty, \nu, \sigma)$ is a dynamical system.

We want to show that a dynamical system $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, \mu, f_\infty)$, where $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ and $r < 0 < s$ and f is permutative in both x_r and x_s , is measure-theoretic isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_{S^{s-r}}^\infty, \sigma, \nu)$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$, and suppose that f is permutative in both x_r and x_s and further that $r < 0 < s$. Then there exists a collection $\{\varphi_\kappa : \kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}\} : \mathbb{L}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\infty$ of cross-sections such that $\mathbb{L}^\infty = \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}} \varphi_\kappa(\mathbb{L}^\infty)$, $\varphi_\kappa(\mathbb{L}^\infty) \cap \varphi_{\kappa'}(\mathbb{L}^\infty) = \emptyset$ for $\kappa \neq \kappa'$, and such that every clopen set in \mathbb{L}^∞ is a finite union of sets $\varphi_{\kappa_1} \varphi_{\kappa_2} \cdots \varphi_{\kappa_n}(\mathbb{L}^\infty)$. Moreover, $\mu(\varphi_{\kappa_1} \varphi_{\kappa_2} \cdots \varphi_{\kappa_n}(\mathbb{L}^\infty)) = S^{-n(s-r)}$.*

Proof. We saw in the proof of Theorem 3.2 that every $\kappa \in \mathbb{L}^{s-r}$ gives rise to a unique $y^{(\kappa)} \in f_\infty^{-1}(x)$ such that $y_1^{(\kappa)} y_2^{(\kappa)} \cdots y_{s-r}^{(\kappa)} = \kappa$. This implies that we can define $\varphi_\kappa(x) = f_\infty^{-1}(x) \cap \text{Cyl}(\kappa, 0)$. Then φ_κ is well-defined, one to one, and continuous.

The union and intersection properties are trivial. To prove that every clopen set has the required form, we claim that for each finite sequence $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n$ there exist $z^{(n)} \in \mathbb{L}^{n(s-r)}$ such that

$$(7.1) \quad \varphi_{\kappa_1} \varphi_{\kappa_2} \cdots \varphi_{\kappa_n}(\mathbb{L}^\infty) = \text{Cyl}(z^{(n)}, (n-1)r).$$

We prove the statement by induction on n . The statement is obviously right for $n = 1$, since $\varphi_\kappa(\mathbb{L}^\infty) = \text{Cyl}(\kappa, 0)$. For the induction-step we write

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{\kappa_1} \varphi_{\kappa_2} \cdots \varphi_{\kappa_n} \varphi_{\kappa_{n+1}}(\mathbb{L}^\infty) &= \varphi_{\kappa_1} \left(\text{Cyl}(z^{(n)}, (k-1)r) \right) \\ &= f_\infty^{-1} \left(\text{Cyl}(z^{(n)}, (k-1)r) \right) \cap \text{Cyl}(\kappa_1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

By remark 3.6 there exists a unique $z^{(n+1)} \in \mathbb{L}^{|z^{(n)}|+s-r} = \mathbb{L}^{(n+1)(s-r)}$ such that

$$(7.3) \quad f_\infty^{-1} \left(\text{Cyl}(z^{(n)}, (n-1)r) \right) \cap \text{Cyl}(\kappa_1, 0) = \text{Cyl}(z^{(n+1)}, (n-1)r + r).$$

Since every clopen set A has finite support, we can find $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Supp}(A) \subseteq [-p, p]$. Choose n so large that $(n-1)r \leq -p$ and $(n-1)s \geq p$. Then A is a finite union of cylinders $\text{Cyl}(z^{(n)}, (n-1)r)$, thus every clopen set is a finite union of sets of the form $\varphi_{\kappa_1} \varphi_{\kappa_2} \cdots \varphi_{\kappa_n}(\mathbb{L}^\infty)$.

Since $\varphi_{\kappa_1} \varphi_{\kappa_2} \cdots \varphi_{\kappa_n}(\mathbb{L}^\infty)$ is a cylinder of length $n(s-r)$, it follows that this set has measure $S^{-n(s-r)}$. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let Ω be a compact, totally disconnected Hausdorff-space, and let $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be continuous, onto and n -1. Suppose there exists, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, a map $\varphi_j : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi_j = \text{id}$, $\Omega = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \varphi_j(\Omega)$, $\varphi_i(\Omega) \cap \varphi_j(\Omega) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and such that every clopen set in Ω is a finite union of sets $\varphi_{j_1} \varphi_{j_2} \cdots \varphi_{j_k}(\Omega)$. Then (Ω, ψ) is topologically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_n^\infty, \sigma)$. If in addition μ is the measure on Ω such that $\mu(\varphi_{j_1} \varphi_{j_2} \cdots \varphi_{j_k}(\Omega)) = n^{-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then (Ω, μ, ψ) is measure-theoretic isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_n^\infty, \nu, \sigma)$.*

Proof. Define the map $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^\infty$ by

$$(7.4) \quad \Gamma(x) = j_1, j_2, j_3, \dots \quad \text{if} \quad x \in \varphi_{j_1} \varphi_{j_2} \cdots \varphi_{j_m}(\Omega) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ can find unique $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $x \in \varphi_{j_1} \varphi_{j_2} \cdots \varphi_{j_m}(\Omega)$, since Ω equals the disjoint union $\bigcup_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n (\Omega)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\varphi_{j_{m+1}}(\Omega) \subset \Omega$, we have the inclusion $\varphi_{j_1} \varphi_{j_2} \cdots \varphi_{j_m} \varphi_{j_{m+1}}(\Omega) \subseteq \varphi_{j_1} \varphi_{j_2} \cdots \varphi_{j_m}(\Omega)$. Thus for each x there is one and only one sequence j_1, j_2, \dots such that $x \in \varphi_{j_1} \varphi_{j_2} \cdots \varphi_{j_m}(\Omega)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that Γ is well-defined. Γ is surjective since the intersection of every decreasing sequence of closed sets in a compact space is nonempty.

If $x, y \in \Omega$ and $x \neq y$, x and y can be separated by two clopen sets U_1 and U_2 , since Ω is a totally disconnected Hausdorff-space. Thus x and y can be separated

by sets $\varphi_{j_1}\varphi_{j_2}\cdots\varphi_{j_m}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_{k_1}\varphi_{k_2}\cdots\varphi_{k_m}(\Omega)$, since U_1 and U_2 are finite unions of such. It follows that Γ is injective.

The topology on \mathbb{Z}_n^∞ is generated by sets

$$(7.5) \quad U_{j,k} = \prod_{n=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_n \times \{j\} \times \prod_{n=k+2}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma^{-1}(U_{j,k}) = \psi^{-k} \circ \varphi_j(\Omega)$, which is open since ψ is continuous. Thus Γ is continuous, and hence a homeomorphism, since Ω is compact and \mathbb{Z}_n^∞ is Hausdorff.

Suppose $\Gamma(x) = j_1, j_2, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\infty$. Then

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma \circ f_\infty(x) &= \Gamma\left(f_\infty(\varphi_{j_1}\varphi_{j_2}\cdots(\Omega))\right) = \Gamma(\varphi_{j_2}\varphi_{j_3}\cdots(\Omega)) \\ &= \sigma(j_1, j_2, \dots) = \sigma \circ \Gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that Γ is invertible measure-preserving. We have that $U_k = \prod_{m=1}^k \{j_m\} \times \prod_{m=k+1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_n$ is an elementary family that generates the Borel- σ -algebra on \mathbb{Z}_n^∞ . Since

$$(7.7) \quad \mu(\Gamma^{-1}(U_k)) = \mu(\varphi_{j_1}\varphi_{j_2}\cdots\varphi_{j_k}(\Omega)) = n^{-k} = \nu(U_k),$$

Γ is measure-preserving. Conversely, since Ω is totally disconnected and every clopen set is a finite union of sets $\varphi_{j_1}\varphi_{j_2}\cdots\varphi_{j_k}(\Omega)$, these sets form an elementary family that generates the σ -algebra on Ω . Since

$$(7.8) \quad \Gamma(\varphi_{j_1}\varphi_{j_2}\cdots\varphi_{j_k}(\Omega)) = \prod_{n=1}^k \{j_k\} \times \prod_{n=k+1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_n$$

and the sets on each side of the equation has the same measure n^{-k} , Γ^{-1} is measure-preserving. □

Corollary 7.3. *Assume that $r < 0 < s$, $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ where f is permutative in both x_r and x_s . It follows that the system $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, f_\infty)$ is topologically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_{S^{s-r}}^\infty, \sigma)$ and that the system $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, \mu, f_\infty)$ is measure-theoretically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_{S^{s-r}}^\infty, \nu, \sigma)$.*

Proof. All requirements of Theorem 7.2 are covered by Theorem 7.1. □

It would be nice if one could characterize dynamical systems arising from functions that are not permutative in both the first and last variable. But in this case the structure of the inverses can be more complicated, even if f is very simple. I include (without proof) a theorem stating this.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $f_\infty = f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be defined by $f(a, b, c) = a + b + c + bc \pmod 2$. Let $\mathbb{L}_n^\infty = \{x \in \mathbb{L}^\infty : \text{Card } f_\infty^{-1}(x) = n\}$. If there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that that $x_m = 1$ for all $m > p$, then $x \in \mathbb{L}_3^\infty$. If for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $m > p$ and $k \geq 0$ such that $01^{3k+1}0 = x_m x_{m+1} \dots x_{m+3k+2}$, then $x \in \mathbb{L}_1^\infty$. Otherwise $x \in \mathbb{L}_2^\infty$.*

8. C^* -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

Let (Ω, μ, σ) be a dynamical system where Ω is a compact Hausdorff-space, and consider the Hilbert-space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega, \mu)$. Every $f \in C(\Omega)$ induces an operator T_f given by $T_f \xi(x) = f(x)\xi(x)$. Then $\|T_f\| = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| = \|f\|_\infty$. The compactness of Ω implies that $\|T_f\| = \|f\|_\infty$ is finite.

The transformation σ induces an operator $V \in B(\mathcal{H})$ given by $V\xi(x) = \xi(\sigma x)$. Using that σ is measure-preserving, we obtain that V is an isometry.

Define $C^*(C(\Omega), \sigma)$ as the C^* -algebra generated by the operators $\{T_f : f \in C(\Omega)\}$ and the operator V induced by σ . If the dynamical systems (Ω, μ, σ) and $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma})$ are measure-theoretic and topologically isomorphic, $C^*(C(\Omega), \sigma)$ is isomorphic to $C^*(C(\hat{\Omega}), \hat{\sigma})$.

Theorem 8.1. *Consider the dynamical system $(\mathbb{Z}_n^\infty, \nu, \sigma)$. Then $C^*(C(\mathbb{Z}_n^\infty), \sigma)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_n , the Cuntz-algebra of order n .*

Proof. We denote \mathbb{Z}_n^∞ by Ω . Let σ_j where $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ be the cross-sections of σ given by $\sigma_j(x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots) = (j x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots)$. Let $\Omega_j = \sigma_j(\Omega)$ and $\Omega_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k} = \sigma_{j_1} \sigma_{j_2} \dots \sigma_{j_k}(\Omega)$, and let P_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k} be the projection on $\Omega_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k}$.

Define the Cuntz-generators S_j where $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ by

$$(8.1) \quad S_j^* \xi(x) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\xi \circ \sigma_j)(x), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Since ν is a product-measure on Ω , we have $\nu(\sigma_j(A)) = n^{-1} \nu(A)$ for all measurable $A \subseteq \Omega$. By a straightforward calculation we obtain that $S_j = n^{\frac{1}{2}} P_j V$, thus $C^*(C(\Omega), \sigma)$ contains $C^*(\{S_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n})$. Moreover, one may verify that $P_i P_j = \delta_{ij} S_i S_j^*$, thus the Cuntz-relations hold, and we may identify $C^*(\{S_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n})$ with \mathcal{O}_n .

Since $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} S_j = n^{\frac{1}{2}} V$, it follows that $V \in \mathcal{O}_n$. Moreover

$$(8.2) \quad P_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k} = S_{j_1} S_{j_2} \dots S_{j_k} S_{j_k}^* \dots S_{j_2}^* S_{j_1}^*.$$

By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, \mathcal{O}_n contains T_f for all $f \in C(\Omega)$, since each projection P_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k} is contained in \mathcal{O}_n . Thus $C^*(C(\Omega), \sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$. See also [Br-Jø-Pr]. \square

Corollary 8.2. *Assume that $r < 0 < s$, $f_\infty = f(x_r, \dots, x_s)$ where f is permutative in both x_r and x_s . It follows that $C^*(C(\mathbb{L}^\infty), f_\infty)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_n .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 8.1 and Corollary 7.3. \square

Remark 8.3. Kengo Matsumoto has obtained less general results in [Mat]. He has proved the above corollary for $f_\infty = f(x_{-1}, x_0, x_1) = x_{-1} + x_1 \pmod{2}$ in Theorem 3.14 and for $f_\infty = f(x_{-1}, x_0, x_1) = x_{-1} + x_0 + x_1 \pmod{2}$ in Proposition 3.16.

REFERENCES

- [Br-Jø-Pr] Ola Bratteli, Palle E. T. Jørgensen and Geoffrey L. Price. *Endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$* . Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Am. math. soc. 1995. (ed. I. E. Segal.) To appear.
- [Cuntz] Joachim Cuntz, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*. Commun. Math. Phys. **57**, 173–185 (1977). MR **57**:7189
- [Hed] G. A. Hedlund. *Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system*. Math. Syst. theory **3**, 320–375 (1970). MR **41**:4510
- [Mat] Kengo Matsumoto. *C^* -algebras associated with dynamical systems*. Math. Scand. **75**, 195–216 (1994). MR **96a**:46120
- [Sh-Ro] M. Shirvani and T. D. Rogers. *On ergodic one-Dimensional Cellular Automata*, Commun. Math. Phys. **136**, 599–605 (1991). MR **92j**:58057
- [Wal] Peter Walters. *An introduction to ergodic theory*. Springer (1982). MR **84e**:28017

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, BOX 1053, 0316 OSLO, NORWAY
E-mail address: runekl@math.uio.no