

SPLITTING NUMBER

TOMEK BARTOSZYŃSKI

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

ABSTRACT. We show that it is consistent with ZFC that every uncountable set can be continuously mapped onto a splitting family.

1. INTRODUCTION

A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is called a splitting family if for every infinite set $B \subseteq \omega$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$|A \cap B| = |(\omega \setminus A) \cap B| = \aleph_0.$$

We denote by \mathfrak{s} the least size of a splitting family. It is well-known that $\aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{s} \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. Let

$$\mathbf{S} = \{X \subseteq 2^\omega : \text{no Borel image of } X \text{ is a splitting family}\}.$$

By “Borel image” we mean image by a Borel function. It is easy to see that \mathbf{S} is a σ -ideal containing all countable sets. The purpose of this paper is to show that one cannot prove in ZFC that \mathbf{S} contains an uncountable set.

Recall ([5] or [1]) that a forcing notion (\mathcal{P}, \leq) is Suslin if

- (1) \mathcal{P} is ccc,
- (2) \mathcal{P} is a Σ_1^1 set of reals,
- (3) relations \leq, \perp are Σ_1^1 .

Let $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin})$ denote Martin’s Axiom for Suslin partial orders. It is well known that $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin})$ implies that many cardinal invariants, most notably additivity of measure, are equal to 2^{\aleph_0} .

Notation used in this paper is standard. In particular, for $s, t \in 2^{<\omega}$, $[s] = \{x \in 2^\omega : x \upharpoonright \text{dom}(s) = s\}$ and $s \frown t$ denotes the concatenation of s and t . For $A, B \subseteq \omega$ define $A \subseteq^* B$ if $|A \setminus B| < \aleph_0$.

To simplify notation, throughout this paper we will identify elements of $[\omega]^\omega$ with elements of 2^ω via characteristic functions.

2. CONSISTENCY RESULT

The goal of this paper is to show $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin})$ is consistent with $\mathbf{S} = [\mathbb{R}]^{<\aleph_1}$. This is a generalization of a result from [5], where it was proved that $\mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin}) + \mathfrak{s} = \aleph_1$ is consistent.

Received by the editors December 11, 1995 and, in revised form, January 18, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 04A20.

Key words and phrases. Splitting family, cardinal invariants.

Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS 95-05375.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a model of $\mathbf{V}' \models \text{ZFC}$ such that:*

- (1) $\mathbf{V}' \models \mathbf{S} = [\mathbb{R}]^{<\aleph_1}$,
- (2) $\mathbf{V}' \models \mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin}) + 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

We start with a definition of a forcing notion, due to Hechler (see [4]), that will be crucial for our construction.

Let $\text{Seq}^* \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$ be the set of strictly increasing finite sequences. Let

$$\mathbf{D} = \{(s, f) : s \in \text{Seq}^*, s \subseteq f, \text{ and } f \text{ is strictly increasing}\}.$$

For $(s, f), (t, g) \in \mathbf{D}$ define

$$(s, f) \geq (t, g) \iff s \supseteq t \ \& \ \forall n \in \omega \ f(n) \geq g(n).$$

Define a rank function on \mathbf{D} :

Definition 2.2 ([2]). Suppose that $D \subseteq \mathbf{D}$ is a dense open set. For $s \in \text{Seq}^*$ define the rank of s as follows:

- (1) $\text{rank}_D(s) = 0$ if there exists a function f such that $(s, f) \in D$.
- (2) If $\text{rank}_D(s) \neq 0$, then

$$\text{rank}_D(s) = \min \left\{ \alpha : \exists m \exists \{s_k : k \in \omega\} \subseteq \text{Seq}^* \cap \omega^m \right. \\ \left. (\text{rank}_D(s_k) < \alpha \ \& \ s \subseteq s_k \ \& \ s_k(|s|) > k) \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([2]; [1, Lemma 3.5.6]). *For every $s \in \text{Seq}^*$, $\text{rank}_D(s)$ is defined.* \square

Let $\langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$ be a finite support iteration such that

- (1) $\Vdash_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha$ is Suslin,
- (2) if α is a limit ordinal then $\dot{Q}_\alpha \simeq \mathbf{D}$.

By careful bookkeeping we can ensure that $\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{P}_{\omega_2}} \models \mathbf{MA}(\text{Suslin}) + 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$.

We will show that $\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{P}_{\omega_2}} \models \mathbf{S} = [\mathbb{R}]^{<\aleph_1}$. The following construction is a modification of a construction from [3].

Suppose that $A \subseteq \omega$ is an infinite set. Let A_-, A_+ be two, canonically chosen, disjoint infinite sets such that $A_- \cup A_+ = A$. Assume that $g \in \omega^\omega$ is an increasing function such that $\text{range}(g) \cap A = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ is infinite ($x_n < x_{n+1}$ for all n).

Define a real $z_{A,g} \in 2^\omega$ as follows:

$$z_{A,g}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_n \in A_+ \\ 0 & \text{if } x_n \in A_- \end{cases} \text{ for } n \in \omega.$$

Fix a bijection $\ell : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow \omega$ and for $x \in 2^\omega$ define $\ell(x) = \{\ell(x \upharpoonright n) : n \in \omega\}$. Define $S_g : \text{dom}(S_g) \rightarrow 2^\omega$ as

$$S_g(x) = z_{\ell(x),g} \text{ for } x \in 2^\omega.$$

The following lists some easy properties of the function defined above:

- Lemma 2.4.** (1) $\text{dom}(S_g)$ is a G_δ subset of 2^ω ,
 (2) S_g is continuous on its domain,
 (3) S_g extends to a Borel function on 2^ω .

Proof. (1) Note that $\text{dom}(S_g) = \{x \in 2^\omega : |\text{range}(g) \cap \ell(x)| = \aleph_0\}$, which is a G_δ set (possibly empty). (2) is easy to see and (3) is well-known. \square

Definition 2.5. An uncountable set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is called a Luzin set if $|F \cap X| \leq \aleph_0$ for every meager set $F \subseteq 2^\omega$.

We have the following easy lemma:

Lemma 2.6. *Every Luzin set is a splitting family.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is a non-meager set. Since we identify elements of $[\omega]^\omega$ with elements of 2^ω via characteristic functions we can assume that $X \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$. Let $A \in [\omega]^\omega$. Consider the set

$$F = \{z \in [\omega]^\omega : z \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus A) \text{ or } A \subseteq^* z\}.$$

It is easy to see that F is a meager set, and that any element of $X \setminus F$ splits A . \square

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that d is a \mathbf{D} -generic real over \mathbf{V} . If $Z \subseteq 2^\omega \cap \mathbf{V}$ is uncountable then $S_d(Z)$ is a Luzin set in $\mathbf{V}[d]$.*

Proof. Observe first that by genericity $\mathbf{V} \cap 2^\omega \subseteq \text{dom}(S_d)$ and S_d is one-to-one on $\mathbf{V} \cap 2^\omega$. In particular, $S_d(Z)$ is an uncountable set.

Suppose that $F \in \mathbf{V}[d]$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of 2^ω . To show that $S_d(Z)$ is a Luzin set it is enough to show that $S_d(Z) \cap F$ is countable. Let $f \in (2^{<\omega})^\omega \cap \mathbf{V}[d]$ be a function defined as follows:

$$f(n) = \min\{s \in 2^{<\omega} : \forall t \in 2^{\leq n} [t \frown s] \cap F = \emptyset\}.$$

(The minimum is taken with respect to some canonical enumeration of $2^{<\omega}$.) It is well-known that such an s exists.

Let \dot{f} be a \mathbf{D} -name for f and define for $n \in \omega$,

$$D_n = \{p \in \mathbf{D} : \exists s \in 2^{<\omega} p \Vdash_{\mathbf{D}} \dot{f}(n) = s\}.$$

Let $N \prec \mathbf{H}(\lambda)$ be a countable model containing \dot{f} and Z , where λ is a sufficiently large regular cardinal.

Lemma 2.8. *If $x \in \mathbf{V} \cap 2^\omega$ but $x \notin N \cap 2^\omega$ then $S_d(x) \notin F$.*

Proof. Suppose not and let $x \notin N \cap 2^\omega$ be a counterexample. Choose $(s, g) \in \mathbf{D}$ such that

$$(s, g) \Vdash_{\mathbf{D}} S_d(x) \in \dot{F}.$$

Let $\tilde{k} = |\text{range}(s) \cap \ell(x)|$. In other words, $S_d(x) \upharpoonright \tilde{k}$ is determined by (s, g) . Let

$$U = \{t \in \text{Seq}^* : s \subseteq t \ \& \ |\text{range}(t) \cap \ell(x)| = \tilde{k} \ \& \ \forall j \in \text{dom}(t) \setminus \text{dom}(s) \ t(j) \geq g(j)\}.$$

Lemma 2.9. $\min\{\text{rank}_{D_{\tilde{k}}}(t) : t \in U\} = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that the lemma is not true and let $t \in U$ be an element of minimal rank. By the definition there exists m and a sequence $\{t_j : j \in \omega\} \subseteq \text{Seq}^* \cap \omega^m$ such that for every j :

- (1) $t \subseteq t_j$,
- (2) $\text{rank}_{D_{\tilde{k}}}(t_j) < \text{rank}_{D_{\tilde{k}}}(t)$,
- (3) $t_j \upharpoonright (|t|) > j$.

Fix i such that $|t| \leq i < m$ and let $W_i = \{t_j \upharpoonright i : j \in \omega\}$. Note that every subsequence of $\{t_j : k \in \omega\}$ witnesses that $\text{rank}_{D_{\tilde{k}}}(t) > 0$ as well. Thus, by passing to a subsequence we can assume that there is a set $\ell(x_i)$ such that $W_i \subseteq \ell(x_i)$ or $W_i \cap \ell(x)$ is finite for all x . In particular, if such a real x_i exists it is a member of N .

Since $x \notin N$, $W_i \cap \ell(x)$ is finite for all $|t| \leq i < m$. Therefore, there exists j such that $\text{range}(t_j) \cap \ell(x) = \text{range}(t) \cap \ell(x)$. In particular, $t_j \in U$ and $\text{rank}_{D_{\tilde{k}}}(t_j) < \text{rank}_{D_{\tilde{k}}}(t)$, which is a contradiction. \square

Let $t \in U$ be such that $\text{rank}_{D_{\tilde{k}}}(t) = 0$. There exists $h \in \omega^\omega$ such that $(t, h) \in D_{\tilde{k}}$. Therefore, $(t, \max(h, g)) \geq (s, g)$ and $(t, \max(h, g))$ decides the value of $\dot{f}(\tilde{k})$. Denote this value by \tilde{s} . However, $(t, \max(h, g))$ does not put any restrictions on values of $S_d(x)(j)$ for $j \geq \tilde{k}$. Extend t to t' such that

$$(t', \max(h, g)) \Vdash_{\mathbf{D}} \left(S_d(x) \upharpoonright \tilde{k} \right)^\frown \tilde{s} \subseteq S_d(x).$$

It is clear that

$$(t', \max(h, g)) \Vdash_{\mathbf{D}} S_d(x) \notin \dot{F}.$$

This contradiction ends the proof of Lemma 2.7. \square

Let $G \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\omega_2}$ be a generic filter over \mathbf{V} . Suppose that $Z \subseteq 2^\omega \cap \mathbf{V}[G]$ is a set of cardinality \aleph_1 . First we find a limit ordinal α such that $Z \subseteq \mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_\alpha]$. We will work in the model $\mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1}] = \mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_\alpha][d]$, where d is a \mathbf{D} -generic real over $\mathbf{V}[G \cap \mathcal{P}_\alpha]$.

To finish the proof it is enough to show that $S_d(Z)$ is a splitting family in $\mathbf{V}[G]$. Note however that $S_d(Z)$ is not a Luzin set in $\mathbf{V}[G]$. In fact, $S_d(Z)$ is meager in $\mathbf{V}[G]$.

Lemma 2.10. *$\{S_d(x) : x \in Z\}$ is a splitting family in $\mathbf{V}[G]$.*

Proof. We will work in \mathbf{V}_1 . By 2.7, we know that $S_d(Z)$ is a Luzin set in \mathbf{V}_1 . Note that $\mathbf{V}[G]$ is a generic extension of \mathbf{V}_1 via finite support iteration of Suslin forcings $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1, \omega_2}$.

Let \dot{A} be a $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1, \omega_2}$ -name for a set $A \in [\omega]^\omega$. We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.11. *For every $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1, \omega_2}$, the set*

$$Z_p = \left\{ z \in Z : p \Vdash_{\alpha+1, \omega_2} \text{“} S_d(z) \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A}) \text{ or } \dot{A} \subseteq^* S_d(z) \text{”} \right\}$$

is countable.

Before we prove the lemma notice that the theorem follows from it immediately – given $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1, \omega_2}$, \dot{A} and $z \in Z \setminus Z_p$ we can find $q \geq p$ such that $q \Vdash \text{“} S_d(z) \text{ splits } \dot{A} \text{”}$.

Proof of the lemma. We will use the absoluteness properties of Suslin forcing (see [1] or [5]).

Fix a condition $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1, \omega_2}$. Let M be a countable elementary submodel of $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$ containing \dot{A} , p and $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1, \omega_2}$. Define a finite support iteration $\langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha(M), \dot{Q}_\alpha(M) : \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$ as follows:

$$\Vdash_\alpha \dot{Q}_\alpha(M) = \begin{cases} \dot{Q}_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in M \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \alpha \notin M \end{cases} \text{ for } \alpha < \omega_2.$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = \lim \mathcal{P}_\alpha(M)$. \mathcal{P} is the part of the iteration that contains all information regarding \dot{A} . \mathcal{P} is isomorphic to a countable iteration of Suslin forcings. In particular, \mathcal{P} has a definition that can be coded as a real number (essentially by encoding M as a real number).

From Suslinness it follows that $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathcal{P}_{\alpha+1, \omega_2}$ and that \dot{A} is a \mathcal{P} -name (see [1], Lemma 9.7.4, or [5]). Moreover, it is enough to show that

$$\left\{ z \in Z : p \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} "S_d(z) \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A}) \text{ or } \dot{A} \subseteq^* S_d(z)" \right\}$$

is countable.

Let $N \prec \mathbf{H}(\lambda)$ be a countable model containing M , \dot{A} and \mathcal{P} . Since $S_d(Z)$ is a Luzin set in \mathbf{V}_1 , the set

$$Z_0 = \{z \in Z : S_d(z) \text{ is not a Cohen real over } N\}$$

is countable. We will show that $Z_p \subseteq Z_0$. In particular, for $z \in Z \setminus Z_0$,

$$p \not\Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} "S_d(z) \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A}) \text{ or } \dot{A} \subseteq^* S_d(z)",$$

which will finish the proof. Fix $z \in Z \setminus Z_0$ and let $Y = S_d(z)$ be a Cohen real over N . Without loss of generality we can assume that $p \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} Y \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A})$.

Clearly, $N[Y][G \cap N[Y]] \models Y \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A}[G \cap N[Y]])$ and therefore

$$N[Y] \models "p \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} Y \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A}),"$$

since the last statement is absolute. Represent the Cohen algebra as $\mathbf{C} = [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and let \dot{Y} be the canonical name for a Cohen real. There is a condition $s \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$N \models s \Vdash_{\mathbf{C}} "p \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} \dot{Y} \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A})."$$

Let $Y' = s \cup (\omega \setminus (Y \setminus \max(s)))$. Y' is also a Cohen real over N and since $s \subseteq Y'$ we get that $N[Y'] \models "p \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} Y' \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A})."$ It follows that

$$N[Y'][G \cap N[Y']] \models Y' \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A}[G \cap N[Y']]).$$

Note that $\dot{A}[G] = \dot{A}[G \cap N[Y']] = \dot{A}[G \cap N[Y]]$. Thus $\mathbf{V}[G] \models Y \cup Y' \subseteq^* (\omega \setminus \dot{A}[G])$ which means that $\dot{A}[G]$ is finite. Contradiction.

The same argument shows that the assumption that $p \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}} \dot{A} \subseteq^* Y$ leads to a contradiction. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Andreas Blass for his helpful communication concerning the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tomek Bartoszyński and Haim Judah, *Set Theory: on the structure of the real line*, A.K. Peters, 1995. CMP 96:01
- [2] James E. Baumgartner and Peter Dordal, *Adjoining dominating functions*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic **50** (1985), no. 1, 94–101. MR **86i**:03064
- [3] Jorg Brendle, Haim Judah, and Saharon Shelah, *Combinatorial properties of Hechler forcing*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **59** (1992), 185–199. MR **93k**:03048
- [4] S. H. Hechler, *On the existence of certain cofinal subsets of ω^ω* , Axiomatic Set Theory (T. J. Jech, ed.), Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974, Part 2, pp. 155–173. MR **50**:12716
- [5] Haim Judah and Saharon Shelah, *Suslin forcing*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic **53** (1988), 1188–1207. MR **90h**:03035

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY, BOISE, IDAHO 83725
E-mail address: tomek@math.idbsu.edu