

## BASIC DIFFERENTIAL FORMS FOR ACTIONS OF LIE GROUPS. II

PETER W. MICHOR

(Communicated by Roe W. Goodman)

ABSTRACT. The assumption in the main result of *Basic differential forms for actions of Lie groups* (Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 1633–1642) is removed.

Let  $G$  be a Lie group which acts isometrically on a Riemannian manifold  $M$ . A section of the Riemannian  $G$ -manifold  $M$  is a closed submanifold  $\Sigma$  which meets each orbit orthogonally. In this situation the trace on  $\Sigma$  of the  $G$ -action is a discrete group action by the generalized Weyl group  $W(\Sigma) = N_G(\Sigma)/Z_G(\Sigma)$ , where  $N_G(\Sigma) := \{g \in G : g.\Sigma = \Sigma\}$  and  $Z_G(\Sigma) := \{g \in G : g.s = s \text{ for all } s \in \Sigma\}$ . A differential form  $\varphi \in \Omega^p(M)$  is called  $G$ -invariant if  $g^*\varphi = \varphi$  for all  $g \in G$  and horizontal if  $\varphi$  kills each vector tangent to a  $G$ -orbit. We denote by  $\Omega_{\text{hor}}^p(M)^G$  the space of all horizontal  $G$ -invariant  $p$ -forms on  $M$  which are also called *basic forms*.

In the paper [2] it was shown that for a proper isometric action of a Lie group  $G$  on a smooth Riemannian manifold  $M$  admitting a section  $\Sigma$  the restriction of differential forms induces an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\text{hor}}^p(M)^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^p(\Sigma)^{W(\Sigma)}$$

between the space of horizontal  $G$ -invariant differential forms on  $M$  and the space of all differential forms on  $\Sigma$  which are invariant under the action of the generalized Weyl group  $W(\Sigma)$  of the section  $\Sigma$ , under the following assumption:

For each  $x \in \Sigma$  the slice representation  $G_x \rightarrow O(T_x(G.x)^\perp)$  has a generalized Weyl group which is a reflection group.

In this paper we will show that this result holds in general, without any assumption. Notation is as in [2], which is used throughout. For more information on  $G$ -manifolds with sections see the seminal paper [3].

**1. Polar representations.** Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and let  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be an orthogonal representation in a finite dimensional real vector space  $V$  which admits a section  $\Sigma$ . Then the section turns out to be a linear subspace and the representation is called a *polar representation*, following Dadok [1], who gave a complete classification of all polar representations of connected Lie groups.

---

Received by the editors January 31, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57S15, 20F55.

*Key words and phrases*. Orbits, sections, basic differential forms.

Supported by Project P 10037-PHY of 'Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung'.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow O(V)$  be a polar orthogonal representation of a compact Lie group  $G$ , with section  $\Sigma$  and generalized Weyl group  $W = W(\Sigma)$ . Let  $B \subset V$  be an open ball centered at  $0$ .*

*Then the restriction of differential forms induces an isomorphism*

$$\Omega_{hor}^p(B)^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^p(\Sigma \cap B)^{W(\Sigma)}.$$

*Proof.* We only treat the case  $B = V$ . The restriction to an open ball can be proved as in [2], 3.8. Let  $i : \Sigma \rightarrow V$  be the embedding. It is easy to see (and proved in [2], 2.4) that the restriction  $i^* : \Omega_{hor}^p(V)^G \rightarrow \Omega^p(\Sigma)^{W(G)}$  is injective, so it remains to prove surjectivity. Let  $G_0$  be the connected component of  $G$ . From [1], lemma 1 one concludes:

*A subspace  $\Sigma$  of  $V$  is a section for  $G$  if and only if it is a section for  $G_0$ . Thus  $\rho$  is a polar representation for  $G$  if and only if it is a polar representation for  $G_0$ .*

The generalized Weyl groups of  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $G$  and to  $G_0$  are related by

$$W(G_0) = N_{G_0}(\Sigma)/Z_{G_0}(\Sigma) \subset W(G) = N_G(\Sigma)/Z_G(\Sigma),$$

since  $Z_G(\Sigma) \cap N_{G_0}(\Sigma) = Z_{G_0}(\Sigma)$ .

Let  $\omega \in \Omega^p(\Sigma)^{W(G)} \subset \Omega^p(\Sigma)^{W(G_0)}$ . Since  $G_0$  is connected the generalized Weyl group  $W(G_0)$  is generated by reflections (a Coxeter group) by [1], remark after proposition 6. Thus we may apply [2], theorem 3.7, which asserts that then

$$i^* : \Omega_{hor}^p(V)^{G_0} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^p(\Sigma)^{W(G_0)}$$

is an isomorphism, and we get  $\varphi \in \Omega_{hor}^p(V)^{G_0}$  with  $i^*\varphi = \omega$ . Let us consider

$$\psi := \int_G g^*\varphi dg \in \Omega_{hor}^p(V)^G,$$

where  $dg$  denotes Haar measure on  $G$ . In order to show that  $i^*\psi = \omega$  it suffices to check that  $i^*g^*\varphi = \omega$  for each  $g \in G$ . Now  $g(\Sigma)$  is again a section of  $G$ , thus also of  $G_0$ . Since any two sections are related by an element of the group, there exists  $h \in G_0$  such that  $hg(\Sigma) = \Sigma$ . Then  $hg \in N_G(\Sigma)$  and we denote by  $[hg]$  the coset in  $W(G)$ , and we may compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (i^*g^*\varphi)_x &= (g^*\varphi)_x \cdot \Lambda^p T i = \varphi_{g(x)} \cdot \Lambda^p T g \cdot \Lambda^p T i \\ &= (h^*\varphi)_{g(x)} \cdot \Lambda^p T g \cdot \Lambda^p T i, \quad \text{since } \varphi \in \Omega_{hor}^p(M)^{G_0} \\ &= \varphi_{hg(x)} \cdot \Lambda^p T(hg) \cdot \Lambda^p T i = \varphi_{i[hg](x)} \cdot \Lambda^p T i \cdot \Lambda^p T([hg]) \\ &= (i^*\varphi)_{[hg](x)} \cdot \Lambda^p T([hg]) \\ &= \omega_{[hg](x)} \cdot \Lambda^p T([hg]) = [hg]^*\omega = \omega. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**2. Theorem.** *Let  $M \times G \rightarrow M$  be a proper isometric right action of a Lie group  $G$  on a smooth Riemannian manifold  $M$ , which admits a section  $\Sigma$ .*

*Then the restriction of differential forms induces an isomorphism*

$$\Omega_{hor}^p(M)^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega^p(\Sigma)^{W(\Sigma)}$$

*between the space of horizontal  $G$ -invariant differential forms on  $M$  and the space of all differential forms on  $\Sigma$  which are invariant under the action of the generalized Weyl group  $W(\Sigma)$  of the section  $\Sigma$ .*

This is the Main Theorem 2.4 of [2], without the assumption made there.

*Proof.* Injectivity is proved in [2], 2.4, without using the assumption. Surjectivity can be proved as in [2], section 4, where one replaces the use of [2], 3.8, by Theorem 1 above.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. Dadok, J., *Polar coordinates induced by actions of compact Lie groups*, TAMS **288** (1985), 125–137. MR **86k**:22019
2. Michor, Peter W., *Basic differential forms for actions of Lie groups*, Proc. AMS **124** (1996), 1633–1642. MR **96g**:57041
3. Palais, R. S.; Terng, C. L., *A general theory of canonical forms*, Trans. AMS **300** (1987), 771–789. MR **88f**:57069

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, STRUDLHOFGASSE 4, A-1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA  
*E-mail address:* Peter.Michor@univie.ac.at