

CALIBRATED THIN Π_1^1 σ -IDEALS ARE G_δ

MIROSLAV ZELENÝ

(Communicated by Franklin D. Tall)

ABSTRACT. Let E be a compact metric space, and let $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ be a calibrated thin Π_1^1 σ -ideal. Then I is G_δ . This solves an open problem, which was posed by Kechris, Louveau and Woodin. Using our result we obtain a new proof of Kaufman's theorem concerning U -sets and U_0 -sets.

The study of σ -ideals of compact sets has been motivated by problems in harmonic analysis, namely by problems concerning U -sets and U_0 -sets. The theory of σ -ideals of compact sets was developed by Kechris, Louveau and Woodin in [3]. Their results on the structure of σ -ideals of compact sets were used by Debs and Saint-Raymond ([1]) to give a positive answer to the old question of whether every Borel U -set is meager. The main aim of this paper is to give an answer to an open question which was posed in [3], namely to prove the assertion from the title. Using our result we obtain a new proof of Kaufman's theorem concerning U -sets and U_0 -sets.

Let E be a compact metric space, and $\mathcal{K}(E)$ be the space of all closed subsets of E with the Hausdorff metric

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(K, L) &= \sup\{\max(\text{dist}(x, K), \text{dist}(y, L)); x \in L, y \in K\}, \text{ if } K, L \neq \emptyset, \\ &= \text{diam}(E) + 1, \text{ if } K = \emptyset, L \neq \emptyset, \text{ or } K \neq \emptyset, L = \emptyset, \\ &= 0, \text{ if } K = L = \emptyset.\end{aligned}$$

If $A \subset E$, then $\mathcal{K}(A)$ stands for the set of all compact subsets of A . A set $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ is called σ -ideal if

- (i) $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $K \in I$, $L \subset K$, then $L \in I$,
- (ii) $K, K_1, K_2, \dots \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $K_n \in I$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} K_n$, then $K \in I$.

We say that a σ -ideal I is *calibrated* if, whenever $F \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $F_n \in I$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{K}(F \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n) \subset I$, then $F \in I$. A σ -ideal $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ is said to be *thin* if E contains no uncountable family of pairwise disjoint closed sets which are not in I . A σ -ideal I is called *locally non-Borel* if for every closed set $F \notin I$, $I \cap \mathcal{K}(F)$ is not Borel.

Let X be a Polish space and $P \subset X$ be Π_1^1 . A mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \omega_1]$ is said to be Π_1^1 -rank on P if

- (i) $\forall x \in X \setminus P : \varphi(x) = \omega_1$,
- (ii) $\forall x \in P : \varphi(x) < \omega_1$,

Received by the editors May 5, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E15, 28A05; Secondary 42A63.

Research supported by Research Grants GAUK 362, GAUK 363 and GAČR 201/94/0474.

- (iii) $\{(x, y) \in X \times X; \varphi(x) < \varphi(y)\}$ is Π_1^1 in $X \times X$,
- (iv) $\{(x, y) \in X \times X; x \in P, \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)\}$ is Π_1^1 in $X \times X$.

Remark. In the following, only properties described below in Theorems C, D and E of Π_1^1 -rank will be important for us.

A subset P of X is called Π_1^1 -complete if it is Π_1^1 and, for any Polish space Y and any Π_1^1 subset Q of Y , there is a Borel mapping $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $Q = f^{-1}(P)$. It is easy to see that no Π_1^1 -complete set is analytic.

The following problem was posed in [3]: Is every calibrated thin Π_1^1 σ -ideal $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$, where E is a compact metric space, necessarily \mathbf{G}_δ ?

A partial answer was given in [5]. We will prove:

Theorem 1. *Let E be a compact metric space and $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ be a calibrated thin Π_1^1 σ -ideal. Then I is a \mathbf{G}_δ subset of $\mathcal{K}(E)$.*

We will use the following theorems.

Theorem A ([2], p. 133). *Let E be a compact metric space. Let P, B be two disjoint subsets of E with P in Σ_1^1 . If there is no \mathbf{F}_σ set C separating P from B (i.e. $P \subset C, B \cap C = \emptyset$), then there is a homeomorphic copy F of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $F \subset P \cup B$, and $F \cap B$ is countable dense in F .*

Theorem B ([2], p. 132). *Let E be a compact metric space. Then every Π_1^1 σ -ideal $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ is either \mathbf{G}_δ or else Π_1^1 -complete.*

Theorem C ([2], p. 144). *Any Π_1^1 subset of a Polish space admits a Π_1^1 -rank.*

Theorem D ([2], p. 144). *Let X be a Polish space, $P \subset X$ be a Π_1^1 set and φ be a Π_1^1 -rank on P . Then for every ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ the set $\{x \in X; \varphi(x) < \alpha\}$ is Borel.*

Theorem E ([2], p. 148). *Let X be a Polish space, $P \subset X$ be a Π_1^1 set and φ be a Π_1^1 -rank on P . If $Q \subset P$ is Σ_1^1 , then φ is bounded on Q , i.e. $\sup\{\varphi(x); x \in Q\} < \omega_1$.*

Let E be a compact metric space, $K \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $r > 0$. Then a ball in the space $\mathcal{K}(E)$ with the center K and with the radius $r > 0$ is denoted by $B(K, r)$.

We start with an easy observation.

Lemma 2. *Let E be a compact metric space, $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $K \neq L, K \cap L = \emptyset$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{B(K, \varepsilon)} \cap \overline{B(L, \varepsilon)} = \emptyset$ and if $K' \in \overline{B(K, \varepsilon)}, L' \in \overline{B(L, \varepsilon)}$, then $K' \cap L' = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $K = \emptyset$ or $L = \emptyset$, then we put $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}(\text{diam}(E) + 1)$ and we are done. Otherwise $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}\text{dist}(K, L)$. If $K' \in \overline{B(K, \varepsilon)}, L' \in \overline{B(L, \varepsilon)}$, then $\text{dist}(K', L') \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon > 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3. *Let E be a compact metric space, $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$, $I \neq \mathcal{K}(E)$ be a calibrated locally non-Borel Π_1^1 σ -ideal. Then there exists a family of pairwise disjoint elements from $\mathcal{K}(E) \setminus I$ with the cardinality of the continuum.*

Proof. There exists an unbounded Π_1^1 -rank φ on I (see Theorems B, C and D). We will construct a transfinite sequence $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ such that

- (i) $K_\alpha \in I$,
- (ii) $K_\alpha \cap K_\beta = \emptyset$ for every $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1, \alpha \neq \beta$,

(iii) $\varphi(K_\alpha) > \alpha$.

Choose $K_0 \in I$ such that $\varphi(K_0) > 0$. Suppose that for $\lambda < \omega_1$ we have constructed K_α , $\alpha < \lambda$ fulfilling (i), (ii) and (iii). There exists $T \in \mathcal{K}(E) \setminus I$ such that $T \cap \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} K_\alpha = \emptyset$; otherwise the calibration of I gives $E \in I$ and it implies $I = \mathcal{K}(E)$, a contradiction. If

$$\sup\{\varphi(K); K \in I, K \subset T\} < \xi < \omega_1,$$

then

$$I \cap \mathcal{K}(T) \subset \{K \in \mathcal{K}(E); \varphi(K) < \xi\} \subset I.$$

We obtain

$$I \cap \mathcal{K}(T) \subset \{K \in \mathcal{K}(T); \varphi(K) < \xi\} \subset I \cap \mathcal{K}(T).$$

This and Theorem D imply that $I \cap \mathcal{K}(T)$ is a Borel set. It is a contradiction with locally non-Borelness of I . Thus we have

$$\sup\{\varphi(K); K \in I, K \subset T\} = \omega_1.$$

We choose $K_\lambda \in I \cap \mathcal{K}(T)$ such that $\varphi(K_\lambda) > \lambda$. It finishes the construction of $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. Denote $B = \{K_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Let C be a Borel set such that $B \subset C \subset I$. Then we have

$$\sup\{\varphi(K); K \in C\} \geq \sup\{\varphi(K); K \in B\} = \omega_1.$$

It is a contradiction, since φ must be bounded on each Borel subset of I (Theorem E). Now Theorem A gives that there exists a homeomorphic copy F of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $F \subset B \cup \mathcal{K}(E) \setminus I$ and $Q = F \cap B$ is countable and dense in F .

Following [2], p. 111, we denote the set of all finite sequences from $\{0, 1\}$ by $\text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$ and if $\iota \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$, then $\iota \hat{\ } 0$ ($\iota \hat{\ } 1$, respectively) stands for the concatenation of the sequences ι and (0) (ι and (1) , respectively).

Now for every $\iota \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$ we construct $P_\iota \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $\varepsilon_\iota > 0$ such that

- (i) $P_\iota \in Q$,
- (ii) for every $K_0 \in \overline{B(P_{\iota \hat{\ } 0}, \varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 0})}$, $K_1 \in \overline{B(P_{\iota \hat{\ } 1}, \varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 1})}$ we have $K_0 \cap K_1 = \emptyset$,
- (iii) $\varepsilon_\iota < 2^{-|\iota|}$ ($|\iota|$ stands for the length of ι),
- (iv) $\overline{B(P_{\iota \hat{\ } 0}, \varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 0})} \cap \overline{B(P_{\iota \hat{\ } 1}, \varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 1})} = \emptyset$,
- (v) $\overline{B(P_{\iota \hat{\ } 0}, \varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 0})} \cup \overline{B(P_{\iota \hat{\ } 1}, \varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 1})} \subset B(P_\iota, \varepsilon_\iota)$.

Choose $P_\emptyset \in Q$, $\varepsilon_\emptyset \in (0, 1)$. Now suppose that P_ι , ε_ι were defined. Since F has no isolated point and Q is dense in F , we can choose $P_{\iota \hat{\ } 0}$, $P_{\iota \hat{\ } 1} \in Q \cap B(P_\iota, \varepsilon_\iota)$ such that $P_{\iota \hat{\ } 0} \neq P_{\iota \hat{\ } 1}$. As $Q \subset B$ we have $P_{\iota \hat{\ } 0} \cap P_{\iota \hat{\ } 1} = \emptyset$. According to Lemma 2 there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, 2^{-|\iota|-1})$ such that the conditions (ii) and (iv) are fulfilled for $P_{\iota \hat{\ } 0}$, $P_{\iota \hat{\ } 1}$ and $\varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 0} = \varepsilon_{\iota \hat{\ } 1} = \varepsilon$. If ε is sufficiently small, then the condition (v) is also fulfilled. Put

$$W = \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{|\iota|=n} B(P_\iota, \varepsilon_\iota).$$

Clearly $W \subset F$ and W is a homeomorphic copy of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $L_0, L_1 \in W$, $L_0 \neq L_1$, then there exist $\iota, \tau \in \text{Seq}\{0, 1\}$ such that $|\iota| = |\tau|$, $\iota \neq \tau$, $L_0 \in B(P_\iota, \varepsilon_\iota)$, $L_1 \in B(P_\tau, \varepsilon_\tau)$. The property (ii) implies that $L_0 \cap L_1 = \emptyset$. Thus the elements of W form a family of pairwise disjoint sets. This implies that $W \setminus Q$ is a family of pairwise disjoint sets from $\mathcal{K}(E) \setminus I$ with the cardinality of the continuum and we are done. \square

We introduce the notion of a I -thin set to exhibit an interesting corollary of Theorem 3.

Let E be a compact metric space, and let $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ be a σ -ideal. A set $A \subset E$ is I -thin if there is no uncountable family $\Phi \subset \mathcal{K}(A)$ of pairwise disjoint sets which are not in I .

Corollary 4. *Let E be a compact metric space, and let $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ be a calibrated locally non-Borel Π_1^1 σ -ideal. Then $K \in \mathcal{K}(E)$ is I -thin if and only if $K \in I$.*

Since σ -ideals U (of closed sets of uniqueness in the unit circle \mathbb{T}) and U_0 (of closed sets of extended uniqueness in the unit circle \mathbb{T}) (cf. [2]) fulfill the conditions in Theorem 3 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5 (Kaufman, see [2], p. 235). *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{T}) \setminus U$. Then we can find a family $\{K_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{T}) \setminus U$ contained in K . Similarly replace U by U_0 .*

Corollary 4 and Corollary 5 follow from Theorem 3 and the following easy observation.

Observation 6. *Let E be a metric compact space, $I \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ be a calibrated locally non-Borel Π_1^1 σ -ideal and $F \in \mathcal{K}(E) \setminus I$. Then the σ -ideal $I \cap \mathcal{K}(F)$ is a calibrated locally non-Borel Π_1^1 σ -ideal in the space $\mathcal{K}(F)$.*

Lemma 7. *Let E be a compact metric space and $K \in \mathcal{K}(E)$. Then*

$$f_K : L \mapsto L \cap K$$

is a Borel mapping from $\mathcal{K}(E)$ to $\mathcal{K}(E)$.

Proof. It is well-known that $\mathcal{K}(E)$ is a compact metric space and that the topology induced by the Hausdorff metric and the topology generated by the sets of the form $\{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \subset V\}$, $\{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ for V open in E coincide on $\mathcal{K}(E)$ (cf. [2], p. 117, [4]). Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the sets

$$X = \{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \cap K \cap G \neq \emptyset\}, \quad Y = \{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \cap K \subset G\}$$

are Borel for every G open in E . There exist closed sets F_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $K \cap G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n$. We have

$$\{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \cap K \cap G \neq \emptyset\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \cap F_n \neq \emptyset\}$$

and therefore X is Borel. The set Y is also Borel, since

$$\{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \cap K \subset G\} = \mathcal{K}(E) \setminus \{L \in \mathcal{K}(E); L \cap K \cap (E \setminus G) \neq \emptyset\}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1. If $E \in I$, then we are done. Suppose that $E \notin I$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ be a maximal system (with respect to the inclusion) of pairwise disjoint elements from $\mathcal{K}(E) \setminus I$ such that $I \cap \mathcal{K}(F)$ is \mathbf{G}_δ in $\mathcal{K}(E)$, whenever $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The system \mathcal{F} is countable since I is thin. Put

$$I^* = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(E); \text{ for every } F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ we have } K \cap F \in I\}.$$

We claim $I = I^*$. Clearly $I \subset I^*$. Suppose that $K \in I^*$. Let $L \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $L \cap \bigcup\{F; F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \emptyset$. If L is an element from $\mathcal{K}(E) \setminus I$, then the σ -ideal $\mathcal{K}(L) \cap I$ must be locally non-Borel in the space $\mathcal{K}(L)$; otherwise we obtain a contradiction with the maximality of \mathcal{F} . Using Observation 6 and Theorem 3 we obtain that the

σ -ideal $\mathcal{K}(L) \cap I$ contains an uncountable family of pairwise disjoint closed sets which are not in I . This contradicts the thinness of I . Thus we can conclude

$$\mathcal{K}(K \setminus \bigcup\{F; F \in \mathcal{F}\}) \subset I.$$

Since $K \setminus \bigcup\{F; F \in \mathcal{F}\} = K \setminus \bigcup\{F \cap K; F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, \mathcal{F} is countable and I is calibrated, we obtain $K \in I$. Using Lemma 7 and the following fact

$$I = I^* = \bigcap\{f_F^{-1}(I); F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \bigcap\{f_F^{-1}(I \cap \mathcal{K}(F)); F \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

we can conclude that I is Borel, therefore \mathbf{G}_δ (Theorem B) and the proof is complete. \square

Remark. Let us note that the σ -ideal of countable compact subsets of the interval $[0, 1]$ is calibrated Π_1^1 and is not \mathbf{G}_δ . This means that thinness cannot be omitted in our theorem. We will show that the same holds for calibration. We will give only a sketch of the proof. Let $E = [0, 1]$ and let $(V_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence of non-empty open sets forming an open base of E . Let $(F_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed subsets of E satisfying the following conditions for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

- (i) $F_n \subset V_n$,
- (ii) F_n has positive Lebesgue measure.

(Of course F_n 's are nowhere dense.) Put

$$B = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(E); K \text{ has null Lebesgue measure}\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{K}(F_n).$$

The set B is Borel and hereditary (i.e. if $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(E)$, $K \in B$, $L \subset K$, then $L \in B$). Put

$$I = B_\sigma = \{K \in \mathcal{K}(E); K \text{ can be covered by countably many elements from } B\}.$$

Following [2] (pp. 197–198) we can consider the Cantor-Bendixson rank rk_B associated with the B -derivative. We will use the following theorem.

Theorem F ([2], p. 202). *Let E be a compact metric space, B be a Borel hereditary subset of $\mathcal{K}(E)$ consisting of nowhere dense sets and $I = B_\sigma$. If every non-empty open subset of E contains $K \in I$ with $\text{rk}_B(K) > 1$, then I is Π_1^1 -complete.*

It is not difficult to check that B fulfills the conditions in the above theorem. It shows that I is Π_1^1 and non- \mathbf{G}_δ . The σ -ideal I is thin since I contains thin σ -ideal of closed sets with Lebesgue null measure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank Gabriel Debs, Petr Holický and Luděk Zajíček for helpful discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

1. G. Debs, J. Saint-Raymond, *Ensembles boréliens d'unicité et d'unicité au sens large*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 37 (1987), 217-239. MR 89d:04007
2. A. S. Kechris, A. Louveau, *Descriptive Set Theory and the Structure of Sets of Uniqueness*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 128, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989. MR 90a:42008
3. A. S. Kechris, A. Louveau, W. H. Woodin, *The structure of σ -ideals of compact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 301 (1987), 263–288. MR 88f:03042

4. E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), 152–182. MR **13**:54f
5. C. E. Uzcátegui A., *The covering property for σ -ideals of compact sets*, Fund. Math. **141** (1992), 119–146. MR **94a**:03077

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, PRAGUE
186 00, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: `zeleny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`