

MEHLER-FOCK TRANSFORMS OF GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS VIA THE METHOD OF ADJOINTS

BENITO J. GONZÁLEZ AND EMILIO R. NEGRIN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we analyse the Mehler-Fock transform of generalized functions via the method of adjoints. For a distribution of compact support, we prove that its Mehler-Fock transform agrees with its transform via the kernel method. A Paley-Wiener type theorem is established.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Mehler-Fock transform of a distribution f of compact support on I (from now on $I = (0, \infty)$) was defined by the kernel method in [10] as:

$$(1.1) \quad F(\tau) = \langle f(x), P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \rangle, \quad \tau > 0,$$

where, as it is usual, $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}$ is the Legendre function of first kind and order zero.

Related studies on Mehler-Fock transforms of generalized functions have been carried out in [3], [4], [5], [8], amongst others.

In this paper, we study the Mehler-Fock transform by using the method of adjoints. For this purpose, it will be required to introduce two Fréchet spaces V and W satisfying the condition that the linear operator $\mathcal{L} : W \rightarrow V$ given by

$$(1.2) \quad (\mathcal{L}\psi)(x) = \int_0^\infty P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)\psi(\tau)d\tau, \quad x > 0,$$

be continuous.

Specifically, we denote by V the vector space consisting of all complex-valued functions ϕ which possess derivatives of all orders on I and such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\gamma_k(\phi) = \sup_{x \in I} |(\sinh x)A_x^k \phi(x)| < \infty,$$

where A_x is the differential operator

$$A_x \equiv D_x^2 + (\coth x)D_x \equiv (\sinh x)^{-1}D_x(\sinh x)D_x,$$

and D_x denotes derivative with respect to the x -variable.

The topology of V is that generated by the family of seminorms $\{\gamma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$.

Received by the editors January 2, 1996 and, in revised form, April 24, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 44A15, 46F12.

Key words and phrases. Mehler-Fock transform, Legendre function, generalized functions, distributions of compact support, Paley-Wiener type theorem.

Moreover, W is the vector space of all complex-valued even functions ψ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\tau^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right)^r \cosh(\pi\tau)\psi(\tau) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\rho_r(\psi) = \sup_{x \in I} \left| x^{\frac{3}{2}} e^x \left(\mathcal{F} \left[\tau^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right)^r \cosh(\pi\tau)\psi(\tau) \right] \right) (x) \right| < \infty,$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and \mathcal{F} denotes Fourier transform with respect to the τ -variable. The space W is equipped with the topology generated by the family of seminorms $\{\rho_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$.

As usual V' and W' denote the dual spaces of V and W , respectively.

The Mehler-Fock transform of the generalized function $f \in V'$ is given by $\mathcal{L}'f$, where \mathcal{L}' is the adjoint of \mathcal{L} .

Here, we establish the link between these two methods. It will be proved that both definitions agree for distributions of compact support on I .

We also establish a Paley-Wiener type theorem. It is proved that every smooth function F such that $|F(\tau)| \leq C \cdot (1 + \tau^{2r})$, for all $\tau > 0$, some nonnegative integer r and some constant C , is the Mehler-Fock transform of a generalized function $f \in V'$.

In this article we extend this analysis to the so-called Mehler-Fock transform of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The corresponding results for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform were carried out in [7].

2. THE OPERATOR \mathcal{L} AND ITS ADJOINT

The next result is the key to analysing the Mehler-Fock transform of generalized functions via the method of adjoints.

Theorem 2.1. *The operator \mathcal{L} , given by (1.2), is a continuous linear mapping from W in V .*

Proof. First observe that for all $\tau > 0$,

$$A_x P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) = - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x).$$

So, for any $\psi \in W$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad A_x^k (\mathcal{L}\psi)(x) = (-1)^k \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right)^k P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \psi(\tau) d\tau.$$

Using the integral representation (cf. [1, (11), p. 156]), which is valid for all $x > 0$ and all $\tau > 0$,

$$(2.2) \quad P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cosh(\pi\tau) \int_0^\infty (\cosh x + \cosh \xi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\tau\xi) d\xi$$

and integrating (2.2) by parts, one obtains

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) = \frac{\cosh(\pi\tau)}{\sqrt{2}\pi\tau} \int_0^\infty (\cosh x + \cosh \xi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\sin(\tau\xi)) (\sinh \xi) d\xi.$$

Substituting this expression in (2.1) and reversing the order of integration, it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad A_x^k(\mathcal{L}\psi)(x) = \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty (\cosh x + \cosh \xi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\sinh \xi) \times \left(\int_0^\infty \tau^{-1} \cosh(\pi\tau) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^k \psi(\tau) \sin(\tau\xi) d\tau \right) d\xi.$$

Note that the integral into the brackets is the Fourier sine transform of an odd function of the τ -variable, and consequently it is the Fourier transform of this function.

Therefore,

$$(2.4) \quad A_x^k(\mathcal{L}\psi)(x) = \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty (\cosh x + \cosh \xi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\sinh \xi) \times \left(\mathcal{F} \left[\tau^{-1} \cosh(\pi\tau) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^k \psi(\tau) \right] \right) (\xi) d\xi.$$

Thus, for all $x > 0$ we have

$$|(\sinh x)A_x^k(\mathcal{L}\psi)(x)| = \left| \frac{\sinh x}{\sqrt{2\pi}(\cosh x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^\infty \left(1 + \frac{\cosh \xi}{\cosh x} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\sinh \xi) \times \left(\mathcal{F} \left[\tau^{-1} \cosh(\pi\tau) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^k \psi(\tau) \right] \right) (\xi) d\xi \right|$$

which is less than or equal to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi} \xi^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\sinh \xi) \left| e^{\xi} \xi^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{F} \left[\tau^{-1} \cosh(\pi\tau) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^k \psi(\tau) \right] \right) (\xi) \right| d\xi \leq M\rho_k(\psi),$$

where M is a suitable real constant. Thus, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\gamma_k(\mathcal{L}\psi) \leq M\rho_k(\psi).$$

This concludes the continuity of \mathcal{L} . ■

Now, the adjoint of the operator \mathcal{L} , which is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{L}'f, \psi \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{L}\psi \rangle, \quad f \in V', \quad \psi \in W,$$

is a continuous linear mapping from V' into W' when we provide V' and W' with their weak*-topologies. The Mehler-Fock transform of the generalized function f is the member of W' given by $\mathcal{L}'f$.

3. THE LINK BETWEEN BOTH METHODS

The next two lemmas allow us to establish the link between the two definitions of Mehler-Fock transforms of generalized functions.

For it, set

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_\phi(\tau) = \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) \int_0^\infty (\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \phi(x) dx,$$

for any $\phi \in V$ and all $\tau > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. For all $\phi \in V$ the function ψ_ϕ given by (3.1) satisfies

- i) $\psi_\phi(\tau) = O(\tau^2)$, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$;
- ii) for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi_\phi(\tau) = O(\tau^{-p})$, as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. i) Taking into account that $\tanh(\pi\tau) = O(\tau)$ for $\tau \rightarrow 0$, it follows that for τ small enough,

$$|\psi_\phi(\tau)| \leq C_1 \tau^2 \int_0^\infty |(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)\phi(x)| dx \leq C_2 \tau^2,$$

for some suitable real constants C_1 and C_2 .

ii) If A'_x denotes the adjoint of A_x , namely, $A'_x = D_x(\sinh x)D_x(\sinh x)^{-1}$, one has

$$A'_x \left[(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right] = - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right) (\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x),$$

and so, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$(3.2) \quad (-1)^k \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right)^k \psi_\phi(\tau) = \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) \int_0^\infty (A'_x)^k \left[(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right] \phi(x) dx.$$

Note that the integral in the right-hand side of (3.2) is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty (\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)A_x^k \phi(x) dx.$$

In fact, integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty A'_x \left[(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right] \phi(x) dx \\ &= (\sinh x) \left(D_x P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right) \phi(x) \Big|_0^\infty \\ & \quad - \int_0^\infty (\sinh x) \left(D_x P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right) (D_x \phi(x)) dx \\ &= (\sinh x) \left(D_x P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right) \phi(x) \Big|_0^\infty \\ & \quad - (\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) (D_x \phi(x)) \Big|_0^\infty \\ & \quad + \int_0^\infty (\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) A_x \phi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the remainder terms of the above integration by parts tend to zero as $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, since $\phi \in V$, it follows that $(\sinh x)\phi(x)$ is bounded on I , and

$$D_x P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$(\sinh x)(D_x P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x))\phi(x) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also, since for all $\phi \in V$, the function $(\sinh x)D_x \phi(x)$ is bounded on I , and taking into account that $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) = O(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)D_x \phi(x) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, for any $\phi \in V$ one has

$$(\sinh x)D_x\phi(x) = O(x), \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus,

$$(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)D_x\phi(x) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves that

$$-\left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)\psi_\phi(\tau) = \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) \int_0^\infty (\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)A_x\phi(x)dx.$$

Now, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty (A'_x)^k [(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)] \phi(x)dx \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^{k-1} \int_0^\infty A'_x [(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)] \phi(x)dx \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^{k-1} \int_0^\infty (\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)A_x\phi(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this process one arrives at

$$(-1)^k \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^k \psi_\phi(\tau) = \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) \int_0^\infty (\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)A_x^k\phi(x)dx.$$

Taking into account that $\phi \in V$ and the asymptotic behavior of $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$, one concludes that

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^k |\psi_\phi(\tau)| \leq M\tau, \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

for some suitable nonnegative constant M . Thus, the lemma holds. ■

Lemma 3.2. *For all $\psi \in W$, there exists $\phi \in V$ such that $\psi = \psi_\phi$, where ψ_ϕ is given by (3.1).*

Proof. Let $\psi \in W$ and set $\phi = \mathcal{L}\psi$. Note that, for $x > 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}\psi_\phi)(x) &= \int_0^\infty P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)\psi_\phi(\tau)d\tau \\ &= \int_0^\infty P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)\tau \tanh(\pi\tau) \int_0^\infty (\sinh t)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh t)\phi(t)dt d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and from the inversion formula of the Mehler-Fock transform, the above expression is equal to $\phi(x)$, which holds whenever $\phi(x)e^{\frac{x}{2}} \in L^1(0, \infty)$ (cf. [2]). Since $\phi \in V$, this condition is satisfied.

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}\psi_\phi = \phi = \mathcal{L}\psi.$$

Now, we will establish that \mathcal{L} is one to one. For it, assume that $\mathcal{L}\psi = 0$. Taking $k = 0$ in (2.4) one has for all $x > 0$,

$$(\mathcal{L}\psi)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty (\cosh x + \cosh \xi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\sinh \xi) (\mathcal{F} [\tau^{-1} \cosh(\pi\tau)\psi(\tau)]) (\xi) d\xi = 0.$$

The change of variables $t + 1 = \cosh \xi$ yields

$$0 = (\mathcal{L}\psi)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty (\cosh x + 1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Psi(t) dt, \quad \forall x > 0,$$

where

$$\Psi(t) = (\mathcal{F} [\tau^{-1} \cosh(\pi\tau)\psi(\tau)]) (\log (t + 1 + \sqrt{t^2 + 2t})).$$

Finally, since

$$\int_0^\infty (\cosh x + 1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Psi(t) dt$$

is the generalized Stieltjes transform of the function Ψ , from its inversion formula (cf. [9, p. 180]) it follows that $\Psi \equiv 0$. Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{F} [\tau^{-1} \cosh(\pi\tau)\psi(\tau)]) (\log (t + 1 + \sqrt{t^2 + 2t})) = 0, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

whence $\psi \equiv 0$. ■

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(I)$. Then, for any $\psi \in W$, one has*

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}' f, \psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty F(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau,$$

where the function $F(\tau)$ is given by (1.1).

Proof. First, observe that $\mathcal{D}(I) \subset V \subset \mathcal{E}(I)$ and the topology of V is stronger than the one induced on it by $\mathcal{E}(I)$. Thus, we may regard $\mathcal{E}'(I)$ as a vector subspace of V' .

By [6, Proposition 2, p. 97], the function $F(\tau)$ satisfies

i) For $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.4) \quad F(\tau) = O(1),$$

ii) there exists $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that for $\tau \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.5) \quad F(\tau) = O(\tau^{2r}).$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 it follows that for any $\psi \in W$ one has

i) $\psi(\tau) = O(\tau^2)$, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$;

ii) for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi(\tau) = O(\tau^{-p})$, as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, the integral of the right-hand side of (3.3) makes sense.

Clearly, if $f \in \mathcal{D}(I)$, the result of this theorem holds. In fact, assume that f has its support contained in the closed interval $[a, b] \subset I$; then, using Fubini's theorem, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}' f, \psi \rangle &= \langle f, \mathcal{L}\psi \rangle \\ &= \int_a^b f(x)(\mathcal{L}\psi)(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x) \int_0^\infty P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)\psi(\tau)d\tau dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \psi(\tau) \int_a^b f(x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)dx d\tau = \int_0^\infty F(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

So, the result holds for $f \in \mathcal{D}(I)$.

Now, for $f \in \mathcal{E}'(I)$ and using [11, Theorem 28.2(i), p. 301], there exists a sequence of functions $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ belonging to $\mathcal{D}(I)$ which converges to f in $\mathcal{E}'(I)$,

and hence, in V' . Furthermore, from Theorem 2.1 it follows that \mathcal{L}' is a continuous mapping from V' into W' . Thus $\{\mathcal{L}'f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\mathcal{L}'f$ in W' as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Since, for all $\psi \in W$,

$$\langle \mathcal{L}'f_m, \psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty F_m(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau$$

where

$$F_m(\tau) = \langle f_m(x), P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \rangle,$$

it follows that

$$(3.6) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}'f, \psi \rangle = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty F_m(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau.$$

Clearly, from the asymptotic growth of F_m and ψ , the limit in (3.6) goes into the integral. Also, noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(\tau) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_m(x), P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \rangle \\ &= \langle f(x), P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \rangle = F(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

the result holds. ■

4. A PALEY-WIENER TYPE THEOREM

The following theorem proves that any smooth function which satisfies conditions of type (3.4) and (3.5) is the Mehler-Fock transformation of an element of V' .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $F(\tau)$ be a smooth function on I such that there exist a nonnegative integer r and a constant C with $|F(\tau)| \leq C \cdot (1 + \tau^{2r})$ for all $\tau > 0$. Then there exists a $f \in V'$ such that*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}'f, \psi \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} F(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau, \quad \forall \psi \in W.$$

Moreover, for all $\phi \in V$,

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \int_0^T \tau \tanh(\pi\tau)(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh \tau)F(\tau)d\tau, \phi(x) \right\rangle.$$

Proof. Let $G(\tau)$ be a smooth function on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad |G(\tau)| \leq M_1 \cdot (1 + \tau^{-\alpha}),$$

where $\alpha > 2$ and M_1 is a real constant. Let us define, for any $x > 0$,

$$(4.2) \quad g(x) = \int_0^\infty \tau \tanh(\pi\tau)(\sinh x)P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x)G(\tau)d\tau.$$

Note that for $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$\tau \tanh(\pi\tau) = O(\tau^2),$$

and for $\tau \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\tau \tanh(\pi\tau) = O(\tau).$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right| \leq \left| P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cosh x) \right|, \quad \forall x > 0, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

So, (4.2) exists and it is a locally integrable function on I . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |(\sinh x)^{-1}g(x)| dx &= \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) G(\tau) d\tau \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left| P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cosh x) \right| \int_0^\infty \tau |\tanh(\pi\tau)| |G(\tau)| d\tau dx \\ &\leq M_2 \cdot \int_0^\infty \left| P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cosh x) \right| dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where M_2 is a real constant.

Therefore, the function g yields to a regular member in V' , denoted by T_g , which is given by

$$\langle T_g, \phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty g(x)\phi(x)dx, \quad \forall \phi \in V.$$

Also for any $\psi \in W$ denoting $\phi = \mathcal{L}\psi$, and using Lemma 3.2, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}'T_g, \psi \rangle &= \langle T_g, \mathcal{L}\psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty g(x)\phi(x)dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \int_0^\infty \tau \tanh(\pi\tau)(\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) G(\tau) d\tau dx, \end{aligned}$$

which, by Fubini's theorem, is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty G(\tau) \int_0^\infty \tau \tanh(\pi\tau)(\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \phi(x) dx d\tau = \int_0^\infty G(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau.$$

Thus, for all $\psi \in W$,

$$(4.3) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}'T_g, \psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty G(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau.$$

Now, for all $\tau > 0$, set

$$G(\tau) = \frac{F(\tau)}{\left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^{r+p}}.$$

Clearly, for $p > \alpha/2$, this function G satisfies condition (4.1). So, there exists a function g given by (4.2) such that the regular member T_g in V' satisfies (4.3). Set $f = (-A'_x)^{r+p}T_g$. Note that, for all $\phi \in V$,

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \langle (-A'_x)^{r+p}T_g, \phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty g(x)(-A_x)^{r+p}\phi(x)dx.$$

Thus, for all $\psi \in W$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}'f, \psi \rangle &= \langle T_g, (-A_x)^{r+p}(\mathcal{L}\psi) \rangle = \left\langle T_g, \mathcal{L} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^{r+p} \psi(\tau) \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty G(\tau) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2\right)^{r+p} \psi(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^\infty F(\tau)\psi(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $\phi \in V$,

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \left\langle \int_0^\infty \tau \tanh(\pi\tau)(\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) G(\tau) d\tau, (-A_x)^{r+p}\phi(x) \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \int_0^T \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) (\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) G(\tau) d\tau, (-A_x)^{r+p} \phi(x) \right\rangle \\
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \int_0^T \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) (-A'_x)^{r+p} \left[(\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \right] G(\tau) d\tau, \phi(x) \right\rangle \\
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \int_0^T \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) (\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \tau^2 \right)^{r+p} G(\tau) d\tau, \phi(x) \right\rangle \\
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \int_0^T \tau \tanh(\pi\tau) (\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}(\cosh x) F(\tau) d\tau, \phi(x) \right\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

■

5. MEHLER-FOCK TRANSFORMS OF ORDER n

Starting from the integral representation [1, (11), p. 156], which is valid for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, all $\tau > 0$ and all $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}^n(\cosh x) \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})(\sinh x)^n}{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau)\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau)} \int_0^\infty (\cosh x + \cosh \xi)^{-n-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\tau\xi) d\xi,
 \end{aligned}$$

the results of the previous sections are readily extended to the so-called Mehler-Fock transform of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the kernel of which is given by $P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}^n(\cosh x)$, the associated Legendre function of first kind and order n .

Specifically, one introduces the corresponding spaces V and W ; namely, V is the vector space consisting of all complex-valued functions ϕ which possess derivatives of all orders on I and such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\gamma_k(\phi) = \sup_{x \in I} |(\sinh x) A_x^k \phi(x)| < \infty,$$

where A_x is the differential operator

$$A_x \equiv (\sinh x)^{-n-1} D_x (\sinh x)^{2n+1} D_x (\sinh x)^{-n},$$

and W is the vector space of all complex-valued even functions ψ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\frac{\left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right)^r \psi(\tau)}{\tau \Gamma \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right) \Gamma \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\rho_r(\psi) = \sup_{x \in I} \left| x^{\frac{3}{2}} e^x \left(\mathcal{F} \left[\frac{\left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right)^r \psi(\tau)}{\tau \Gamma \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau \right) \Gamma \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau \right)} \right] \right) (x) \right| < \infty,$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and \mathcal{F} denotes Fourier transform with respect to the τ -variable.

The operator $\mathcal{L} : W \rightarrow V$, given by

$$(\mathcal{L}\psi)(x) = \int_0^\infty P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}^n(\cosh x) \psi(\tau) d\tau,$$

becomes a continuous linear mapping from W in V .

Also, for any $\phi \in V$ and all $\tau > 0$ set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\phi(\tau) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \tau \sinh(\pi\tau) \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau\right) \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty (\sinh x) P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}^n(\cosh x) \phi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is obtained that

- i) $\psi_\phi(\tau) = O(\tau^{2n+2})$, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$;
- ii) for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi_\phi(\tau) = O(\tau^{-p})$, as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Also, for any $\psi \in W$ there exists $\phi \in V$ such that $\psi = \psi_\phi$.

Now, for any $f \in \mathcal{E}'(I)$, the function

$$F(\tau) = \langle f(x), P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}^n(\cosh x) \rangle, \quad \tau > 0,$$

satisfies

- i) $F(\tau) = O(1)$, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$;
- ii) there exists $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $F(\tau) = O(\tau^{2r})$, as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus the corresponding result of Theorem 3.1 holds in this setting; namely, for all $f \in \mathcal{E}'(I)$,

$$\langle \mathcal{L}' f, \psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty F(\tau) \psi(\tau) d\tau.$$

Also the corresponding Paley-Wiener type theorem establishes that

Theorem 5.1. *Let $F(\tau)$ be a smooth function on I such that there exist a nonnegative integer r and a constant C with $|F(\tau)| \leq C \cdot (1 + \tau^{2r})$ for all $\tau > 0$. Then there exists an $f \in V'$ such that*

$$\langle \mathcal{L}' f, \psi \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} F(\tau) \psi(\tau) d\tau, \quad \forall \psi \in W.$$

Moreover, for all $\phi \in V$,

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \int_0^T S(\tau) G(\tau, x) F(\tau) d\tau, \phi(x) \right\rangle,$$

where

$$S(\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tau \sinh(\pi\tau) \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau\right) \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau\right)$$

and

$$G(\tau, x) = (\sinh x) \cdot P_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\tau}^{-n}(\cosh x).$$

REFERENCES

1. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953. MR **15**:419i
2. V. Fock, *On the representation of an arbitrary function by an integral involving Legendre's function with a complex index*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **39** (1943), 253–256. MR **5**:181b
3. H.N. Glaeske and A. Hess, *On the convolution theorem of the Mehler-Fock transform for a class of generalized functions (I)*, Math. Nachr. **131** (1987), 107–117. MR **88k**:46045
4. H.N. Glaeske and A. Hess, *On the convolution theorem of the Mehler-Fock transform for a class of generalized functions (II)*, Math. Nachr. **136** (1988), 119–129. MR **89j**:46042
5. N. Hayek and B.J. González, *On the Mehler-Fock transform of generalized functions*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège **61** (3-4) (1992), 315–327. MR **93g**:44013

6. J. Horváth, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, Vol. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1966. MR **34**:4863
7. B. Lisená, *On the Generalized Kontorovich-Lebedev Transform*, *Rend. Mat. Appl.* **9** (VII) (1989), 87–101. MR **91c**:44005
8. R.S. Pathak and R.K. Pandey, *The generalized Mehler-Fock transformation of distributions*, *Arabian J. Sci. Engrg.* **10** (1) (1985), 39–57. MR **86b**:44005
9. D.B. Sumner, *An inversion formula for the generalized Stieltjes transform*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 174–183. MR **10**:370f
10. U.N. Tiwari and J.N. Pandey, *The Mehler-Fock transform of distributions*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **10** (1980), 401–408. MR **81m**:46058
11. F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR **37**:726

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, 38271 CANARY ISLANDS, SPAIN

E-mail address: `bjglez@ull.es`

E-mail address: `enegrin@ull.es`