

STANDARD SYSTEMS FOR SEMIFINITE O^* -ALGEBRAS

ATSUSHI INOUE

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We shall continue the study of standard systems which make it possible to develop the Tomita-Takesaki theory in O^* -algebras. The main purpose of this paper is to give the necessary and sufficient conditions for which a standard system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ of an O^* -algebra \mathcal{M} , a generalized vector λ and the commutant λ' is unitarily equivalent to a standard system $(\mathcal{N}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ constructed by a standard tracial generalized vector μ for an O^* -algebra \mathcal{N} and a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator K' affiliated with the commutant \mathcal{N}'_w of \mathcal{N} .

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to develop the Tomita-Takesaki theory in O^* -algebras, we have defined and studied the notion of standard system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ of an O^* -algebra \mathcal{M} , a generalized vector λ and the commutant λ' in [1, 6, 7]. Here we shall continue this study and study the structure of standard systems for semifinite O^* -algebras. We first treat tracial generalized vectors μ for an O^* -algebra \mathcal{N} and give the necessary and sufficient conditions for which μ is standard. We next construct a generalized vector $K'\mu$ by a pair (K', μ) of a standard tracial generalized vector μ for \mathcal{N} and a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator K' affiliated with the commutant \mathcal{N}'_w of \mathcal{N} , and investigate when $(\mathcal{N}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ is a standard system. Further, we consider this converse. And we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for which a standard system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is unitarily equivalent to such a standard system $(\mathcal{N}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$

2. STANDARD TRACIAL GENERALIZED VECTORS

We begin with the definitions and the basic properties of standard generalized vectors for O^* -algebras [7]. Let \mathcal{D} be a dense subspace in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We denote by $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ the set of all linear operators X from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}(X^*)$ and $X^*\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is a $*$ -algebra equipped with the usual operators $X+Y, \alpha X$ and the involution $X^\dagger \equiv X^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{D}$. A $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is said to be an O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} . An O^* -algebra \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} is said to be *closed* (resp. *self-adjoint*) if

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D}(\overline{X}) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D}(X^*) \right),$$

Received by the editors June 12, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D40; Secondary 46K15, 46L10.

Key words and phrases. O^* -algebra, standard generalized vector, Tomita-Takesaki theory.

and \mathcal{M} is said to be *integrable* if $X^{\dagger*} = \overline{X}$ for each $X \in \mathcal{M}$. It is clear that if \mathcal{M} is integrable, then it is self-adjoint, and if \mathcal{M} is self-adjoint, then it is closed. We define the *weak commutant* \mathcal{M}'_w of an O^* -algebra \mathcal{M} by

$$\mathcal{M}'_w = \{C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) ; (CX\xi|\eta) = (C\xi|X^\dagger\eta) \text{ for each } X \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}\},$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . It is known that if \mathcal{M} is self-adjoint, then $\mathcal{M}'_w\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$; and if $\mathcal{M}'_w\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$, then \mathcal{M}'_w is a von Neumann algebra. An O^* -algebra \mathcal{M} is said to be *semifinite* if $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra. For the general theory of O^* -algebras we refer to [10, 14]. We introduce the notion of generalized vectors for O^* -algebras. Let \mathcal{M} be a closed O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{M}'_w\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. A *generalized vector* λ for \mathcal{M} is a linear map of the left ideal $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ of \mathcal{M} into \mathcal{D} satisfying $\lambda(XY) = X\lambda(Y)$ for each $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$; and a generalized vector λ is said to be *tracial* if $(\lambda(X)|\lambda(Y)) = (\lambda(Y^\dagger)|\lambda(X^\dagger))$ for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$. Let λ be a generalized vector for \mathcal{M} . Suppose

(S)₁ $\lambda((\mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} . Then we define two commutants λ' and λ^c of λ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\lambda') = \{C \in \mathcal{M}'_w ; \exists \xi_C \in \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{D}(X)} \mathcal{D}(\overline{X}) \text{ s.t. } C\lambda(X) = \overline{X}\xi_C, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)\}, \\ \lambda'(C) = \xi_C, \quad C \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda'), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\lambda^c) = \{C \in \mathcal{M}'_w ; \exists \xi_C \in \mathcal{D} \text{ s.t. } C\lambda(X) = X\xi_C, \forall X \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)\}, \\ \lambda^c(C) = \xi_C, \quad C \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda^c), \end{cases}$$

and then λ' and λ^c are generalized vectors for the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M}'_w such that $\lambda^c \subset \lambda'$, that is, $\mathcal{D}(\lambda^c) \subset \mathcal{D}(\lambda')$ and $\lambda^c(C) = \lambda'(C)$, $\forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda^c)$.

Definition 2.1. Let λ be a generalized vector for \mathcal{M} . Suppose λ satisfies the above condition (S)₁ and the following condition (S)₂['] (resp. (S)₂^c). Then $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ (resp. $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda^c)$) is said to be a cyclic and separating system:

(S)₂['] $\lambda'((\mathcal{D}(\lambda')^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda'))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} .

(S)₂^c $\lambda^c((\mathcal{D}(\lambda^c)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda^c))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} .

When $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda^c)$ is a cyclic and separating system, λ is said to be a cyclic and separating generalized vector.

Suppose $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is a cyclic and separating system and put

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\lambda'') = \{A \in (\mathcal{M}'_w)'; \exists \xi_A \in \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } A\lambda'(C) = C\xi_A, \forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda')\}, \\ \lambda''(A) = \xi_A, \quad A \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda''). \end{cases}$$

Then λ'' is a cyclic and separating generalized vector for the von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$, so that the maps $\lambda(X) \rightarrow \lambda(X^\dagger)$, $X \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda''(A) \rightarrow \lambda''(A^*)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda'')^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda'')$ are closable in \mathcal{H} and their closures are denoted by S_λ and $S_{\lambda''}$, respectively. Let $S_\lambda = J_\lambda \Delta_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $S_{\lambda''} = J_{\lambda''} \Delta_{\lambda''}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the polar decompositions of S_λ and $S_{\lambda''}$, respectively. Then it is shown that $S_\lambda \subset S_{\lambda''}$, and $J_{\lambda''}(\mathcal{M}'_w)'J_{\lambda''} = \mathcal{M}'_w$ and $\Delta_{\lambda''}^{it}(\mathcal{M}'_w)'\Delta_{\lambda''}^{-it} = (\mathcal{M}'_w)'$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the Tomita fundamental theorem. But, we don't know how the unitary group $\{\Delta_{\lambda''}^{it}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ acts on the O^* -algebra \mathcal{M} , and so we define a system which has the best condition:

Definition 2.2. A cyclic and separating system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is said to be standard if the following conditions (S)₃' and (S)₄' hold:

- (S)'₃ $\Delta_{\lambda''}^{it} \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\Delta_{\lambda''}^{it} \mathcal{M} \Delta_{\lambda''}^{-it} = \mathcal{M}$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.
 (S)'₄ $\Delta_{\lambda''}^{it} (\mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)) \Delta_{\lambda''}^{-it} = \mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

By ([7], Theorem 5.5) we have the following

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is a standard system. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $S_\lambda = S_{\lambda''}$, and so $J_\lambda = J_{\lambda''}$ and $\Delta_\lambda = \Delta_{\lambda''}$.
 (2) $\{\sigma_t^\lambda\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a one-parameter group of $*$ -automorphisms of \mathcal{M} , where $\sigma_t^\lambda(X) \equiv \Delta_\lambda^{it} X \Delta_\lambda^{-it}$, $X \in \mathcal{M}, t \in \mathbb{R}$.
 (3) λ satisfies the KMS-condition with respect to $\{\sigma_t^\lambda\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$; that is, for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ there exists an element $f_{X,Y}$ of $A(0,1)$ such that $f_{X,Y}(t) = (\lambda(\sigma_t^\lambda(X)) | \lambda(Y))$ and $f_{X,Y}(t+i) = (\lambda(Y^\dagger) | \lambda(\sigma_t^\lambda(X^\dagger)))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $A(0,1)$ is the set of all complex-valued functions, bounded and continuous on $0 \leq \text{Im} z \leq 1$ and analytic in the interior.

Suppose $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda^C)$ is a cyclic and separating system and put

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\lambda^{CC}) = \{A \in (\mathcal{M}'_w)'; \exists \xi_A \in \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t. } A\lambda^C(C) = C\xi_A, \forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda^C)\}, \\ \lambda^{CC}(A) = \xi_A, A \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda^{CC}). \end{cases}$$

Then λ^{CC} is a cyclic and separating generalized vector for the von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$, and so the closure of the closable involution $\lambda^{CC}(A) \rightarrow \lambda^{CC}(A^*)$, $A \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda^{CC})$, is denoted by $S_{\lambda^{CC}}$ and let $S_{\lambda^{CC}} = J_{\lambda^{CC}} \Delta_{\lambda^{CC}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the polar decomposition of $S_{\lambda^{CC}}$. Since $\lambda^C \subset \lambda'$, it follows that $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is a cyclic and separating system and $S_\lambda \subset S_{\lambda''} \subset S_{\lambda^{CC}}$.

Definition 2.4. A cyclic and separating system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda^C)$ is said to be standard if the following conditions (S)^C₃ and (S)^C₄ hold:

- (S)^C₃ $\Delta_{\lambda^{CC}}^{it} \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\Delta_{\lambda^{CC}}^{it} \mathcal{M} \Delta_{\lambda^{CC}}^{-it} = \mathcal{M}$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.
 (S)^C₄ $\Delta_{\lambda^{CC}}^{it} (\mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)) \Delta_{\lambda^{CC}}^{-it} = \mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

By ([7], Theorem 5.6) we have the following

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose λ is a standard generalized vector for \mathcal{M} . Then $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is a standard system satisfying $S_\lambda = S_{\lambda''} = S_{\lambda^{CC}}$.*

Any element ξ of \mathcal{D} is regarded as generalized vector for \mathcal{M} by $\mathcal{D}(\lambda_\xi) = \mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda_\xi(X) = X\xi$, $X \in \mathcal{M}$. It is easily shown that if ξ is cyclic, that is, $\mathcal{M}\xi$ is dense in \mathcal{H} (iff λ_ξ is cyclic), then $\mathcal{D}(\lambda_\xi^C) = \mathcal{D}(\lambda'_\xi) = \mathcal{M}'_w$ and $\lambda_\xi^C(C) = \lambda'_\xi(C) = C\xi$, $\forall C \in \mathcal{M}'_w$. When both $\mathcal{M}\xi$ and $\mathcal{M}'_w\xi$ are dense in \mathcal{H} , we simply denote S_{λ_ξ} , J_{λ_ξ} and Δ_{λ_ξ} (resp. $S_{\lambda''_\xi}$, $J_{\lambda''_\xi}$ and $\Delta_{\lambda''_\xi}$) by S_ξ , J_ξ and Δ_ξ (resp. S''_ξ , J''_ξ and Δ''_ξ), respectively. If λ_ξ is standard, then ξ is said to be a *standard vector* for \mathcal{M} . If λ_ξ is tracial, then ξ is said to be a *tracial vector* for \mathcal{M} .

For the standardness of tracial generalized vectors we have the following

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{M} be a closed O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{M}'_w \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Suppose μ is a tracial generalized vector for \mathcal{M} such that $\mu((\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) μ is standard; that is, $(\mathcal{M}, \mu, \mu^C)$ is a standard system.
 (ii) $\mu^C((\mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} .

- (iii) (\mathcal{M}, μ, μ') is a standard system.
- (iv) $\mu'((\mathcal{D}(\mu')^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu'))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} .
- (v) $J_\mu(\mathcal{M}'_w)'J_\mu = \mathcal{M}'_w$, where J_μ is the unitary involution on \mathcal{H} defined by $J_\mu\mu(Y) = \mu(Y^\dagger)$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu)$.

If this is true, then $J_\mu = J_{\mu CC} = J_{\mu''}$ and $\Delta_\mu = \Delta_{\mu CC} = \Delta_{\mu''} = I$, and further $Y^{\dagger*} = \overline{Y}$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$.

Proof. We show the equivalence of (i) \sim (v) by (ii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (ii). We show the implication (v) \Rightarrow (ii). The other implications are trivial. Let $X \in \mathcal{M}$, and let $\overline{X} = U_X|\overline{X}|$, the polar decomposition of \overline{X} , and $|\overline{X}| = \int_0^\infty t dE_X(t)$, the spectral resolution of $|\overline{X}|$. We put $E_X(n) = \int_0^n dE_X(t)$ and $X_n = \overline{X}E_X(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $U_X, E_X(n), X_n \in (\mathcal{M}'_w)'$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take arbitrary $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, it is not difficult to show

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} J_\mu Y_n J_\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C), \mu^C(J_\mu Y_n J_\mu) = J_\mu U_Y E_Y(n) U_Y^* J_\mu \mu(Y^\dagger), \\ \mu^C(J_\mu Y_n^* J_n) = J_\mu E_Y(n) J_\mu \mu(Y), \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^C(J_\mu Y_n J_\mu) = \mu(Y^\dagger).$$

Hence it follows that $\mu^C((\mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} since $\mu((\mathcal{D}(\mu)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} . Thus the statements (i) \sim (v) are equivalent and $\Delta_{\mu''} = \Delta_{\mu CC} = I$ and $J_{\mu''} = J_{\mu CC} = J_\mu$.

We finally show that $Y^{\dagger*} = \overline{Y}$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. By (2.1) and (2.2) we can show by simple calculations

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C) &= \{J_\mu A^* J_\mu ; A \in \mathfrak{A}\}, \\ \mu^C(J_\mu A^* J_\mu) &= \mu^{CC}(A), \quad \mu^C(J_\mu A J_\mu) = \mu^{CC}(A^*), \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \{A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC}) ; \mu^{CC}(A), \mu^{CC}(A^*) \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Take an arbitrary $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. By (2.3) and (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(\mu(Y))\mu^{CC}(A) &\equiv J_\mu A^* J_\mu \mu(Y) = Y \mu^{CC}(A), \\ \pi_0(J_\mu \mu(Y))\mu^{CC}(A) &\equiv J_\mu A^* \mu(Y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\mu A^* \mu^C(J_\mu Y_n^* J_\mu) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n^* \mu^{CC}(A) = Y^\dagger \mu^{CC}(A) \end{aligned}$$

for each $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, and further since \mathfrak{A} is a Hilbert algebra in \mathcal{H} by (2.3), it follows that $\overline{\pi_0(\mu(Y))} \subset \overline{Y}$ and $\overline{\pi_0(J_\mu \mu(Y))} \subset \overline{Y^\dagger}$. Further, it follows from the theory of Hilbert algebra [9] that $\pi_0(\xi)^* = \pi_0(J_\mu \xi)$, $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}$, that $\overline{\pi_0(\mu(Y))} \subset \overline{Y} \subset Y^{\dagger*} \subset \pi_0(J_\mu \mu(Y))^* = \overline{\pi_0(\mu(Y))}$. Hence we have $Y^{\dagger*} = \overline{Y} = \overline{\pi_0(\mu(Y))}$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. This completes the proof. \square

By Proposition 2.6 we have the following

Corollary 2.7. *Let \mathcal{M} be a closed O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{M}'_w \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$. Suppose ξ_0 is a cyclic tracial vector for \mathcal{M} . Then the following statements*

are equivalent:

- (i) ξ_0 is standard.
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}'_w \xi_0$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .
- (iii) $J_{\xi_0}(\mathcal{M}'_w)' J_{\xi_0} = \mathcal{M}'_w$.

If this is true, then $J_{\xi_0} = J''_{\xi_0}$, $\Delta_{\xi_0} = \Delta''_{\xi_0} = I$ and \mathcal{M} is an integrable O*-algebra on \mathcal{D} . Further, $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \equiv \{\overline{X} ; X \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a *-subalgebra of the *-algebra $L^\omega(\omega_{\xi_0}) \equiv \bigcap_{1 \leq p < \infty} L^p(\omega_{\xi_0})$ equipped with the strong sum, strong scalar multiplication, strong product and adjoint, where $L^p(\omega_{\xi_0})$ is the Segal L^p -space with respect to the vector trace ω_{ξ_0} on $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$ (refer to [4]).

3. STANDARD SYSTEMS FOR SEMIFINITE O*-ALGEBRAS

In this section we treat a standard system $(\mathcal{M}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ constructed by a standard tracial generalized vector μ and a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator K' , and consider when a standard system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is unitarily equivalent to such a standard system $(\mathcal{N}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$. Let \mathcal{M} be a closed O*-algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{M}'_w \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$, μ a standard tracial generalized vector for \mathcal{M} and K' a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} affiliated with \mathcal{M}'_w whose domain $\mathcal{D}(K')$ contains $\mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu))$. Let $K' = \int_0^\infty t dE'(t)$ and $K \equiv J_\mu K' J_\mu = \int_0^\infty t dE(t)$ be the spectral resolutions of K' and K , respectively and let $E'(n) = \int_0^n dE'(t)$ and $E(n) = \int_0^n dE(t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we put

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(K'\mu) = \mathcal{D}(\mu), \\ (K'\mu)(X) = K'\mu(X), \quad X \in \mathcal{D}(\mu). \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily shown that $K'\mu$ is a generalized vector for \mathcal{M} . For the standardness of the system $(\mathcal{M}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ we have the following

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a closed O*-algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{M}'_w \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and μ a standard tracial generalized vector for \mathcal{M} . Suppose K' is a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} affiliated with \mathcal{M}'_w such that $\mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu)) \subset \mathcal{D}(K')$, $K'\mu((\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} and $K'\mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}(K \cdot K'^{-1})$. Then $K'\mu$ is a generalized vector for \mathcal{M} satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $(K'\mu)'((\mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} .
- (ii) $S_{K'\mu} = S_{(K'\mu)''} = J_\mu K \cdot K'^{-1}$.

Further, $(\mathcal{M}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ is a standard system if and only if $K^{it} \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $K^{it} Y K^{-it} \upharpoonright \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since μ is standard, there exists a net $\{A_\alpha\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})$ which converges strongly* to I . Let $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and let $Y_n = \overline{Y} E_Y(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it follows from ([7], Lemma 5.2) that $\{Y_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})$, $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ strongly*, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Y_n) = \mu(Y)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^{CC}(Y_n^*) = \mu(Y^\dagger)$. Take arbitrary $C \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)$, $Y \in$

$\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E'(n)CE'(m)(K'\mu)(Y) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E'(n)CE'(m)K'\mu^{CC}(Y_k) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E'(n)CJ_\mu KE(m)\mu^{CC}(Y_k^*) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\alpha} E'(n)CJ_\mu A_\alpha KE(m)\mu^{CC}(Y_k^*) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\alpha} E'(n)CJ_\mu \mu^{CC}(A_\alpha KE(m)Y_k^*) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\alpha} E'(n)C\mu^{CC}(Y_k KE(m)A_\alpha^*) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\alpha} Y_k E'(n)KE(m)A_\alpha^* \mu^C(C) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_k E'(n)KE(m)\mu^C(C), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (Y^\dagger \eta | E'(n)KE(m)\mu^C(C)) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (Y_k^* \eta | E'(n)KE(m)\mu^C(C)) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\eta | Y_k E'(n)KE(m)\mu^C(C)) \\ &= (\eta | E'(n)CE'(m)(K'\mu)(Y)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $E'(n)KE(m)\mu^C(C) \in \mathcal{D}(Y^{\dagger*}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{Y})$,

$$\overline{Y} E'(n)KE(m)\mu^C(C) = E'(n)CE'(m)(K'\mu)(Y).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & E'(n)CE'(m) \in \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'), \\ & (K'\mu)'(E'(n)CE'(m)) = E'(n)KE(m)\mu^C(C), \\ & \forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C), \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad & E'(n)CE'(m)K'^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'), \\ & (K'\mu)'(E'(n)CE'(m)K'^{-1}) = E'(n)E(m)\mu^C(C), \\ & (K'\mu)'(E'(m)K'^{-1}C^*E'(n)) = E'(m)K'^{-1}E(n)K\mu^C(C) \\ & \forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C), m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E'(n)C_1 E'(l)C_2 E'(m)K'^{-1} \in (\mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'))^2, \\ & \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} (K'\mu)'(E'(n)C_1 E'(l)C_2 E'(m)K'^{-1}) \\ & \quad = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} (E'(n)C_1 E'(l)(K'\mu)'(E'(l)C_2 E'(m)K'^{-1})) \\ & \quad = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} E'(n)C_1 E'(l)E(m)\mu^C(C_2) \\ & \quad = \mu^C(C_1 C_2) \end{aligned}$$

for each $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)$, which implies since $\mu^C((\mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} that $(K'\mu)'((\mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} . We show $J_\mu K \cdot$

$K'^{-1} = S_{K'\mu} = S_{(K'\mu)''}$. Since

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K'\mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu)) \text{ is densely contained} \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{in the Hilbert space } \mathcal{D}(K \cdot K'^{-1}), \\
 &J_\mu K \cdot K'^{-1}K'\mu(Y) = J_\mu K\mu(Y) = K'\mu(Y^\dagger) = S_{K'\mu}(K'\mu)(Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu)$, we have $J_\mu K \cdot K'^{-1} \subset S_{K'\mu}$. We generally have $S_{K'\mu} \subset S_{(K'\mu)''}$, and hence $J_\mu K \cdot K'^{-1} \subset S_{K'\mu} \subset S_{(K'\mu)''}$. Conversely we show $S_{(K'\mu)''} \subset J_\mu K \cdot K'^{-1}$. It is shown similarly to (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E'(n)CE'(m) \in \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'), \\
 &(K'\mu)'(E'(n)CE'(m)) = E'(n)KE'(m)\mu^{CCC}(C), \\
 &\hspace{15em} \forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CCC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CCC}), \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
 &J_\mu A^*J_\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CCC}) \text{ and } \mu^{CCC}(J_\mu A^*J_\mu) = \mu^{CC}(A), \\
 &\hspace{15em} \forall A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC}),
 \end{aligned}$$

so that by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E'(n)J_\mu A^*J_\mu E'(m) \in \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'), \\
 &(K'\mu)'(E'(n)J_\mu A^*J_\mu E'(m)) = KE(m)E'(n)\mu^{CC}(A), \\
 &\hspace{15em} \forall A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(KK'^{-1}K'E'(n)E(m)\mu^{CC}(A)|(K'\mu)''(B)) \\
 &= ((K'\mu)'(E'(n)J_\mu A^*J_\mu E'(m))|(K'\mu)''(B)) \\
 &= (S_{(K'\mu)''}(K'\mu)''(B)|S_{(K'\mu)''}^*(K'\mu)'(E'(n)J_\mu A^*J_\mu E'(m))) \\
 &= (S_{(K'\mu)''}(K'\mu)''(B)|(K'\mu)'(E'(m)J_\mu A^*J_\mu E'(n))) \\
 &= (S_{(K'\mu)''}(K'\mu)''(B)|KE(n)E'(m)\mu^{CC}(A^*)) \\
 &= (S_{(K'\mu)''}(K'\mu)''(B)|J_\mu K'E'(n)E(m)\mu^{CC}(A)) \\
 &= (K'E'(n)E(m)\mu^{CC}(A)|J_\mu S_{(K'\mu)''}(K'\mu)''(B))
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)')^* \cap \mathcal{D}((K'\mu)'')$, and further it follows from (3.3) that $\{K'E'(n)E(m)\mu^{CC}(A) ; A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC})^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^{CC}) \text{ and } m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is total in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}(K \cdot K'^{-1})$, which implies $S_{(K'\mu)''} \subset J_\mu K \cdot K'^{-1}$. Thus we have $S_{K'\mu} = S_{(K'\mu)''} = J_\mu K \cdot K'^{-1}$, and hence

(3.4) $J_{K'\mu} = J_{(K'\mu)''} = J_\mu$ and $\Delta_{K'\mu} = \Delta_{(K'\mu)''} = K \cdot K'^{-1}$.

It follows from (3.4) that $(\mathcal{M}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ is a standard system if and only if $K^{it}\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $K^{it}YK^{-it}[\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This completes the proof. □

We consider when the condition in Proposition 3.1 $K^{it}\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $K^{it}YK^{-it}[\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ holds.

Corollary 3.2. *Let (\mathcal{M}, μ, K') be given in Proposition 3.1. Suppose μ is full, that is, $\mathcal{D}(\mu) = \{X \in \mathcal{M}; \exists \xi_X \in \mathcal{D} \text{ s.t. } X\lambda^C(C) = C\xi_X, \forall C \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda^C)\}$, and $K^{it}[\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $(\mathcal{M}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ is a standard system.*

Proof. Take arbitrary $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (K^{it}YK^{-it}\mu^C(C)|\xi) &= (J_\mu K'^{-it}\mu^C(C^*)|Y^\dagger K^{-it}\xi) \\ &= \lim_\alpha (J_\mu C_\alpha K'^{-it}\mu^C(C^*)|Y^\dagger K^{-it}\xi) \\ &= \lim_\alpha (Y\mu^C(CK'^{-it}C_\alpha^*)|K^{-it}\xi) \\ &= \lim_\alpha (CK'^{-it}C_\alpha^*\mu(Y)|K^{-it}\xi) \\ &= (CK^{it}K'^{-it}\mu(Y)|\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for all $C \in \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\{C_\alpha\}$ is a net in $\mathcal{D}(\mu^C)^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu^C)$ which converges strongly* to I . Hence it follows from the fullness of μ that $K^{it}YK^{-it} \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. By Proposition 3.1 $(\mathcal{M}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ is a standard system. \square

We next consider the converse of Proposition 3.1:

When is a standard system $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ unitarily equivalent to such a standard system $(\mathcal{N}, K'\mu, (K'\mu)')$ in Proposition 3.1?

Proposition 3.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a closed semifinite O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{M}'_w \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Suppose λ is a generalized vector for \mathcal{M} such that*

- (i) $\lambda((\mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} ;
- (ii) $\lambda'((\mathcal{D}(\lambda')^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda'))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} ;
- (iii) $S_\lambda = S_{\lambda'}$;
- (iv) $\bar{Y} \in L^2(\tau'')$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$, where τ'' is a faithful normal semifinite trace on $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$.

Then there exist a standard tracial generalized vector μ for a closed O^ -algebra \mathcal{N} in $L^2(\tau'')$ and a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator K' in $L^2(\tau'')$ affiliated with \mathcal{N}'_w such that (μ, K') satisfies all of the conditions in Proposition 3.1 and λ is unitarily equivalent to the generalized vector $K'\mu$; that is, there exists a unitary operator U of $L^2(\tau'')$ onto \mathcal{H} such that $U^* \mathcal{M} U = \mathcal{N}$, $U^* \mathcal{D}(\lambda) U = \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $\lambda(Y) = U(K'\mu)(U^* Y U)$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. By the assumption for λ , $\lambda''(\mathcal{D}(\lambda'')^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda''))$ is an achieved left Hilbert algebra in \mathcal{H} whose left von Neumann algebra equals the semifinite von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$, so that the following results have been shown by Takesaki [15]:

(3.5) We put $\Pi_0 \lambda''(B) = B$, $B \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda'') \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\tau''}$. Then Π_0 is a closable operator of the dense subspace $\lambda''(\mathcal{D}(\lambda'') \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\tau''})$ onto the dense subspace $\mathcal{D}(\lambda'') \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\tau''}$ in $L^2(\tau'')$ whose closure Π is non-singular.

(3.6) Let $\Pi = VT'$ be the polar decomposition of Π . Then V is a unitary operator of \mathcal{H} onto $L^2(\tau'')$ and T' is a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} affiliated with \mathcal{M}'_w such that $\Delta_{\lambda''}^{\frac{1}{2}} = T'^{-1} \cdot T'$, where $T' = J_{\lambda''} T' J_{\lambda''}$.

(3.7) Let ρ_0 be the left regular representation of $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$ on $L^2(\tau'')$ defined by $\rho_0(A)B = AB$, $A \in (\mathcal{M}'_w)'$, $B \in \mathfrak{N}_{\tau''}$. Then the unitary operator V implements a spatial isomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'$ and $\rho_0((\mathcal{M}'_w)')$ such that $VBV^* = \rho_0(B)$ for each $B \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda'') \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\tau''}$.

(3.8) $\lambda''(\mathcal{D}(\lambda'')^* \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda'') \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\tau''})$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}(T')$.

Let Λ be the inverse of Π and $\Lambda = UK'$ be the polar decomposition of Λ . Then we have $U = V^*$ and $K' = U^* T'^{-1} U$. It follows from (3.6) that U is a unitary operator of $L^2(\tau'')$ onto \mathcal{H} and K' is a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator

in $L^2(\tau'')$ affiliated with the von Neumann algebra $\rho_0((\mathcal{M}'_w)')$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} &= U^* \mathcal{M} U, \\ \mathcal{D}(\mu) &= U^* \mathcal{D}(\lambda) U \text{ and } \mu(U^* Y U) = \overline{Y} \in L^2(\tau''), \quad Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{N} is a closed O^* -algebra on $U^* \mathcal{D}$ in $L^2(\tau'')$ such that $\mathcal{N}'_w = U^* \mathcal{M}'_w U$ and $(\mathcal{N}'_w)' = U^* (\mathcal{M}'_w)' U = \rho_0((\mathcal{M}'_w)')$, and by (3.7) μ is a tracial generalized vector for \mathcal{N} . We show

$$\lambda(Y) \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi) \text{ and } \Pi \lambda(Y) = \mu(U^* Y U), \quad Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda).$$

In fact, let $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $\overline{X} = U_X |\overline{X}|$ be the polar decomposition of \overline{X} and $|\overline{X}| = \int_0^\infty t dE_X(t)$ the spectral resolution of $|\overline{X}|$. Take an arbitrary $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$. Then it is shown that $\overline{Y} E_Y(n) \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda'') \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\tau''}$ and $\lambda''(\overline{Y} E_Y(n)) = E_{Y^\dagger}(n) \lambda(Y)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|E_{Y^\dagger}(n) \lambda(Y) - \lambda(Y)\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Pi E_{Y^\dagger}(n) \lambda(Y) - \mu(U^* Y U)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau''((I - E_Y(n)) |\overline{Y}|^2) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lambda(Y) \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi)$ and $\Pi \lambda(Y) = \mu(U^* Y U)$. Hence we have $\mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu)) \subset \mathcal{D}(K')$. Since $K' \mu(U^* Y U) = U^* \lambda(Y)$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda((\mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{H} , it follows that $(K' \mu)((\mathcal{D}(K' \mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(K' \mu))^2)$ is total in $L^2(\tau'')$. Further, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} (K' \mu)(U^* Y U) &= U^* \lambda(Y), \\ (K \cdot K'^{-1})(K' \mu)(U^* Y U) &= U^* T^{-1} \cdot T' \lambda(Y) = U^* J_\lambda \lambda(Y^\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$, and further $S_\lambda = S_{\lambda''} = J_\lambda T^{-1} \cdot T'$ by (3.6) and $\lambda(\mathcal{D}(\lambda)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\lambda))$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}(S_{\lambda''}) = \mathcal{D}(T^{-1} \cdot T')$, it follows that $K' \mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}(K \cdot K'^{-1})$. Thus the pair (μ, K') satisfies all of the conditions in Proposition 3.1. It is clear that $\mathcal{D}(\mu) = U^* \mathcal{D}(\lambda) U$ and $\lambda(Y) = U(K' \mu)(U Y U^*)$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$. This completes the proof. \square

By Propositions 3.1, 3.3 we have the following

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a closed semifinite O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{M}'_w \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$, and let λ be a generalized vector for \mathcal{M} . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\mathcal{M}, \lambda, \lambda')$ is a standard system such that $\overline{Y} \in L^2(\tau'')$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$, where τ'' is a faithful normal semifinite trace on $(\mathcal{M}'_w)'_+$.
- (ii) There exist a closed O^* -algebra \mathcal{N} on \mathcal{E} in \mathcal{K} , a standard tracial generalized vector μ for \mathcal{N} and a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator K' in \mathcal{K} affiliated with \mathcal{N}'_w such that
 - (ii)₁ $\mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu)) \subset \mathcal{D}(K')$ and $K' \mu((\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu))^2)$ is total in \mathcal{K} ;
 - (ii)₂ $K' \mu(\mathcal{D}(\mu)^\dagger \cap \mathcal{D}(\mu))$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}(K \cdot K'^{-1})$, where $K \equiv J_\mu K' J_\mu$;
 - (ii)₃ $K^{it} \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}$ and $K^{it} Y K^{-it} [\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)]$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
 - (ii)₄ λ is unitarily equivalent to the generalized vector $K' \mu$; that is, there exists a unitary operator U of \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} such that $U^* \mathcal{M} U = \mathcal{N}$, $U^* \mathcal{D}(\lambda) U = \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $\lambda(Y) = U(K' \mu)(U^* Y U)$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be an integrable O^* -algebra on \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{H} with a standard tracial vector ξ_0 , and let $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$. Then ξ is a standard vector for \mathcal{M} if and only if there exists a non-singular positive self-adjoint operator K' in \mathcal{H} affiliated with \mathcal{M}'_{w} such that (a) $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(K')$ and $K'\xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$; (b) $\mathcal{M}\xi_0$ is dense in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}(K \cdot K'^{-1})$, where $K \equiv J_{\xi_0}K'J_{\xi_0}$; (c) $K^{it}[\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{M}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$; (d) ξ is unitarily equivalent to $K'\xi_0$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. -P. Antoine, A. Inoue, H. Ogi and C. Trapani, *Standard generalized vectors in the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **63** (1995), 177-210. MR **96i**:47082
- [2] P. G. Dixon, *Unbounded operator algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1971), 53-69. MR **45**:911
- [3] S. P. Gudder and R. L. Scruggs, *Unbounded representations of *-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **70** (1977), 369-382. MR **58**:2345
- [4] A. Inoue, *On a class of unbounded operator algebras I, II, III*, Pacific J. Math. **65** (1976), 77-95; **66** (1976), 411-431; **69** (1977), 105-115. MR **58**:23641a; MR **58**:23641b; MR **58**:23641c
- [5] ———, *An unbounded generalization of the Tomita-Takesaki theory*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Soc., Kyoto Univ. **22** (1986), 725-765. MR **88c**:47094
- [6] ———, *Standard generalized vectors for algebras of unbounded operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **47** (1995), 329-347. MR **96c**:47065
- [7] A. Inoue and W. Karwowski, *Cyclic generalized vectors for algebras of unbounded operators*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Soc., Kyoto Univ. **30** (1994), 577-601. MR **96a**:47081
- [8] G. Lassner, *Topological algebras of operators*, Rep. Math. Soc. **3** (1972), 279-293. MR **48**:889
- [9] R. Pallu de la Barrière, *Algèbres unitaires et espaces d'Ambrose*, Ann. École Norm. Sup. **70** (1953), 381-401. MR **15**:721e
- [10] R. T. Powers, *Self-adjoint algebras of unbounded operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **21** (1971), 85-124. MR **44**:811
- [11] ———, *Algebras of unbounded operators*, Proc. Sym. Pure Math. **38** (1982), 389-406. MR **84a**:47059
- [12] M. A. Rieffel and A. Van Daele, *A bounded operator approach to Tomita-Takesaki theory*, Pacific J. Math. **69** (1977), 187-221. MR **55**:11066
- [13] I. E. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. Math. **57** (1953), 401-457. MR **14**:991f; MR **15**:204h
- [14] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*, Akademie-Verlag Berlin, 1990. MR **91f**:47062
- [15] M. Takesaki, *Tomita's theory of modular Hilbert algebras and its applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **128** Springer, 1970. MR **42**:5061
- [16] A. Van Daele, *A new approach to the Tomita-Takesaki theory of generalized Hilbert algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **15** (1974), 378-393. MR **49**:11264

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, 814-80, JAPAN
E-mail address: sm010888ssat.fukuoka-u.ac.jp