

## A CHARACTERIZATION FOR SPACES OF SECTIONS

PALANIVEL MANOHARAN

(Communicated by Thomas Goodwillie)

ABSTRACT. The space of smooth sections of a bundle over a compact smooth manifold  $K$  can be equipped with a manifold structure, called an  $A$ -manifold, where  $A$  represents the Fréchet algebra of real valued smooth functions on  $K$ . We prove that the  $A$ -manifold structure characterizes the spaces of sections of bundles over  $K$  and its open subspaces. We also describe the  $A^{(r)}$ -maps between  $A$ -manifolds.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to recognize among the infinite dimensional spaces those which are the spaces of smooth sections of bundles over a fixed compact connected manifold  $K$ . For this purpose, we use the concept of  $A$ -manifold structure, where  $A$  is the Fréchet algebra of all real valued smooth functions on  $K$ . The idea of  $A$ -manifold structure in terms of local charts is explained in [3], and in terms of sheaves in [2]. Roughly, an  $A$ -manifold is a Hausdorff topological space which is locally modeled on finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules through  $A$ -maps, where an  $A$ -map is a map whose linear approximations are  $A$ -linear. One needs to be careful in proving results about  $A$ -manifolds and  $A$ -maps, because the partition of unity by  $A$ -maps does not exist on an  $A$ -manifold. It should be interesting to see, as an analogy to the finite dimensional case, whether every  $A$ -manifold can be embedded in  $A^\Lambda$  for some index set  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $A$ -manifold and  $\Lambda = C_A^\infty(\mathcal{M})$  be the set of all  $A$ -maps from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $A$ . Unlike finite dimensional manifolds,  $A$ -manifolds as given in [2] and [3] do not have “bump”  $A$ -maps, and thus it still remains to be seen whether  $\Lambda$  separates the points of  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e., for every pair of distinct points  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ , whether there exists an  $A$ -map  $F \in \Lambda$  such that  $F(m_1) \neq F(m_2)$ . If  $\Lambda$  separates the points of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  can be considered as a subset of  $A^\Lambda$ . In this case, we can define an  $A$ -manifold (Definition 2.4) similarly to the definition of  $n$ -manifold given in [5]. Our main result gives a concrete realization of these  $A$ -manifolds.

A bundle over  $K$  is a triple  $M \xrightarrow{p} K$ , where  $M$  is a finite dimensional manifold and  $p$  is a surjective submersion. One can verify that the space of smooth sections  $\Gamma M = \{s : K \rightarrow M \mid s \circ p = id\}$  and its open subsets are equipped with  $A$ -manifold structure. Conversely, we prove that every  $A$ -manifold, as defined in

---

Received by the editors February 6, 1996 and, in revised form, September 17, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58D15.

*Key words and phrases*.  $A$ -manifold,  $A$ -map,  $A^{(r)}$ -map.

The author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9401582.

The abstract was presented in the Joint Math Meeting, Orlando, January 1996.

Definition 2.4, can be embedded as an open subset in  $\Gamma M$  for some  $M \xrightarrow{p} K$ . Thus these  $A$ -manifolds characterize the spaces of sections of bundles over  $K$  and its open subspaces.

In the last section, we briefly describe  $A^{(r)}$ -maps between two  $A$ -manifolds as a generalization of differential operators of order  $r$ .

## 2. $A$ -MANIFOLD STRUCTURE

Let us recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the category of smooth vector bundles over  $K$  with bundle morphisms as maps and the category of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules with module morphisms as maps [6]. More explicitly, for every smooth vector bundle  $E \rightarrow K$ , the corresponding finitely generated projective  $A$ -module is the space  $\Gamma E$  of all smooth sections of  $E \rightarrow K$ . Hence every finitely generated projective  $A$ -module can be naturally equipped with a Fréchet space structure. An  $A$ -map between two finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules is a smooth map whose derivative at each point is  $A$ -linear.

It is shown in [3] that every fiber-preserving (not necessarily linear) map between two vector bundles induces an  $A$ -map between the corresponding spaces of sections, and, conversely, every  $A$ -map between two finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules is induced by a fiber-preserving map between the corresponding vector bundles over  $K$ .

We need the following discussion before giving the definition of  $A$ -manifold. Let  $E \xrightarrow{p} K$  be a smooth vector bundle. Consider the evaluation map  $ev : \Gamma E \times K \rightarrow E$  defined by  $ev(s, x) = s(x)$ , which is a surjective smooth map.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The evaluation map  $ev$  has a local right inverse at every point  $e \in E$ .*

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by using the Nash-Moser-Hamilton inverse function theorem [1]. Notice that  $ev : \Gamma E \times K \rightarrow E$  is a smooth tame map. Let  $x = p(e)$  and  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  which is diffeomorphic to an open subset of  $R^k$  such that  $p^{-1}(W)$  is trivial. Locally on  $W$ , every section  $s$  can be considered as a bounded smooth map with values in  $R^n$ . Consider  $ev : \mathcal{U} \times W \rightarrow R^n$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open subset of  $C_B^\infty(W, R^n)$ , the space of bounded smooth  $R^n$ -valued maps on  $W$ . Then, locally, the derivative

$$D(ev) : (\mathcal{U} \times W) \times (C_B^\infty(W, R^n) \times R^k) \rightarrow R^n$$

is given by

$$D(ev)(s, x)(\alpha, v) = \alpha(x) + \frac{ds}{dx}(x)(v),$$

where  $(s, x) \in \mathcal{U} \times W$  and  $(\alpha, v) \in C_B^\infty(W, R^n) \times R^k$ . Since  $R^n$  is finite dimensional,  $D(ev)$  is a surjective tame map. By considering every element of  $R^n$  as a constant map on  $W$ , one can assume that  $R^n \subset C_B^\infty(W, R^n)$ . Define

$$(Vev) : (\mathcal{U} \times W) \times TE \rightarrow R^n \times R^k \subset C_B^\infty(W, R^n) \times R^k$$

by

$$(Vev)(s, x)(u) = (u_v, dp(u_s)),$$

where  $u_v$  is the vertical component of  $u$  and  $u_s$  is the  $\frac{ds}{dx}$  component of  $u \in T_{s(x)}E$ .

Since  $\text{Im}(Vev)$  is finite dimensional,  $(Vev)$  is tame. Thus  $(Vev)$  is a smooth tame family of right inverses for  $D(ev)$ . Then Theorem 1.1.3 on p.172 of [1] implies that  $ev$  is locally surjective and has a local right inverse at every point of  $E$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *ev is an open map.*

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a convex open subset of  $\Gamma E$  and  $ev(\mathcal{U} \times K) = U$ , which is open in  $E$  by the above corollary. For any given  $A$ -map  $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow A$ , there exists a unique smooth map  $f : U \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(s) = f \circ s$  for every  $s \in \mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $e \in U$  and  $x = p(e)$ , choose a section  $s \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $s(x) = e$ . Define  $f(e) = F(s)(x)$ . By a similar proof as in Lemma 2.4 of [3], one can verify that  $f$  is well-defined. We need to verify that  $f$  is smooth. Let  $\mu : O \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \times K$  be a local smooth right inverse of  $ev$  at a neighborhood  $O$  of  $e$ , and let  $\pi_1 : \mathcal{U} \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathcal{U} \times K \rightarrow K$  be the projection maps. Then

$$F(\pi_1\mu e)(\pi_2\mu e) = f(\pi_1\mu e(\pi_2\mu e)) = f(ev \circ \mu(e)) = f(e).$$

Hence  $f$  is smooth. □

We now we give the definition of  $A$ -manifolds.

**Definition 2.4.** For any index set  $\Lambda$ , let  $A^\Lambda$  be equipped with the product topology. A subset  $\mathcal{M} \subset A^\Lambda$  is an  $A$ -manifold if for each  $s \in \mathcal{M}$  there exists a smooth map  $H : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow A^\Lambda$ , defined on an open convex subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\Gamma E$ , where  $E \xrightarrow{p} K$  is a smooth vector bundle of rank  $n$ , such that

1.  $H$  is an  $A$ -map, or in other words, the composition  $\mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{H} A^\Lambda \xrightarrow{pr_\lambda} A$  is an  $A$ -map for each projection  $pr_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
2.  $H$  maps  $\mathcal{U}$  homeomorphically onto a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $s \in \mathcal{M}$ .
3. For each  $t \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $DH(t)$  is injective.
4. By the previous proposition,  $H$  is induced by a unique map  $h : U \rightarrow R^\Lambda$ . For each  $x \in K$ ,  $h_x$  maps  $U_x = p^{-1}(x) \cap \mathcal{U}$  homeomorphically onto a neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $s(x) \in M_x$ , where  $M_x = ev(\mathcal{M} \times x)$ .

As usual, one may call the pair  $(\mathcal{U}, H)$  a chart for  $\mathcal{M}$ , and a collection of charts which cover  $\mathcal{M}$  an atlas.

*Remark.* If  $K = \{pt\}$ , then  $A = R$ , and the above definition is simply the definition of  $n$ -dimensional manifolds as given in [5]. It may be interesting to see whether condition 4 in the above definition is independent of the first three conditions.

**Example 2.5.** If  $M \rightarrow K$  is a bundle, then the space of all sections  $\Gamma M$  is an  $A$ -manifold.

*Proof.* Let  $\Lambda = C^\infty(M)$ .  $\Gamma M$  can be considered as a subset of  $A^\Lambda$  by defining  $i : \Gamma M \rightarrow A^\Lambda$  by  $i(\gamma)_\lambda = \lambda \circ \gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma M$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .  $\Gamma M$  is locally modeled near  $\gamma \in \Gamma M$  by  $\Gamma(\gamma^*T_vM)$ , the sections of the pull-back of the vertical tangent bundle. Indeed, one can find an explicit construction of a homeomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{U}) \subset \Gamma M$  in Proposition 3.5 of [3], where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a convex open neighborhood of the zero section of  $\gamma^*T_vM \rightarrow K$ . For each such  $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)$ , simply define  $H : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow A^\Lambda$  by  $H = i \circ \Phi$  and see that  $H$  satisfies the conditions of the above definition. □

**Example 2.6.** As an open subset of an  $A$ -manifold, every open subset of  $\Gamma M$  is itself an  $A$ -manifold.

3. EMBEDDING OF  $A$ -MANIFOLDS

In this section we show that the space of sections of the bundles and its open subsets are the only  $A$ -manifolds as defined in 2.4.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $A$ -manifold as defined in 2.4. For every chart  $(\mathcal{U}, H)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , Proposition 2.3 implies that  $H$  induces a unique smooth map  $h : U \rightarrow R^\Lambda$  and thus a unique map  $\bar{h} : U \rightarrow R^\Lambda \times K$  defined by  $\bar{h}(e) = (h(e), p(e))$ , where  $p : U \rightarrow K$  is the restriction of the bundle projection  $E \xrightarrow{p} K$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The map  $\bar{h} : U \rightarrow R^\Lambda \times K$  is such that  $d\bar{h}(e)$  is injective for every  $e \in U$  and  $\bar{h}$  maps  $U$  homeomorphically onto  $\bar{h}(U)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e \in U$  and  $v \in T_e U$ , where  $T_e U$  is the tangent space of  $U$  at  $e$ . Suppose that  $d\bar{h}(e)(v) = (dh(e)(v), dp(e)(v)) = 0$ .  $dp(e)(v) = 0$  implies that  $v$  is a vertical tangent vector in  $T_e U$ . One can choose  $s \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $t \in \Gamma E$  such that  $s(x) = e$  and  $t(x) = v$ .  $dh(e)(v) = 0$  implies that  $DH(s)(t)_x = 0$ . Let us say that  $\{s_i\}$  is a local base near  $x$ , and  $t = \sum_i \alpha_i s_i$  in this local coordinate system. Then  $0 = DH(s)(t)_x = DH(s)(\sum_i \alpha_i s_i)_x = \sum_i \alpha_i(x) DH(s)(s_i)_x$ , since  $DH(s)$  is  $A$ -linear. But the injectivity of  $DH(s)$  implies that  $\{DH(s)(s_i)_x\}_{i=1}^n$  are linearly independent. Therefore  $\alpha_i(x) = 0$  for all  $i$ , which shows that  $v = t(x) = 0$ . Thus  $d\bar{h}(e)$  is injective for each  $e \in U$ .

Next we verify that  $\bar{h}$  is injective. Suppose that  $e_1, e_2 \in U$  and  $\bar{h}(e_1) = \bar{h}(e_2)$ .  $p(e_1) = p(e_2)$  implies that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are in the same fiber, say in  $U_x$ . Since  $h(e_1) = h(e_2)$  and  $h_x$  is injective on  $U_x$ , we have  $e_1 = e_2$ .

Since  $d\bar{h}(e)$  is injective for each  $e \in U$ ,  $\bar{h}$  is one-to-one, and  $h_x$  maps  $U_x$  homeomorphically onto its image, it follows that  $\bar{h}$  maps  $U$  homeomorphically onto  $\bar{h}(U)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Every  $A$ -manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  can be embedded as an open subset in  $\Gamma(M \rightarrow K)$  for some bundle  $M \rightarrow K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset A^\Lambda$  be an  $A$ -manifold. We will construct an  $(n+k)$ -dimensional manifold  $M \subset R^\Lambda \times K$  such that  $M$  is a bundle over  $K$ , and show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is embedded as an open subset in  $\Gamma M$ .

Each chart  $(\mathcal{U}, H)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  induces  $\bar{h} : U \rightarrow R^\Lambda \times K$  such that  $d\bar{h}(e)$  is injective for each  $e \in U$  and maps  $U$  homeomorphically onto  $\bar{h}(U) \subset R^\Lambda \times K$  by the previous proposition. Let  $\{(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, H_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  be an atlas for  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $M = \bigcup_\alpha \bar{h}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ . One can see that  $M$  is a manifold of dimension  $n+k$  with atlas  $\{(U_\alpha, \bar{h}_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ . Each  $\bar{h}_\alpha$  is fiber-preserving, which implies that there exists a projection  $p : M \rightarrow K$  which is a surjective submersion.

Since each  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  is an open subset of  $\Gamma U_\alpha$  and  $\bar{h}_\alpha(U_\alpha)$  is open in  $M$ , there exists  $i_\alpha : H_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) \hookrightarrow \Gamma M$ , embedded as an open subset. Each  $i_\alpha$  and  $i_\beta$  agree on  $H_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) \cap H_\beta(\mathcal{U}_\beta)$ , which implies that there exists a unique map  $i : \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \Gamma M$  such that  $i(\mathcal{M}) = \bigcup_\alpha i_\alpha(H_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha))$  is open in  $\Gamma M$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* If  $K = \{pt\}$ , the above theorem simply states that every finite dimensional manifold  $M$  can be considered as the space of sections of the bundle  $M \rightarrow \{pt\}$ .

Let  $M_1 \rightarrow K$  and  $M_2 \rightarrow K$  be any two bundles. It is shown in [3] that every fiber-preserving map  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  induces the  $A$ -map  $\Gamma f : \Gamma M_1 \rightarrow \Gamma M_2$ , and, conversely, every  $A$ -map  $\Phi : \Gamma M_1 \rightarrow \Gamma M_2$  is of the form  $\Gamma f$  for some  $f$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be embedded as an open subset in  $\Gamma M$  as in the above theorem. Then every  $A$ -map  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$  can be uniquely extended to an  $A$ -map  $\Gamma f : \Gamma M \rightarrow A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, H_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  be an atlas for  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$  be an  $A$ -map. For each  $\alpha$ ,  $F \circ H_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \rightarrow A$  is an  $A$ -map. This implies that there exists a unique  $f_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow R$  such that  $\Gamma f_\alpha = F \circ H_\alpha$  by Proposition 2.3, and hence there exists a unique  $\tilde{f}_\alpha : h_\alpha(U_\alpha) \rightarrow R$ . By uniqueness,  $\tilde{f}_\alpha$  and  $\tilde{f}_\beta$  agree on  $h_\alpha(U_\alpha) \cap h_\beta(U_\beta)$  and hence induce a unique map  $f : M \rightarrow R$ , which yields  $\Gamma f : \Gamma M \rightarrow A$ .  $\square$

#### 4. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

In the language of category theory, we characterized  $A$ -manifolds in the last section which are the objects of our category. The natural choice for the maps of our category are  $A$ -maps. A smooth map  $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  between two  $A$ -manifolds  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is an  $A$ -map if  $D_s\Phi : T_s\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T_{\Phi(s)}\mathcal{N}$ , the derivative of  $\Phi$  at each  $s \in \mathcal{M}$ , is  $A$ -linear, where  $T_s\mathcal{M}$  is the tangent space of  $\mathcal{M}$  at  $s$ . Unfortunately, the collection of  $A$ -maps is too ‘small’. For example, if  $K = S^1$  then the first order differential operator  $\Phi : C^\infty(S^1) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^1)$  defined by  $\Phi(f) = f'$  is not an  $A$ -map. We can ‘enlarge’ the class of maps in our category by including  $A^{(r)}$ -maps, which generalize  $A$ -maps.

**Definition 4.1.** A smooth map  $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is called an  $A^{(r)}$ -map if

$$(D_s\Phi)(\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}T_s\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathfrak{m}T_{\Phi(s)}\mathcal{N}$$

for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  and for every  $s \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $E_1, E_2 \rightarrow K$  be any two smooth vector bundles and  $j^r E_1 \rightarrow K$  be the  $r$ -jet bundle of  $E_1 \rightarrow K$ . A non-linear differential operator of order  $r$  between  $\Gamma E_1$  and  $\Gamma E_2$  is a smooth map  $\Phi : \Gamma E_1 \rightarrow \Gamma E_2$  defined by  $\Phi(s) = \phi \circ j^r(s)$  for some fiber-preserving map  $\phi : j^r E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ . It is verified in [4] that a smooth map  $\Phi : \Gamma E_1 \rightarrow \Gamma E_2$  is a non-linear differential operator of order  $r$  if and only if it is an  $A^{(r)}$ -map.

Of course, when  $\mathcal{M} = \Gamma M$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \Gamma N$ , the above definition includes the standard non-linear differential operators.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $M, N \rightarrow K$  be any two bundles and let  $j^r M \rightarrow K$  be the  $r$ -jet bundle of  $M \rightarrow K$ . If  $\phi : j^r M \rightarrow N$  is a fiber-preserving smooth map, then  $\Phi : \Gamma M \rightarrow \Gamma N$ , defined as  $\Phi(s) = \phi \circ j^r(s)$ , is an  $A^{(r)}$ -map.

*Proof.* Let  $s \in \Gamma M$ . Choose a chart  $\Gamma U \subset \Gamma E_1$  at  $s$  and a chart  $\Gamma V \subset \Gamma E_2$  at  $\Phi(s)$ . We wish to show that  $(D_s\Phi)(\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}\Gamma E_1) \subset \mathfrak{m}\Gamma E_2$ .

Now  $D_s\Phi = (D_{j^r s}\Gamma\phi) \circ D_s j^r$ . Since  $\Gamma\phi$  is an  $A$ -map, it is enough to show that  $(D_s j^r)(\mathfrak{m}^{r+1}\Gamma E_1) \subset \mathfrak{m}(j^r\Gamma E_1)$ , which immediately follows from Lemma 2.4 of [4], because  $(D_s j^r)(h) = j^r h$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Professor D. Burghilea for his discussions with me regarding this paper, and the referee for his suggestion on the original version of this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Hamilton, R.S.: The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **7** no. 1, 65-222 (1982). MR **83j**:58014
2. Kobayashi, S.: Manifolds over function algebras and mapping spaces. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **41**, 263-282 (1989). MR **90g**:58014
3. Manoharan, P.: A non-linear version of Swan's theorem. *Math. Z.* **209**, 467-479 (1992). MR **93d**:55019
4. Manoharan, P.: Generalized Swan's theorem and its application. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123**, no. 10, 3219-3223 (1995). MR **95m**:58020
5. Milnor, J.W. and Stasheff, J.D.: *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, 1974. MR **55**:13428
6. Swan, R.G.: Vector bundles and projective modules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105**, 264-277 (1962). MR **26**:785

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LIVERPOOL, OHIO 43920

*E-mail address:* [manohara@mcs.kent.edu](mailto:manohara@mcs.kent.edu)

*Current address:* College of Arts and Sciences, Florida Gulf Coast University, Fort Myers, Florida 33965