CHARACTERIZATIONS OF W-TYPE SPACES

J. J. BETANCOR AND L. RODRÍGUEZ-MESA

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain new characterizations of certain spaces of W-type.

1. Introduction

The spaces of W-type were studied by B.L. Gurevich [5] and I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov [4]. They investigated the behaviour of the Fourier transformation on the W-spaces. Also W-spaces are applied to the theory of partial differential equations. These spaces are generalizations of spaces of S-type [3].

R.S. Pathak [6] and S.J.L. van Eijndhoven and M.J. Kerkhof [2] introduced new spaces of W-type and investigated the behaviour of the Hankel transformation over them.

In this paper, motivated by the work of R.S. Pathak and S.K. Upadhyay [7], we give new characterizations of the spaces of W-type introduced in [2].

In our investigation the Hankel integral transformation defined by

$$h_{\mu}(\phi)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2\mu+1}(xy)^{-\mu} J_{\mu}(xy)\phi(y)dy \; , \; x \in (0, \infty) \; ,$$

plays an important role, where as usual J_{μ} denotes the Bessel function of the first kind and order μ . Throughout this paper μ will always represent a real number greater than -1/2.

It is known (Corollary 4.8, [1]) that h_{μ} is an automorphism of the space Se constituted by all those complex valued even smooth functions $\phi = \phi(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\gamma_{m,n}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^m D^n \phi(x)| < \infty$$
, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover h_{μ}^{-1} , the inverse of h_{μ} , coincides with h_{μ} on Se. Throughout this paper we will denote by K the following set of functions:

$$K = \left\{ M \in C^2([0,\infty)) : M(0) = M'(0) = 0, M'(\infty) = \infty \text{ and } M''(x) > 0, x \in (0,\infty) \right\}.$$

For every $M \in K$ we will represent by M^{x} the Young dual function of M ([4], p.19). Interesting and useful properties of the functions in K can be found in [2] and [4].

Received by the editors February 27, 1996 and, in revised form, October 14, 1996.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46F12.

Key words and phrases. W-spaces, Hankel transformation, Bessel.

Partially supported by DGICYT Grant PB 94-0591 (Spain).

In [4] the W-spaces were defined as follows. Let $M, \Omega \in K$ and a, b > 0.

The space $W_{M,a}$ consists of all those complex valued and smooth functions ϕ on \mathbb{R} such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{m,k} > 0$ for which

$$|D^k \phi(x)| \le C_{m,k} \exp\left(-M(a(1-\frac{1}{m})|x|)\right), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The space $W^{\Omega,b}$ consists of all entire functions ϕ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{m,k} > 0$ for which

$$|z^k \phi(z)| \le C_{m,k} \exp\left(\Omega(b(1+\frac{1}{m})|\Im z|)\right), z \in \mathbb{C}$$
.

An entire function ϕ is in $W_{M,a}^{\Omega,b}$ if, and only if, for each $m,k\in\mathbb{N}-\{0\}$ there exists $C_{m,k}$ for which

$$|\phi(z)| \le C_{m,k} \exp\left(-M(a(1-\frac{1}{m})|\Re z|) + \Omega(b(1+\frac{1}{k})|\Im z|)\right), \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

S.J.L. van Eijndhoven and M.J. Kerkhof [2] investigated the behaviour of the transformation h_{μ} on the subspaces of the W-spaces defined as follows.

A function ϕ is in $We_{M,a}$ (respectively, $We^{\Omega,b}$ and $We^{\Omega,b}_{M,a}$) when ϕ is even and ϕ is in $W_{M,a}$ (respectively, $W^{\Omega,b}$ and $W^{\Omega,b}_{M,a}$).

We now introduce new spaces of W-type.

Let $M,\Omega\in K,\, a,b>0$ and $1\leq p\leq\infty.$ A complex valued and smooth function $\phi=\phi(x)$, $x\in I=(0,\infty)$, is in $We^p_{\mu,M,a}$ if, and only if, ϕ belongs to Se and

$$\left\| \exp\left(M[a(1-\frac{1}{m})x]\right) \Delta_{\mu}^{k} \phi(x) \right\|_{p} < \infty \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here and in the sequel $\| \|_p$ denotes the norm in the Lebesgue space $L_p(0,\infty)$. By Δ_{μ} we denote the Bessel operator $x^{-2\mu-1}Dx^{2\mu+1}D$.

The space $We^{p,\Omega,b}$ consists of $\phi \in Se$ that admit a holomorphic extension to the whole complex plane and that satisfy the following two conditions:

(i) there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $C_k > 0$ for which

$$|z^k \phi(z)| \le C_k \exp(\Omega(b\epsilon|\Im z|)), \ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(ii) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \exp\left(-\Omega[b(1+\frac{1}{n})|y|]\right) (x+iy)^m \phi(x+iy) \right\|_p < \infty, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A complex valued and smooth function $\phi = \phi(x), x \in I$, is in $We_{M,a}^{p,\Omega,b}$ if, and only if, ϕ is in Se admitting a holomorphic extension to the whole complex plane and ϕ satisfies (i) and

$$(iii) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \exp\left(M[a(1-\frac{1}{m})x] - \Omega[b(1+\frac{1}{n})|y|]\right)\phi(x+iy) \right\|_p < \infty \text{ for every } m,n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}.$$

In Section 2 we establish that $We^p_{\mu,M,a}=We_{M,a}, We^{p,\Omega,b}=We^{\Omega,b}$ and $We^{p,\Omega,b}_{M,a}=We^{\Omega,b}_{M,a}$, for every $\mu>-1/2$ and $1\leq p\leq\infty$.

Throughout this paper for every 1 we denote by <math>p' the conjugate of p (i.e., $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$). Also by C we always represent a suitable positive constant, not necessarily the same in each occurrence.

2. Characterizations of We-spaces

In this Section we prove, by using the Hankel transformation h_{μ} , that $We_{\mu,M,a}^{p} = We_{M,a}$, $We^{p,\Omega,b} = We^{\Omega,b}$ and $We_{M,a}^{p,\Omega,b} = We_{M,a}^{\Omega,b}$, for every $\mu > -1/2$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $\mu > -1/2$. Then $We^p_{\mu,M,a}$ is contained in $We_{M,a}$.

Proof. Assume first that $1 . Let <math>\phi$ be in $We^p_{\mu,M,a}$. Define

(1)
$$\psi(y) = h_{\mu}(\phi)(y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (xy)^{-\mu} J_{\mu}(xy)\phi(x)x^{2\mu+1} dx , y \in \mathbb{C}.$$

According to Corollary 4.8 in [1], ψ is in Se. Moreover, the last integral is defined for every $y \in \mathbb{C}$. In fact, for every $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, by virtue of (5.3.b) of [2] and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\int_0^\infty |(xy)^{-\mu} J_\mu(xy)| |\phi(x)| x^{2\mu+1} dx \le C \int_0^\infty \exp\left(x|\Im y|\right) |\phi(x)| x^{2\mu+1} dx$$

$$\le C \int_0^\infty \exp\left[x|\Im y| - M\left(a(1-\frac{1}{n})x\right)\right] \exp\left[M\left(a(1-\frac{1}{n})x\right)\right] |\phi(x)| x^{2\mu+1} dx$$

$$\le C \left(\int_0^\infty \left|\exp\left[x|\Im y| - M\left(a(1-\frac{1}{n})x\right)\right] x^{2\mu+1} \right|^{p'} dx\right)^{1/p'}$$

$$\cdot \left(\int_0^\infty \left|\exp\left[M\left(a(1-\frac{1}{n})x\right)\right] \phi(x) \right|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le C \left(\int_0^\infty \left|\exp\left[x|\Im y| - M\left(a(1-\frac{1}{n})x\right)\right] x^{2\mu+1} \right|^{p'} dx\right)^{1/p'}.$$

Moreover, denoting as usual by M^{x} the Young dual of M, according to well-known properties of M^{x} ([4]) we obtain for every $x \in I$, $y \in \mathbb{C}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, where 1 < m < n,

$$|\Im y| - M\left(a(1 - \frac{1}{n})x\right) = \frac{x|\Im y|}{a(1 - 1/m)}a(1 - \frac{1}{m}) - M\left(a(1 - \frac{1}{n})x\right)$$

$$\leq M\left(a(1 - \frac{1}{m})x\right) - M\left(a(1 - \frac{1}{n})x\right) + M^{x}\left(\frac{|\Im y|}{a(1 - 1/m)}\right)$$

$$\leq -M\left(a(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n})x\right) + M^{x}\left(\frac{|\Im y|}{a(1 - 1/m)}\right).$$

Hence for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ with 1 < m < n we can write

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^\infty |(xy)^{-\mu}J_\mu(xy)||\phi(x)|x^{2\mu+1}dx\\ &\leq C\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\exp\left[-M\left(a(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{n})x\right)\right]x^{2\mu+1}\right)^{p'}dx\right)^{1/p'}\exp\left[M^{\mathbf{x}}\left(\frac{|\Im y|}{a(1-1/m)}\right)\right]\\ &\leq C\exp\left[M^{\mathbf{x}}\left(\frac{|\Im y|}{a(1-1/m)}\right)\right],\;y\in\mathbb{C}\;, \end{split}$$

because $\lim_{x\to\infty}M'(x)=\infty.$ If p=1 or $p=\infty$ we can argue in a similar way.

Thus we conclude that the integral in the right hand side of (1) is a continuous extension of ψ to the whole complex plane. Moreover, by proceeding in a similar way we can see that it also is entire. Such an extension will be denoted again by ψ . Note that ψ is an even function.

We prove that $\psi \in We^{M^{*},1/a}$.

It is not difficult to deduce from Lemma 5.4-1 of [9] that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$y^{2k}\psi(y) = (-1)^k \int_0^\infty (xy)^{-\mu} J_\mu(xy) \Delta_\mu^k[\phi(x)] x^{2\mu+1} dx \ , \ y \in \mathbb{C} \ .$$

Then, proceeding as above, we get for every $k,m\in\mathbb{N},\,m>1,$

$$|y^{2k}\psi(y)| \le \int_0^\infty \left| (xy)^{-\mu} J_{\mu}(xy) \right| |\Delta_{\mu}^k [\phi(x)]| x^{2\mu+1} dx$$

$$\le C \int_0^\infty \exp\left(x|\Im y|\right) x^{2\mu+1} |\Delta_{\mu}^k [\phi(x)]| dx$$

$$\le C \exp\left[M^{\kappa} \left(\frac{|\Im y|}{a(1-1/m)}\right)\right], \quad y \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence ψ is in $We^{M^*,1/a}$.

Since $h_{\mu} = h_{\mu}^{-1}$ on Se, according to Lemma 7.4 of [2], we conclude that $We_{\mu,M,a}^p$ is contained in $We_{M,a}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\mu > -1/2$. Then $We_{M,a}$ is contained in $We^p_{\mu,M,a}$.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 7.3 of [2], $h_{\mu}(We_{M,a}) \subset We^{M^{\times},1/a}$. Let $\phi \in We^{M^{\times},1/a}$. Since $h_{\mu} = h_{\mu}^{-1}$ on Se, our result will be established when we see that $h_{\mu}(\phi)$ is in

Note first that according to Corollary 4.8 of [1], $h_{\mu}\phi$ is in Se.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By invoking Lemma 5.4-1 of [9] we can obtain that

(3)
$$\Delta_{\mu}^{k} h_{\mu}(\phi)(x) = (-1)^{k} h_{\mu}(z^{2k} \phi(z))(x) , \quad x \in I .$$

A procedure similar to the one developed in the proof of Lemma 6.1 of [2] allows us to write, for every x > 1 and $\tau > 0$,

$$\Delta_{\mu}^{k} h_{\mu}(\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x(\sigma + i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma + i\tau)) \phi(\sigma + i\tau) (\sigma + i\tau)^{2\mu + 2k + 1} d\sigma,$$

where $H_{\mu}^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel function ([8], p. 73). Now for every x > 1 and $\tau > 0$ we divide the last integral as follows:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i\tau))\phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+2k+1} d\sigma$$

$$= \left(\int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)| \le 1} + \int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)| > 1} \right) (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)} \cdot \cdot (x(\sigma+i\tau)) \phi(\sigma+i\tau) (\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+2k+1} d\sigma.$$

We will analyze each of the integrals separately.

Assume first that $\mu \geq 1/2$. On the one hand, by using (5.3.c) of [2], we get for every $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$

$$\int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)|\leq 1} \left| (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i\tau))\phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+2k+1} \right| d\sigma$$
(4)
$$\leq C \exp(-x\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \phi(\sigma+i\tau) \right| d\sigma$$

$$\leq C \exp\left(-x\tau + M^{x} \left[\frac{1}{a}(1+\frac{1}{n})\tau\right]\right), x > 1 \text{ and } \tau > 0;$$

on the other hand, by using again (5.3.c) of [2], for every $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$

$$\int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)|>1} \left| (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i\tau)) \phi(\sigma+i\tau) (\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+2k+1} \right| d\sigma$$
(5)
$$\leq C \exp(-x\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+2k+1}| d\sigma$$

$$\leq C \exp\left(-x\tau + M^{\mathsf{x}} \left[\frac{1}{a} (1+\frac{1}{n})\tau\right]\right), \ x > 1 \text{ and } \tau > 0.$$

For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ we choose $\tau > 0$ such that

$$M^{x'}\left(\frac{1}{a}(1+\frac{1}{n})\tau\right) = \frac{ax}{(1+1/n)}.$$

Then from Lemma 2.4 of [2] we have

(6)
$$-x\tau + M^{\mathsf{x}}\left(\frac{1}{a}(1+\frac{1}{n})\tau\right) = -M\left(\frac{ax}{(1+1/n)}\right).$$

Hence by combining (4), (5) and (6) it follows that

$$\left| \Delta_{\mu}^{k} h_{\mu}(\phi)(x) \right| \leq C \exp\left(-M\left[ax(1-\frac{1}{n+1})\right]\right), \ x > 1 \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note also that, if $-1/2 < \mu < 1/2$, by invoking (5.3.d) of [2] one has

$$\left|\Delta_{\mu}^{k}h_{\mu}(\phi)(x)\right| \leq C \exp(-x\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau)^{\mu+2k+1/2}\right| d\sigma, \tau > 0 \text{ and } x > 1.$$

Proceeding as above, we conclude that

$$\left| \Delta_{\mu}^{k} h_{\mu}(\phi)(x) \right| \leq C \exp\left(-M\left[ax(1-\frac{1}{m})\right]\right), \ x > 1 \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}.$$

Now let $x \in (0,1)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. According to (5.3.b) of [2] we have

$$\left| \exp\left(M \left[ax(1 - \frac{1}{m}) \right] \right) \Delta_{\mu}^{k} [h_{\mu}(\phi)(x)] \right| = \left| \exp\left(M \left[ax(1 - \frac{1}{m}) \right] \right) h_{\mu}(z^{2k}\phi(z))(x) \right|$$

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma^{2\mu + 2k + 1} |\phi(\sigma)| d\sigma$$

because M is an increasing function on $(0, \infty)$.

Hence, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$,

$$\left| \exp\left(M \left[ax(1 - \frac{1}{m}) \right] \right) \Delta_{\mu}^{k} h_{\mu}(\phi)(x) \right| \leq C, \ x > 0,$$

and, if $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, then

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \left| \exp\left(M\left[ax(1-\frac{1}{m})\right]\right) \Delta_\mu^k h_\mu(\phi)(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \le C$$

because $\int_0^\infty \exp\left(-pM\left[ax\left(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{m+1}\right)\right]\right)dx < \infty$.

Thus we establish that $h_{\mu}\phi \in We^{p}_{\mu,M,a}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and the proof is finished.

From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we deduce

Theorem 2.1. For every $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $\mu > -1/2$, $We_{\mu,M,a}^p = We_{M,a}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. Then $We^{p,\Omega,b}$ is contained in $We^{\Omega,b}$.

Proof. Let ϕ be in $We^{p,\Omega,b}$. Assume that $\mu > -1/2$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we can establish that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists l = l(k) such that

$$|\Delta_{\mu}^{k}h_{\mu}(\phi)(x)| \leq C \exp(-x\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(\sigma + i\tau)| (|\sigma + i\tau|^{l} + 1) d\sigma , \ \tau, x \in (0, \infty) .$$

Hence, according to Hölder's inequality and (6), we obtain for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and suitable $\tau > 0$

$$\exp\left(\Omega^{\mathsf{x}}\left[\frac{1}{h}(1-\frac{1}{m})x\right]\right)|\Delta_{\mu}^{k}h_{\mu}(\phi)(x)|$$

$$\leq C \exp\left(\Omega^{\mathbf{x}} \left[\frac{1}{b} (1 - \frac{1}{m})x\right] - \Omega^{\mathbf{x}} \left[\frac{1}{b} (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})x\right]\right) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma}{(1 + \sigma^2)^{p'}} \right\}^{1/p'} \cdot \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\exp\left[-\Omega[b(1 + \frac{1}{m})\tau]\right] (|\sigma + i\tau| + 1)(|\sigma + i\tau|^l + 1)|\phi(\sigma + i\tau)|\right)^p d\sigma \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C$$
, $x \in (0, \infty)$,

provided that 1 . When <math>p = 1 or $p = \infty$ we can proceed in a similar way. Thus we prove that $h_{\mu}(\phi) \in We^{\infty}_{\mu,\Omega^{x},1/b}$. Therefore Theorem 2.1 shows that $h_{\mu}(\phi) \in We^{\infty}_{\Omega^{x},1/b}$.

 $h_{\mu}(\phi) \in We_{\Omega^{\times},1/b}$. Since $h_{\mu} = h_{\mu}^{-1}$ on Se, it is sufficient to take into account Lemma 7.3 of [2] to see that $\phi \in We^{\Omega,b}$, and the proof of this lemma is complete. The next result is not hard to see.

Lemma 2.4. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. Then $We^{\Omega,b}$ is contained in $We^{p,\Omega,b}$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we obtain the following

Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. Then $We^{p,\Omega,b} = We^{\Omega,b}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $We_{M,a}^{p,\Omega,b}$ is contained in $We_{M,a}^{\Omega,b}$

Proof. Let ϕ be in $We_{M,a}^{p,\Omega,b}$. Choose $\mu \geq 1/2$. Since $h_{\mu} = h_{\mu}^{-1}$ on Se, by virtue of Lemma 7.5 of [2], to prove this lemma it is sufficient to see that $h_{\mu}\phi$ is in $We_{\Omega^{\kappa},1/b}^{M^{\kappa},1/a}$. The Hankel transformation $h_{\mu}\phi$ of ϕ is in Se (Corollary 4.8 [1]). Moreover, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can see that $h_{\mu}\phi$ can be holomorphically extended to the whole complex plane.

Let $\tau > 0$. An argument similar to the one developed in Lemma 6.1 of [2] allows us to write

$$(h_{\mu}\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x(\sigma + i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma + i\tau))\phi(\sigma + i\tau)(\sigma + i\tau)^{2\mu + 1} d\sigma, |x| > 1.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.2,

$$(h_{\mu}\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)| \le 1} + \int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)| > 1} (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i\tau)) \right) \cdot \phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+1} d\sigma , \quad |x| > 1$$

We must analyze each of the two integrals.

According to (5.3.c) of [2] we have, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$,

$$\begin{split} \int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)|>1} & \Big| (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i\tau)) \phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+1} \Big| d\sigma \\ & \leq C|x|^{-\mu-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\Big(-(\Re x)\tau - (\Im x)\sigma\Big) \Big| \phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau)^{\mu+1/2} \Big| d\sigma \\ & \leq C|x|^{-\mu-1/2} \\ & \cdot \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\exp\Big[-(\Re x)\tau + |\Im x||\sigma| - M\Big(a(1-\frac{1}{n})\sigma\Big) + \Omega\Big(b(1+\frac{1}{m})\tau\Big) \right] \right. \\ & \cdot \left| (\sigma+i\tau)^{\mu+1/2} \right)^{p'} d\sigma \right\}^{1/p'}, \end{split}$$

where |x| > 1, provided that 1 . By Lemma 2.4 of [2],

$$|\Im x||\sigma| \leq M^{\mathbf{x}}\left(\frac{|\Im x|}{a(1-1/l)}\right) + M\Big(a(1-\frac{1}{l})|\sigma|\Big) \;, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } l \in \mathbb{N}, l > 1 \;.$$

Then

$$|\Im x||\sigma| - M\Big(a(1-\frac{1}{n})|\sigma|\Big) \leq M^{\mathbf{x}}\left(\frac{|\Im x|}{a(1-1/l)}\right) - M\Big(a(\frac{1}{l}-\frac{1}{n})|\sigma|\Big)\;,$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > l > 1.

We assume now that $\Re x > 0$, and we choose $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\Omega'\Big(b(1+\frac{1}{m})\tau\Big) = \frac{\Re x}{b(1+1/m)}.$$

Then, again by Lemma 2.4 of [2],

$$\tau \Re x = \Omega \left(b(1 + \frac{1}{m})\tau \right) + \Omega^{x} \left(\frac{\Re x}{b(1 + 1/m)} \right) .$$

Hence, since $-\mu - \frac{1}{2} \le 0$ and $1 , we obtain for every <math>|x| \ge 1$ and $\Re x > 0$

$$(7) \int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)|>1} \left| (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i\tau)) \phi(\sigma+i\tau) (\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+1} \right| d\sigma$$

$$\leq C \exp\left[M^{\mathsf{x}} \left(\frac{|\Im x|}{a(1-1/l)} \right) - \Omega^{\mathsf{x}} \left(\frac{\Re x}{b(1+1/m)} \right) \right]$$

$$\cdot \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\exp\left[-M \left(a(\frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{n}) |\sigma| \right) \right] |\sigma+i\tau|^{\mu+1/2} \right)^{p'} d\sigma \right)^{1/p'}$$

$$\leq C \exp\left[M^{\mathsf{x}} \left(\frac{|\Im x|}{a(1-1/l)} \right) - \Omega^{\mathsf{x}} \left(\frac{\Re x}{b(1+1/m)} \right) \right] n, m, l \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, 1 < l < n ,$$

$$\text{because } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Bigl(\exp\Bigl[-M\Bigl(a(\frac{1}{l}-\frac{1}{n})|\sigma|\Bigr) \Bigr] |\sigma+i\tau|^{\mu+1/2} \Bigr)^{p'} d\sigma <\infty \;.$$

If p = 1 or $p = \infty$, we can proceed in a similar way.

On the other hand, by (5.3.c) of [2]

$$\int_{|x(\sigma+i\tau)|\leq 1} \left| (x(\sigma+i\tau))^{-\mu} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i\tau)) \phi(\sigma+i\tau) (\sigma+i\tau)^{2\mu+1} \right| d\sigma$$

$$(8) \qquad \leq C|x|^{-2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-(\Re x)\tau + |\Im x||\sigma|\right) \left| \phi(\sigma+i\tau)(\sigma+i\tau) \right| d\sigma$$

$$\leq C \exp\left[M^{x} \left(\frac{|\Im x|}{a(1-1/l)}\right) - \Omega^{x} \left(\frac{\Re x}{b(1+1/m)}\right) \right], |x| \geq 1 \text{ and } \Re x > 0,$$

for $m, l \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, 1 < l$.

Hence from (7) and (8) we conclude that

(9)
$$|h_{\mu}\phi(x)| \le C \exp\left[M^{\mathsf{x}}\left(\frac{1}{a}[1+\frac{1}{l-1}]|\Im x|\right) - \Omega^{\mathsf{x}}\left(\frac{1}{b}[1-\frac{1}{m+1}]\Re x\right)\right]$$

for every $|x| \ge 1$ and $\Re x > 0$, $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, where 1 < l.

Since $h_{\mu}\phi$ is even, the corresponding inequality (9) also holds when $\Re x < 0$. Now let |x| < 1. By using (5.3.b) of [2] we deduce that

$$|h_{\mu}\phi(x)| \leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(t|\Im x|)|\phi(t)|t^{2\mu+1}dt$$
.

Proceeding as in the above case, we conclude that $h_{\mu}\phi \in We^{M^{\times},1/a}_{\Omega^{\times},1/b}$.

The following result can be proved without difficulty.

Lemma 2.6. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $We_{M,a}^{\Omega,b}$ is contained in $We_{M,a}^{p,\Omega,b}$

From Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 we obtain

Theorem 2.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $We_{M,a}^{p,\Omega,b} = We_{M,a}^{\Omega,b}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to the referee for valuable comments that led to the improvement of this paper.

References

- S.J.L. van Eijndhoven and J. de Graaf, Some results on Hankel invariant distribution spaces, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. van Wetensch., A 86 (1983), 77-87. MR 84e:46037
- [2] S.J.L. van Eijndhoven and M.J. Kerkhof, The Hankel transformation and spaces of type W, Reports on Applied and Numerical Analysis, Department of Mathematics and Computing Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, 1988.
- [3] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, Generalized functions, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR 37:5693
- [4] _____, Generalized functions, Vol. 3, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR 36:507
- [5] B.L. Gurevich, Nouveaux espaces de fonctions fondamentales et généralisés et le problemé de Cauchy pour des systems d'équations aux différences finis, D.A.N. SSSR, 99 (1954), 893-896; 108 (1956), 1001-1003. (Russian) MR 16:720b; MR 18:216e
- [6] R.S. Pathak, On Hankel transformable spaces and a Cauchy problem, Can. J. Math., XXXVII(1) (1985), 84-106. MR 86d:46037
- [7] R.S. Pathak and S.K. Upadhyay, W^p-spaces and Fourier transform, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 121(3) (1994), 733-738. MR 94i:46051
- [8] G.N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1956. MR 6:64a (1944 ed.)
- [9] A.H. Zemanian, Generalized integral transformations, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968. MR 54:10991

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, 38271 LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE, CANARY ISLANDS, SPAIN

E-mail address: jbetanco@ull.es

E-mail address: lrguez@ull.es