

REMARKS ON BLOW-UP BEHAVIOR  
FOR A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION EQUATION  
WITH NEUMANN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

KENG DENG AND MINGXI XU

(Communicated by Jeffrey B. Rauch)

ABSTRACT. We establish the blow-up rate for the solution of a nonlinear diffusion equation  $(u^m)_t = u_{xx}$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $t > 0$ , subject to Neumann boundary conditions  $u_x(0, t) = 0$ ,  $u_x(1, t) = u^\alpha(1, t)$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (u^m)_t &= u_{xx}, & 0 < x < 1, & \quad t > 0, \\ u_x(0, t) &= 0, \quad u_x(1, t) = u^\alpha(1, t), & & \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \geq \delta > 0, & 0 \leq x \leq 1. & \end{aligned}$$

Here  $0 < m$ ,  $\alpha < \infty$ ,  $u_0 \in C^{2+\nu}([0, 1])$  for some  $0 < \nu < 1$ , and  $u'_0(0) = 0$ ,  $u'_0(1) = u_0^\alpha(1)$ .

Such a problem was previously studied in [5], wherein the following results were established.

*Case 1.*  $0 < m < 1$ . If  $\alpha \leq m$ , every solution of problem (1.1) exists globally while the solution blows up in a finite time  $T$  for  $\alpha > m$ . Moreover, if  $m < \alpha \leq 1$ , blow-up occurs on the whole interval  $[0, 1]$ , and there exist two positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad C_1(T - t)^{1/(m-\alpha)} \leq u(x, t) \leq C_2(T - t)^{1/(m-\alpha)} \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T).$$

If  $m < 1 < \alpha$ , blow-up occurs only at  $x = 1$ .

*Case 2.*  $m > 1$ . If  $2\alpha < m + 1$ , problem (1.1) possesses a global solution, whereas for  $2\alpha > m + 1$ , every solution must blow up in a finite time  $T$ . Furthermore, if  $\alpha > m$ , blow-up occurs only at  $x = 1$ . And for  $2\alpha > m + 1$ , under an additional condition, the solution  $u$  satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad C_\epsilon(T - t)^{1/(m+1-2\alpha-\epsilon)} \leq u(1, t) \leq C(T - t)^{1/(m+1-2\alpha)} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T)$$

with  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $C_\epsilon, C > 0$ . The condition is as follows.

---

Received by the editors May 6, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40, 35K20, 35K55.

(H) For some  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $u_0(x) \in C^\infty([0, 1])$  is a positive solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} f''(x) &= \lambda m f^{m+\alpha-1}(x) & 0 < x < 1, \\ f'(0) &= 0, f'(1) = f^\alpha(1). \end{aligned}$$

Later, it was shown in [8] that the critical case  $2\alpha = m + 1$  belongs to the global existence part. When  $m = 1$ , the nonlinear diffusion equation reduces to the heat equation, and (1.1) has been extensively studied (see [4], [6] and the literature cited therein).

Several questions remain open: What is the blow-up rate for the case  $m < 1 < \alpha$ ? Compared to the result for  $m = 1$  in [4], can the lower bound in (1.3) be improved? And where does blow-up occur for the case  $(m + 1)/2 < \alpha \leq m$ ?

Our objective in this paper is to answer all the above questions. For convenience, letting  $a(u) = (1/m)u^{1-m}$  and  $g(u) = u^\alpha$ , in the sequel we shall mainly concentrate on the equivalent problem

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t &= a(u)u_{xx}, & 0 < x < 1, & \quad 0 < t < T, \\ u_x(0, t) &= 0, u_x(1, t) = g(u), & & \quad 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

## 2. BLOW-UP RATE FOR THE CASE $m < 1 < \alpha$

In this section we establish bounds on the blow-up rate for  $m < 1 < \alpha$ . We first present the lower bound.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $m < 1 < \alpha$  and  $u'_0(x) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C_3$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad u(1, t) \geq C_3(T - t)^{1/(m+1-2\alpha)} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T).$$

*Proof.* Since  $u'_0(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , the maximum principle yields that  $u_x(x, t) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1] \times [0, T)$ . Inspired by [1], [3], we define a function  $\Phi(x, t) = u_x(x, t) - \varphi(x)g(u(x, t))$  in  $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$ , where  $\varphi(x)$  is a nonnegative function to be determined. Through a routine calculation, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_t &= a(u)\Phi_{xx} + a'(u)u_x\Phi_x \\ &+ \varphi(x)(a'(u)g'(u) + a(u)g''(u))u_x^2 \\ &+ \varphi'(x)(a'(u)g(u) + 2a(u)g'(u))u_x + \varphi''(x)a(u)g(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a'(u)g'(u) + a(u)g''(u) = (\alpha/m)(\alpha - m)u^{\alpha-m-1} > 0$  and  $a'(u)g(u) + 2a(u)g'(u) = ((2\alpha - m + 1)/m)u^{\alpha-m} > 0$ , if  $\varphi(x)$  satisfies  $\varphi' \geq 0$  and  $\varphi'' \geq 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ , then  $\Phi$  cannot attain its negative minimum in  $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$ .

Because  $u'_0(1) = u_0^\alpha(1)$ ,  $u'_0(x) > 0$  near  $x = 1$ , we can construct  $\varphi(x)$  as follows:  $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq x_0$  with some  $x_0 < 1$  and  $\varphi(x) = (x - x_0)^l / (1 - x_0)^l$  on  $(x_0, 1]$ , where  $l (\geq 3)$  is chosen so large that  $\varphi(x) \leq u'_0(x)u_0^{-\alpha}(x)$  for  $x_0 < x \leq 1$ . Then  $\Phi(0, t) = \Phi(1, t) = 0$ , and  $\Phi(x, 0) \geq 0$ . By the maximum principle, we have  $\Phi(x, t) \geq 0$ , that is,

$$(2.3) \quad u_x(x, t) \geq \varphi(x)g(u(x, t)) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T).$$

Moreover,  $\Phi_x(1, t) \leq 0$ , which means

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t(1, t) &= a(u(1, t))u_{xx}(1, t) \\ &\leq a(u(1, t))g(u(1, t))(\varphi'(1) + g'(u(1, t))) \leq c_0 u^{2\alpha-m}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (2.4) from  $t$  to  $T$  yields the asserted result.

We then give the upper bound, the derivation of which is in the spirit of [2].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $m < 1 < \alpha$  and  $u'_0(x), u''_0(x) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C_4$  such that*

$$(2.5) \quad u(1, t) \leq C_4(T - t)^{1/(m+1-2\alpha)} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T).$$

*Proof.* Since  $u''_0(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , by the maximum principle one can see that  $u_{xx}(x, t)$  and  $u_t(x, t)$  are positive on  $[0, 1] \times [\tau, T)$  for any  $\tau \in (0, T)$ . Letting  $\tau$  be close to  $T$ , consider  $\Psi(x, t) = u_t(x, t) - \epsilon d(u(x, t))u_x^{2-\sigma}(x, t)$  in  $(1 - T + \tau, 1) \times (\tau, T)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a positive constant,  $(m + 1)/\alpha < \sigma < (m + \alpha)/\alpha$ , and  $d(u) = a(u)g'(u)g^{\sigma-1}(u)$ . By a tedious computation we find that

$$\Psi_t = a(u)\Psi_{xx} + C(x, t)\Psi + \epsilon R(x, t)u_x^{4-\sigma},$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} C(x, t) &= \left[ \frac{a'(u)}{a(u)} + \epsilon(2 - \sigma)(1 - \sigma) \frac{d(u)}{a(u)} u_x^{-\sigma} \right] u_t \\ &+ \epsilon \left[ (4 - 2\sigma)d'(u) - (1 - \sigma) \frac{a'(u)d(u)}{a(u)} \right] u_x^{2-\sigma} \\ &+ \epsilon^2(2 - \sigma)(1 - \sigma) \frac{d^2(u)}{a(u)} u_x^{2-2\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} R(x, t) &= a(u)d''(u) + \epsilon[(\sigma - 1)a'(u)d(u) + 2(2 - \sigma)a(u)d'(u)] \frac{d(u)}{a(u)} u_x^{-\sigma} \\ &+ \epsilon^2(2 - \sigma)(1 - \sigma) \frac{d^3(u)}{a(u)} u_x^{-2\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a(u) = (1/m)u^{1-m}$  and  $d(u) = (\alpha/m)u^{\alpha\sigma-m}$ , we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} R(x, t) &= \frac{\alpha}{m^2} \left\{ (\alpha\sigma - m)(\alpha\sigma - m - 1) + \epsilon\alpha[(\sigma - 1)(1 - m) \right. \\ &\left. + 2(2 - \sigma)(\alpha\sigma - m)] \frac{g^\sigma(u)}{u_x^\sigma} + \epsilon^2\alpha^2(2 - \sigma)(1 - \sigma) \frac{g^{2\sigma}(u)}{u_x^{2\sigma}} \right\} u^{\alpha\sigma-2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (2.3) we observe that if  $1 - T + \tau > x_0$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad u_x(x, t) \geq kg(u(x, t)) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in [1 - T + \tau, 1] \times [\tau, T)$$

with  $k$  a positive constant. Consequently,  $R(x, t) \geq 0$  for small  $\epsilon$ , which implies

$$(2.10) \quad \Psi_t \geq a(u)\Psi_{xx} + C(x, t)\Psi \quad \text{in } (1 - T + \tau, 1) \times (\tau, T).$$

On the parabolic boundary, since  $x = 1$  is the only blow-up point, if  $\epsilon$  is small enough, then both  $\Psi(1 - T + \tau, t)$  and  $\Psi(x, \tau)$  are nonnegative. At  $x = 1$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad \Psi_x(1, t) = b(1, t)\Psi(1, t) + \epsilon g^{2-\sigma}(u(1, t))Q(u(1, t)),$$

where

$$b(x, t) = g'(u) - \epsilon(2 - \sigma) \frac{d(u)}{a(u)} g^{1-\sigma}(u)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(u) &= d(u)g'(u) - d'(u)g(u) - \epsilon(2 - \sigma)\frac{d^2(u)}{a(u)}g^{1-\sigma}(u) \\
 (2.12) \quad &= \frac{\alpha}{m}(\alpha + m - \alpha\sigma - \epsilon\alpha(2 - \sigma))u^{\alpha\sigma + \alpha - m - 1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma < (m + \alpha)/\alpha$ , if  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, then  $Q(u(1, t)) > 0$ , which means that  $\Psi_x(1, t) > b(1, t)\Psi(1, t)$  for  $\tau \leq t < T$ . Thus  $\Psi(x, t) \geq 0$  on  $[1 - T + \tau, 1] \times [\tau, T)$ . In particular,  $\Psi(1, t) \geq 0$ , that is ,

$$(2.13) \quad u_t(1, t) \geq \epsilon a(u(1, t))g(u(1, t))g'(u(1, t)) = \frac{\epsilon\alpha}{m}u^{2\alpha - m}(1, t).$$

Integration of (2.13) over  $(t, T)$  then leads to (2.5).

### 3. BLOW-UP RATE AND SET FOR THE CASE $1 < m < 2\alpha - 1$

We begin this section by presenting the lower bound on the blow-up rate for  $2\alpha > m + 1$ . Here we use a modification of an argument from [5].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $1 < m < 2\alpha - 1$  and  $u'_0(x), u''_0(x) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C_5$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad u(1, t) \geq C_5(T - t)^{1/(m+1-2\alpha)} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove (3.1) for  $t \in [\eta, T)$  with some  $\eta$  such that  $u(1, \eta) \geq 1$ . Set

$$(3.2) \quad y(t) = u^\gamma(1, t) \int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 u^m(x, t) dx$$

with

$$(3.3) \quad \xi(t) = (1 - \epsilon)u^{1-\alpha}(1, t),$$

where  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $(1 - \epsilon)/\epsilon^m < 2/(\alpha - 1)$ , and  $\alpha - m - 1 < \gamma \leq (\alpha - 1)[1 - m(1 - \epsilon)/2\epsilon^m]$ . A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 y'(t) &= \gamma u^{\gamma-1}(1, t)u_t(1, t) \int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 u^m(x, t) dx \\
 &\quad + u^\gamma(1, t)(u_x(1, t) - u_x(1 - \xi(t), t)) \\
 (3.4) \quad &\quad + (1 - \epsilon)(1 - \alpha)u^{\gamma-\alpha}(1, t)u^m(1 - \xi(t), t)u_t(1, t) \\
 &\leq -u^{\gamma-1}(1, t)u_t(1, t)I(t) + u^{\gamma+\alpha}(1, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $I(t) = (\alpha - 1)u^m(1 - \xi(t), t)\xi(t) - \gamma \int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 u^m(x, t) dx$ . Since  $u_x \geq 0$  and  $u_{xx} \geq 0$  in  $[0, 1] \times [\eta, T)$ , we find

$$(3.5) \quad \epsilon u(1, t) \leq u(1 - \xi(t), t) \leq u(x, t) \leq u(1, t)$$

for any  $x \in [1 - \xi(t), 1]$  and  $t \in [\eta, T)$ . Moreover, by (3.2), (3.3), and (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon^m u^{\gamma+m+1-\alpha}(1, t) \leq y(t) \leq (1 - \epsilon)u^{\gamma+m+1-\alpha}(1, t),$$

or equivalently,

$$(3.7) \quad c_1 u(1, t) \leq y^{1/(\gamma+m+1-\alpha)}(t) \leq c_2 u(1, t) \quad \text{for } t \in [\eta, T).$$

We now show that  $I(t) \geq 0$  on  $[\eta, T)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad I(t) &= (1-\alpha) \int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 (u^m(x,t) - u^m(1-\xi(t),t)) dx \\
&\quad + (\alpha - \gamma - 1) \int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 u^m(x,t) dx \\
&= (1-\alpha) \int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 mu^{m-1}(\zeta(t),t) u_x(\zeta(t),t) (x + \xi(t) - 1) dx \\
&\quad + (\alpha - \gamma - 1) \int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 u^m(x,t) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $1 - \xi(t) < \zeta(t) < 1$ . Because  $\alpha, m > 1$ ,  $\alpha - \gamma - 1 > 0$ ,  $u_x, u_{xx} \geq 0$ , and  $\int_{1-\xi(t)}^1 (x + \xi(t) - 1) dx = (1/2)(1 - \epsilon)^2 u^{2-2\alpha}(1, t)$ , we can see that

$$(3.9) \quad I(t) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \left[ \frac{1}{2} m(1 - \alpha)(1 - \epsilon) + (\alpha - \gamma - 1) \epsilon^m \right] u^{m+1-\alpha}(1, t) \geq 0.$$

From (3.4), (3.7), and (3.9), it then follows that

$$(3.10) \quad y'(t) \leq u^{\gamma+\alpha}(1, t) \leq c_1^{-\gamma-\alpha} y^{(\gamma+\alpha)/(\gamma+m+1-\alpha)}(t),$$

since  $\gamma + \alpha > 2\alpha - m - 1 > 0$ . Integrating the above inequality from  $t$  to  $T$ , we obtain

$$y^{(m+1-2\alpha)/(\gamma+m+1-\alpha)}(t) \leq \frac{2\alpha - m - 1}{\gamma + m + 1 - \alpha} c_1^{-\gamma-\alpha} (T - t),$$

that is,

$$y^{1/(\gamma+m+1-\alpha)}(t) \geq c_3 (T - t)^{1/(m+1-2\alpha)},$$

which in conjunction with (3.7) leads to (3.1).

Based on the general idea of [7], we then locate the blow-up point for the case  $(m+1)/2 < \alpha \leq m$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that condition (H) holds. Then for  $(m+1)/2 < \alpha \leq m$ , blow-up occurs only at  $x = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Introduce a function  $w(x) \in C^2([0, 1])$  defined by

$$(3.11) \quad w(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (1-x)^2 & \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

with  $w'(0) = 0$  and  $w'(x) \leq 0$  for  $0 < x \leq 1$ . Let  $v(x, t) = C_0[w(x) + B(T-t)]^{-\rho}$ , where  $C_0$  and  $B$  are positive constants to be determined, and  $\rho = 1/(2\alpha - m - 1)$ . Then  $v(x, t)$  satisfies

$$(3.12) \quad mu^{m-1}v_t - v_{xx} = C_0\rho[w(x) + B(T-t)]^{-\rho-1}J(x, t) \quad \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, T).$$

Here  $J(x, t) = Bmu^{m-1} - (\rho+1)(w'(x))^2/[w(x) + B(T-t)] + w''(x)$ . Since  $u_0(x) \geq \delta > 0$  and  $u_t \geq 0$ , for  $(x, t) \in (1/2, 1) \times (0, T)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad J(x, t) &= Bmu^{m-1} - \frac{4(\rho+1)(1-x)^2}{(1-x)^2 + B(T-t)} + 2 \\
&\geq Bm\delta^{m-1} - 4\rho - 2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

if  $B \geq (4\rho + 2)/m\delta^{m-1}$ ; for  $(x, t) \in (0, 1/2] \times (0, T)$ ,

$$(3.14) \quad J(x, t) \geq Bm\delta^{m-1} - \frac{(\rho + 1)h_1^2}{h(\frac{1}{2})} - h_2 \geq 0$$

if  $B \geq [(\rho + 1)h_1^2/h(1/2) + h_2]/m\delta^{m-1}$ , where  $h_1 = \max_{0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}} |h'(x)|$  and  $h_2 = \max_{0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}} |h''(x)|$ .

On the parabolic boundary,  $v_x(0, t) = u_x(0, t) = 0$ . By (1.3), if  $C_0 \geq B^\rho C$ , then  $v(1, t) = C_0 B^{-\rho} (T - t)^{-\rho} \geq u(1, t)$ ; and when  $t = 0$ ,  $C_0$  can be chosen so large that

$$v(x, 0) \geq C_0[w(0) + BT]^{-\rho} \geq \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} u_0(x) \geq u_0(x).$$

Then the maximum principle implies

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T),$$

which shows that for any  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$u(x, t) \leq C_0 w^{-\rho}(x) < \infty.$$

Thus the proof is completed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Deng, The blow-up behavior of the heat equation with Neumann boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994), 641–650. MR **95i**:35120
- [2] K. Deng and M. Xu, On solutions of a singular diffusion equation, preprint.
- [3] M. Fila and H.A. Levine, Quenching on the boundary, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **21** (1993), 795–802. MR **95b**:35028
- [4] M. Fila and P. Quittner, The blow-up rate for the heat equation with a non-linear boundary condition, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **14** (1991), 197–205. MR **92a**:35023
- [5] J. Filo, Diffusivity versus absorption through the boundary, *J. Differential Equations* **99** (1992), 281–305. MR **94d**:35083
- [6] J.L. Gómez, V. Márquez, and N. Wolanski, Blow up results and localization of blow up points for the heat equation with a nonlinear boundary condition, *J. Differential Equations* **92** (1991), 384–401. MR **92j**:35098
- [7] B. Hu and H.-M. Yin, The profile near blow-up time for solutions of the heat equation with a nonlinear boundary condition, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **346** (1994), 117–135. MR **95c**:35040
- [8] N. Wolanski, Global behavior of positive solutions to nonlinear diffusion problems with nonlinear absorption through the boundary, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24** (1993), 317–326. MR **93j**:35023

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHWESTERN LOUISIANA, LAFAYETTE,  
LOUISIANA 70504

*E-mail address*: kxd5858@us1.edu

*E-mail address*: mxx3473@us1.edu