

## IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF THE CUNTZ ALGEBRA $\mathcal{O}_N$

EUI-CHAI JEONG

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish formulas for the configuration of a special class of irreducible representations of the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ . These irreducible representations arise as subrepresentations of naturally occurring representations of  $\mathcal{O}_N$  acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  and arise from consideration of multiresolution wavelet filters.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent papers (e.g., [BraJo97a], [BraJo97b], [Jor]) show that decomposition of representations of finitely generated  $C^*$ -algebras has applications to

1. filter functions for multiresolutions from wavelet theory;
2. limit problems in analytic number theory;
3. multiplicity problems from noncommutative harmonic analysis.

In this paper, we introduce a special class of representations related to 1–3 above. They can be described on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(S)$ , where  $S$  is a discrete index set, or more specifically on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \simeq \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  where  $S = \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Recall that the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N = 2, 3, \dots$ , is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by isometries  $s_1, \dots, s_N$  satisfying

$$s_i^* s_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N s_i s_i^* = \mathbb{1}$$

for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$  [Cun77]. The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$  is the one generated by isometries  $s_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , satisfying  $s_i^* s_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1}$ . We will say that  $\varphi$  is a nondegenerate representation of  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ , with a slight abuse of terminology, if  $\varphi$  is a representation with  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(s_i s_i^*) = \mathbb{1}$ , where the sum is in the strong operator topology.

### 2. REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathcal{O}_N$ ARISING IN MULTIREOLUTION WAVELETS IN SCALE $N$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  the torus, and let  $\{z^n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  be the usual orthonormal basis of Fourier analysis: the convention is  $z = e^{it}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

---

Received by the editors February 16, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L30, 46L55, 46L89, 47A13, 47A67; Secondary 47A20, 47D25, 43A65.

*Key words and phrases*.  $C^*$ -algebra, wavelet, irreducible representation.

The Haar measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  will be normalized. Let functions  $\{m_i\}_{i=0}^{N-1} \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$  be given such that the corresponding  $N \times N$  matrix

$$(2.1) \quad \left(m_k \left(e^{i\frac{2\pi l}{N}} z\right)\right)_{k,l=0}^{N-1}$$

is unitary. The representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$  in question is defined from the function  $m_i$  as follows:

$$S_i \xi(z) = \sqrt{N} m_i(z) \xi(z^N),$$

where  $S_i = \varphi(s_i)$ . These representations were introduced in [Jor], and arise in the study of filter-banks in wavelet theory: see [Dau92], [CoRy], [JoPe94], and [JoPe96]. While not wavelets, the representations coming from (2.2) are closely related to so-called “tight frame” wavelet bases. For the wavelets, we must require the added so-called “low pass” condition  $|m_0(1)| = 1$  [Dau92] which is of course not satisfied for  $m_i(z) = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} z^{d_i}$ . We review a simple case. Let  $N \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$  and let  $D = \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  be a set of integers such that any two distinct members are mutually incongruent modulo  $N$ . Then the operators

$$(2.2) \quad S_i: z^n \longmapsto z^{d_i + Nn}$$

satisfy the Cuntz relations

$$(2.3) \quad S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} S_i S_i^* = \mathbb{1}.$$

These representations induce naturally a function system on the index set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the orthonormal basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: \mathbb{Z} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} & N \text{ to } 1 \text{ with} \\ \sigma_i: \mathbb{Z} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} & \text{satisfying } \sigma \cdot \sigma_i = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ , or

$$(2.4) \quad S_i(z^n) = z^{\sigma_i(n)}$$

and

$$S_i^*(z^n) = z^{\sigma(n)}$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ . (See [BraJo97b], [JeongI].)

From (2.2),  $\sigma_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ , and  $\sigma$ , can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(n) &= Nn + d_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1, \\ \sigma(n) &= m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = \frac{n-d_{i_0}}{N}$  with unique  $d_{i_0} \in \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}\}$  satisfying  $n = d_{i_0}$  modulo  $N$ .

Following [BraJo97b], we define two equivalence relations  $\sim$  and  $\approx$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$n \sim m$  if there exist  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  such that  $n = \sigma_{i_{k_1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_2} \sigma_{i_1} \sigma^{k_2} m$  for some  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  (equivalently  $z^n = S_{i_{k_1}} \cdots S_{i_2} S_{i_1} S_{j_{k_2}}^* \cdots S_{j_2}^* S_{j_1}^* z^m$ ,  $z \in \tilde{\mathbb{T}}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}} = \{t \in \mathbb{T} \mid t = 0 \text{ modulo } 2\pi\}$ );  $n \approx m$  if furthermore  $k_1 = k_2$ .

Consider the gauge group (“the automorphism group”) of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ , denoted by  $(r_z)_{z \in \mathbb{T}}$ , which is determined by  $r_z(S_i) = zS_i$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ . The subalgebra  $\text{UHF}_N$  of gauge-invariant elements is

$$\text{UHF}_N := \{a \in \mathcal{O}_N \mid r_z(a) = a \ \forall z \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

It is a UHF algebra of Glimm type  $M_{n\infty}$  (see, e.g., [Cun77], [BJP], and [BraJo]). For general representations  $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{O}_N, \mathcal{H})$ , the case when  $(\text{UHF}_N)$  is weakly\*-dense in  $\pi(\mathcal{O}_N)$  is studied in [BEEK] and [Pow88]. In fact, if we consider a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then the corresponding representation in (2.2) or (2.4) can be written:

$$\begin{aligned} S_i(e_n) &= e_{Nn+d_i} \\ &= e_{\sigma_i(n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_i^*(e_n) = e_{\sigma(n)}.$$

**Theorem 2.1** ([BraJo, Theorem 2.7]). *The closure of any subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by vectors  $e_n$ , where  $n$  runs through a  $\sim$ -equivalence ( $\approx$ -equivalence) class, is an irreducible  $\mathcal{O}_N$ -module (UHF $_N$ -module, respectively).*

*Proof.* [BraJo]. □

Therefore,  $\varphi$  splits any representations given by (2.2) into a direct sum of irreducible mutually inequivalent representations of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,

$$\varphi = \sum_i^\oplus \varphi_i.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose  $S$  is the index set of an orthonormal basis of a separable Hilbert space. If  $S$  has a radix-representation in base  $N$  with set  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}\}$  of coefficients, i.e., for every  $s \in S$  there exists a unique polynomial  $P_s(N) = a_0 + a_1N + \dots + a_lN^l$  such that  $P_s(N) = s$ , then the representation coming from (2.2) splits into finitely many irreducible subrepresentations with  $N < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let the set  $D = \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}\}$  be a residue set modulo  $N$  in  $S$  and representations be defined by

$$S_i(e_n) = e_{nN+d_i},$$

$i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ . For  $s \in S$ , define  $\text{deg}(s) :=$  degree of  $s$  in the form of the polynomials in  $N$ . Note that  $\sigma(s) = \frac{s-d_{i_0}}{N}$  for some  $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$  such that  $s = d_{i_0}$  modulo  $N$ . Thus  $\text{deg}(\sigma(s)) < \text{deg}(s)$  if  $\text{deg}(d_{i_0}) \leq \text{deg}(s)$ , and  $\text{deg}(s) < \text{deg}(\sigma(s))$  if  $\text{deg}(s) < \text{deg}(d_{i_0}) - 1$  for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ . Thus, for every  $s \in S$  there exists a positive integer  $n_s$  such that

$$m - 1 \text{ (or } 0 \text{ if } m = 0) \leq \text{deg}(\sigma^k(s)) \leq M - 1,$$

for every integer  $k \geq n_s$ , where  $m = \min \{\text{deg}(d_i) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$  and  $M = \max \{\text{deg}(d_i) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ . Therefore, for every  $s \in S$  we have an element  $x \in B(p) := \{a_0 + a_1N + \dots + a_lN^l \mid a_l \neq 0, m - 1 \leq l \leq M - 1\}$ , which implies the representation coming from (2.2) splits into at most  $\#(B(p))$  irreducible subrepresentations. Since the cardinality of the set  $B(p)$  is finite, this completes the proof. □

**Corollary 2.3.** *The representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  coming from (2.2) splits into finitely many irreducible subrepresentations.*

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.2 above, we need to build a radix-representation of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in base  $N$ . If  $2 < N < \infty$ , then take a digit set  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}\} := \mathbb{Z} \cap \left(-\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}\right]$ . With this digit set, any  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  has a unique radix-representation in  $N$ . (For details see [JeongII].) Now suppose  $N = 2$ . If we take a digit set  $\{0, 1\}$ , the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.2 holds for the index set  $\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}$ . Thus the number of irreducible subrepresentations is at most  $2 \times \#(B(p))$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* Not every representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ , either  $N < \infty$  or  $N = \infty$ , splits into finitely many irreducible subrepresentations. For  $N < \infty$ , representations of  $\mathcal{O}_N$  on a separable Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  for  $n > 1$  can have infinitely many irreducible subrepresentations. (See Chapter 3, Example 3.)

### 3. IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathcal{O}_N$ , $N < \infty$

We first consider representations of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  ( $\lceil x \rceil$ ) denotes the largest (smallest) integer such that  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$  ( $\lceil x \rceil \geq x$ , respectively). Extending a theorem in [BraJo], we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $N < \infty$ , and the digit set  $\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}\}$ , the number of irreducible subrepresentations of the representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$  resulting from (2.2) is at most*

$$1 + \left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor - \left\lceil -\frac{d_M}{N-1} \right\rceil,$$

where  $d_m = \min \{d_i \mid i = 0, \dots, N-1\}$  and  $d_M = \max \{d_i \mid i = 0, \dots, N-1\}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Using the digit set  $\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}\}$ , the representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , from (2.2) is irreducible if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

1.  $\left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor = \left\lceil -\frac{d_M}{N-1} \right\rceil$ .
2. *There exists an integer  $n_0$  such that*

$$\max_i |d_i - n_0| < N - 1.$$

3.  $d_0 \neq 0$  modulo  $N$  and  $d_i = d_0 + i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that if any one of the three conditions 1–3 holds, then  $1 + \left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor - \left\lceil -\frac{d_M}{N-1} \right\rceil$  is equal to 1. Thus the representation coming from (2.2) is itself irreducible.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* If  $x > -\frac{d_m}{N-1}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$Nx - x + d_k \geq (N-1) \left(x - \frac{-d_m}{N-1}\right) > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

If  $n > \left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $n > -\frac{d_m}{N-1}$  and

$$\sigma_k(n) - n = Nn - n + d_k > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Thus  $\sigma_k(n) > n$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , for every integer  $n > \left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor$ . If we take a joint left inverse  $\sigma$ , we have

$$n = \sigma(\sigma_k(n)) > \sigma(n).$$

Similarly, if  $n < \left\lceil -\frac{d_M}{N-1} \right\rceil$ , then  $n < \sigma(n)$ . We have shown that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a nonnegative integer  $m_0$  such that

$$\left\lceil -\frac{d_M}{N-1} \right\rceil \leq \sigma^k(n) \leq \left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor$$

for all  $k \geq m_0$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the sequence  $\{\sigma^k(n)\}_{k=0}^\infty$  is eventually in the set  $F := \left\{ \left\lceil -\frac{d_M}{N-1} \right\rceil, \dots, \left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor \right\}$ . In other words, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is an element  $f_0 \in F$  such that  $n \sim f_0$ . Hence the number of  $\sim$ -equivalence classes in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , or equivalently the number of irreducible subrepresentations of the representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , coming from (2.2), is at most the cardinality of the set  $F$ , which is  $1 + \left\lfloor -\frac{d_m}{N-1} \right\rfloor - \left\lceil -\frac{d_M}{N-1} \right\rceil$ .  $\square$

Thus every representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  defined by (2.2) decomposes into finitely many irreducible subrepresentations. In contrast, the representations of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  have examples which have infinitely many irreducible subrepresentations. Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be an  $n \times n$  integer matrix with  $|\det \mathbf{M}| = N > 1$ . Consider a digit set  $\{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}\}$ , a complete residue set modulo  $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}^n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  that is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad S_i(e_x) = e_{\mathbf{M}x+d_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  has a corresponding function system  $\sigma_i(x) = \mathbf{M}x + d_i$  and  $\sigma(x) = \mathbf{M}^{-1}(x - d_{i_0})$  for unique  $d_{i_0} \in \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}\}$  such that  $x = d_{i_0}$  modulo  $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}^n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $U$  be the open closed hypercube  $\prod_{i=1}^n (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $C = \{0\} \cup \{(0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_i = -1 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *If an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  with integer entries satisfies  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $C \subset \mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then the representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  defined by (3.1) using the digit set  $D := \mathbf{M}y + (\mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , is an irreducible representation.*

*Proof.* We first prove the case  $y = 0 = (0, \dots, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . To this end, we construct a number system in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $D_0^* := \mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $D_1^* = \bigcup_{x \in D_0^*} \{\mathbf{M}x + d \mid d \in D_0^*\}$ , and inductively

$$D_k^* = \bigcup_{x \in D_{k-1}^*} \{\mathbf{M}x + d \mid d \in D_0^*\}$$

for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . We have a strictly increasing sequence  $\{D_k^*\}_{k=0}^\infty$ , and furthermore  $\mathbf{M}^k U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a subset of  $D_{k+1}^*$  for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U = \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_k^* = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Now, for any given  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , there exists a unique positive integer  $l$  such that  $x \in D_l^*$  and  $x \notin D_{l-1}^*$ . From the construction of the sequence  $\{D_k^*\}_{k=0}^\infty$ , there exist a unique  $x_1 \in D_{l-1}^*$  and  $d_l \in D_0^*$  such that  $x = \mathbf{M}x_1 + d_l$ . By induction on  $l$ , we can establish that

$$x = \mathbf{M}^l d_l + \mathbf{M}^{l-1} d_{l-1} + \dots + \mathbf{M} d_1 + d_0,$$

with  $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_l \in D_0^*$ . Thus every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  has a polynomial form in base  $\mathbf{M}$ , where  $\mathbf{M}$  is an  $n \times n$  integer matrix. Note that  $\sigma(x) = \mathbf{M}^{l-1} d_l + \dots + \mathbf{M} d_2 + d_1$ , and by induction,  $\sigma^l(x) = d_l$ . Therefore  $\sigma^k(x) = 0$  for  $k \geq l + 1$ , where  $0 = (0, \dots, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , i.e., every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is  $\sim$ -equivalent to 0. By Theorem 2.1, the representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  is irreducible if  $y = 0$ .

Now we assume  $y \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{M}y = \mathbf{M}^t a_t + \cdots + \mathbf{M}a_1$ , so that every  $d_i \in D$  can be expressed as

$$d_i = \mathbf{M}^t a_t + \cdots + \mathbf{M}a_1 + a_{0i},$$

where  $a_{0i} \in D_0^*$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ . Since

$$M = m = t$$

with

$$M = \max \{ \deg(d_i) \mid d_i \in D, i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \},$$

$$m = \min \{ \deg(d_i) \mid d_i \in D, i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \},$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_x$  such that  $\deg(\sigma^k(x)) = t - 1$  for every  $k \geq n_x$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $\deg(x) = t - 1$ , we may express  $x$  as  $x = \mathbf{M}^{t-1}b_{t-1} + \cdots + \mathbf{M}b_1 + b_0$ , where  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{t-1} \in D_0^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= \mathbf{M}^{-1}(x - d_{i_0}) \\ &= -\mathbf{M}^{t-1}a_t + \mathbf{M}^{t-2}(b_{t-1} - a_{t-1}) + \cdots + b_1 - a_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_{i_0} = \mathbf{M}^t a_t + \cdots + \mathbf{M}a_1 + a_0$  with  $a_0 = b_0$ . Therefore  $\sigma^k(x) = -\mathbf{M}^{t-1}a_t - \mathbf{M}^{t-2}a_t - \cdots - \mathbf{M}a_t - a_t$  for large  $k$ . As a consequence, every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is  $\sim$ -equivalent to the point  $-\mathbf{M}^{t-1}a_t - \mathbf{M}^{t-2}a_t - \cdots - \mathbf{M}a_t - a_t$ , which implies that every representation of  $\mathcal{O}_N$ ,  $N < \infty$ , of the type described is an irreducible representation.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *If an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  with integer entries satisfies  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $C \subset \mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then the representation defined by (3.1) using any digit set  $D$  which is a residue set modulo  $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}^n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  decomposes into finitely many irreducible subrepresentations.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 and the first half of the proof of Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* If the set  $C$  is not a subset of  $\mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ , the element  $x_1$  is not uniquely determined in the proof of Theorem 3.3, but the representation coming from (3.1) still has a finite irreducible decomposition.

**Theorem 3.6.** *If an  $n \times n$  integer matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  satisfies the condition  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the representation coming from (3.1) with digit set  $D := \mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  decomposes into finitely many irreducible subrepresentations. In fact, there are at most  $2n + 1$  such irreducible subrepresentations. Loosely speaking, if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the corresponding representation with any residue set  $D$  modulo  $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}^n$  as a digit set decomposes into finitely many irreducible subrepresentations.*

*Proof.* Let us define two sequences  $\{G_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  and  $\{H_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  of sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , respectively. Let

$$G_0 = 0 = H_0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$G_1 = \left( \bigcup_{x \in D} (x + U) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{x \in C - D} (x + U) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 = G_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and then, inductively,

$$G_k = \bigcup_{x \in H_{k-1}} \{ \sigma_i(x) + U \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad H_k = G_k \cap \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . We then see that  $\mathbf{M}^k U$  is a subset of  $G_k$  for every  $k$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U = \mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_k = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_k = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , there is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $x \in H_l$  for  $l \geq k$  and  $x \notin H_{k-1}$ . Because the sequence  $\{H_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  has been constructed by an iteration starting with the set  $H_1$ , the sequence  $\sigma^t(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , eventually converges in the set  $H_1$ . However, every  $x \in D \subset H_1$  is  $\sim$ -equivalent to 0 by construction of  $H_1$ , so  $D$  is a subset of the 0  $\sim$ -equivalence class. Thus the number of irreducible subrepresentations is at most  $1 + \#(C - D)$ . Since  $\#C = 2n + 1$  and  $\#D = N$ , if  $D \subset C$ , then  $1 + \#(C - D) \leq 1 + (2n + 1) - N = 2n + 2 - N$ . In general, the number of irreducible subrepresentations is at most  $1 + \#(C - D) \leq 2n + 1$ . The last statement follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2 using the set  $H_1$  instead of the set  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}\}$ , with the necessary changes. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 1** (of Theorem 3.3). Let  $\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $N = 5$  and  $D := \mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Here  $D = C$  and  $x \sim 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . The representation of  $\mathcal{O}_5$  defined by (3.1) on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  is irreducible.

**Example 2** (of Theorem 3.6). Let  $\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $N = 3$  and  $D := \mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Note that both eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{M}$  have modulus greater than 1, so  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U = \mathbb{R}^2$ , but  $C \subsetneq D$ . In fact, for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  only one of the following is true:

$$\text{either } x \sim 0 \text{ or } x \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } x \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The number of irreducible subrepresentations is  $3 = 2n + 2 - N$  with  $n = 2$  and  $N = 3$ .

**Example 3** (of having infinitely many irreducible subrepresentations). Let  $\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $N = 4$  and  $D := \mathbf{M}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ . Note that the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{M}$  are 4 and 1, which implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{M}^k U$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  modulo  $\mathbf{M}\mathbb{Z}^2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \left( \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus the  $\sim$ -equivalence classes coming from  $\begin{pmatrix} z \\ -z \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are mutually disjoint. Thus, the representation of  $\mathcal{O}_4$  defined by (3.1) on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  has countably many irreducible subrepresentations.

REFERENCES

[BEEK] Ola Bratteli, George A. Elliott, David E. Evans, and Akitaka Kishimoto, *Quasi-product actions of a compact abelian group on a  $C^*$ -algebra*, *Tohoku Math. J.* **41** (1989), 133–161. MR **90f**:46103

[BraJo] Ola Bratteli and Palle E.T. Jorgensen, *Iterated function systems and permutation representations of the Cuntz algebra*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear. CMP 98:01

[BraJo97a] Ola Bratteli and Palle E.T. Jorgensen, *Endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , II: Finitely correlated states on  $\mathcal{O}_N$* , *J. Funct. Anal.* **145** (1997), 323–373. MR **98c**:46128

[BraJo97b] Ola Bratteli and Palle E.T. Jorgensen, *Isometries, shifts, Cuntz algebras and multiresolution wavelet analysis of scale  $N$* , *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **28** (1997), 382–443. CMP 97:17

[BJP] Ola Bratteli, Palle E.T. Jorgensen, and Geoffrey L. Price, *Endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , Quantization, nonlinear partial differential equations, and operator algebra* (William Arveson, Thomas Branson, and Irving Segal, eds.), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 59, American Mathematical Society, 1996, pp. 93–138. MR **97h**:46107

[CoRy] Albert Cohen and Robert D. Ryan, *Wavelets and multiscale signal processing*, *Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation*, vol. 11, Chapman & Hall, London, 1995. MR **97k**:42048

- [Cun77] Joachim Cuntz, *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185. MR **57**:7189
- [Dau92] Ingrid Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conf. Ser. in Appl. Math., vol. 61, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1992. MR **93e**:42045
- [JeongI] Eui-Chai Jeong, *Decomposition of Cuntz algebra representation*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Iowa, 1997.
- [JeongII] Eui-Chai Jeong, *A number system in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Seoul National University, in preparation.
- [Jor] Palle E.T. Jorgensen, *Harmonic analysis of fractal processes via  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Nachr., to appear.
- [JoPe94] Palle E.T. Jorgensen and Steen Pedersen, *Harmonic analysis and fractal limit-measures induced by representations of a certain  $C^*$ -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **125** (1994), 90–110. MR **95i**:47067
- [JoPe96] Palle E.T. Jorgensen and Steen Pedersen, *Harmonic analysis of fractal measures*, Constr. Approx. **12** (1996), 1–30. MR **97c**:46091
- [Pow88] Robert T. Powers, *An index theory for semigroups of  $*$ -endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and type  $\text{II}_1$  factors*, Canad. J. Math. **40** (1988), 86–114. MR **89f**:46116

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA

*E-mail address:* jeong@cau.ac.kr