

CURVATURE RESTRICTIONS ON CONVEX, TIMELIKE SURFACES IN MINKOWSKI 3-SPACE

SENCUN LIN

(Communicated by Peter Li)

ABSTRACT. Suppose that K and H are Minkowski Gauss curvature and Minkowski mean curvature respectively on a timelike surface S that is C^2 immersed in Minkowski 3-space E_1^3 . Suppose also that $0 \neq K < 0$ and that S is complete as a surface in the underlying Euclidean 3-space E^3 . It is shown that neither K nor H can be bounded away from zero on such a surface S .

1. INTRODUCTION

The global behavior of timelike surfaces in Minkowski 3-space E_1^3 is not yet fully understood. In particular, the effects of many standard restrictions on mean curvature H or Gauss curvature K are still not known. In this paper, we study the implications of such restrictions on timelike surfaces in E_1^3 that are convex as surfaces in Euclidean 3-space E^3 .

A surface S is convex if it is the boundary ∂C of a closed, convex set C with non-empty interior in E^3 . (The Euclidean geometry of such surfaces has been extensively studied.) If $0 \neq K < 0$ on a timelike surface S in E_1^3 that is complete as a surface in E^3 , then S is convex as a surface in E^3 . We exploit this fact to show that if $0 \neq K < 0$ on a timelike surface S in E_1^3 that is complete as a surface in E^3 , then neither H nor K can be bounded away from zero on S . As a corollary, we show that (up to a rigid motion of E_1^3) a timelike surface S in E_1^3 must be a circular cylinder or a hyperbolic cylinder in case S is complete as a surface in E^3 with $H \equiv \text{constant} \neq 0$ and $K \leq 0$ on S .

Our result, as it applies to K , can be compared with the Bonnet-Hopf-Rinow theorem, which states that any complete surfaces S in E^3 on which Euclidean Gauss curvature $K_\epsilon \geq \text{constant} > 0$ must be compact. The conclusions match, since there are no compact timelike (or spacelike) surfaces in E_1^3 .

As applied to H , our result can be compared with theorems stating that a complete convex hypersurface in Euclidean n -space E^n splits into a product with a non-trivial linear factor if Euclidean mean curvature $H_\epsilon \equiv 1$ (see [7] and [3]) or if $1 \leq H_\epsilon \leq c$ for a certain constant $c < (n-1)/(n-2)$ (see [8]), or if $1 \leq H_\epsilon \leq (n-1)/(n-2)$ with the upper limit $(n-1)/(n-2)$ sharp (see [9] and [10]). For related results involving spacelike or timelike convex hypersurfaces in Minkowski n -space E_1^n , see [11].

Received by the editors June 23, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C42, 53C40, 53B30.

©2000 American Mathematical Society

Entire, spacelike surfaces in E_1^3 that are convex as surfaces in E^3 need not be cylindrical when $H \equiv \text{constant} \neq 0$. (See [17].) This is not the only instance in which Euclidean results carry over to spacelike or timelike surfaces in E_1^3 , but not to both. Bernstein's theorem holds in the spacelike case (see [2] and [4]) but fails in the timelike case (see [5] or [13]).

It should also be noted that timelike surfaces in E_1^3 on which $H \equiv 0$ and $K \leq 0$ need not be cylindrical if they are complete as surfaces in E^3 . (See [14] and [19].) One knows, however, that any such surface is conformally equivalent to E_1^2 . (See [13].)

2. PRELIMINARIES

Use the Minkowski scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ determined by the metric $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2$ on x, y, z -space R^3 to define Minkowski 3-space E_1^3 . Similarly, use the Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\epsilon$ determined by the metric $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ on x, y, z -space R^3 to define Euclidean 3-space E^3 . A surface C^2 immersed in E_1^3 is automatically C^2 immersed in E^3 as well (and vice versa). We work with the geometry induced on the surface by both ambient spaces. (For background, see 7.1-7.2 in [19].)

Suppose a surface S is C^2 immersed in E_1^3 . Call S timelike (resp. spacelike) if all its tangent planes make a Euclidean angle of more than (resp. less than) $\pi/4$ with the x, y -plane. Assume S is timelike. Then, modulo a rigid motion of E_1^3 , S can be locally represented as the graph of a C^2 function $x = f(y, z)$ over a neighborhood U in the y, z -plane. Thus $\varphi(y, z) = (f(y, z), y, z)$ is a C^2 imbedding $\varphi : U \rightarrow E_1^3$ with first fundamental form I , unit normal vector field ν , second fundamental form II , Gauss curvature K and mean curvature H given by

$$I = \langle d\varphi, d\varphi \rangle = (f_y^2 + 1)dy^2 + 2f_y f_z dydz + (f_z^2 - 1)dz^2,$$

$$\nu = (1, -f_y, f_z)/(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^{1/2},$$

$$(1) \quad II = -\langle d\varphi, d\nu \rangle = (f_{yy}dy^2 + 2f_{yz}dydz + f_{zz}dz^2)/(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^{1/2},$$

$$K = \det II / \det I = (f_{yy}f_{zz} - f_{yz}^2)/(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^2,$$

$$2H = \text{tr}_I II = ((f_y^2 + 1)f_{zz} - 2f_y f_z f_{yz} + (f_z^2 - 1)f_{yy})/(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^{3/2},$$

with $\langle \nu, \nu \rangle = 1$ and

$$\det I = -(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2) < 0,$$

since S is timelike. For the imbedding $\varphi : U \rightarrow E^3$, one gets the Euclidean first fundamental form I_ϵ , unit normal vector field ν_ϵ , second fundamental form II_ϵ , Gauss curvature K_ϵ and mean curvature H_ϵ given by

$$I_\epsilon = \langle d\varphi, d\varphi \rangle_\epsilon = (f_y^2 + 1)dy^2 + 2f_y f_z dydz + (f_z^2 + 1)dz^2,$$

$$\nu_\epsilon = (1, -f_y, -f_z)/(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{1/2},$$

$$(2) \quad II_\epsilon = -\langle d\varphi, d\nu_\epsilon \rangle_\epsilon = (f_{yy}dy^2 + 2f_{yz}dydz + f_{zz}dz^2)/(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{1/2},$$

$$K_\epsilon = \det II_\epsilon / \det I_\epsilon = (f_{yy}f_{zz} - f_{yz}^2)/(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^2,$$

$$2H_\epsilon = \text{tr}_{I_\epsilon} II_\epsilon = ((f_y^2 + 1)f_{zz} - 2f_y f_z f_{yz} + (f_z^2 + 1)f_{yy})/(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{3/2}.$$

Note that (1) and (2) give

$$(3) \quad \text{sign}K = -\text{sign}K_\epsilon$$

on a timelike surface S that is C^2 immersed in E_1^3 .

By a **cylinder**, we mean the set of all points on all lines perpendicular (in the Euclidean sense) to a plane Π in E^3 that intersect a fixed curve γ in Π . A surface C^2 immersed in E^3 with I_ϵ complete on which $K_\epsilon \equiv 0$ is a cylinder. (See [6].)

By a **convex surface**, we mean the boundary ∂C of a closed, convex set C in E^3 with non-empty interior. A surface that is C^2 immersed in E^3 with I_ϵ complete and $0 \not\equiv K_\epsilon \geq 0$ is actually imbedded and convex. (See [18] and [16].) Suppose now that S is a timelike surface C^2 immersed in E_1^3 . It follows from (3) that S is convex and non-cylindrical as a surface in E^3 provided that $0 \not\equiv K \leq 0$ on S and S is **E^3 -complete** (meaning that I_ϵ is complete on S).

A subset T of the 2-sphere S^2 in E^3 is **convex on S^2** if and only if the shorter great circular arc joining any two non-antipodal points in T lies in T , and at least one great circular arc joining any two antipodal points in T lies in T . For any subset T of S^2 (resp. on R^2), $Int(T)$ denotes the interior of T on S^2 (resp. on R^2). For any subset T of E^3 , $cl(T)$ denotes the closure of T in E^3 . For an oriented surface S that is C^2 immersed in E^3 (or in E_1^3), the **Euclidean Gauss map** $G_\epsilon : S \rightarrow S^2$ sends each point p on S to the point on S^2 described by the Euclidean unit normal vector ν_ϵ to S at p .

The following lemma summarizes known facts.

Lemma 1. *Suppose a non-compact, oriented surface S is C^2 imbedded in E^3 as the boundary ∂C of a convex subset C of E^3 with non-empty interior.*

- (i) *If C contains no lines, then S is homeomorphic to R^2 . (See [1].)*
- (ii) *If S is homeomorphic to R^2 , there is a tangent plane Π to S such that for orthogonal projection $\pi : E^3 \rightarrow \Pi$ with $C' = \pi(C)$, the portion of S in $\pi^{-1}(Int(C'))$ is the graph of a C^2 function over $Int(C')$. (See [20].)*
- (iii) *If C contains no lines, $cl(G_\epsilon(S))$ is convex on S^2 . (See [20].)*

Lemma 2. *Suppose a non-compact, oriented surface S is C^2 imbedded in E^3 as the boundary ∂C of a convex subset C of E^3 with non-empty interior. Let P be a plane through the origin that intersects $Int(G_\epsilon(S)) \neq \emptyset$. Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be the intersections of $G_\epsilon(S)$ with the closed half spaces of E^3 bounded by P , and let $C' = \pi(C)$ for orthogonal projection $\pi : E^3 \rightarrow P$. Then*

$$\pi^{-1}(Int(C')) \cap S = S_1 \cup S_2$$

where S_1 and S_2 are graphs of C^2 functions on $Int(C') \neq \emptyset$, with $G_\epsilon(S_1) \subset \Sigma_1$ and $G_\epsilon(S_2) \subset \Sigma_2$.

Proof. Since $Int(G_\epsilon(S)) \neq \emptyset$, the convex surface $S = \partial C$ is not a cylinder, and C contains no lines. Thus $\pi^{-1}(p_0) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for any p_0 in $Int(C')$. Since the interior of the convex set C in E^3 lies to one side of any tangent plane to $S = \partial C$, there are at most two points in $\pi^{-1}(p_0) \cap S$ for any p_0 in $Int(C')$.

If $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap S$ contains exactly one point for a particular p in $Int(C')$, then $\pi^{-1}(p_0)$ contains exactly one point for every p_0 in $Int(C')$. This follows since if C contains the half line starting at $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap S$ in the direction of a vector ξ perpendicular to P , then C also contains the half line starting at any point in $\pi^{-1}(p_0) \cap S$ in the direction of ξ for every p_0 in $Int(C')$.

But if $\pi^{-1}(p_0) \cap S$ contains exactly one point for every p_0 in $Int(C')$, then $S_0 = \pi^{-1}(Int(C'))$ is the graph of a C^2 function over $Int(C')$ in P , so that $G_\epsilon(S_0)$ lies in one of the two open hemispheres on S^2 bounded by P . This contradicts the assumption that P intersects $Int(G_\epsilon(S))$, since ν_ϵ lies on $P \cap S^2$ at any point on $\pi^{-1}(\partial C') \cap S$.

It follows that $\pi^{-1}(p_0) \cap S$ contains exactly two points for every p_0 in S . Thus $\pi^{-1}(Int(C')) \cap S = S_1 \cup S_2$, with S_1 and S_2 as described in the lemma.

3. MAIN RESULTS

The next lemma is at the heart of our arguments.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that S is a timelike surface C^2 immersed in E_1^3 with $K \leq 0$. Let α be the acute Euclidean angle between ν_ϵ and the x, y -plane, so that $0 \leq \alpha < \pi/4$. Then*

$$K_\epsilon = -K \cos^2 2\alpha.$$

If S is also E^3 complete with $K \neq 0$ (making S convex as a surface in E^3), then

$$|H_\epsilon| \geq |H| \cos^{3/2} 2\alpha \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let p be a point on the timelike surface S . With no loss of generality, assume that a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of p on S is the graph of a C^2 function $x = f(y, z)$ over an open set U in the y, z -plane, with $p = (f(y_0, z_0), y_0, z_0)$. If β is the angle between ν_ϵ and the positive z -axis, (2) gives

$$(4) \quad \cos^2 \beta = f_z^2 / (1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2), \quad \cos^2 \alpha = (1 + f_y^2) / (1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)$$

since $\alpha = \pm(\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta)$, and

$$\cos 2\alpha = 2\cos^2 \alpha - 1 = (1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2) / (1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2).$$

Note that 2α is the angle between ν and ν_ϵ at any point on S . By (1) and Lemma 3, one has

$$(5) \quad K_\epsilon = \frac{(f_{yy} f_{zz} - f_{yz}^2)}{(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^2}{(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^2} = -K \cos 2\alpha$$

with

$$2H_\epsilon = \frac{((f_y^2 + 1)f_{zz} - 2f_y f_z f_{yz} + (f_z^2 - 1)f_{yy})}{(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{(1 + f_y^2 - f_z^2)^{3/2}}{(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{2f_{yy}}{(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{3/2}}$$

giving

$$(6) \quad H_\epsilon = H \cos^{3/2} 2\alpha + \frac{f_{yy}}{(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Note that (5) establishes the first claim in the lemma, since the choice of p was arbitrary.

Suppose now that S is also E^3 complete with $K \neq 0$, so that S is convex as a surface in E^3 . Then \mathcal{U} is concave up or concave down with respect to the positive x -axis. With no loss of generality, assume the \mathcal{U} is concave up, so that $f_{yy} \geq 0$, $f_{zz} \geq 0$ and $H_\epsilon \geq 0$ on U .

Let γ be the connected curve in $\mathcal{U} \cap \{z = z_0\}$ given by $\gamma = \gamma(y) = (f(y, z_0), y, z_0)$ with $p = \gamma(y_0)$. The curvature of $\gamma(y)$ as a curve in E^3 is

$$(7) \quad k(\gamma(y)) = \frac{f_{yy}}{(1 + f_y^2)^{3/2}} \geq 0,$$

and the principal unit normal vector to $\gamma(y)$ is

$$\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}(y) = (1, -f_y, 0)/(1 + f_y^2)^{1/2}.$$

If θ is the angle between ν_ϵ and $\mathbf{N}(y)$, then

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \cos \theta = \langle \mathbf{N}, \nu_\epsilon \rangle_\epsilon &= \langle (1, -f_y, 0), (1, -f_y, -f_z) \rangle_\epsilon / (1 + f_y^2)^{1/2} (1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{1/2} \\ &= (1 + f_y^2)^{1/2} (1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{1/2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (7) and (8) give

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{f_{yy}}{(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{3/2}} &= \frac{f_{yy}}{(1 + f_y^2)^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{(1 + f_y^2)^{3/2}}{(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{3/2}} \\ &= k(\gamma(y)) \cos^3(\theta) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Meusnier's theorem,

$$(10) \quad k(\gamma(y)) \cos \theta = k_n(\gamma'(y)) \geq 0,$$

where $k_n(\gamma'(y))$ is the normal curvature of S at $\gamma(y)$ in the $\gamma'(y)$ direction. Thus (9) becomes

$$\frac{f_{yy}}{(1 + f_y^2 + f_z^2)^{3/2}} = k_n(\gamma'(y)) \cos^2 \theta \geq 0,$$

with (6) giving

$$(11) \quad H_\epsilon = H \cos^3/2 \alpha + k_n(\gamma'(y)) \cos^2 \theta \geq 0.$$

If $H \geq 0$, then (10) and (11) yield

$$(12) \quad |H_\epsilon| \geq |H| \cos^3/2 \alpha.$$

If $H \leq 0$, then (10) and (11) yield

$$(13) \quad |H| \cos^3/2 \alpha = -H \cos^3/2 \alpha = k_n(\gamma'(y)) \cos^2 \theta - H_\epsilon.$$

But $2H_\epsilon$ is the sum of normal curvatures in orthogonal tangent directions at any point on S . Thus at any point on $\gamma(y)$,

$$(14) \quad 2H_\epsilon = k_n(\gamma'(y)) + k_n(\mathbf{w}(y))$$

for $\mathbf{w}(y) = \nu_\epsilon(\gamma(y)) \times \gamma'(y)$, with both terms non-negative on the right side of (14). When $H \leq 0$, (13) and (14) give

$$\begin{aligned} 2|H| \cos^3/2 \alpha &= 2k_n(\gamma'(y)) \cos^2 \theta - 2H_\epsilon \leq 2k_n(\gamma'(y)) - 2H_\epsilon \\ &= k_n(\gamma'(y)) - k_n(\mathbf{w}(y)) \leq k_n(\gamma'(y)) + k_n(\mathbf{w}(y)) = 2H_\epsilon = 2|H_\epsilon|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (12) holds at any point on $\gamma(y)$, and hence at p . Since p was arbitrary, the second claim in the lemma is established.

In the remainder of this paper, let Π^+ and Π^- denote the planes $z = \sqrt{2}/2$ and $z = -\sqrt{2}/2$ respectively, with

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma &= S^2 \cap \{-\sqrt{2}/2 \leq z \leq \sqrt{2}/2\}, \\ \Sigma^+ &= S^2 \cap \Pi^+, \quad \Sigma^- = S^2 \cap \Pi^-, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} R &= \Sigma \cap \{x \geq 0\}, R^+ = R \cap \Pi^+, R^- = R \cap \Pi^-, \\ R_0^+ &= R^+ \cap \{x = 0\}, R_0^- = R^- \cap \{x = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose p lies in R_0^+ , so its antipodal point q lies in R_0^- . There is a unique plane $\Pi(p, q)$ in E^3 containing the parallel lines tangent to Σ^+ at p and Σ^- at q . Moreover,

$$\Gamma_{p,q} = \Pi(p, q) \cap S^2$$

is the only great circle on S^2 through p and q that is contained in Σ .

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset R$ with $cl(\Omega)$ convex on S^2 and $Int(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$. Let $X = cl(\Omega) \cap \{\Pi^+ \cup \Pi^-\}$. Then there is a plane P through the origin intersecting $Int(\Omega)$ that bounds an open half space of E^3 containing X , and contains no pair of antipodal points from $cl(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Because $cl(\Omega)$ is convex on S^2 , $cl(\Omega) \cap \Pi^+$ and $cl(\Omega) \cap \Pi^-$ each contains at most one point, so that X contains at most two points. Suppose that X contains two points, p in $cl(\Omega) \cap \Pi^+$ and q in $cl(\Omega) \cap \Pi^-$. We claim that p and q are not antipodal. Otherwise, $x = 0$ at p and q since $cl(\Omega) \subset cl(R) \subset \{x \geq 0\}$. Thus p lies in R_0^+ , q lies in R_0^- and $\Gamma_{p,q} = \Pi(p, q) \cap S^2$ is the only great circle on S^2 through p and q contained in Σ . Since $Int(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$, there is a point m in $Int(\Omega)$ that does not lie on the plane $\Pi(p, q)$. Thus the great circle $\tilde{\Gamma}_{p,q}$ through p , q and m is not $\Gamma_{p,q}$, and is not contained in Σ . But the convexity of $cl(\Omega)$ on S^2 guarantees that the semicircle $\tilde{\Gamma}_{p,q}^R = \tilde{\Gamma}_{p,q} \cap \{x \geq 0\}$ is contained in $cl(\Omega) \subset \Sigma$, since p , q and m all lie on $\tilde{\Gamma}_{p,q}^R \cap cl(\Omega)$. If $\tilde{\Gamma}_{p,q}^R$ lies in Σ , so does $\tilde{\Gamma}_{p,q}$. This contradiction confirms that p and q are not antipodal.

Let γ_1 be the shorter great circular arc joining p to q in $cl(\Omega)$, and let P_1 be the plane containing γ_1 . The line $l_{p,q}$ through p and q does not pass through the origin, since p and q are not antipodal. Since $Int(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$, there is a point m in $Int(\Omega)$ which lies off P_1 . Let P be the plane through m containing the line l through the origin that is parallel to $l_{p,q}$. No point of $l_{p,q}$ lies on P , since otherwise the contradiction $P = P_1$ would follow. Thus $X = \{p, q\}$ is contained in one of the open half spaces in E^3 bounded by P . Finally, since $P_1 \cap cl(\Omega) = \gamma_1$ contains no pair of antipodal points, and since P converges to P_1 as m approaches γ_1 in $Int(\Omega)$, we can choose m so close to γ_1 in $Int(\Omega)$ that $P \cap cl(\Omega)$ contains no antipodal points.

The cases in which at least one of the sets $cl(\Omega) \cap \Pi^+$ or $cl(\Omega) \cap \Pi^-$ is empty can be handled in a similar way, but with easier arguments. \square

Theorem. *Suppose that S is an E^3 complete timelike surface C^2 immersed in E^3 with $0 \neq K < 0$ (so that S is convex as a surface in E^3). Then neither H nor K can be bounded away from zero on S .*

Proof. Since $K \neq 0$ on S , $K_\epsilon \neq 0$ by (3), so that S is not a cylinder. This implies that the closed convex set C in E^3 with $S = \partial C$ contains no lines. By Lemma 1(i), S is homeomorphic to R^2 . By Lemma 1(ii), S is locally the graph of a C^2 function

over one of its tangent planes, which we assume, with no loss of generality, to be the y, z -plane, with S lying in the half space $\{x \geq 0\}$. Then $G_\epsilon(S) \subset R$ for the subset R of S^2 defined in (16).

By Lemma 1(iii), $cl(G_\epsilon(S))$ is a convex subset of S^2 . Thus Lemma 4 applies with $\Omega = G_\epsilon(S)$, giving a plane P through the origin with $P \cap Int(G_\epsilon(S)) \neq \emptyset$, with

$$X = cl(G_\epsilon(S)) \cap \{\Pi^+ \cup \Pi^-\}$$

contained in one of the open half spaces H_1 or H_2 in E^3 bounded by P , and with $P \cap cl(G_\epsilon(S))$ containing no pair of antipodal points. Index H_1 and H_2 so that X lies in H_2 . Then if $G_1 = G_\epsilon(S) \cap cl(H_1)$ and $G_2 = G_\epsilon(S) \cap cl(H_2)$, one has $X \cap G_1 = \emptyset$. Apply Lemma 2 with $\pi : E^3 \rightarrow P$ orthogonal projection onto P and $C' = \pi(C)$, so that $\pi^{-1}(Int(C')) \cap S = S_1 \cup S_2$, with S_1 and S_2 each the graph of a C^2 function on $Int(C')$. Index S_1 and S_2 so that $G_\epsilon(S_1) \subset G_1$.

We claim that C' cannot be contained between parallel lines l_1 and l_2 in P . Otherwise, $S = \partial C$ would be contained in the closed region bounded by the planes P_1 and P_2 through l_1 and l_2 respectively that are perpendicular to P . Since C is convex, $cl(G_\epsilon(S))$ would contain antipodal points perpendicular to P_1 and P_2 , and lying in P . This would contradict the fact that $P \cap cl(G_\epsilon(S))$ contains no antipodal points, so our claim is verified.

Since $S \subset \{x \geq 0\}$ is a graph over the y, z -plane, C contains a half line that is not perpendicular to P . Thus $Int(C')$ contains a half line λ . Since the convex set C' in P is not contained between any two lines parallel to λ , one easily argues that for any $r > 0$, $Int(C')$ contains a disk of radius r . Let D be a disk of radius $2r$ contained in $Int(C')$ and centered at a point c . Let l be the line through c perpendicular to P . Take a closed ball B of radius r centered on l , starting on the same side of S_1 as C , with $B \cap S_1 = \emptyset$. Move B toward S_1 keeping its center on l until it first touches $S_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(D)$ at a point p . All of B now lies on S_1 or to the same side of S_1 as C . Thus $0 \leq |H_\epsilon(p)| \leq 1/r$ and $K_\epsilon(p) \leq 1/r^2$. On the other hand, we took $G_\epsilon(S_1) \subset G_1$ with $X \cap G_1 = \emptyset$. Thus there is a constant α_0 satisfying $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0 < \pi/4$, where α is the angle ν_ϵ on S_1 makes with the x, y -plane. By Lemma 3, the inequalities

$$(17) \quad |H_\epsilon| \geq |H| \cos^{3/2} 2\alpha_0 \geq 0, \quad K_\epsilon \geq |K| \cos^2 2\alpha_0$$

hold on S_1 . If H or K is bounded away from zero on S , we obtain a contradiction to (17) at p by taking r sufficiently large. This establishes the theorem.

Corollary. *If $H \equiv \text{constant} \neq 0$ and $K \leq 0$ on an E^3 complete timelike surface C^2 immersed in E_1^3 , then (up to rigid motions of E_1^2) S is a cylinder over a circle of radius $r = 1/2H$ in the x, y -plane, or over a hyperbola of curvature $2H$ in the y, z -plane.*

Proof. By our theorem, $K \equiv 0$ must hold on S , making $K_\epsilon \equiv 0$ and S a cylinder. The corollary then follows by elementary arguments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Tilla Weinstein for suggestions which improved the exposition of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Busemann H, Convex Surfaces, Interscience, New York, (1958). MR **21**:3900
- [2] Calabi E., Examples of Bernstein problems for some non-linear equations, Proc. Sympos. Pure Appl. Math. 15(1968),223-230. MR **41**:8806
- [3] Cheng S.Y. and Yau S.T., Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometrical applications, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., 28(1975),333-354. MR **52**:6608
- [4] ———, Maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces, Ann. of Math. (2)104(1976), 407-419.
- [5] Flaherty F.J., Surfaces d'onde dans l'espace de Lorentz-Minkowski, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris t. 284A, 521-523. MR **55**:4064
- [6] Hartman P. and Nirenberg L., On spherical image maps whose Jacobians do not change sign, Amer. J. Math., 81(1959),901-920. MR **23**:A4106
- [7] Klotz T. and Osserman R., Complete surfaces in E^3 with constant mean curvature, Comm. Math. Helv., 41(1966-67),313-318. MR **35**:2213
- [8] Kohlmann P., Splitting and pinching for convex sets, Geom. Dedicata, 60(1996),125-143. MR **97a**:52005
- [9] Lin S., Splitting and pinching for complete convex surfaces in E^3 , Geom. Dedicata 69(1998), 83-90. MR **98k**:53006
- [10] ———, A mean curvature pinching theorem for convex hypersurfaces in E^{n+1} . Preprint.
- [11] ———, Spacelike and timelike convex hypersurfaces in Minkowski space with $H \geq c > 0$, Preprint.
- [12] Milnor T.K., Harmonic maps and classical surface theory in Minkowski 3-space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 280, 1983,161-185. MR **85e**:58037
- [13] Milnor T.K., A conformal analog of Bernstein's theorem for timelike surfaces in Minkowski 3-space, Contemp. Math. 64(1987),123-130. MR **88b**:53076
- [14] Milnor T.K., Entire timelike minimal surfaces in $E^{3,1}$, Mich. Math. J., 37(1990),163-177. MR **92b**:53007
- [15] O'Neill B., Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity, Academic Press (1983).
- [16] Sacksteder R., On hypersurfaces with nonnegative sectional curvature, Amer. J. Math.,82(1960),609-630. MR **22**:7087
- [17] Treibergs A.E., Entire spacelike hyperspaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space, Invent. Math. 66(1982),39-56. MR **83h**:53080
- [18] van Heijenoort J., On locally convex manifolds, Comm. Pure Appl. Math.,5(1952),223-242. MR **14**:557b
- [19] T.Weinstein, An Introduction to Lorentz Surfaces, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 22 (1996). MR **98a**:53104
- [20] Wu H., The spherical images of convex hypersurfaces, J. Diff. Geom., 9(1974),279-290. MR **50**:1182

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903
E-mail address: senchun@math.rutgers.edu