

AN L^p DEFINITION OF INTERPOLATING BLASCHKE PRODUCTS

CRAIG A. NOLDER

(Communicated by Albert Baernstein II)

ABSTRACT. We give a new characterization of interpolating Blaschke products in terms of L^p -norms of their reciprocals. We also obtain a characterization of finite unions of interpolating sequences.

1. INTRODUCTION

A sequence $\{z_k\}$ in the unit disk \mathbb{D} of the complex plane is called an interpolating sequence if for every bounded sequence $\{w_k\}$ there exists a bounded analytic function f in \mathbb{D} such that $f(z_k) = w_k$ for all k . In 1958 Carleson gave a geometrical characterization of interpolating sequences as those that are uniformly separated (see (3.1)) [C]. Interpolating Blaschke products are those whose zero set is an interpolating sequence. These Blaschke products play an expanding role in the study of H^∞ , the bounded analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . The main result of this paper, Theorem C, characterizes these products in terms of L^p -norms of reciprocals of them. Since, in view of (2.3), condition (3.1) is conformally invariant, the characterization must reflect this invariance. We also obtain a characterization of finite unions of interpolating sequences from Theorem C.

2. THE HYPERBOLIC METRIC

The hyperbolic metric in \mathbb{D} is given by $h(z, w) = \tanh^{-1}(2\rho(z, w))$ where

$$\rho(z, w) = \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right|$$

for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$. The ρ -metric has the following properties:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \leq \rho(z, w) < 1 \text{ for } z, w \in \mathbb{D},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \rho(z, w) = 1 \text{ if } z \in \partial\mathbb{D}, w \in \bar{\mathbb{D}},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \rho(\tau(z), \tau(w)) = \rho(z, w) \text{ whenever } \tau \text{ is a conformal self-map of } \mathbb{D} \text{ and}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \rho(f(z), f(w)) \leq \rho(z, w) \text{ whenever } f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}} \text{ is analytic.}$$

Received by the editors January 12, 1998 and, in revised form, July 30, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30D50.

Key words and phrases. Blaschke products, interpolating sequences, L^p -norms.

Moreover we define the noneuclidean disk

$$\Delta(z_0, r) = \{z \mid \rho(z, z_0) < r\}.$$

The disk $\Delta(z_0, r)$ is the image of $\{w \mid |w| < r\}$ under $w(z) = (z + z_0)/(1 + \bar{z}_0 z)$. As such it is also a euclidean disk $B(c, R)$ where

$$(2.5) \quad c = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - r^2|z_0|^2} z_0$$

is the center and

$$(2.6) \quad R = r \frac{1 - |z_0|^2}{1 - r^2|z_0|^2}$$

is the radius. See [G].

A sequence $\{z_k\}$ is separated by $\delta > 0$ if

$$(2.7) \quad \inf_{j \neq k} \rho(z_k, z_j) \geq \delta > 0.$$

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF INTERPOLATING SEQUENCES

We assume that the points of the sequence $\{z_k\}$ are the zeros of a convergent Blaschke product

$$B(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\bar{z}_j}{|z_j|} \left(\frac{z - \bar{z}_j}{1 - \bar{z}_j z} \right).$$

We write

$$B_k(z) = B(z) \frac{1 - \bar{z}_k z}{z - z_k}.$$

Theorem A. *Assume that $0 < p < 2$. If $\{z_k\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is uniformly separated by δ , that is, if*

$$(3.1) \quad \inf_k \prod_{j \neq k} \rho(z_j, z_k) = \delta > 0,$$

then

$$(3.2) \quad \sup_k \frac{1}{|\Delta(z_k, \delta)|} \iint_{\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA$$

is finite and depends only on δ and p .

Proof. Notice that (3.1) implies that $\{z_k\}$ is separated by δ . The triangle inequality and (2.4) give

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(B_k(z), 0) &\geq \rho(B_k(z_k), 0) - \rho(B_k(z_k), B_k(z)) \\ &\geq \rho(B_k(z_k), 0) - \rho(z_k, z) \\ &= |B_k(z_k)| - \rho(z_k, z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence when $z \in \Delta(z_k, \delta/2)$ we get, using (3.1),

$$|B_k(z)| \geq \delta - \delta/2 = \delta/2.$$

Whence

$$\iint_{\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA \leq \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^p \iint_{\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)} \left| \frac{1 - \bar{z}_k z}{z - z_k} \right|^p dA.$$

Changing variables this becomes

$$\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^p \iint_{|w| < \delta/2} \frac{1}{|w|^p} \frac{(1 - |z_k|^2)^2}{|1 + \bar{z}_k w|^4} dA,$$

which is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^p (1 - \delta/2)^{-4} (1 - |z_k|^2)^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\delta/2} r^{(1-p)} dr d\theta \\ &\leq C(\delta, p)(1 - |z_k|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6) we see that

$$|\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)| = C(\delta)(1 - |z_k|)^2$$

and so (3.2) follows. □

Theorem B. *If $\{z_k\}$ is separated by δ and (3.2) holds for some $0 < p < 2$, then the sequence $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated by a constant depending only on δ and p .*

Proof. Fix k and let $D_k = B(z_k, r_k)$, where $r_k = R_k - |c_k - z_k|$ with R_k and c_k given by (2.5) and (2.6) with $z_0 = z_k$ and $r = \delta/2$. Then $D_k \subset \Delta(z_k, \delta/2)$.

Next let $A_k(z) = 1/B_k(z)$. Choosing an analytic branch of $A_k^p(z)$ we have, for

$$\gamma_r = \{z | z = z_k + re^{i\theta}, 0 < r < r_k, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i A_k^p(z_k) &= \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{A_k^p(z)}{z - z_k} dz \\ &= \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} \frac{(z - z_k)^{(p-1)}}{(1 - \bar{z}_k z)^p} dz. \end{aligned}$$

When $z \in D_k$, $|z - z_k|/|1 - \bar{z}_k z| < \delta/2$ and so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi |A_k(z_k)|^p &\leq \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^p \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} \frac{1}{|z - z_k|} |dz| \\ &= \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^p \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|B(z_k + re^{i\theta})|^p} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\pi r_k^2 |A_k(z_k)|^p \leq \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^p \iint_{D_k} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA$$

and using (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_k(z_k)| &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \left(\frac{1}{|D_k|} \iint_{D_k} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C(\delta)}{|\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)|} \iint_{\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA \right)^{1/p} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $k = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated. \square

Theorem C. *Assume that $\{z_k\}$ is separated by δ . If, for some $p, 0 < p < 2$,*

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{\tau} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(\tau(z))|^p} dA < \infty,$$

where $\tau : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is any conformal self-map of \mathbb{D} , then the sequence $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated.

Conversely, if $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated, then (3.3) holds for all $p, 0 < p < 2$.

Proof. Assume that (3.3) holds for some p . Let $w = \tau(z) = (z + z_k)/(1 + \bar{z}_k z)$. Then

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(\tau(z))|^p} dA = \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(w)|^p} \frac{(1 - |z_k|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k w|^4} dA = M < \infty.$$

Next, when $w \in \Delta(z_k, \delta/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \bar{z}_k w| &= |1 - |z_k|^2 - \bar{z}_k(w - z_k)| \\ &\leq 1 - |z_k|^2 + |w - z_k| \\ &\leq C(\delta)(1 - |z_k|^2) \end{aligned}$$

by use of (2.5) and (2.6).

Hence

$$\iint_{\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)} \frac{1}{|B(w)|^p} dA \leq C(\delta)^4 M (1 - |z_k|^2)^2.$$

Again, by (2.6), it follows that

$$(1 - |z_k|^2)^2 \leq \frac{4}{\pi \delta^2} |\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)|.$$

As such (3.2) follows for this p and by Theorem B the sequence $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated.

Our proof of the converse requires the following lemma, which follows from results in [KL]. We offer here a simple proof of the implication we will need. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated by δ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{|B(z)|} \leq \frac{4}{\delta^2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta(z_k, \delta/2) \right).$$

Proof. We define the finite Blaschke products

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(n)}(z) &= \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{-\bar{z}_j}{|z_j|} \frac{z - z_j}{1 - \bar{z}_j z} \\ &= \frac{-\bar{z}_k}{|z_k|} \frac{z - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_k z} B_k^{(n)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

As such $1/B^{(n)}(z)$ is analytic in $D = \mathbb{D} \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^n \Delta(z_j, \delta/2))$, continuous across the boundary of \mathbb{D} , $\partial\mathbb{D}$, and $1/|B^{(n)}(z)| = 1$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. We may assume that the subharmonic function $1/|B^{(n)}(z)|$ in D attains its maximum at $z_0 \in \partial\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)$. As in the proof of Theorem A we see that (3.1) implies that $|B_k^{(n)}(z)| \geq \delta/2$ for $z \in \overline{\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)}$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B^{(n)}(z_0)|} &= \frac{1}{\rho(z_0, z_k)} \frac{1}{|B_k^{(n)}(z_0)|} \\ &\leq 4/\delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all n it must hold for the infinite Blaschke product and (3.5) follows.

We now continue with the proof of Theorem C and assume that the sequence $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated by δ . Notice to obtain (3.3) that it is enough to show that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA \leq M(\delta) < \infty$$

where $M(\delta)$ depends only on δ . This is because the Blaschke product $B(\tau(w))$ has zeros $\{\tau^{-1}(z_k)\}$ and if $\{z_k\}$ is uniformly separated, then by (2.3) so is $\{\tau^{-1}(z_k)\}$ (with the same δ). To this purpose we write, with D as above,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA = \iint_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA + \iint_D \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA.$$

Using (3.5) we estimate the second integral by $(4/\delta^2)^p \pi$. Furthermore using (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \iint_{D_k} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA \\ &\leq C_1(\delta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta(z_k, \delta/2)| \\ &\leq C_1(\delta)\pi. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem C. □

Corollary 3.6 appears throughout the literature. We give a new proof using Theorem C.

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that $\{z_k\}$ and $\{\tilde{z}_k\}$ are uniformly separated sequences. If their union $\{z_k\} \cup \{\tilde{z}_k\}$ is separated, then it is also uniformly separated.*

Proof. Let B and \tilde{B} be the Blaschke products with zeros $\{z_k\}$ and $\{\tilde{z}_k\}$. If B^* is the Blaschke product with zeros $\{z_k\} \cup \{\tilde{z}_k\}$, then $|B^*| = |B| |\tilde{B}|$. By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B^*|^{1/2}} dA \right)^2 \leq \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B|} dA \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|\tilde{B}|} dA.$$

It follows from Theorem C that the right-hand side is uniformly bounded under compositions with conformal $\tau : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. As such so is the left-hand side and Theorem C shows that B^* is an interpolating Blaschke product. \square

We next give a characterization of finite unions of interpolating sequences. The result follows from Theorems A and C.

Theorem D. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (3.7) *The sequence $\{z_k\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is the union of finitely many uniformly separated sequences.*
- (3.8) *For some $0 < q < 2$,*

$$\sup_{\tau} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(\tau(z))|^q} dA < \infty.$$

- (3.9) *The Blaschke sum is conformally invariant, that is,*

$$\sup_{\tau} \sum_k (1 - |\tau(z_k)|) < \infty.$$

Here the supremum in both cases is over all conformal self-maps τ of \mathbb{D} .

The equivalence of (3.7) and (3.9) is proved in [Ho]. Also it is referred to in [N] and [MS]. We include this result for two reasons. First, we offer an alternative proof that (3.7) implies (3.9). The proof uses Lemma 3.4 instead of Hoffman’s result [H]. Second, our proof that (3.8) implies (3.7) is geometrically similar to techniques in [Ho].

Proof that (3.7) implies (3.9). Assume that $|z_k| \leq \delta/2$ for some k . Then, using (3.1) and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j \neq k} |z_j| &= |B_k(0)| \\ &= \rho(B_k(0), 0) \\ &\geq \rho(B_k(z_k), 0) - \rho(B_k(z_k), B_k(0)) \\ &\geq \delta - \delta/2 = \delta/2. \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality

$$(3.10) \quad (1 - x) \leq -\log x,$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{j \neq k} (1 - |z_j|) \leq \log \left(\frac{2}{\delta} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_j|) \leq 1 + \log \left(\frac{2}{\delta} \right).$$

Otherwise

$$\rho(z_j, 0) = |z_j| > \frac{\delta}{2}$$

for all j . By (3.5) we get

$$|B(0)| = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} |z_j| \geq \delta^2/4$$

and (3.9) follows by applying inequality (3.10) again. □

Proof that (3.7) implies (3.8). Assume that $\{z_k\}$ is the union of m uniformly separated sequences and $B^i(z)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are the Blaschke products with those sequences as their zeros. As such $|B(z)| = \prod_{i=1}^m |B^i(z)|$ and by Hölder's inequality we have

$$(3.11) \quad \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^{p/m}} dA \right)^{m/p} \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B^i(z)|^p} dA \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now Theorem C shows that the right-hand side of (3.11) is uniformly bounded, for $0 < p < 2$, under compositions with conformal $\tau : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. As such (3.8) follows.

Next, as in [Ho], we decompose the disk \mathbb{D} into polar rectangles. Let W be the collection of rectangles of the form

$$\{z \in \mathbb{D} | 1 - 2^{-\alpha} \leq |z| < 1 - 2^{-\alpha-1}, 2\pi\beta 2^{-\alpha} \leq \arg z < 2\pi(\beta + 1)2^{-\alpha}\}$$

where $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\beta = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^\alpha - 1$.

We write $\nu(R)$ for the number of zeros of $\{z_k\}$ that lie in the rectangle $R \in W$. □

Lemma 3.12. *The sequence $\{z_k\}$ is a finite union of separated sequences if and only if $M = \sup\{\nu(R) | R \in W\}$ is finite.*

Proof. One calculates that there exists $\eta < 1$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \rho(z, w) < \eta$$

when $z, w \in R \in W$, and

$$(3.14) \quad \rho(z, w) > 1/\eta$$

when z and w lie in noncontiguous rectangles in W . If M is finite, then by (3.14) the sequence $\{z_k\}$ can be divided into $4M$ separated sequences. (It is in this way that the implication, (3.9) implies (3.7), is proven in [Ho].) Conversely, suppose that $\{z_k\}$ is the union of N separated sequences, each separated by σ . In view of (3.13) each rectangle in W can be divided into a fixed number of subrectangles with ρ -diameters less than or equal to σ . As such no subrectangle contains more than N points of the sequence $\{z_k\}$ and so $M < \infty$.

We now prove that (3.8) implies (3.7). First we show that (3.8) implies $M = \sup\{\nu(R) | R \in W\}$ is finite. Let $z_0 \in R \in W$ and assume there are ν zeros of $B(z)$ in R . If $\tau_0(z) = (z - z_0)/(1 - \bar{z}_0 z)$, then $\tau_0(R)$ is contained in the closed disk about

the origin of diameter η . This follows from (3.13) and (2.3). As such $B(\tau_0^{-1})$ has ν zeros, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_ν , with $|z_j| \leq \eta$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(\tau_0^{-1}(z))|^q} dA &\geq \iint_{B(0,\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \rho(z, z_j)^{-q} dA \\ &\geq \pi \eta^{2-\nu q}. \end{aligned}$$

Whence if $\{R_i\}$ is a sequence in W with $\nu(R_i) \rightarrow \infty$, then (3.8) fails.

Next it follows from Lemma 3.12 that, if (3.8) holds, then $\{z_k\}$ is a finite union of separated sequences. Let B^1, B^2, \dots, B^N be the Blaschke products with these sequences as their zeros. We have, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$,

$$(3.15) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B^j(z)|^q} dA < \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^q} dA.$$

Replacing z with $\tau(z)$ in (3.15), we see that (3.8) implies, by Theorem C, that each $B^j(z)$ is an interpolating Blaschke product. \square

4. REMARKS

In view of the substantial use of conformal invariance, one might wonder if a Blaschke product exists for which (3.3) fails for $\tau(z) = z$ alone. However we note that the above constructions give

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|B(z)|^p} dA \geq \sum_{R \in W} \eta^{-\nu(R)p} m(R).$$

Hence if $\nu(R)$ grows sufficiently fast the sum diverges, for example if $z_k = 1 - k^{-2}$.

We also remark that the exponent, p/m , in (3.11), is best possible in the case of a zero of order m .

REFERENCES

- [C] L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 921–930. MR **22**:8129
- [G] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, 1981. MR **83g**:30037
- [H] K. Hoffman, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Ann. of Math. 86 (1967), 74–111. MR **35**:5945
- [Ho] C. Horowitz, *Factorization theorems for functions in the Bergmann spaces*, Duke Math. Jour. 44, No. 1, (1977), 201–213. MR **55**:681
- [KL] A. Kerr-Lawson, *Some lemmas on interpolating Blaschke products and a correction*, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 531–534. MR **40**:371
- [MS] G. McDonald and C. Sundberg, *Toeplitz operators on the disc*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979), 595–611. MR **80h**:47034
- [N] A. Nicolau, *Finite products of interpolating Blaschke products*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1994), 520–531. MR **95k**:30072

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306-4510

E-mail address: nolder@math.fsu.edu