

## CHERN-OSSERMAN INEQUALITY FOR MINIMAL SURFACES IN $\mathbf{H}^n$

CHEN QING AND CHENG YI

(Communicated by Christopher Croke)

ABSTRACT. We obtain Chern-Osserman's inequality of a complete properly immersed minimal surface in hyperbolic  $n$ -space, provided the  $L^2$ -norm of the second fundamental form of the surface is finite.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a complete minimal surface in Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with finite total Gaussian curvature. Then the total Gaussian curvature of  $M$  satisfies the Chern-Osserman inequality ([2], [6])

$$-\chi(M) \leq \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_M K - k,$$

where  $K$  is the Gaussian curvature of  $M$ ,  $\chi(M)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $M$  and  $k$  is the number of ends of  $M$ . The explicit expression of the total Gaussian curvature was obtained by Jorge and Meeks:

$$\begin{aligned} -\chi(M) &= \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_M K - \sup \frac{\text{area}M \cap B(t)}{\pi t^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M |A|^2 - \sup \frac{\text{area}M \cap B(t)}{\pi t^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is the second fundamental form of  $M$  and  $B(t)$  is the extrinsic distance ball of radius  $t$  from a fixed point.

In the paper we present an analogue of the Chern-Osserman inequality of complete minimal surfaces in hyperbolic  $n$ -space  $\mathbf{H}^n$  of constant curvature  $-1$ , namely

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be an oriented immersed complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{H}^n$ ,  $A$  the second fundamental form of  $M$ ,  $r$  the distance of  $\mathbf{H}^n$  from a fixed point and  $M_t = \{x \in M : r(x) < t\}$ . Suppose  $\int_M |A|^2(x) < \infty$ ; then*

$$(1) \sup \frac{\text{area}M_t}{\cosh t - 1} < +\infty;$$

$$(2) -\chi(M) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M |A|^2 - \sup \frac{\text{area}(M_t)}{2\pi(\cosh t - 1)},$$

where  $\chi(M)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $M$ . Consequently,  $M$  has finite topological type.

---

Received by the editors September 14, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A20; Secondary 53C42.

*Key words and phrases.* Minimal surface, Chern-Osserman inequality, Euler characteristic.

The minimal surfaces in  $\mathbf{H}^n$  have some properties similar to minimal surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , such as the monotonicity formula (see Proposition 2.2 below). But there are many differences between minimal surfaces in  $\mathbf{H}^n$  and those in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , one of the differences is that the total Gaussian curvature of a complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{H}^n$  is always infinite (this can be seen from the correspondent Gauss equation). Another important difference comes from the fundamental work of M. T. Anderson [1] for the existence of minimal varieties in  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . By his results, minimal surfaces in the hyperbolic space are much richer than those in Euclidean space, and the asymptotic behavior of the surfaces is not “regular” in general; in particular, the Bernstein Theorem (a complete minimal graph in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  is flat) does not hold in  $\mathbf{H}^3$ .

In [5] De Oliveira proved that if  $M$  is an immersed complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{H}^n$  with  $\int_M |A|^2 < \infty$ , then  $M$  is properly immersed and is conformally equivalent to a compact surface with a finite number of disks removed. M. Kokubu [4] established the Weierstrass type representation formula for minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space. By his result, the Gauss maps of minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space are neither holomorphic nor anti-holomorphic. So the method employed in [2] and [6] is not valid in our case.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbf{H}^n$  be a hyperbolic  $n$ -space of constant curvature  $-1$ , and  $M$  a properly immersed complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . Denote the covariant derivative of  $\mathbf{H}^n$  and  $M$  by  $D$  and  $\nabla$  respectively; the second fundamental form of  $M$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A : TM \otimes TM &\rightarrow T^\perp M, \\ (2.1) \quad A(X, Y) &= D_X Y - \nabla_X Y, \text{ for } X, Y \in C^\infty(TM). \end{aligned}$$

For a smooth function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{H}^n$ , and any two tangent vector fields  $X, Y \in C^\infty(TM)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (D^2 f)(X, Y) &= (Ddf)(X, Y) \\ &= X(df(Y)) - df(D_X Y) \\ &= X(df(Y)) - df(\nabla_X Y + A(X, Y)) \\ &= \nabla^2 f(X, Y) - \langle A(X, Y), Df \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the fact that  $(D^2 r)(X, X) = \coth r(\langle X, X \rangle - \langle X, Dr \rangle^2)$ , implies

**Proposition 2.1.** *For any unit tangent vector  $e$  of  $M$ ,*

$$(\nabla^2 r)(e, e) = \coth r(1 - \langle e, \nabla r \rangle^2) + \langle A(e, e), \nabla^\perp r \rangle,$$

where  $\nabla^\perp r$  is the projection of  $Dr$  onto the normal of  $M$ .

Let  $r$  be the distance function of  $H^n$  from a fixed point. By Sard's theorem, for almost all  $t > 0$ ,  $M_t = \{x \in M : r(x) < t\}$  is a related compact open subset of  $M$  with the boundary  $\partial M_t$  being a closed immersed curve of  $M$ .  $\{M_t\}$  is a family of exhaustion of  $M$ . We will consider the growth of the area of  $M_t$ , and make use of the following notations for convenience:

$$v(t) = \text{area} M_t, \quad \text{and} \quad R(t) = \int_{M_t} |A|^2.$$

**Proposition 2.2** ([1], Theorem 1).  *$\frac{v(t)}{\cosh t - 1}$  is monotone nondecreasing in  $t$ , i.e.,  $v'(t) \cosh t - v(t) \sinh t \geq v'(t)$ .*

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose  $M$  is an oriented and properly immersed complete minimal surface in  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . Then for almost all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$v(t) + \frac{1}{2}R(t) + 2\pi\chi(M_t) = v'(t) \coth t - \int_{\partial M_t} \left\langle A\left(\frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|}, \frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|}\right), \frac{\nabla^\perp r}{|\nabla r|} \right\rangle.$$

*Proof.* Denote the geodesic curvature of  $\partial M_t$  in  $M$  by  $k_g^t$ , and by  $K$  the Gaussian curvature of  $M$ . Then the Gauss-Bonnet formula reads

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\partial M_t} k_g^t + \int_{M_t} K = 2\pi\chi(M_t),$$

where  $\chi(M_t)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $M_t$ , i.e.  $\chi(M_t) = 2 - 2g - k$  with  $g$  being the genus of  $M_t$  and  $k$  being the number of components of  $\partial M_t$ .

Substituting the Gauss equation  $K = -1 - \frac{1}{2}|A|^2$  into (2.2) we have

$$(2.3) \quad v(t) + \frac{1}{2}R(t) + 2\pi\chi(M_t) = \int_{\partial M_t} k_g^t.$$

Suppose  $e$  is the unit tangent vector of  $\partial M_t$ . Since the normal of  $\partial M_t$  in  $M$  is  $\frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|}$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} k_g^t &= -\left\langle \nabla_e e, \frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|\nabla r|} (\nabla^2 r)(e, e) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\nabla r|} (\coth r + \langle A(e, e), \nabla^\perp r \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by Proposition 2.1.

Substituting (2.4) into (2.3), and using the fact that  $v'(t) = \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla r|}$ , and that  $A(e, e) + A\left(\frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|}, \frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|}\right) = 0$ , we complete the proof.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For  $t > s > 0$ ,*

$$\frac{\int_{M_t} \cosh r}{\cosh^2 t} - \frac{\int_{M_s} \cosh r}{\cosh^2 s} = \int_{M_t - M(s)} \frac{1 + |\nabla^\perp r|^2 \sinh^2 r}{\cosh^3 r}.$$

*Proof.* By the minimality of  $M$  and Proposition 2.1, we observe that

$$\Delta r = \coth r(2 - |\nabla r|^2),$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian of  $M$ . This yields

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta \cosh r = 2 \cosh r.$$

Integrating (2.2) over  $M_t$ , and using Green's formula,

$$(2.6) \quad 2 \int_{M_t} \cosh r = \int_{\partial M_t} |\nabla r| \sinh r.$$

By using the co-area formula ([7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\int_{M_t} \cosh r}{\cosh^2 t} \right) &= \frac{1}{\cosh^3 t} \left( \cosh t \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M_t} \cosh r - 2 \sinh t \int_{M_t} \cosh r \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\cosh^3 t} \left( \cosh t \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{\cosh r}{|\nabla r|} - \sinh t \int_{\partial M_t} |\nabla r| \sinh r \right) \\
 (2.4) \qquad &= \frac{1}{\cosh^3 t} \int_{\partial M_t} \left( \frac{\cosh^2 r}{|\nabla r|} - \sinh^2 r |\nabla r| \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\cosh^3 t} \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla r|} (1 + \sinh^2 r |\nabla^\perp r|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is then proved by integrating (2.6) from  $s$  to  $t$  and the co-area formula.

**Lemma 2.5.**

$$\int_0^t v'(s) \cosh s ds \geq \frac{\cosh t + 1}{2} v(t).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.2,  $v'(t) \geq \frac{v(t) \sinh t}{\cosh t - 1}$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t v'(s) \cosh s ds &= v(t) \cosh t - \int_0^t v(s) \sinh s ds \\
 &\geq v(t) \cosh t - \int_0^t v'(s) (\cosh s - 1) ds \\
 &= v(t) (\cosh t + 1) - \int_0^t v'(s) \cosh s ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Suppose  $M$  is as in the Theorem. By the result of De Oliveira [5],  $M$  is properly immersed.

(1) By Proposition 2.3 we have

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{v'(t) \cosh t - v(t) \sinh t}{\sinh t} = \frac{1}{2} R(t) + 2\pi \chi(M_t) + \int_{\partial M_t} \left\langle A \left( \frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|}, \frac{\nabla r}{|\nabla r|} \right), \frac{\nabla^\perp r}{|\nabla r|} \right\rangle;$$

hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \leq \frac{\sinh t}{\cosh^2 t} \left( \frac{1}{2} R(t) + 2\pi \chi(M_t) \right) + \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{|A| |\nabla^\perp r| \sinh t}{|\nabla r| \cosh^2 t}.$$

Since  $\chi(M_t) \leq 1$ , integrating the above inequality from 0 to  $t$  and by using the co-area formula, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \leq 2 \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} R(s) + 2\pi \right) e^{-s} ds + \int_{M_t} |A| \frac{|\nabla^\perp r| \sinh r}{\cosh^2 r}.$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} &\leq C_1 + \left( \int_{M_t} \frac{|A|^2}{\cosh r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{M_t} \frac{|\nabla^\perp r|^2 \sinh^2 r}{\cosh^3 r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 (3.3) \qquad &\leq C_1 + C_2 \left( \frac{\int_{M_t} \cosh r}{\cosh^2 t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.3}) \\
 &\leq C_1 + C_2 \left( \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two constants independent of  $t$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $\frac{v(t)}{\cosh t}$  is monotone non-decreasing in  $t$ ; therefore, either  $\sup \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \leq C_1^2$  or

$$\frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \leq \left( \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_2 \left( \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

when  $t$  is large enough. It follows that

$$\sup \frac{v(t)}{\cosh t} \leq \max\{C_1^2, (1 + C_2)^2\}.$$

This proves (1) of the Theorem.

(2) By the arithmetic geometric mean inequality, (3.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \qquad \frac{v'(t) \cosh t - v(t) \sinh t}{\sinh t} &\leq \frac{1}{2}R(t) + 2\pi\chi(M_t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_t} \left( \frac{|A|^2}{|\nabla r|} + \frac{|\nabla^\perp r|^2}{|\nabla r|} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}R(t) + 2\pi\chi(M_t) + \frac{1}{2}R'(t) + \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{|\nabla^\perp r|^2}{|\nabla r|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since by Proposition 2.1,  $\Delta \cosh r = 2 \cosh r$ , Green's formula gives

$$\int_{\partial M_t} |\nabla r| \sinh r = \int_{M_t} 2 \cosh r;$$

then by the co-area formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \qquad \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{|\nabla^\perp r|^2}{|\nabla r|} &= \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla r|} - |\nabla r| \\
 &= v'(t) - \frac{1}{\sinh t} \int_{\partial M_t} |\nabla r| \sinh r \\
 &= v'(t) - \frac{1}{\sinh t} \int_{M_t} 2 \cosh r \\
 &= v'(t) - \frac{2}{\sinh t} \int_0^t v'(s) \cosh s ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 we obtain

$$(3.6) \qquad \int_{\partial M_t} \frac{|\nabla^\perp r|^2}{|\nabla r|} \leq v'(t) - \frac{\cosh t + 1}{\sinh t} v(t).$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.4) we have

$$\frac{v'(t) \cosh t - v(t) \sinh t}{\sinh t} \leq \frac{1}{2}(R(t) + R'(t)) + 2\pi\chi(M_t) + \frac{v'(t) \sinh t - v(t) \cosh t - v(t)}{\sinh t}.$$

This implies

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} -2\pi\chi(M_t) &\leq \frac{1}{2}(R(t) + R'(t)) + \frac{(v'(t) + v(t))(\sinh t - \cosh t) - v(t)}{\sinh t} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(R(t) + R'(t)) - \frac{v(t)}{\sinh t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_0^\infty R'(t)dt < \infty$ , there is a monotone increasing sequence  $\{t_i\}$  diverging to infinity such that  $R'(t_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Taking  $t = t_i$  in (3.7) and letting  $i$  tend to infinity, we prove the theorem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referee for useful comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. T. Anderson, *Complete minimal varieties in hyperbolic space*, Invent.math. **69** (1982), 477-494. MR **84c**:53005
2. S. S. Chern and R. Osserman, *Complete minimal surface in  $E^N$* , J. d'Analyse Math. **19** (1967), 15-34. MR **37**:2103
3. L. P. Jorge and W. H. Meeks, *The topology of minimal surfaces of finite total Gaussian curvature*, Topology **22** (1983), 203-221. MR **84d**:53006
4. M. Kokubu, *Weierstrass representation for minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space*, Tohoku Math. J. **49** (1997), 367-377. MR **98f**:53008
5. G. De Oliveira, *Compactification of minimal submanifolds of hyperbolic space*, Comm. An. and Geom. **1** (1993), 1-29. MR **94h**:53080
6. R. Osserman, *A survey of minimal surfaces*, Van Norstrand Rienhold, New York, 1969. MR **41**:934
7. L. Simon, *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*, C.M.A. Australian National University Vol.3, 1983. MR **87a**:49001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI 230026, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address*: qchen@ustc.edu.cn

*E-mail address*: chengy@ustc.edu.cn