

## LIIOUVILLE NUMBERS, RAJCHMAN MEASURES, AND SMALL CANTOR SETS

CHRISTIAN E. BLUHM

(Communicated by Christopher D. Sogge)

ABSTRACT. We show that the set of Liouville numbers carries a positive measure whose Fourier transform vanishes at infinity. The proof is based on a new construction of a Cantor set of Hausdorff dimension zero supporting such a measure.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the year 1844 JOSEPH LIIOUVILLE constructed an interesting class of transcendental numbers, namely

$$\mathbb{L} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists q \in \mathbb{N} : \|qx\| < q^{-n}\},$$

now called the set of *Liouville numbers*. Here  $\|x\| = \min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - m|$  denotes the distance of a real number  $x$  to the nearest integer. For example, the number  $\sum 10^{-k!} = 0.110001000\dots$  (where the 1 is only in places  $n!$ ) belongs to  $\mathbb{L}$ . From the well known theorem of JARNIK [4] and BESICOVITCH [1] it follows immediately that  $\mathbb{L}$  has Hausdorff dimension zero, so we consider  $\mathbb{L}$  to be a ‘small’ set.

In this note we show that  $\mathbb{L}$  supports a positive measure whose Fourier transform vanishes at infinity. Such measures are called *Rajchman measures*; see the survey article by LYONS [6] for references. A detailed discussion of related constructions can be found in KÖRNER’s paper [5].

The proof of our result is based on a new construction of a Cantor set with Hausdorff dimension zero carrying a Rajchman measure.

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

In the sequel  $\mathbb{P}_M$  denotes the set of prime numbers between  $M$  and  $2M$  where  $M$  is a positive integer. We choose a sequence of positive integers  $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $M_1 < 2M_1 < M_2 < 2M_2 < M_3 < 2M_3 < \dots$  and define the set

$$S_\infty = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}_{M_k}} \{x \in [0, 1] : \|px\| \leq p^{-1-k}\}.$$

---

Received by the editors September 1, 1998 and, in revised form, October 19, 1998.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42A38; Secondary 28A80.  
*Key words and phrases*. Liouville numbers, Rajchman measure, Cantor set.

In fact,  $S_\infty$  is compact because  $\overline{E}_k(p) = \{x \in [0, 1] : \|px\| \leq p^{-1-k}\}$  equals

$$(2.1) \quad [0, p^{-2-k}] \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{p-1} \left[ \frac{m}{p} - p^{-2-k}, \frac{m}{p} + p^{-2-k} \right] \cup [1 - p^{-2-k}, 1].$$

**Proposition 2.1.**  $S_\infty$  is a Cantor set of Hausdorff dimension zero.

*Proof.* According to (2.1) the set  $\overline{E}_k(p)$  can be covered by  $p + 1$  intervals of length  $\leq 2p^{-2-k}$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha = 3/(2 + k)$  this implies

$$H^\alpha(S_\infty) \leq \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}_{M_k}} (p + 1) (2p^{-2-k})^{3/(2+k)} < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $S_\infty$  has Hausdorff dimension zero. □

For the following theorem recall that the Fourier transform of a positive bounded measure  $\mu$  is defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi ixt} d\mu(t) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** There exists a sequence  $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the corresponding set  $S_\infty$  supports a positive measure  $\mu_\infty$  with

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_\infty(x) = 0.$$

As an application we obtain

**Theorem 2.3.** The set  $S_\infty \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is contained in  $\mathbb{L}$ . Therefore, the set of Liouville numbers carries a Rajchman measure.

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $S_\infty$  it is obvious that  $S_\infty \setminus \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{L}$ . The Rajchman measure  $\mu_\infty$  is supported by  $S_\infty$ . Removing the rational points from  $S_\infty$  means removing a zero set from the support of  $\mu_\infty$ . By a simple regularity argument we can replace  $\text{supp}(\mu_\infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  by a compact set with positive  $\mu_\infty$ -measure. □

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on a modification of a construction elaborated in [2]. There (in section 3) we constructed, for given positive  $\alpha > 0$  and positive integers  $M$ , certain 1-periodic functions  $g_M \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  with

$$(3.1) \quad \text{supp}(g_M) \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}_M} \{x : \|px\| \leq p^{-1-\alpha}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{g}_M(0) = 1.$$

Moreover, these functions  $g_M$  had the following nice property ([2], Lemma 3.2):

**Lemma 3.1.** For every  $\psi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $M_0 = M_0(\psi, \delta)$  s.t.

$$\left| [\psi g_M]^\wedge(x) - \hat{\psi}(x) \right| \leq \delta \cdot \theta(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

for all  $M \geq M_0$ , where  $\theta(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-1/(2+\alpha)} \cdot \log(e + |x|) \cdot \log(e + \log(e + |x|))$ .

We will apply Lemma 3.1 to our situation by replacing  $\alpha$  by  $k$  and  $\theta$  by

$$\theta_k(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-1/(2+k)} \cdot \log(e + |x|) \cdot \log(e + \log(e + |x|)) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

To be more precise, we fix an initial function  $\psi_0 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with

$$\psi_0 \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \int \psi_0(x)dx = 1, \quad \psi_0|_{]0,1[} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_0|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [0,1]} \equiv 0.$$

Next we define a sequence  $(\tau_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  by  $\tau_k = (\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \theta_k(x))^{-1}$ . As a first step we replace  $\alpha$  in the setting above by  $k = 1$ . According to Lemma 3.1 we can find a positive integer  $M_1 = M_1(\psi_0, \tau_1 3^{-1})$  such that

$$\left| [\psi g_{M_1}]^\wedge(x) - \hat{\psi}(x) \right| \leq \tau_1 3^{-1} \theta_1(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now we repeat the same procedure, but this time replacing  $\alpha$  by  $k = 2$ ,  $\theta_1$  by  $\theta_2$ , and  $\psi$  by  $\psi_0 g_{M_1}$ . Then again Lemma 3.1 implies the existence of an integer  $M_2 = M_2(\psi_0 g_{M_1}, \tau_2 3^{-2})$  such that

$$\left| [\psi g_{M_1} g_{M_2}]^\wedge(x) - [\psi_0 g_{M_1}]^\wedge(x) \right| \leq \tau_2 3^{-2} \theta_2(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By repeating this process we obtain for every index  $k$  an integer

$$M_k = M_k(\psi_0 g_{M_1} g_{M_2} \cdots g_{M_{k-1}}, \tau_k 3^{-k}) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

fulfilling the corresponding estimation.

Now we assume  $S_\infty$  to be constructed according to  $(M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We set

$$G_0 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad G_k = g_{M_1} \cdots g_{M_k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By Lemma 3.1 we obtain for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(3.2) \quad \left| [\psi_0 G_{k+1}]^\wedge(x) - [\psi_0 G_k]^\wedge(x) \right| \leq \tau_{k+1} 3^{-k-1} \theta_{k+1}(x).$$

Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure and define a sequence of measures by

$$\mu_k = \psi_0 G_k \lambda \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Because of (3.2) the sequence  $(\hat{\mu}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a Cauchy sequence w.r.t. the supremum norm. Taking  $\hat{g}_{M_k}(0) = 1$  into account (see (3.1)), we conclude the weak convergence of  $(\mu_k)_k$  to a bounded measure  $\mu_\infty$  (Lévy’s continuity theorem). Moreover, by (3.2) and a geometric series estimate we get  $|\hat{\mu}_\infty(0) - \hat{\psi}(0)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $\mu_\infty$  has at least mass  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The claimed Fourier asymptotic of  $\mu_\infty$  follows easily from (3.2) and a simple geometric series argument, also taking into account that  $\hat{\mu}_p(x) = O(|x|^{-2})$  for fixed  $p$ . The construction of  $\mu_\infty$  is based on successive multiplication of densities  $g_{M_k}$ . Therefore, by (3.1) it is clear that the support of  $\mu_\infty$  must be contained in the Cantor set  $S_\infty$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

*Remark 3.2.* Why prime numbers? Let us sketch the answer. The proof of Lemma 3.1 ([2], section 4) rests on the prime number theorem  $\#\mathbb{P}_M \sim M/\log M$  (HARDY AND WRIGHT [3] (22.19.3)). So it is clear that although the number of primes between  $M$  and  $2M$  is strictly increasing with  $M$ , the primes are somehow ‘thinning out’ at infinity. This observation is of great importance in the proof of Lemma 3.1, when one tries to allow the ‘ $\delta$ ’ in the estimation to become arbitrarily small.

REFERENCES

[1] Besicovitch, A. S., *Sets of fractional dimensions (IV): on rational approximation to real numbers*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 9 (1934), 126-131  
 [2] Bluhm, C., *On a theorem of Kaufman: Cantor-type construction of linear fractal Salem sets*, Ark. Mat. 36 (1998), 307-316 MR 99i:43009  
 [3] Hardy, G. H., Wright, E. M., *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford University Press, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. (1971) MR 81i:10002 (5th edition)

- [4] Jarnik, V., *Zur metrischen Theorie der diophantischen Approximation*, Prace Mat.-Fiz. 36 (1928/29), 91-106
- [5] Körner, T. W., *On the theorem of Ivashev-Musatov III*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 53 (1986), 143-192 MR **88f**:42021
- [6] Lyons, R., *Seventy Years of Rajchman Measures*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., Kahane Special Issue (1995), 363-377 MR **97b**:42019

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GREIFSWALD, JAHNSTRASSE 15A, D-17487  
GREIFSWALD, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `bluhm@rz.uni-greifswald.de`