

ERRATUM TO  
“CHERN NUMBERS OF CERTAIN LEFSCHETZ FIBRATIONS”

ANDRÁS I. STIPSICZ

(Communicated by Ronald A. Fintushel)

The proof of Proposition 2.5 in [S] is incorrect as stated. The argument given in that paper proves only the following more restrictive version:

**Proposition 2.5’.** *Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a genus- $k$  Lefschetz fibration which can be written as the fiber sum of a Lefschetz fibration over  $S^2$  with the trivial genus- $k$  fibration on  $\Sigma$ . If  $k \geq 2$  and  $g(\Sigma) = l \geq 1$ , then  $b_1(X) \leq 2k + 2l$  and  $b_2(X) \geq 2kl + 1$ .  $\square$*

*Remark.* The first conclusion ( $b_1(X) \leq 2k + 2l$ ) is valid in the general case presented in [S]; the proof of  $b_2(X) \geq 2kl + 1$ , however, uses the triviality of the fibration over a system of homotopically nontrivial loops of the base  $\Sigma$ . For this reason the extra assumption on the fibration  $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma$  described above should be added to Corollary 2.6 and Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 in [S].

A different argument provides a proof for the following (weaker) bound on the first Chern number of a Lefschetz fibration  $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

**Lemma.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a genus- $k$  Lefschetz fibration,  $k \geq 2$  and  $l = g(\Sigma) \geq 1$ , then  $c_1^2(X) \leq 5c_2(X) + 6(2l - 2)$ .*

*Proof.* A covering argument given in [K] can be adapted to the present situation. Note first that for a genus- $k$  Lefschetz fibration  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$  we have  $\sigma(X) \leq b_2(X) = \chi(X) - 2 + 2b_1(X) \leq \chi(X) + 4k + 4l - 2$ , hence

$$(1) \quad c_1^2(X) \leq 5c_2(X) + 6(2l - 2) + 6(2k + 1).$$

Suppose that  $\varphi_n: \Sigma(n) \rightarrow \Sigma$  is an (unramified)  $n$ -fold covering and define the genus- $k$  Lefschetz fibration  $X(n) \rightarrow \Sigma(n)$  as the pull-back of  $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma$  via  $\varphi_n$ . Since both the Euler characteristic and the signature multiplies by  $n$  under an  $n$ -fold cover, we get that  $c_1^2(X(n)) - 5c_2(X(n)) + 6\chi(\Sigma(n)) = n(c_1^2(X) - 5c_2(X) + 6\chi(\Sigma))$ . Consequently if  $c_1^2(X) - 5c_2(X) + 6\chi(\Sigma)$  is positive for  $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ , then by choosing  $n > 6(2k + 1)$  we get that  $c_1^2(X(n)) - 5c_2(X(n)) + 6\chi(\Sigma(n)) > 6(2k + 1)$ , contradicting the trivial estimate (1). This observation shows that  $c_1^2(X) - 5c_2(X) + 6\chi(\Sigma) \leq 0$ , which proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Remark.* Note that the above lemma proves Proposition 2.5 of [S] in case  $l = 1$ , i.e., for fibrations over the torus  $T^2$ . We also would like to point out that the other result of [S] (stating that relative minimality of a Lefschetz fibration is equivalent

---

Received by the editors December 27, 1999.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R99, 57M12.

to minimality over a base with nonzero genus) is independent of Proposition 2.5, hence is unaffected by the mistake discussed above.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Dieter Kotschick for pointing out the mistake in [S].

#### REFERENCES

- [S] A. Stipsicz, *Chern numbers of certain Lefschetz fibrations*, Proc. AMS **128** (2000), 1845–1851. CMP 99:01
- [K] D. Kotschick, *Signatures, monopoles and mapping class groups*, Math. Res. Letters **5** (1998), 227–234. MR **99d**:57023

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS, ELTE TTK, 1088. MÚZEUM KRT. 6-8., BUDAPEST, HUNGARY  
*E-mail address*: [stipsicz@cs.elte.hu](mailto:stipsicz@cs.elte.hu)