

PROJECTIVE BOUNDEDNESS AND CONVOLUTION OF FRÉCHET MEASURES

R. BLEI AND J. CAGGIANO

(Communicated by Christopher D. Sogge)

ABSTRACT. Fréchet measures of order n (\mathcal{F}_n -measures) are the measure-theoretic analogues of bounded n -linear forms on products of $C_0(K)$ spaces. In an LCA setting, convolution of \mathcal{F}_2 -measures is always defined, while there exist \mathcal{F}_3 -measures whose convolution cannot be defined. In a three-dimensional setting, we demonstrate the existence of an \mathcal{F}_2 -measure which cannot be convolved with arbitrary \mathcal{F}_3 -measures.

Let $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{A}_1), \dots, (\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{A}_n)$ be measurable spaces. A scalar-valued function μ on $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n$ is a Fréchet measure (an \mathcal{F}_n -measure) if, when any $n - 1$ coordinates are fixed, μ is a measure in the remaining coordinate. When the measure spaces are arbitrary or understood, we write \mathcal{F}_n for $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$. Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$ be locally compact abelian groups with corresponding dual groups $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathcal{X}}_n$. If $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ are the respective Borel fields of $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_n$, then the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of μ is given by

$$\hat{\mu}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \int \bar{\gamma}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{\gamma}_n d\mu, \quad (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}_1 \times \dots \times \hat{\mathcal{X}}_n.$$

(The integral above is defined iteratively.) We use the multi-linear Riesz Representation Theorem [B1] to identify $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$ with the dual space of

$$C_0(\mathcal{X}_1) \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} C_0(\mathcal{X}_n) \equiv \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n),$$

and thus extend the action to arbitrary bounded functions by integration. See [B3] for details. It is natural to consider the feasibility of convolution of \mathcal{F}_n -measures.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$ be LCA groups with Borel fields $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$. \mathcal{F}_n -measures μ and ν are **convolvable** if $\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu} = \hat{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$; λ is then denoted by $\mu * \nu$ or $\nu * \mu$. We say $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_n$ is a **convolver** if $\mu * \nu$ exists for all $\nu \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

The case $n = 1$ in Definition 1 is classical; every \mathcal{F}_1 -measure is a convolver. It is shown in [GS1] that in a two-dimensional setting every \mathcal{F}_2 -measure is a convolver, while in [GS2] it is shown that there exist non-convolvers in \mathcal{F}_3 . In general, convolvability is related to *projective boundedness*, a property conveying a Grothendieck-type inequality. In the definition which follows, $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{X}_j)$ denotes the space of bounded functions on \mathcal{X}_j .

Received by the editors September 1, 1998 and, in revised form, January 28, 1999.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 43A05, 46A32.
The first author was supported by an NSA grant.

Definition 2 ([B3]). Let $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{A}_1), \dots, (\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{A}_n)$ be measurable spaces, and let $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n)$. For $f_j \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{X}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, define

$$\phi_\mu(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \int f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n d\mu.$$

We say that μ is projectively bounded if

$$\|\mu\|_{pb_n} := \sup\{\|\phi_\mu|_{E_1 \times \dots \times E_n}\|_{\mathcal{V}_n(E_1, \dots, E_n)} : E_j \subset \text{Ball}(\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{X}_j)), |E_j| < \infty, j = 1, \dots, n\} < \infty;$$

the class of projectively bounded \mathcal{F}_n -measures on $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{PBF}_n = \mathcal{PBF}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n)$.

Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n$ be locally compact Hausdorff spaces with respective Borel fields $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n$. For

$$f \in \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{Y}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n),$$

define a function $\eta_{f;\mu}$ on $\mathcal{Y}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{Y}_n$ by

(1)

$$\eta_{f;\mu}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \int f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) \mu(dx_1, \dots, dx_n) \quad (y_j \in \mathcal{Y}_j).$$

Definition 3. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$.

1. (τ -projective boundedness) Let $\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n$ be locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and define

$$\|\mu\|_{pb_{\tau,n}} := \sup\{\|\eta_{f;\mu}\|_{\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)} : \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{Y}_n)} \leq 1\}.$$

We say that μ is τ -projectively bounded if $\|\mu\|_{pb_{\tau,n}} < \infty$; the class of τ -projectively bounded \mathcal{F}_n -measures on $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{PBF}_{\tau,n} = \mathcal{PBF}_{\tau,n}(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$.

2. (g -projective boundedness) Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$ be LCA groups. For $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$ and elementary tensors $f = f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n \in \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n)$, let

(2)

$$\Psi_{f;\mu}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \int f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \mu(dx_1, \dots, dx_n) \quad (y_j \in \mathcal{X}_j),$$

and define

$$\|\mu\|_{pb_{g,n}} := \sup\{\|\Psi_{f;\mu}\|_{\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n)} : \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}_n} = \prod_j \|f_j\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

We say that μ is g -projectively bounded if $\|\mu\|_{pb_{g,n}} < \infty$; the class of g -projectively bounded \mathcal{F}_n -measures on $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{PBF}_{g,n} = \mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$.

The symbols τ and g in Definition 3 denote, respectively, *topological* and *group-topological* projective boundedness. It is straightforward to check that \mathcal{PBF}_n , $\mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}$, and $\mathcal{PBF}_{\tau,n}$ are Banach spaces. To verify that every $\mu \in \mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}$ is a convolver, let $\nu \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n)$ be arbitrary and consider a linear form $\Lambda : \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given by

(3)

$$\Lambda(f) = \int \left(\int f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \mu(dx_1, \dots, dx_n) \right) \nu(dy_1, \dots, dy_n).$$

Then Λ is bounded, and the \mathcal{F}_n -measure λ representing this linear action satisfies $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}$. Each $\mu \in \mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}$ can be identified with a bounded linear operator $T_\mu : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ given by $T_\mu(\nu) = \mu * \nu$. By a routine argument, $\mu \in \mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}$ if and only if the domain of T_μ is \mathcal{F}_n , and thus $\mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}$ is precisely the space of convolvers.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_n$, let $\mathcal{D}(\mu) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_n : \mu * \alpha \text{ exists}\}$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ is the domain of T_μ . Results in [GS1] and [GS2] state that in a two-dimensional setting $\mathcal{D}(\mu) = \mathcal{F}_2$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_2$, and there exist $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_3$ with $\mathcal{D}(\mu) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_3$. Thus it is natural to ask: for $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_2(\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2), \mathcal{A}_3)$, is $\mathcal{D}(\mu) = \mathcal{F}_3(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3)$? We show that the answer is *no*.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_j)$ be the space of \mathcal{A}_j -simple functions on \mathcal{X}_j , equipped with the uniform norm. $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$ will denote the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ with respect to the projective tensor norm.

Proposition 4. $\mathcal{PBF}_n \subset \mathcal{PBF}_{\tau,n} \subset \mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}$.

Proof. The second inclusion is immediate from the definitions. Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$ be compact spaces. A theorem of Saeki [S] states that

$$(4) \quad C(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n) \cap \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n) = \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n).$$

We show that

$$(5) \quad \|\eta_{f;\mu}\|_{\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}_n} \|\mu\|_{pb_n}, \quad f \in \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{Y}_n).$$

(See (1) for the definition of $\eta_{f;\mu}$.) Let $g = g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n$ be an elementary tensor in $C_c(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{Y}_n)$. (The subscript c denotes compact support.) Then $\eta_{g;\mu} \in C_c(\mathcal{Y}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{Y}_n)$. For locally compact spaces X and Y , $\mathcal{V}_2(X, Y)$ is uniformly dense in $C_0(X \times Y)$, and thus for $k = 1, \dots, n$ there exist sequences $\{\rho_{jk}\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}_k) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}_k)$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{jk} = g_k$ in the uniform norm. Let $\theta_j = \rho_{j1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{jn}$. Then $\lim_j \eta_{\theta_j;\mu} = \eta_{g;\mu}$ (uniform limit), and $\{\eta_{\theta_j;\mu}\}$ is a $\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)$ -Cauchy sequence satisfying

$$\|\eta_{\theta_j;\mu}\|_{\mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)} \leq \|\rho_{j1}\|_\infty \cdots \|\rho_{jn}\|_\infty \|\mu\|_{pb_n}.$$

By (4), $\eta_{g;\mu} \in \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and choose $f \in \mathcal{V}_n(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{Y}_n)$. Without loss of generality, we can take f to be compactly supported. We represent

$$f = \sum_k g_k,$$

where $g_k = g_{1k} \otimes \dots \otimes g_{nk}$, and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}_n} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \sum_k \|g_{1k}\|_\infty \cdots \|g_{nk}\|_\infty,$$

with each $g_k \in C_c(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes C_c(\mathcal{X}_n \times \mathcal{Y}_n)$. Then $\eta_{f;\mu} = \sum_k \eta_{g_k;\mu}$, and (5) follows. \square

We do not know which of the inclusions in Proposition 4 are proper. (\mathcal{PBF}_n is properly contained in $\mathcal{PBF}_{g,n}$, as shown by the ‘fractional’ forms in [B3].)

We now show that in a three-dimensional setting, an \mathcal{F}_2 -measure need not be a convolver.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$, and \mathcal{X}_3 be infinite locally compact abelian groups with respective Borel fields $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$, and \mathcal{A}_3 . For every $K > 0$ there exists a discrete measure μ on $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$ satisfying $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}_2(\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2), \mathcal{A}_3)} \leq 1$ and $\|\mu\|_{pb_{g,3}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3)} \geq K$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{Z}_n be the group of integers under addition modulo n , and let $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n$ be its dual. Let $\mu_n : C(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n \times \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n) \times C(\mathbf{Z}_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be given by

$$\mu_n(f, h) = \sum_{m \in \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n} \frac{f(m, m)}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{h}(m).$$

We show that $\|\mu_n\|_{\mathcal{F}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n \times \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n)} \leq 1$ and $\|\hat{\mu}_n\|_{\mathcal{V}_3(\mathbf{Z}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (For convenience, we write $\mathcal{F}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n \times \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n)$ for $\mathcal{F}_2(2^{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n} \times 2^{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n}, 2^{\mathbf{Z}_n})$.) Clearly, $\|\mu_n\|_{\mathcal{F}_2} \leq 1$ (by Cauchy-Schwarz). μ_n is also an element of $\mathcal{F}_3(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n, \mathbf{Z}_n)$:

$$\mu_n(f, g, h) = \sum_{m \in \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n} \frac{f(m)g(m)}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{h}(m).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_n(j, k, l) &= \sum_{m \in \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi i j m}{n}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i k m}{n}}}{n\sqrt{n}} \sum_{s \in \mathbf{Z}_n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i (l+m)s}{n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e^{2\pi i j l/n} e^{2\pi i k l/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\Phi_n(j, k, l) = \frac{1}{n^2} e^{-2\pi i j l/n} e^{-2\pi i k l/n}.$$

Let \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} , and \mathbf{u} be elements in the unit ball of $\ell^\infty(\mathbf{Z}_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j, k, l} \Phi_n(j, k, l) \mathbf{s}(j) \mathbf{t}(k) \mathbf{u}(l) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left| \sum_l \mathbf{u}(l) \sum_j \mathbf{s}(j) e^{-2\pi i j l/n} \sum_k \mathbf{t}(k) e^{-2\pi i k l/n} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_l \mathbf{u}(l) \hat{\mathbf{s}}(l) \hat{\mathbf{t}}(l) \right| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\hat{\mathbf{s}}\|_2 \|\hat{\mathbf{t}}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{s}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{t}\|_\infty \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|\Phi_n\|_{\mathcal{F}_3} \leq 1$. However,

$$\left| \sum_{j, k, l} \hat{\mu}_n(j, k, l) \Phi_n(j, k, l) \right| = \sqrt{n}.$$

Therefore, by the duality $(\mathcal{V}_3)^* = \mathcal{F}_3$,

$$\sqrt{n} \leq \|\hat{\mu}_n\|_{\mathcal{V}_3} \leq \|\mu_n\|_{pb_3}.$$

Let $[N] = \{1, \dots, N\}$. Given $K > 0$, there exist N and $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_2([N]^2, [N])$ with $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{F}_2} \leq 1$ and $\|\mu\|_{pb_3} > K$. If $\mu = \{\mu_{xyz} : (x, y, z) \in [N]^3\}$, there exist arrays a, b, c in the unit ball of $\ell^\infty([N]^2)$ such that

$$\|\eta_{a, b, c; \mu}\|_{\mathcal{V}_3([N], [N], [N])} > K,$$

where

$$\eta_{a, b, c; \mu}(i, j, k) = \sum_{(x, y, z) \in [N]^3} \mu_{xyz} a_{xi} b_{yj} c_{zk}, \quad (i, j, k) \in [N]^3.$$

Let F_m and G_m be disjoint mutually independent subsets of \mathcal{X}_m of cardinality N given by

$$F_m = \{s_{jm} : j \in [N]\}, \quad G_m = \{t_{jm} : j \in [N]\}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3.$$

(Disjoint subsets A and B of an abelian group are *mutually independent* if given elements (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) of $A \times B$, the relation $a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2$ implies that $a_1 = a_2$ and $b_1 = b_2$.) Define a measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{X}_3$ by

$$\tilde{\mu} = \sum_{(x,y,z) \in [N]^3} \mu_{xyz} \delta_{s_{x1}} \otimes \delta_{s_{y2}} \otimes \delta_{s_{z3}},$$

and observe that $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{\mathcal{F}_2(\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2), \mathcal{A}_3)} \leq 1$. Therefore, by the independence of F_m and G_m , we can find $f \in C_0(\mathcal{X}_1)$, $g \in C_0(\mathcal{X}_2)$, and $h \in C_0(\mathcal{X}_3)$ with

$$f(s_{i1} + t_{j1}) = a_{ij}, \quad g(s_{i2} + t_{j2}) = b_{ij}, \quad h(s_{i3} + t_{j3}) = c_{ij},$$

so that

$$\eta_{a,b,c;\mu}(i, j, k) = \Psi_{f \otimes g \otimes h; \tilde{\mu}}(t_{i1}, t_{j2}, t_{k3}), \quad (i, j, k) \in [N]^3.$$

(Refer to (1) and (2) for definitions of η and Ψ .) Thus $\|\Psi_{f \otimes g \otimes h; \mu}\|_{\mathcal{V}_3} \geq K$, and hence $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{pb_{g,n}} \geq K$. \square

Corollary 6. *If the underlying σ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$, and \mathcal{A}_3 are infinite, there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_2(\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2), \mathcal{A}_3)$ which is not a convolver in \mathcal{F}_3 .*

TWO FURTHER QUESTIONS

1. It is shown in [B3] that scalar measures are projectively bounded. Hence, by Proposition 4, all scalar measures are convolvers. An \mathcal{F}_3 -measure μ is an $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{3}{2}}$ -measure if, when any one coordinate is fixed, μ extends to a scalar measure in the remaining two coordinates. (See [B2] for details.) We have the proper containments $\mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{\frac{3}{2}} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_2$. We do not know whether all $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{3}{2}}$ -measures are convolvers.

2. Let $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$ be LCA groups. It is shown in [ZS] that the space of completely bounded n -linear forms on $C_0(\mathcal{X}_1) \times \dots \times C_0(\mathcal{X}_n)$ has a natural Banach $*$ -algebra structure extending that of \mathcal{F}_1 on $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}_n$. We do not know whether all completely bounded forms are convolvers, or if all convolvers are completely bounded.

REFERENCES

- [B1] R. Blei, *Fractional dimensions and bounded forms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1985), no. 331. MR **87k**:26021
- [B2] ———, *An extension theorem concerning Fréchet measures*, Canad. Math. Bull. **38** (1995), 278-285. MR **96k**:28007
- [B3] ———, *Projectively bounded Fréchet measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 4409-4432. MR **97a**:28009
- [GS1] C. C. Graham and B. M. Schreiber, *Bimeasure algebras on LCA groups*, Pacific Jour. Math. **115** (1984), 91-127. MR **86a**:43003

- [GS2] ———, *Projections in spaces of bimeasures*, Canad. Math. Bull. **31** (1988), 19-25. MR **89b**:43004
- [S] S. Saeki, *The ranges of certain isometries of tensor products of Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **23** (1971), 27-39. MR **46**:7924
- [ZS] G. Zhao and B. M. Schreiber, *Algebras of multilinear forms on groups*, Contemp. Math. **189** (1995), 497-511. MR **96i**:43001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CONNECTICUT 06269
E-mail address: Blei@uconnvm.uconn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE, ARKANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, BOX 70, STATE UNIVERSITY, ARKANSAS 72467
E-mail address: Caggiano@csm.astate.edu