

## TRACE-CLASS PERTURBATION AND STRONG CONVERGENCE: WAVE OPERATORS REVISITED

JINGBO XIA

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. We give a new construction of wave operators for a self-adjoint operator under trace-class perturbation. This construction requires no quantitative estimates.

The well-known theorem of Kato and Rosenblum [2], [5] asserts that if  $A$  and  $A'$  are self-adjoint operators and if  $A - A'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , the trace class, then the absolutely continuous parts of  $A$  and  $A'$  are unitarily equivalent. Because of the importance of the problem of trace-class perturbation, many improvements and generalizations of this theorem have appeared in the literature. [3] and [4] contain a reasonably complete account of the development between [2], [5] and the late 1970's. In these works the unitary equivalence between  $A_{ac}$  and  $A'_{ac}$  was established through the existence of the wave operators

$$W_{\pm}(A', A) = s\text{-}\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{-i\lambda A'} e^{i\lambda A} P_{ac}(A).$$

In fact, as mentioned in [5], the original idea of using the operator  $e^{-i\lambda A'} e^{i\lambda A}$  dates back to Friedrichs [1]. The first generalization of the Kato-Rosenblum theorem to the setting of operator tuples was made by Voigt [8]. The use of the exponential function  $e_{\lambda}(x) = \exp(i\lambda x)$  is fundamental to these “time-dependent” constructions of wave operators.

In [6], Voiculescu generalized wave operators to the setting of commuting tuples under perturbation by norm ideals of compact operators. He showed that, if  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_N)$  and  $T' = (T'_1, \dots, T'_N)$  are commuting tuples of self-adjoint operators such that  $T_j - T'_j \in \mathcal{C}^{(0)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , and if the norm ideal  $\mathcal{C}$  has the property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2} \|\omega_1 \otimes \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n \otimes \omega_n\|_{\mathcal{C}} = 0,$$

where  $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is any orthonormal set, then the wave operator for the perturbation problem  $T \rightarrow T'$  exists in the strong operator topology and is *unique* [6, Theorem 1.5]. This uniqueness is in sharp contrast with the problem of trace-class perturbation for single operator; in general, the two wave operators  $W_+$  and  $W_-$  do not necessarily coincide. While essentially a time-dependent approach, Voiculescu's

---

Received by the editors January 26, 1999.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A40, 47B10, 47L20.

This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-9703515.

work shows that, for perturbations other than that by the trace class, it is possible to construct wave operators without explicitly using the exponential function  $e_\lambda(x) = \exp(i\lambda x)$ .

The treatment of the original theorem of Kato-Rosenblum in the framework of [6], however, proved to be elusive. In fact this was one of the problems Voiculescu raised during the 1983 ICM [7, page 1043]. Also, the reason for the fact that  $W_+$  and  $W_-$  may differ or, equivalently, that the scattering operator  $S = W_-^*W_+$  is not necessarily  $P_{ac}(A)$ , has never been made clear in the previous constructions of wave operators; one usually gets  $S \neq P_{ac}(A)$  from explicit computations.

The purpose of this note is to give a proof of the Kato-Rosenblum theorem within the context suggested by Voiculescu [6], [7]. Indeed, what we will prove is slightly stronger than the original version. Moreover, this new proof has the following three distinct features: (a) It identifies the cause for  $W_+ \neq W_-$ . (b) It only uses certain limited properties satisfied by the exponential functions  $e_\lambda(x) = \exp(i\lambda x)$ , but not the exponential functions themselves. (c) Unlike previous ones, our proof involves no quantitative estimates. Indeed, our proof is surprisingly soft.

We start by recalling a few well-known facts. For the rest of the paper,  $H$  and  $M$  will denote the Hilbert transform and the multiplication by the coordinate function on  $\mathbf{R}$ . That is, for  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  or for  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{M}) = L^2(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Hilbert space, we write

$$(Hf)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \text{p.v.} \int \frac{f(y)}{y-x} dy \quad \text{and} \quad (Mf)(x) = xf(x).$$

Recall that  $e^{i\lambda M} H e^{-i\lambda M} = \chi_{(0,\infty)}(D-\lambda) - \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(D-\lambda)$ , where  $D$  is the differential operator  $(1/i)d/dx$ . Hence

$$\text{s-} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\lambda M} H e^{-i\lambda M} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{s-} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} e^{i\lambda M} H e^{-i\lambda M} = 1.$$

Also recall that if  $A$  and  $A'$  are self-adjoint operators,  $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$(A' - z)^{-1} (e^{i\lambda A'} - e^{i\lambda A}) (A - z)^{-1} = i \int_0^\lambda e^{isA'} \{ (A - z)^{-1} - (A' - z)^{-1} \} e^{i(\lambda-s)A} ds.$$

Therefore if  $(A - z)^{-1} - (A' - z)^{-1}$  belongs to the trace class, then so does  $(A' - z)^{-1} (e^{i\lambda A'} - e^{i\lambda A}) (A - z)^{-1}$ . As it turns out, these are the only properties of the exponential function which are relevant to the construction of wave operators.

A sequence of Borel functions  $\{\varphi_n\}$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  is said to be of class  $\Omega_+$  if

(i)  $|\varphi_n(t)| = 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

(ii) If  $A$  and  $A'$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $(A - z)^{-1} - (A' - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  for some  $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ , then  $(A' - z)^{-1} (\varphi_n(A') - \varphi_n(A)) (A - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

(iii<sup>+</sup>)  $\text{s-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(M) H \varphi_n^*(M) = -1$ .

A sequence of Borel functions  $\{\varphi_n\}$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  is said to be of class  $\Omega_-$  if it satisfies (i), (ii) and

(iii<sup>-</sup>)  $\text{s-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(M) H \varphi_n^*(M) = 1$ .

It is elementary that (ii) and (i) imply

(II) If  $A$  and  $A'$  are self-adjoint operators such that  $(A - z)^{-1} - (A' - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  for some  $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ , then  $(\varphi_n(A') - \varphi_n(A)) (A - z)^{-2} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Because  $\|(H \pm 1) \varphi_n^*(M) f\| = \|\varphi_n(M) (H \pm 1) \varphi_n^*(M) f\|$ , (iii<sup>+</sup>) and (iii<sup>-</sup>) respectively imply

(III<sup>+</sup>)  $\text{s-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H + 1) \varphi_n^*(M) = 0$ .

(III<sup>-</sup>)  $\text{s-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H - 1) \varphi_n^*(M) = 0$ .

Thus, if  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are positive numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ , then the sequence  $\{\exp(i\lambda_n x)\}$  (resp.  $\{\exp(-i\lambda_n x)\}$ ) is of class  $\Omega_+$  (resp.  $\Omega_-$ ). As we will see, the dichotomy between  $(iii^+)$  and  $(iii^-)$  is the cause for  $W_+ \neq W_-$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$(A - z)^{-1} - (A' - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}_1 \quad \text{for some } z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}.$$

*Then there are partial isometries  $W_+(A', A)$  and  $W_-(A', A)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n^*(A') \varphi_n(A) P_{ac}(A) &= W_+(A', A) \quad \text{and} \\ s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n^*(A') \psi_n(A) P_{ac}(A) &= W_-(A', A), \end{aligned}$$

*where  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is any sequence of class  $\Omega_+$  and  $\{\psi_n\}$  any sequence of class  $\Omega_-$ .*

*Proof.* We only need to establish the strong convergence; that the limits  $W_+(A', A)$  and  $W_-(A', A)$  are independent of the choices of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  and  $\{\psi_n\}$  follows from an observation borrowed from [6]: If one mixes two sequences of a given class, then one obtains a new sequence of the same class. Moreover, we will only consider the case of  $\Omega_+$ ; the case of  $\Omega_-$  differs only in one detail, which will be pointed out in due course.

We may assume that  $\mathcal{H} = (\bigoplus_{j \in J} L^2(\Delta_j)) \oplus \mathcal{H}_s$ , where each summand is invariant under  $A$ ,  $A|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is purely singular, and  $A|_{(\bigoplus_{j \in J} L^2(\Delta_j))} = M$ , the multiplication by the coordinate function. Here, each  $\Delta_j$  is a Borel set in  $\mathbf{R}$  and, as usual,  $L^2(\Delta_j) = \chi_{\Delta_j} L^2(\mathbf{R})$ . Define  $W_n = \varphi_n^*(A') \varphi_n(A)$  and  $T_{k,n} = W_k^* W_n - 1$  for  $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Pick a  $j_0 \in J$  and let  $\xi \in L^2(\Delta_{j_0})$  be a bounded function whose support is contained in a finite interval  $I$ . Define the operator  $\tilde{M}_\xi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by the formula  $\tilde{M}_\xi f = \xi f_{j_0}$  for  $f = (\bigoplus_{j \in J} f_j) \oplus h$ , where  $h \in \mathcal{H}_s$  and  $f_j \in L^2(\Delta_j)$ ,  $j \in J$ . Define

$$Y_\xi = \pi i(A - z) \tilde{M}_\xi (H + 1) \tilde{M}_\xi^* (A - z).$$

(For the case of  $\Omega_-$ , replace the operator  $H + 1$  above by  $H - 1$ .) Because  $\text{supp } \xi \subset I$ ,  $Y_\xi$  is a bounded operator. Denote  $K = (A - z)^{-1} - (A' - z)^{-1}$ . We have  $[T_{k,n}, (A - z)^{-1}] = W_k^* \varphi_n^*(A') K \varphi_n(A) - \varphi_k^*(A) K \varphi_k(A') W_n \in \mathcal{C}_1$  and, therefore,

$$|\text{tr}([T_{k,n}, (A - z)^{-1}] Y_\xi)| \leq \|K \varphi_n(A) Y_\xi\|_1 + \|Y_\xi \varphi_k^*(A) K\|_1.$$

It follows from  $(III^+)$ , the identity  $\tilde{M}_\xi^* \varphi_n^*(A) = \varphi_n^*(M) \tilde{M}_\xi^*$ , and the assumptions on  $\xi$  that  $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_\xi^* \varphi_n^*(A) = 0 = s\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_\xi \varphi_k^*(A)$ , which leads to  $\|Y_\xi \varphi_k^*(A) K\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|K \varphi_n(A) Y_\xi\|_1 = \|Y_\xi^* \varphi_n^*(A) K^*\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\min\{k, n\} \rightarrow \infty$ . That is,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{\min\{k,n\} \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}([T_{k,n}, (A - z)^{-1}] Y_\xi) = 0.$$

By  $(II)$ ,  $(W_n - 1)(A - z)^{-2} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $(W_k^* - 1)(A - z)^{-2} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Since  $T_{k,n} = (W_k^* - 1)(W_n - 1) + (W_k^* - 1) + (W_n - 1)$ , we have  $T_{k,n}(A - z)^{-2} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Since  $(A - z)^2 Y_\xi$  is bounded,  $T_{k,n} Y_\xi = \{T_{k,n}(A - z)^{-2}\} \{(A - z)^2 Y_\xi\} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . Thus,  $\text{tr}((A - z)^{-1} T_{k,n} Y_\xi) = \text{tr}(T_{k,n} Y_\xi (A - z)^{-1})$ . Now  $Y_\xi$  was designed so that  $[(A - z)^{-1}, Y_\xi] = \xi \otimes \xi$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad \text{tr}([T_{k,n}, (A - z)^{-1}] Y_\xi) = \text{tr}(T_{k,n} [(A - z)^{-1}, Y_\xi]) = \text{tr}(T_{k,n} \xi \otimes \xi) = \langle T_{k,n} \xi, \xi \rangle.$$

Because  $(W_k - W_n)^*(W_k - W_n) = -T_{k,n} - T_{n,k}$ , it follows from (1) and (2) that

$$\lim_{\min\{k,n\} \rightarrow \infty} \|(W_k - W_n)\xi\|^2 = - \lim_{\min\{k,n\} \rightarrow \infty} \langle (T_{k,n} + T_{n,k})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

Since the *linear span* of such  $\xi$ 's is dense in  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} L^2(\Delta_j)$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark.* To deduce that  $(A' - w)^{-1}W_+(A', A) = W_+(A', A)(A - w)^{-1}$  for  $w \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ , which is necessary for establishing the *unitary equivalence* of  $A_{ac}$  and  $A'_{ac}$ , one needs *one* sequence of class  $\Omega_+$  which has the additional property

$$(3) \quad w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(A)P_{ac}(A) = 0.$$

For example, use the sequence  $\{\exp(inx)\}$ . But, nowhere in our proof did we need anything like (3) for the *strong convergence* of  $\{\varphi_n^*(A')\varphi_n(A)P_{ac}(A)\}$ . In fact it is not even clear that  $\Omega_+$  would imply (3), although it is difficult to imagine that (iii<sup>+</sup>) and (3) are completely unrelated.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Friedrichs, *On the perturbation of continuous spectra*, Commun. on Appl. Math. **1** (1948), 361-401. MR **10**:547e
2. T. Kato, *Perturbation of continuous spectra by trace class operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **33** (1957), 260-264. MR **19**:1068d
3. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. MR **53**:11389
4. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, III Scattering theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1979. MR **80m**:81085
5. M. Rosenblum, *Perturbations of continuous spectrum and unitary equivalence*, Pacific J. Math. **7** (1957), 997-1010. MR **19**:756i
6. D. Voiculescu, *Some results on norm-ideal perturbations of Hilbert space operators. II*, J. Operator Theory **5** (1981), 77-100. MR **83f**:47014
7. D. Voiculescu, *Hilbert space operators modulo normed ideals*, Proc. International Congress of Mathematicians (Warsaw 1983) Vol. 2, 1041-1047, PWN, Warsaw, 1984. MR **87b**:47049
8. J. Voigt, *Perturbation theory for commutative  $m$ -tuples of self-adjoint operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **25** (1977), 317-334. MR **56**:9301

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14260-2900

*E-mail address:* [jxia@acsu.buffalo.edu](mailto:jxia@acsu.buffalo.edu)