

A NOTE ON TRIANGULAR DERIVATIONS OF $\mathbf{k}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$

DANIEL DAIGLE AND GENE FREUDENBURG

(Communicated by Wolmer V. Vasconcelos)

ABSTRACT. For a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero, and for each integer $n \geq 4$, we construct a triangular derivation of $\mathbf{k}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ whose ring of constants, though finitely generated over \mathbf{k} , cannot be generated by fewer than n elements.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{k} be a field of characteristic zero. If R is a finitely generated \mathbf{k} -algebra, we write $\#(R) = s$ to indicate that R can be generated by s elements but not by $s - 1$. The purpose of this note is to show:

Theorem. *Given any integer $n \geq 3$, there exists a triangular derivation Δ of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{k}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ whose kernel satisfies $n \leq \#(\ker \Delta) \leq n + 1$.*

Equivalently, the theorem asserts that, given $n \geq 3$, there exists a triangular action of $G_a = (\mathbf{k}, +)$ on \mathbb{A}^4 whose ring of invariants satisfies $n \leq \#\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^4)^{G_a} \leq n + 1$. The theorem is proved by constructing Δ explicitly for $n \geq 4$ (for $n = 3$, just use a partial derivative).

In contrast to our present result, the well-known theorem of Miyanishi [2] states that, for any locally nilpotent \mathbf{k} -derivation D of $\mathbf{k}[X_1, X_2, X_3]$, $\#(\ker D) = 2$. At the other extreme, the authors recently found a triangular derivation of the ring $\mathbf{k}[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ whose kernel is not finitely generated as a \mathbf{k} -algebra [1]. It is not known whether such kernels in dimension four are always finitely generated, even for triangular derivations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A *triangular* derivation of $\mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a \mathbf{k} -derivation $\Delta : \mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ satisfying $\Delta(X_i) \in \mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

An element of a submonoid Γ of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is *primitive* if it is positive and cannot be written as the sum of two positive elements of Γ . It is easy to see that the set of primitive elements in Γ is a finite set which generates Γ and which is contained in every generating set.

The *support* of an element $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i$ of the power series ring $\mathbf{k}[[X]]$ is $\text{Supp}(f) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_i \neq 0\}$. Given a submonoid Γ of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$, the elements f of

Received by the editors March 25, 1999 and, in revised form, May 12, 1999.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14R10; Secondary 14R20, 13N15.

Key words and phrases. Derivations, Hilbert fourteenth problem, additive group actions, invariants.

The first author's research was supported by NSERC Canada.

$\mathbf{k}[[X]]$ satisfying $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq \Gamma$ form a subalgebra of $\mathbf{k}[[X]]$ which we denote $\mathbf{k}[[\Gamma]]$. We observe:

- If $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathbf{k}[[\Gamma]]$ and $P \in \mathbf{k}[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$ satisfy $\text{ord}(g_i) \geq 1$ for
- (1) all i and $\text{ord}(P) \geq 2$, then no primitive element of Γ belongs to the support of $P(g_1, \dots, g_r)$.

Indeed, let $\gamma \in \text{Supp} P(g_1, \dots, g_r)$; then γ must be in the support of some monomial $g_1^{i_1} \cdots g_r^{i_r}$ with $i_1 + \cdots + i_r \geq 2$, so γ is the sum of $i_1 + \cdots + i_r$ elements of $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \text{Supp}(g_i) \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ and hence is not primitive.

Lemma 1. *Let Γ be a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$, let $e_1 < \cdots < e_h$ be the primitive elements of Γ , let $R = \mathbf{k}[X^{e_1}, \dots, X^{e_h}]$ and let T be an indeterminate over R . Then:*

$$\#(R) = h \quad \text{and} \quad \#(R[T]) = h + 1.$$

Proof. Given $f \in R[T]$, let $f(0) \in \mathbf{k}[X]$ be the result of evaluating f at $T = 0$, and let $\text{ord}(f) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the X -order of $f(0)$, i.e., the largest $s \geq 0$ such that X^s divides $f(0)$ in $\mathbf{k}[X]$. Note that $\#(R) = h$ is a consequence of $\#(R[T]) = h + 1$, so it suffices to prove the latter.

Assume that $\#(R[T]) \neq h + 1$; then $R[T]$ can be generated by h elements, say $R[T] = \mathbf{k}[f_1, \dots, f_h]$. We begin by showing that, replacing if necessary the generating set $\{f_1, \dots, f_h\}$ by another one with the same cardinality h , we may arrange that $\text{ord}(f_j) = e_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, h$. To see this, consider an integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq h$ and

(2)
$$\text{ord}(f_j) = e_j, \quad \text{for all } j < i$$

(this certainly holds for $i = 1$). Observe that every element of Γ strictly less than e_i belongs to the monoid generated by $\{e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}\}$; hence, replacing each f_j (with $j \geq i$) by f_j plus a suitable polynomial in (f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}) , we may arrange that $\text{ord}(f_j) \geq e_i$ for all $j \geq i$. After relabelling, we obtain that f_i, \dots, f_h satisfy

$$e_i \leq \text{ord}(f_i) \leq \text{ord}(f_{i+1}) \leq \cdots \leq \text{ord}(f_h).$$

Since $X^{e_i} \in R[T]$, we may write

$$X^{e_i} = \lambda_1 f_1 + \cdots + \lambda_h f_h + P(f_1, \dots, f_h),$$

where $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{k}$, $P \in \mathbf{k}[T_1, \dots, T_h]$ (the T_j are indeterminates) and where every monomial occurring in $P(T_1, \dots, T_h)$ has degree at least two. Now $P(f_1, \dots, f_h)|_{T=0} = P(f_1(0), \dots, f_h(0)) = \sum_j \mu_j X^{\gamma_j}$, where $\mu_j \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\gamma_j \in \Gamma$, but none of these γ_j can be a primitive element of Γ by (1). It follows that $\lambda_j = 0$ for all $j < i$; also, $\text{ord}(f_i) = e_i$, so we arranged that (2) holds for a larger value of i . Thus we may arrange that

$$\text{ord}(f_j) = e_j \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, h.$$

Since $T \in R[T]$, we may write

(3)
$$T = \lambda'_1 f_1 + \cdots + \lambda'_h f_h + P'(f_1, \dots, f_h),$$

where $\lambda'_j \in \mathbf{k}$, $P' \in \mathbf{k}[T_1, \dots, T_h]$, and where every monomial occurring in $P'(T_1, \dots, T_h)$ has degree at least two. Evaluating (3) at $T = 0$ shows that $\lambda'_j = 0$ for all j (as before, $P'(f_1(0), \dots, f_h(0))$ can't produce a term X^{e_j} , by (1)). On

the other hand, each f_j evaluated at $X = 0$ is an element of $T\mathbf{k}[T]$. Thus, evaluating the equation $T = P'(f_1, \dots, f_h)$ at $X = 0$ yields $T = T^2Q(T)$ for some $Q(T) \in \mathbf{k}[T]$. This is a contradiction, so $\#(R[T]) = h + 1$ cannot be false. \square

Lemma 2. *Let h, p, q be positive integers. If $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, then the ideal*

$$(T_0^q - T_1^p, T_1^q - T_2^p, \dots, T_{h-1}^q - T_h^p)$$

of $\mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_h]$ is prime.

Proof. Consider the ideals $\mathfrak{p} = (T_0^q - T_1^p, \dots, T_{h-1}^q - T_h^p)$ of $\mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_h]$ and $\mathfrak{p}' = (T_0^q - T_1^p, \dots, T_{h-2}^q - T_{h-1}^p)$ of $\mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_{h-1}]$. By induction, we may assume that \mathfrak{p}' is prime. This allows us to identify $R' = \mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_{h-1}]/\mathfrak{p}'$ with $\mathbf{k}[X^{e_0}, \dots, X^{e_{h-1}}]$, where X is an indeterminate and $e_j = p^{h-j}q^j$. Let K' be the field of fractions of R' and note that $K' = \mathbf{k}(X^p)$. Since $\mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_h]/\mathfrak{p} \cong R'[T_h]/(T_h^p - \theta^q)$, where $\theta = T_{h-1} + \mathfrak{p}' \in R'$, it suffices to show that $T_h^p - \theta^q$ is an irreducible element of $K'[T_h]$; for this, it's enough to verify that $(\theta^q)^{i/p} \notin K'$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$. But $\theta = X^{e_{h-1}}$, so $(\theta^q)^{i/p} = X^{iq^h} \notin \mathbf{k}(X^p)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$. \square

The following is a well-known fact about extracting roots in a power series ring.

Lemma 3. *Let q be a positive integer, R a domain containing \mathbb{Q} , W an indeterminate over R and σ an element of $R[[W]]$ with constant term equal to 1 (i.e., $\sigma = 1 + s_1W + s_2W^2 + \dots$ where $s_i \in R$). Then there exists a unique $\rho \in R[[W]]$ satisfying $\rho^q = \sigma$ and having constant term equal to 1.*

Lemma 4. *Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer and p, q prime numbers such that $p^2 < q$. Then there exist $f_0, \dots, f_h \in \mathbf{k}[W, X]$ satisfying:*

- (i) $f_j(0, X) = X^{p^{h-j}q^j}$ for all j such that $0 \leq j \leq h$;
- (ii) $f_{j+1} \equiv \frac{f_{j-1}^q - f_j^p}{W} \pmod{W^{h-j}}$ for all j such that $0 < j < h$;
- (iii) $f_{h-1}^q - f_h^p = 0$.

Proof. Define $f_h = X^{q^h}$ and $f_{h-1} = X^{pq^{h-1}}$. Suppose that $f_h, \dots, f_i \in \mathbf{k}[W, X]$ have been defined (where $0 < i < h$) and satisfy (i)–(iii) and

$$(4) \quad X^{p^{h-j}q^j} \mid f_j \quad (i \leq j \leq h).$$

Note that the assumption $p^2 < q$ implies that $f_i^p + Wf_{i+1}$ is divisible by $X^{p^{h-i+1}q^i}$; define

$$\sigma = \frac{f_i^p + Wf_{i+1}}{X^{p^{h-i+1}q^i}} \in \mathbf{k}[W, X] \subset \mathbf{k}[X][[W]]$$

and note that σ has the form $\sigma = 1 + s_1W + s_2W^2 + \dots$ (with $s_j \in \mathbf{k}[X]$). By Lemma 3, we may consider $\rho = 1 + r_1W + r_2W^2 + \dots \in \mathbf{k}[X][[W]]$ (with $r_j \in \mathbf{k}[X]$) such that $\rho^q = \sigma$. Then $\tilde{f}_{i-1} := X^{p^{h-i+1}q^{i-1}}\rho \in \mathbf{k}[X][[W]]$ satisfies

$$\frac{\tilde{f}_{i-1}^q - f_i^p}{W} = f_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad X^{p^{h-i+1}q^{i-1}} \mid \tilde{f}_{i-1}$$

so, if $f_{i-1} \in \mathbf{k}[W, X]$ is a suitable truncation of \tilde{f}_{i-1} , then f_h, \dots, f_{i-1} satisfy (i)–(iii) and (4). So we are done by induction. \square

3. THE EXAMPLES

Given an integer $h \geq 2$, we construct a triangular derivation $\Delta : \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z]$ whose kernel satisfies $h + 2 \leq \#(\ker \Delta) \leq h + 3$.

Choose prime numbers p, q satisfying $p^2 < q$; consider $f_0, \dots, f_h \in \mathbf{k}[W, X]$ as in Lemma 4 and define $F_0 = f_0 + YW^{h+1}$, $F_1 = f_1 + ZW^h$ and

$$F_{i+1} = \frac{F_{i-1}^q - F_i^p}{W} \quad (1 \leq i \leq h).$$

Let $A = \mathbf{k}[W, F_0, \dots, F_{h+1}]$. We have to prove the following two claims:

$$(5) \quad h + 2 \leq \#(A) \leq h + 3;$$

A is the kernel of some triangular derivation

$$(6) \quad \Delta : \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z].$$

We begin by showing that

$$(7) \quad F_j = f_j + b_j W^{h+1-j} \quad (0 \leq j \leq h+1),$$

where $b_j \in \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z]$ and $b_j(0, X, Y, Z) \notin \mathbf{k}[X]$, and where we define $f_{h+1} = 0$. We proceed by induction and note that the assertion is clear for $j \leq 1$. Assume that (7) holds for $0 \leq j \leq i$, for some i such that $1 \leq i \leq h$. Then $F_i = f_i + b_i W^{h+1-i}$ and $F_{i-1} = f_{i-1} + b_{i-1} W^{h+2-i}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i-1}^q - F_i^p &= (f_{i-1} + b_{i-1} W^{h+2-i})^q - (f_i + b_i W^{h+1-i})^p \\ &= (f_{i-1}^q - f_i^p) - p f_i^{p-1} b_i W^{h+1-i} + \varepsilon_1 W^{h+2-i} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z]$. Write $\frac{f_{i-1}^q - f_i^p}{W} = f_{i+1} + \varepsilon_2 W^{h-i}$, with $\varepsilon_2 \in \mathbf{k}[W, X]$; then dividing $(F_{i-1}^q - F_i^p)$ by W gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i+1} &= (f_{i+1} + \varepsilon_2 W^{h-i}) - p f_i^{p-1} b_i W^{h-i} + \varepsilon_1 W^{h+1-i} \\ &= f_{i+1} + (\varepsilon_2 - p f_i^{p-1} b_i + \varepsilon_1 W) W^{h-i}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (7). (In particular, the F_j 's are polynomials.)

Let $\pi : \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[X, Y, Z]$ be the surjective \mathbf{k} -homomorphism defined by

$$W \mapsto 0, \quad X \mapsto X, \quad Y \mapsto Y, \quad Z \mapsto Z.$$

Then (7) implies that $\pi(A) = \mathbf{k}[X^{e_0}, \dots, X^{e_h}, \tau]$, where $e_i = p^{h-i} q^i$ and where τ is transcendental over $\mathbf{k}(X)$. Let $R = \mathbf{k}[X^{e_0}, \dots, X^{e_h}]$; since $R[\tau]$ is a homomorphic image of A , $\#(A) \geq \#(R[\tau])$; since $\#(R[\tau]) = h + 2$ by Lemma 1, (5) holds. Define a derivation $\Delta : \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z]$ by

$$(8) \quad \Delta = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \\ \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial Z} \\ \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Z} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then $\Delta Z = -W^{h+1} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X}$, $\Delta Y = -W^h \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X}$, $\Delta X = W^{2h+1}$ and $\Delta W = 0$, so Δ is a triangular derivation of $\mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z]$. It is clear that $\mathbf{k}[W, F_0, F_1] \subseteq \ker \Delta$, so $A \subseteq \ker \Delta$; let us now argue that $\ker \Delta \subseteq A_W$. Write $B = \mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z]$; since

$$B_W \supseteq A_W[X] \supseteq \mathbf{k}[W, W^{-1}, X, F_0, F_1] = \mathbf{k}[W, W^{-1}, X, Y, Z] = B_W,$$

B_W is a polynomial ring over A_W . On the other hand, $(\ker \Delta)_W$ contains A_W and is the kernel of the nonzero derivation $\Delta_W : B_W \rightarrow B_W$, so $(\ker \Delta)_W = A_W$ and

we have shown that $A \subseteq \ker \Delta \subset A_W$. So, in order to prove (6), there remains only to prove

$$(9) \quad A \cap WB = WA.$$

It is easy to see that the proof of (9) reduces to that of the following: if T_0, \dots, T_{h+1} are indeterminates and $\psi \in \mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_{h+1}]$, then $\psi(F_0, \dots, F_{h+1}) \in WB$ implies $\psi(F_0, \dots, F_{h+1}) \in WA$. Write $\psi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n T_{h+1}^n$ with $\psi_n \in \mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_h]$. Then

$$0 = \pi(\psi(F_0, \dots, F_{h+1})) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n(X^{e_0}, \dots, X^{e_h})\tau^n,$$

where $\tau = \pi(F_{h+1})$ is transcendental over $\mathbf{k}(X)$, and consequently $\psi_n \in \ker \varphi$ for all n , where $\varphi : \mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_h] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[X]$ is the \mathbf{k} -homomorphism which maps T_i to X^{e_i} . By Lemma 2, $\ker \varphi = (T_0^q - T_1^p, \dots, T_{h-1}^q - T_h^p)$, so $\psi_n = \sum_{j=1}^h \alpha_j (T_{j-1}^q - T_j^p)$ for some $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{k}[T_0, \dots, T_h]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(F_0, \dots, F_h) &= \sum_{j=1}^h \alpha_j(F_0, \dots, F_h)(F_{j-1}^q - F_j^p) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^h \alpha_j(F_0, \dots, F_h)WF_{j+1} \in WA. \end{aligned}$$

So (9) holds and, consequently, $\ker(\Delta) = A$. So (5) and (6) are proved.

Example. We exhibit a triangular derivation Δ of $\mathbf{k}[W, X, Y, Z]$ whose kernel cannot be generated by five elements over \mathbf{k} . Let $p = 2$, $q = 5$ and $h = 4$ and, following the proof of Lemma 4, successively define f_4, f_3, f_2, f_1, f_0 by:¹

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 &= X^{625}, \quad f_3 = X^{250}, \quad f_2 = X^{100} + \frac{1}{5} X^{225}W, \\ f_1 &= X^{40} + \left(\frac{2}{25} X^{165} + \frac{1}{5} X^{90}\right)W + \left(-\frac{3}{625} X^{290} - \frac{8}{125} X^{215} - \frac{2}{25} X^{140}\right)W^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= X^{16} + \left(\frac{2}{25} X^{66} + \frac{1}{5} X^{36} + \frac{4}{125} X^{141}\right)W \\ &+ \left(-\frac{92}{3125} X^{191} - \frac{23}{625} X^{116} - \frac{42}{15625} X^{266} + \frac{9}{625} X^{161} - \frac{8}{125} X^{86} - \frac{2}{25} X^{56}\right)W^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{408}{78125} X^{241} + \frac{68}{15625} X^{166} + \frac{666}{390625} X^{316} + \frac{328}{15625} X^{211} + \frac{132}{3125} X^{136}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \frac{36}{625} X^{106} - \frac{72}{78125} X^{286} - \frac{28}{3125} X^{181} + \frac{6}{125} X^{76} + \frac{244}{1953125} X^{391}\right)W^3. \end{aligned}$$

Define Δ as in (8) or, equivalently, by

$$\Delta W = 0, \quad \Delta X = W^9, \quad \Delta Y = -W^4 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial X} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta Z = -W^5 \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X}.$$

Then, by (5) and (6), we have $6 \leq \#(\ker \Delta) \leq 7$.

¹Note that the f_j 's are not unique.

REFERENCES

1. D. Daigle, G. Freudenburg, *A counterexample to Hilbert's Fourteenth Problem in dimension five*, ppt 1999 (9 pages)
2. M. Miyanishi, *Normal affine subalgebras of a polynomial ring*, in: Algebraic and Topological Theories – to the Memory of Dr. Takehiko Miyata, Kinokuniya, Tokyo (1985) 37-51 CMP 91:10

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA, OTTAWA, CANADA
K1N 6N5

E-mail address: `daniel@mathstat.uottawa.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN INDIANA, EVANSVILLE, INDIANA
47712

E-mail address: `freudenb@usi.edu`