

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF FOURIER TRANSFORMS OF SELF-SIMILAR MEASURES

TIAN-YOU HU

(Communicated by Christopher D. Sogge)

ABSTRACT. Let μ be a self-similar probability measure on \mathbb{R} satisfying $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \mu \circ F_j^{-1}$, where $F_j(x) = \rho x + a_j$, $0 < \rho < 1$, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_j > 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$. Let $\hat{\mu}(t)$ be the Fourier transform of μ . A necessary and sufficient condition for $\hat{\mu}(t)$ to approach zero at infinity is given. In particular, if $a_j = j$ and $p_j = 1/m$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, then $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(t)| > 0$ if and only if ρ^{-1} is a PV-number and ρ^{-1} is not a factor of m . This generalizes the corresponding theorem of Erdős and Salem for the case $m = 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, let $F_j(x) = \rho x + a_j$, where $0 < \rho < 1$, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ be a family of equicontractive similitudes and let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m be associated probability weights. Then there is a unique self-similar probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} with compact support satisfying

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \mu(F_j^{-1}(A))$$

for all measurable sets A .

The measure μ can also be generated as follows.

Let X_0, X_1, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables each taking real values a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m with probability p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m respectively. For $0 < \rho < 1$ let

$$(1.1) \quad S = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^j X_j,$$

and let μ_ρ be the probability measure induced by S , i.e., $\mu_\rho(A) = \Pr\{S(\omega) \in A\}$. Then it can be verified that this measure also satisfies the above self-similar equation. By uniqueness we obtain $\mu = \mu_\rho$.

It is known that μ is either purely singular or absolutely continuous [JW]. If $0 < \rho < 1/m$, then the support of μ is a set of Cantor type; hence μ is purely singular. If $1/m \leq \rho < 1$, then the distribution type of μ depends on the choice of the parameters a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , the determination of which type in general is very difficult.

Received by the editors August 6, 1999 and, in revised form, September 16, 1999.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42A38; Secondary 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Fourier transform, PV-number, self-similar measure.

The most fascinating case is when $m = 2$ and each X_j takes two values ± 1 with equal probability $1/2$. Then μ is the so-called *infinitely convolved Bernoulli measures*. If $\rho = 1/2$, then μ is a measure proportional to the Lebesgue measure on $[-2, 2]$. It was conjectured that μ ought to be absolutely continuous for $\rho > 1/2$. However, in 1939 Erdős [E] showed that if ρ^{-1} is a PV-number and $\rho \neq 1/2$ (recall that an algebraic integer $\beta > 1$ is called a PV-number if all its conjugate roots have modulus strictly less than one), then the Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} d\mu(x)$ does not tend to zero at infinity and thus μ is purely singular. Salem [S1] showed that for $0 < \rho < 1$ with $\rho \neq 1/2$, $\hat{\mu}(t) \not\rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ only if ρ^{-1} is a PV-number. Since μ is purely singular for $0 < \rho < 1/2$, the Erdős-Salem theorem gives a large family of singular measures whose Fourier transforms tend to zero at infinity.

In the other direction, Erdős showed that there exists a sequence $\rho_k \rightarrow 1$ such that μ has k derivatives for almost all $\rho \in (\rho_k, 1)$. The result has been generalized recently by Solomyak [So]. He proved that $\hat{\mu}(t)$ is in L^2 for almost all $1/2 < \rho < 1$ and hence μ is absolutely continuous with an L^2 density function. However, the only explicit values of ρ for which μ is known to be absolutely continuous are $\rho = 2^{-1/n}$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and a family of algebraic numbers found by Garsia [G]. On the other hand, all PV-numbers are the only numbers for which μ is known to be purely singular.

The Fourier transform of the probability measure induced by $\rho^j X_j$ in (1.1) is $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k \rho^j}$. Thus the Fourier transform of μ induced by S is

$$(1.2) \quad \hat{\mu}(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k \rho^j} \right).$$

The average asymptotic rate of $\hat{\mu}(t)$ with some restriction on the parameters was studied extensively by Strichartz [St1]–[St3], Lau [L1]–[L2], Lau and Wang [LW] and Fan and Lau [FL]. In this paper we will give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\hat{\mu}(t)$ to approach zero at infinity. The criterion can be applied to various cases for which a specific set of parameters is given. The detailed proof is given in section 2. In section 3, as an application, we generalize the above Erdős-Salem theorem to $m \geq 2$.

2. THE GENERAL THEOREMS

Let μ be the probability measure induced by S as defined by (1.1) and let $\hat{\mu}(t)$ be its Fourier transform. Replacing t by $\rho^{-n}t$ in (1.2) we obtain for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t) = \hat{\mu}(t) \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k \rho^{-j}} \right).$$

For $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$, the convex combination $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k \rho^j}$ lies inside the closed unit disk. We write

$$d_j(t) = 1 - \left| \sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k \rho^j} \right|$$

for the distance from this convex combination to the circumference of the unit circle. Note that $d_j(t)$ depends also on $\rho, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m . This notation will be used for the rest of the paper. Furthermore it is easy to check that

for $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$,

$$(2.2) \quad d_j(t) \leq \text{diam}\{e^{ita_1\rho^j}, e^{ita_2\rho^j}, \dots, e^{ita_m\rho^j}\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\hat{\mu}(t)$ be defined by (1.2). Then $\hat{\mu}(t) = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k\rho^j} = 0$ for some $j = 0, 1, \dots$*

Proof. It suffices to show that if $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k\rho^j} \neq 0$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots$, then $|\hat{\mu}(t)| > 0$.

Using (2.2) and noting that $ta_k\rho^j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j(t) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{diam}\{e^{ita_1\rho^j}, e^{ita_2\rho^j}, \dots, e^{ita_m\rho^j}\} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \max\{|ta_1\rho^j|, |ta_2\rho^j|, \dots, |ta_m\rho^j|\} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k\rho^j} \neq 0$, we have $1 - d_j(t) > 0$ for all j . It follows that

$$|\hat{\mu}(t)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^n (1 - d_j(t)) > 0.$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\hat{\mu}(t)$ be defined by (1.2) and let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 0$ be any point. If either $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(t) = \infty$ or $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k\rho^j} = 0$ for some $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t) = 0$. Otherwise, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t)| > 0$.*

Proof. If $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k\rho^j} = 0$ for some $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$, then by (2.1) $\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t) = 0$ for all large n , and we have trivially $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t) = 0$.

Suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k\rho^j} \neq 0$ for all $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$. Then $|\hat{\mu}(t)| > 0$ by Lemma 2.1 and $1 - d_{-j}(t) > 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. This, along with (2.1), implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t)| = |\hat{\mu}(t)| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - d_{-j}(t)) > 0$$

if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(t) < \infty$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\hat{\mu}(t)$ be defined by (1.2). If for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 0$ either $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(t) = \infty$ or $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{ita_k\rho^j} = 0$ for some $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(x) = 0$. Otherwise, $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(x)| > 0$ and hence μ is purely singular.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2 it suffices to show that if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t) = 0$ for every $t \in [\rho\pi, \pi]$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(x) = 0$. We will show this by contradiction. Suppose that there is a sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and an integer n_0 such that

$$(2.3) \quad |\hat{\mu}(t_n)| \geq \delta > 0 \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Let $k_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of positive integers such that $\rho^{k_n} t_n \in [\rho\pi, \pi]$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{k_n} t_n = t \in [\rho\pi, \pi]$. By assumption, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t) = 0$. Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer, which may also be taken to be n_0 , such that for all $n \geq n_0$

$$(2.4) \quad |\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n}t)| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that for this fixed n_0 , $\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n_0}x)$ is a continuous function of x . Using

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{k_n} t_n = t$$

we have for all large n

$$(2.5) \quad |\hat{\mu}(\rho^{k_n - n_0} t_n) - \hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n_0} t)| < \varepsilon.$$

By (2.1) we have $|\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n} t)| \leq |\hat{\mu}(t)|$ or equivalently $|\hat{\mu}(t)| \leq |\hat{\mu}(\rho^n t)|$ for every t and for every positive integer n . It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that for all large n

$$|\hat{\mu}(t_n)| \leq |\hat{\mu}(\rho^{k_n - n_0} t_n)| < |\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n_0} t)| + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon.$$

This contradicts (2.3) if ε is chosen to be less than $\delta/3$. Q.E.D.

Next, we give a condition so that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(x)| > 0$ if ρ^{-1} is a PV-number.

For any real number a , we denote by $\|a\|$ the distance between a and the nearest integer. Thus $\|a\| = \min |a - n|, n = 0, \pm 1, \dots$. If k is the integer nearest to a , we write $a = k + \langle a \rangle$ so that $\|a\| = \|\langle a \rangle\| \leq 1/2$.

It is known that $\beta > 1$ is a PV-number if and only if there exists a real number $t \neq 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|t\beta^j\|^2 < \infty$. It is also known that for any given PV-number β the set

$$E(\beta) = \{t : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|t\beta^j\|^2 < \infty\}$$

is countable [S2, BDGPS].

If $\beta > 1$ is a PV-number, then $\|\beta^j\|$ tends to zero geometrically, and so does $\|k\beta^j\|$ for any fixed integer k ; hence $k \in E(\beta)$ for every integer k . Furthermore, if $t = k\beta^{j_0}$ for some integer k and for some $j_0 = 0, \pm 1, \dots$, then $\|t\beta^j\| = \|k\beta^{j+j_0}\|$ tends to zero geometrically. It follows that $k\beta^{j_0} \in E(\beta)$ for arbitrary integers k, j_0 . In Lemma 3.1 we will show that if $\beta \geq 2$ is an integer, then $E(\beta)$ consists precisely these numbers.

Theorem 2.4. *Let ρ^{-1} be a PV-number and let $\hat{\mu}(t)$ be defined by (1.2). If $a_k \in E(\rho^{-1})$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ and if there exists a positive integer N such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{i2N\pi a_k \rho^j} \neq 0$$

for all $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(\rho^{-n} 2N\pi)| > 0$. In particular, μ is purely singular.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 with $t = 2N\pi$ we need only to show that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(2N\pi) < \infty$, where $d_{-j}(2N\pi) = 1 - |\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{i2N\pi a_k \rho^{-j}}|$. Since $a_k \in E(\rho^{-1})$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \max\{\|a_1 \rho^{-j}\|^2, \|a_2 \rho^{-j}\|^2, \dots, \|a_m \rho^{-j}\|^2\} < \infty.$$

In particular, $\max\{\|a_1\rho^{-j}\|, \|a_2\rho^{-j}\|, \dots, \|a_m\rho^{-j}\|\} \rightarrow 0$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{i2N\pi a_k \rho^{-j}} \right| &\geq \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k e^{i2N\pi a_k \rho^{-j}} \right) \\ &\geq \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \{ \operatorname{Re}(e^{i2N\pi a_k \rho^{-j}}) \} \\ &= \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \{ \cos 2N\pi \|a_k \rho^{-j}\| \} \\ &= \cos \theta_j \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\theta_j^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_j = 2N\pi \max\{\|a_1\rho^{-j}\|, \|a_2\rho^{-j}\|, \dots, \|a_m\rho^{-j}\|\}$. Hence for large j we have $d_{-j}(2N\pi) \leq \theta_j^2/2$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(2N\pi) \leq 2N^2\pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \max\{\|a_1\rho^{-j}\|^2, \|a_2\rho^{-j}\|^2, \dots, \|a_m\rho^{-j}\|^2\} < \infty.$$

Q.E.D.

3. A THEOREM OF ERDÖS AND SALEM

In this section we will generalize a theorem of Erdős and Salem on the asymptotic behavior of Fourier transforms of *infinitely convolved Bernoulli measures*.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be any given integer and let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 0$. If $t = k/n^{j_0}$ for some integer k and for some $j_0 = 0, 1, \dots$, then $\langle tn^j \rangle = 0$ for all $j \geq j_0$. Otherwise, $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|tn^j\| > 0$.*

Proof. Write $tn^j = k_j + \langle tn^j \rangle$, where k_j is the integer nearest to tn^j . Then

$$tn^{j+1} = nk_j + n\langle tn^j \rangle.$$

If $0 < |\langle tn^j \rangle| < \frac{1}{2n}$, then $0 < n|\langle tn^j \rangle| < 1/2$. Hence $|\langle tn^j \rangle| < n|\langle tn^j \rangle| = |\langle tn^{j+1} \rangle|$. It follows that the union of the two intervals $(-\frac{1}{2n}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2n})$ is a repelling region for $\langle tn^j \rangle$. Therefore $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|tn^j\| > 0$ unless $\langle tn^j \rangle = 0$ for all $j \geq j_0$ and for some j_0 , which is equivalent to $tn^{j_0} = k$ for some integer k and for some $j_0 = 0, 1, \dots$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.2 (A generalized theorem of Erdős-Salem). *Let S be defined by (1.1) with each X_j taking m values $0, 1, \dots, m-1$ with equal probability $1/m$. Let μ be the probability measure induced by S and let $\hat{\mu}$ be its Fourier transform. If ρ^{-1} is a PV-number and ρ^{-1} is not a factor of m , then $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(t)| > 0$. Otherwise, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(t) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that ρ^{-1} is a PV-number which is not a factor of m . Since all integers are contained in $E(\rho^{-1})$, using Theorem 2.4 with $N = 1$ we need to verify that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi\rho^j} \neq 0 \text{ for all } j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

We will show that if $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi\rho^j} = 0$ for some j and if ρ^{-1} is a PV-number, then it must be a factor of m . Clearly $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi\rho^j} = 0$ implies that $e^{i2\pi\rho^j} \neq 1$; otherwise

$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi\rho^j} = m \neq 0$. Note that $(1 - e^{i2\pi\rho^j}) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi\rho^j} = 1 - e^{i2m\pi\rho^j}$. Hence $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi\rho^j} = 0$ for some j if and only if $e^{i2\pi\rho^j}$ is a m th root of the unity, i.e., $\langle \rho^j \rangle = k/m$ for some positive integer j and for some $k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. Since the only rational PV-numbers are integers greater than or equal to 2, it implies that $\rho^j = k/m$ must be a reciprocal of an integer. Hence ρ^{-j} is a factor of m and so is ρ^{-1} . Theorem 2.4 thus shows $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(t)| > 0$.

Next, suppose that ρ^{-1} is not a PV-number. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|t\rho^{-j}\|^2 = \infty$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 0$.

Case 1. m is odd. For simplicity let X_j take m values in

$$A = \pm 2\pi\{0, 1, \dots, (m - 1)/2\}$$

with equal probability $1/m$.

Fix any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 0$. Since ρ^{-1} is not an integer, then $\langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle = 0$ occurs for at most one index j . Without loss of generality, assume that $\langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle \neq 0$ for all j . Using the formula $\frac{1}{2} + \cos x + \cos 2x + \dots + \cos nx = \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})x}{2\sin\frac{x}{2}}$ for $x \neq 2k\pi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a \in A} e^{iat\rho^{-j}} \right| &= \frac{1}{m} \left| 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} \cos 2k\pi t\rho^{-j} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left| \frac{\sin(\frac{m-1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})2\pi t\rho^{-j}}{\sin \pi t\rho^{-j}} \right| \\ (3.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{1}{m} \frac{|\sin m\pi \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle|}{\sin \pi \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2m}$, then

$$(3.1) \leq \frac{1}{m \sin(\pi/2m)}.$$

Consider the Taylor expansion $\frac{\sin mx}{\sin x} = m - \frac{(m^3-m)x^2}{3!} + (\frac{m^5-m}{5!} - \frac{m^3-m}{3!3!})x^4 - \dots$. If $\langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle < \frac{1}{2m}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) &= 1 - \frac{m^2-1}{3!}\pi^2 \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle^2 + (\frac{m^4-1}{5!} - \frac{m^2-1}{3!3!})\pi^4 \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle^4 - \dots \\ &\leq 1 - c_m \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_m > \frac{m^2-1}{3!}\pi^2$ is some constant depending only on m such that $1 - c_m \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle^2 > 0$. Using the hypothesis $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|t\rho^{-j}\|^2 = \infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a \in A} e^{iat\rho^{-j}} \right|) \\ &\geq \sum_{\langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle < \frac{1}{2m}} c_m \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle^2 + \sum_{\langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2m}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m \sin(\pi/2m)} \right) \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(t) = 0$ by Theorem 2.3.

Case 2. m is even. For simplicity we let X_j take m values in

$$A = \pm 2\pi\{1, 3, 5, \dots, m-1\}$$

with equal probability $1/m$.

Fix any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 0$. Using the formula $\cos x + \cos 3x + \dots + \cos(2n-1)x = \frac{\sin nx \cos nx}{\sin x}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a \in A} e^{iat\rho^{-j}} \right| &= \frac{2}{m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m/2} \cos 2(2k-1)\pi t\rho^{-j} \right| \\ &= \frac{2}{m} \left| \frac{\sin m\pi t\rho^{-j} \cos m\pi t\rho^{-j}}{\sin 2\pi t\rho^{-j}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \left| \frac{\sin 2m\pi \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle}{\sin 2\pi \langle t\rho^{-j} \rangle} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which is similar to (3.1). Applying the same argument we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(t) = 0$.

Finally, we will show that if ρ^{-1} is a positive integer which is a factor of m , then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(t) = 0$. We let X_j take m values in $2\pi\{0, 1, 2, \dots, (m-1)\}$ with equal probability $1/m$. By Theorem 2.3 it suffices to show that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq 0$ either $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(t) = \infty$ or $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi t\rho^j} = 0$ for some integer $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots$

Suppose that $t = k_0\rho^{j_0}$ for some integer k_0 and for some $j_0 = 0, \pm 1, \dots$. Without loss of generality, assume that $k_0\rho$ is not an integer. Otherwise, we write $t = k_1\rho^{j_0-1}$, where $k_1 = k_0\rho$. Let $j = 1 - j_0$. Then $t\rho^j = k_0\rho$ is not an integer and hence $e^{i2\pi t\rho^j} \neq 1$. Since ρ^{-1} is a factor of m , i.e., $m\rho$ is an integer; hence $e^{i2m\pi t\rho^j} = e^{i2m\pi k_0\rho} = 1$. It follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{i2k\pi t\rho^j} = \frac{1 - e^{i2m\pi t\rho^j}}{1 - e^{i2\pi t\rho^j}} = 0.$$

If $t \neq k_0\rho^{j_0}$ for any integer k_0 and for any $j_0 = 0, 1, \dots$, then by Lemma 3.1 $\|t\rho^{-j}\|$ does not tend to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. This certainly implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|t\rho^{-j}\|^2 = \infty$. So $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_{-j}(t) = \infty$ by above argument and hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(t) = 0$. Q.E.D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Professor R. S. Strichartz for pointing out an error in the first draft of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [BDGPS] M. Bertin, A. Decomps-Guilloux, M. Grandet-Hugot, M. Pathiaux-Delefosse and J. Schreiber, *Pisot and Salem Numbers*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1992. MR **93k**:11095
- [E] P. Erdős, On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions, *Amer. J. Math.* 61 (1939), 974-975. MR **1**:52a
- [FL] A. Fan and K. Lau, Asymptotic behavior of multiperiodic functions $G(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} g(x/2^j)$, *J. Four. Anal. and Appl.*, 4 (1998), 130-150. MR **99j**:41054
- [G] A. M. Garsia, Arithmetic properties of Bernoulli convolutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1962), 409-432. MR **25**:1409
- [JW] B. Jessen and A. Wintner, Distribution functions and the Riemann zeta function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 38 (1935), 48-88.
- [L1] K. Lau, Fractal measures and mean p-variations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 108 No.2 (1992), 427-457. MR **93g**:28007

- [L2] K. Lau, Dimension of a family of singular Bernoulli convolutions, *J. Funct. Anal.* 116 No.2 (1993), 335-358. MR **95h**:28013
- [LW] K. Lau and J. Wang, Mean quadratic variations and Fourier asymptotics of self-similar measures, *Monatsch Math.*, 115 (1993), 99-132. MR **94g**:42018
- [S1] R. Salem, A remarkable class of algebraic integers. Proof of a conjecture of Vijayaraghavan, *Duke Math. J.* 11 (1944), 103-108. MR **5**:254a
- [S2] R. Salem, *Algebraic Numbers and Fourier Analysis*, Heath, Boston (1963). MR **28**:1169
- [So] B. Solomyak, On the Random Series $\sum \pm \lambda^n$ (an Erdős problem), *Annals of Math.* 142 (1995), 611-625. MR **97d**:11125
- [St1] R. Strichartz, Fourier asymptotics of fractal measures, *J. Funct. Anal.* 89 (1990), 154-187. MR **91m**:42015
- [St2] R. Strichartz, Self-Similar measures and their Fourier transforms I, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 39 (1990), 797-817. MR **92k**:42015
- [St3] R. Strichartz, Self-Similar measures and their Fourier transforms II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 336 (1993), 335-361. MR **93e**:42023

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-GREEN BAY, GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN 54311

E-mail address: hut@uwgb.edu