

## GEODESICS ON THE SPACE OF LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN COTANGENT BUNDLES

DARKO MILINKOVIĆ

(Communicated by Jozef Dodziuk)

ABSTRACT. We prove that the space of Hamiltonian deformations of zero section in a cotangent bundle of a compact manifold is locally flat in the Hofer metric and we describe its geodesics.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be a compact smooth manifold. Denote by  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  the space of Hamiltonian deformations of zero section  $O_M$  in its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . For  $L_0 \in \mathcal{L}(M)$  and for a path  $L_t := \phi_t^H(L_0)$ , where  $\phi_t^H$  is a Hamiltonian isotopy generated by a smooth compactly supported Hamiltonian function  $H : [0, 1] \times T^*M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , we define

$$(1) \quad \text{length}(\{L_t\}) := \inf \int_0^1 (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x)) dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $H$  such that  $\phi_t^H(L_0) = L_t$ . For  $L_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ , define distance between  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  as the infimum of lengths over connecting paths. More precisely,

$$(2) \quad d(L_0, L_1) := \inf_H \left\{ \int_0^1 (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x)) dt \mid \phi_1^H(L_0) = L_1 \right\}.$$

Note that  $\{L_t\}$  depends only on values of  $H(t, x)$  for  $x$  near  $\bigcup_t L_t$ . Since the distance defined by (2) is the infimum of lengths over all connecting paths,  $d$  does not depend on whether the maximum and the minimum are taken over  $x \in \bigcup_t L_t$  or over  $T^*M$ . In this paper  $\max_x$  and  $\min_x$  will denote the maximum and minimum over  $x \in \bigcup_t L_t$ .

A group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of  $T^*M$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  via  $(\psi, L) \mapsto \psi(L)$ . It is known that  $d$  is an invariant distance on  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  with respect to this action. The most delicate fact in the proof of this fact is the non-degeneracy of  $d$  (see [2], [8]). A proof given by Oh [8] is based on a study of invariants defined by

$$\rho(H) = \inf\{\lambda \mid HF_*^{(-\infty, \lambda)}(H) \rightarrow HF_*(H) \text{ is surjective}\},$$

where  $HF_*$  is Floer homology. After a certain normalization,  $\rho(H)$  depends only on  $L = \phi_1^H(O_M)$  and it is denoted by  $\rho(L)$  (see [8] or Section 2 below for more details).

---

Received by the editors September 21, 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58E05; Secondary 57R57, 58F05.

In this paper we prove that every  $L \in \mathcal{L}(M)$  has a flat  $C^1$ -neighborhood. More precisely, let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the  $C^1$ -neighborhood (in the space of Lagrangian embeddings) of  $O_M$  such that if  $L \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $L = \text{Graph}(dS)$  for some smooth function  $S$  on  $M$ . We prove that for  $L_i = \text{Graph}(dS_i) \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$d(L_0, L_1) = \|S_1 - S_0\| := \max(S_1 - S_0) - \min(S_1 - S_0).$$

As a corollary, we obtain a description of geodesics on  $\mathcal{L}(M)$ . This generalizes an analogous result by Bialy and Polterovich for Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  [1]. A description of geodesics on the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms in general symplectic manifolds is obtained by Lalonde and McDuff [5].

We would like to thank the referee for several valuable corrections and suggestions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\{L_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  be a smooth regular path in  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.  $\frac{d}{dt}L_t \neq 0$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .  $L_t$  is called a *minimal geodesic* if  $\text{length}(\{L_t\}) = d(L_0, L_1)$ . It is called *geodesic* if it is a minimal geodesic locally on  $[0, 1]$  (compare [1]).

A Hamiltonian  $H(t, x)$  is called *quasi-autonomous* if there exist  $x_+, x_- \in \bigcup_t L_t$  such that  $\max_x H(t, x) = H(t, x_+)$  and  $\min_x H(t, x) = H(t, x_-)$  for every  $t$ . This is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad \int_0^1 (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x))dt = \max_x \int_0^1 H(t, x)dt - \min_x \int_0^1 H(t, x)dt$$

(see [1]).

Let us recall a construction of symplectic invariants by Oh [8]. For  $H \in C_0^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*M)$  consider the classical action functional

$$\mathcal{A}_H(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \gamma^*pdq - Hdt,$$

for  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*M$ ,  $\gamma(0) \in O_M$ , where  $pdq$  is a canonical Liouville 1-form on  $T^*M$ . Floer chain complexes  $CF_*(H)$  are defined as free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules over

$$\text{Crit}_*(\mathcal{A}_H) := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*M \mid \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = X_H(\gamma), \gamma(0), \gamma(1) \in O_M\}$$

where  $X_H$  is a Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to  $H$ . They are graded by the Maslov index, and filtered by level sets of  $\mathcal{A}_H$ :  $CF_*^\lambda(H)$  denotes a free  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module over

$$\text{Crit}_*(\mathcal{A}_H) := \{\gamma \in CF_*(H) \mid \mathcal{A}_H(\gamma) \leq \lambda\}.$$

Recall that  $HF_*(H)$  is defined as a homology group of  $CF_*(H)$  with respect to the boundary homomorphism

$$\partial : CF_*(H) \rightarrow CF_*(H), \partial(x) := \sum_{y \in CF(H)} n(x, y)y,$$

where  $n(x, y)$  is the number of solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} + J(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - X_H(u)) = 0, \\ u(\tau, 0), u(\tau, 1) \in O_M, \\ u(-\infty, t) = x(t), u(+\infty, t) = y(t) \end{cases}$$

(“negative gradient flow of  $\mathcal{A}_H$ ”). Here  $J$  is some almost complex structure compatible with the symplectic form. Since  $\mathcal{A}_H$  decreases along its “negative gradient

lines" (2),  $\partial$  restricts to  $CF_*^\lambda(H)$ ; the corresponding homology group is denoted by  $HF_*^\lambda(H)$ . An obvious inclusion  $CF_*^\lambda(H) \rightarrow CF_*(H)$  induces a homomorphism

$$j_*^\lambda : HF_*^\lambda(H) \rightarrow HF_*(H).$$

Oh [8] defined for a generic  $H \in C_0^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*M)$

$$\rho(H) = \inf\{\lambda \mid j_*^\lambda : HF_*^\lambda(H) \rightarrow HF_*(H) \text{ is surjective}\},$$

and proved that it depends only on  $L := \phi_1^H(O_M)$ , but not on a particular choice of *normalized*  $H \in C_0^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*M)$  that generates  $L$ . More precisely, denote by  $W_H$  the wave front of  $H$ , i.e.

$$W_H := \{(q, s) \in M \times \mathbf{R} \mid q = \pi(x), s = \mathcal{A}_H(\phi_t^H \circ (\phi_1^H)^{-1}(x)), x \in L\},$$

where  $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$  is the canonical projection. Then, if  $\phi_1^H(O_M) = \phi_1^K(O_M)$  and  $W_H = W_K$ ,  $\rho(H) = \rho(K)$  (Theorem 8.1 in [8]).

Let  $\mathcal{H}(M)$  be a set of Hamiltonians normalized so that their wave fronts depend only on  $L := \phi_1^H(O_M)$ :

$$\mathcal{H}(M) := \{H \in C_0^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*M) \mid \max_{(x,s) \in W_H} s + \min_{(x,s) \in W_H} s = 0\}.$$

Note that definitions (1) and (2) remain the same if we take the infimum over  $H \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  only. Indeed, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & \inf_{H \in C_0^\infty([0,1] \times T^*M)} \left\{ \int_0^1 (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x)) dt \mid \phi_t^H(O_M) = L_t \right\} \\ & \leq \inf_{H \in \mathcal{H}(M)} \left\{ \int_0^1 (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x)) dt \mid \phi_t^H(O_M) = L_t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For every  $H \in C_0^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*M)$  there exist  $c_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(T^*M)$  such that  $\chi = 1$  in a neighborhood of  $\bigcup_t \text{supp}H(t, \cdot)$ ,  $\chi \leq 1$ , and  $H^{c_0, \chi} := (H + c_0)\chi \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ . Since  $H^{c_0, \chi}$  generates  $L_t$  and

$$\max_x H^{c_0, \chi}(t, x) - \min_x H^{c_0, \chi}(t, x) \leq \max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x),$$

it follows that (4) is an equality.

Recall that for generic  $H, K \in C_0^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*M)$  the homomorphism  $h_* : HF_*(H) \rightarrow HF_*(K)$  is induced by the homomorphism defined on the chain level as

$$h : CF_*(H) \rightarrow CF_*(K), h(x) := \sum_{y \in CF(K)} n(x, y)y,$$

where  $n(x, y)$  is the number of solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} + J(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - X_{\tilde{H}}(u)) = 0, \\ u(\tau, 0), u(\tau, 1) \in O_M, \\ u(-\infty, t) = x(t), u(+\infty, t) = y(t). \end{cases}$$

Here  $\tilde{H}(\tau, t, x)$  is a generic path in  $C_0^\infty([0, 1] \times T^*M)$ , such that  $\tilde{H}(\tau, t, x) = H(t, x)$  for  $\tau \leq -1$  and  $\tilde{H}(\tau, t, x) = K(t, x)$  for  $\tau \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_H$  decreases along its "negative gradient lines" (2), it follows that  $j_*^\lambda$  commutes with  $h_*$ . This fact, together with careful analysis of change of  $\mathcal{A}_H$  along the trajectories (2) makes it possible to express effects of the homomorphism  $h_*$  on the level sets of the action functional and to prove that  $\rho$  is  $C^0$  continuous. This extends the definition of  $\rho$

to all (not necessarily generic)  $H \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  (we refer the reader to [8] for details). Main properties of  $\rho$  are summarized in the following

**Proposition 1.** *The function  $\rho : \mathcal{H}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies*

1. *If  $L := \phi_1^H(O_M) = \phi_1^K(O_M)$ , then  $\rho(H) = \rho(K)$ ; hence we can denote  $\rho(H)$  by  $\rho(L)$ .*
2.  *$\rho(L) \in \text{Spec}(L) := \{\mathcal{A}_H(\phi_t^H \circ (\phi_1^H)^{-1}(x)) \mid x \in O_M \cap L\}$*
- 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \int_0^1 \max_{x \in T^*M} (H(t, x) - K(t, x)) dt &\leq \rho(H) - \rho(K) \\
 &\leq - \int_0^1 \min_{x \in T^*M} (H(t, x) - K(t, x)) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

*In particular,  $\rho$  is  $C^0$ -continuous and monotone, i.e. if  $K \leq H$ , then  $\rho(H) \leq \rho(K)$ .*

4.  $\rho(0) = 0$ .
5.  $\rho(\phi_1^H(O_M)) + \rho((\phi_1^H)^{-1}(O_M)) \leq d(O_M, \phi_1^H(O_M))$ .
6. *If  $S : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a smooth function, then  $\rho(-\pi^*S) = \max S$ , where  $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$  is a canonical projection.*

*Proof.* 1. is the contents of Theorem 8.1 in [8]. 2.-4. are contained in Theorem II in [8]. From 3. and 4. follows

$$(5) \quad \rho(\phi_1^H(O_M)) \leq - \int_0^1 \min_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) dt.$$

Since  $(\phi_1^H)^{-1} = \phi_1^{\overline{H}}$ , where  $\overline{H}(t, x) = -H(t, \phi_t^H(x))$ , (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho((\phi_1^H)^{-1}(O_M)) &\leq - \int_0^1 \min_{x \in T^*M} \overline{H}(t, x) dt \\
 (6) \quad &= - \int_0^1 \min_{x \in T^*M} \{-H(t, x)\} dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \max_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding (5) and (6) we get

$$\rho(\phi_1^H(O_M)) + \rho((\phi_1^H)^{-1}(O_M)) \leq \text{length}(\{\phi_t^H(O_M)\}).$$

Taking  $\inf_H$  we get 5.

To prove 6., note that  $CF_*(\pi^*S)$  consists of constant paths (critical points of  $S$ ), and thus for  $x \in CF_*(-\pi^*S)$

$$\mathcal{A}_{-\pi^*S}(x) = S(x).$$

Let  $x_+ \in M$  be such that  $S(x_+) = \max S$ . By the non-triviality of the cap action (see [3], or [9], [6] for similar arguments) for a generic  $x \in M$  there exists a trajectory (2) connecting the generators of  $CF_{\dim M}(-\pi^*S)$  and  $CF_0(-\pi^*S)$  that contribute to the generators of  $HF_{\dim M}(-\pi^*S)$  and  $HF_0(-\pi^*S)$ , where the grading comes from Maslov (or Morse) index (see [8]). Since  $\mathcal{A}_{-\pi^*S}$  (and thus  $S$ ) increases along the gradient lines (2), by choosing  $x \in S^{-1}((\max S - \epsilon, \max S))$  for  $\epsilon$  small

enough, we see that if  $\mu$  is a generator of  $HF_{dim M}(-\pi^*S)$ , then

$$\mu = k[x_+] + \sum_{x_+ \neq x_i \in CF_{dim M}} k_i[x_i]$$

for some integers  $k, k_i$ , where  $k \neq 0$ . Assume that  $\lambda < S(x_+)$ . Then  $x_+ \notin CF_{dim M}^\lambda(-\pi^*S)$ , so that for every  $a \in HF_{dim M}^\lambda(-\pi^*S)$

$$j_*^\lambda(a) = \sum_{x_+ \neq x_i \in CF_{dim M}} l_i[x_i].$$

Since  $HF_{dim M}^\lambda(-\pi^*S)$  is a free module with one generator  $\mu$ , it must be  $j_*^\lambda(a) = c\mu$  for some integer  $c$ , i.e.

$$(7) \quad ck[x_+] + \sum_{x_+ \neq x_i \in CF_{dim M}} (ck_i - l_i)[x_i] = 0.$$

Since

$$CF_{dim M}(-\pi^*S) \ni ckx_+ + \sum_{x_+ \neq x_i \in CF_{dim M}} (ck_i - l_i)x_i \notin Image(\partial)$$

and since  $k \neq 0$ , (7) is possible only if  $c = 0$ . Hence,  $j_*^\lambda$  is not surjective. *q.e.d.*

### 3. FLATNESS AND GEODESICS

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  the space of normalized smooth functions on  $M$ :

$$\mathcal{F}(M) := \{S \in C^\infty(M) \mid \int_M S(q) dq = 0\},$$

where  $dq$  is the Lebesgue measure induced by the Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Note that for every  $C^1$ -small Hamiltonian deformation  $L$  of a zero section  $O_M$  there is a unique  $S \in \mathcal{F}(M)$  such that  $L = Graph(dS)$ . Define a norm on  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  by

$$\|S\| := \max_{q \in M} S - \min_{q \in M} S.$$

**Theorem 2.** *There exist  $C^1$ -neighborhoods  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $O_M \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $0 \in \mathcal{F}(M)$  such that the mapping*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, L \mapsto Graph(dS)$$

*is an isometry.*

*Proof.*  $L := Graph(dS) \in \mathcal{G}$  is generated by the time-independent Hamiltonian  $-\pi^*S$  (to simplify notation we keep the same notation for  $-\pi^*S$  multiplied by a cut-off function). Hence  $\rho(L) = \rho(\phi_1^{-\pi^*S}(O_M)) = \rho(-\pi^*S)$ . It is easy to see that  $\rho((\phi_1^{-\pi^*S})^{-1}(O_M)) = \rho(\pi^*S)$ . Therefore, by Proposition 1

$$\begin{aligned} \max S - \min S &= \max S + \max(-S) \\ &= \rho(\pi^*S) + \rho(-\pi^*S) \\ &\leq d(O_M, L) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (\max S - \min S) dt \\ &= \max S - \min S. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $d(O_M, L) = \|S\|$ . The statement of the theorem follows from the invariance of  $d$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d(\text{Graph}(dS_0), \text{Graph}(dS_1)) &= d(O_M, (\phi_1^{-\pi^* S_0})^{-1} \circ \phi^{-\pi^* S_1}(O_M)) \\ &= d(O_M, \phi_1^{\pi^* S_0 - \pi^* S_1}(O_M)) \\ &= d(O_M, \text{Graph}(d(S_1 - S_0))) \\ &= \|S_1 - S_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

*q.e.d.*

*Remark 3.* Theorem 2 complements the conclusion made at the end of Section 7 of [7] that, if  $c(\mu, L)$ ,  $c(1, L)$  are Viterbo’s invariants of  $L$  (see [10]), then  $c(\mu, L) - c(1, L) \leq d(O_M, L)$ . From Theorem 2 it follows that the strict equality holds at least for  $L$   $C^1$ -close to  $O_M$ .

Now we prove several statements analogous to the ones in [1] (or Section 5.7 in [4]).

**Lemma 4** (Hamilton-Jacobi equation). *Let  $H(t, x)$  be a Hamiltonian generating  $L_t = \text{Graph}(dS_t)$ . Then*

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) + H(t, dS_t(q)) = \text{const.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $q = \pi(\phi_s^H(y))$  for  $y \in M$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $(q, p)$  be the canonical coordinates around  $\phi_s^H(y)$ . Denote  $(q_t, p_t) = \phi_t^H(y)$  for  $t$  near  $s$ . Then

$$(q_t, p_t) = \left( q_t, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}(q_t) \right).$$

Differentiating with respect to  $t$  we get

$$(8) \quad \left( \frac{dq}{dt}, \frac{dp}{dt} \right) = \left( \frac{dq}{dt}, \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial t \partial q} + \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q^2} \frac{dq}{dt} \right).$$

On the other hand, differentiating  $H(t, dS(q)) := H(t, (q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}))$  with respect to  $q$  we obtain

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left( H(t, q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}) \right) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q^2}.$$

Applying Hamiltonian equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \\ \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \end{cases}$$

to (8) and (9) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left( H(t, dS(q)) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) \right) = 0.$$

*q.e.d.*

**Corollary 5.** *If  $H(t, x)$  is a Hamiltonian generating  $L_t = \text{Graph}(dS_t)$ , then*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \right\| \leq \max_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) - \min_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x)$$

for every  $t$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) &\geq \max_{q \in M} H(t, dS_t(q)) \\
 &= c + \max_{q \in M} \left( -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) \right) \\
 &= c - \min_{q \in M} \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) \right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) &\leq \min_{q \in M} H(t, dS_t(q)) \\
 &= c + \min_{q \in M} \left( -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) \right) \\
 &= c - \max_{q \in M} \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$- \min_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) \geq -c + \max_{q \in M} \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) \right).
 \tag{11}$$

Adding (10) and (11) finishes the proof.

*q.e.d.*

**Corollary 6** (Compare [1], Proposition 3.3.A). *If  $L_t = \text{Graph}(dS_t)$ , then  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$  is quasi-autonomous if and only if  $\{L_t\}$  is generated by a quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\{L_t\}$  is completely determined by values of  $H(t, x)$  for  $x$  near  $\bigcup_t L_t$ . Therefore, according to the comment after (2), we can assume that

$$\max_x H(t, x) = \max_{x \in \bigcup_t L_t} H(t, x), \quad \min_x H(t, x) = \min_{x \in \bigcup_t L_t} H(t, x).$$

Assume first that  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$  is quasi-autonomous, so that  $\max_{q \in M} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q_+)$  and  $\min_{q \in M} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q_-)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q_{\pm}) \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}(q_{\pm})
 \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $x_{\mp} := dS_t(q_{\pm})$  does not depend on  $t$ . Let  $H_t$  be a Hamiltonian generating  $\{L_t\}$ . By Lemma 4, for  $x \in \bigcup_t L_t$

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(t, x) &= c - \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(\pi(x)) \\
 &\leq c - \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q_-) \\
 &= H(t, x_+).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $H(t, x) \geq H(t, x_-)$ , i.e.  $H$  is quasi-autonomous.

Assume now that  $H(t, x)$  is a quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian, such that  $\phi_t^H(O_M) = L_t$ . Let  $\max_x H(t, x) = H(t, x_+)$  and  $\min_x H(t, x) = H(t, x_-)$ . Again, we can

assume that  $x_{\pm} \in \bigcup_t L_t$ . Let  $q_{\pm} := \pi(x_{\mp})$ . Then by Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) &= c - H(t, dS_t(q)) \\ &\leq c - H(t, x_-) \\ &= \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q_+). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) \geq \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q_-)$ , hence  $S$  is quasi-autonomous. *q. e. d.*

*Remark 7.* From the proof of Corollary 6 it follows that, if  $H_t$  is a quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian generating  $Graph(dS_t)$ , then (after modifying  $H$  away from  $\bigcup_t L_t$  if necessary)

$$\max_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) - \min_{x \in T^*M} H(t, x) = \max_{q \in M} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q) - \min_{q \in M} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}(q).$$

**Theorem 8.** *A regular path  $\{L_t\} \in \mathcal{L}$  is a geodesic if and only if it is generated by a locally quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian function.*

*Proof.* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $L_0 = O_M$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $L_t \in \mathcal{G}$  for  $t \in (0, \epsilon)$ . Let  $S_t = \Phi^{-1}(L_t)$ , where  $\Phi$  is as in Theorem 2.  $\{L_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq \epsilon}$  is a minimizing geodesic if and only if for every  $\delta > 0$  there exists a Hamiltonian  $H$  such that  $\phi_t^H(O_M) = L_t$  and

$$(12) \quad \int_0^\epsilon (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x)) dt - \delta \leq d(O_M, L_\epsilon).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is an isometry (Theorem 2)

$$\begin{aligned} d(O_M, L_\epsilon) &= \|S_\epsilon\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^\epsilon \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} dt \right\| \\ (13) \quad &\leq \int_0^\epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \right\| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\epsilon (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x)) dt \end{aligned}$$

(the last inequality follows from Corollary 5). Since  $\delta$  in (12) is arbitrary, it follows from (12) and (13) that

$$\int_0^\epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \right\| dt = \left\| \int_0^\epsilon \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} dt \right\|,$$

which according to (3) means that  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$  is quasi-autonomous. It follows from Corollary 6 that this is equivalent to  $H(t, x)$  being quasi-autonomous. Vice versa, if  $H$  (and thus  $S$  as well) is quasi-autonomous, by (3) and Remark 7 both inequalities in (13) are equalities, and this gives

$$d(O_M, L_\epsilon) = \int_0^\epsilon (\max_x H(t, x) - \min_x H(t, x)) dt.$$

*q. e. d.*

Theorem 8 extends Theorem 1.3.D in [1] which states that a regular path in a group  $Ham(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$  of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  is a geodesic if and only if it is generated by a locally quasi-autonomous Hamiltonian

function. Indeed, a graph of every  $\psi \in \text{Ham}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$  is a Hamiltonian deformation of a diagonal  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ . We can identify  $(\mathbf{R}^{2n} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}, dq \wedge dp - dQ \wedge dP)$  with  $(T^*\Delta, -d(pdq))$  through a *symplectic* identification

$$(q, p, Q, P) \mapsto \left( \frac{q+Q}{2}, \frac{p+P}{2}, P-p, q-Q \right).$$

Since  $\psi$  is compactly supported, the image of  $\text{Graph}(\psi)$  coincides with the zero section of  $T^*M$  outside a compact set. Thus, after adding the fiber at infinity,  $\text{Graph}(\psi)$  can be considered as a Hamiltonian deformation of the zero section in  $T^*\mathbf{S}^{2n}$ . Hence, Theorem 2 and Theorem 8 extend the analogous results in [1].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bialy, M. and Polterovich, L., *Geodesics of Hofer's metric on the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms*, Duke Math. J., 76 (1994), 273–292. MR **96b**:58030
- [2] Chekanov, Yu., *Lagrangian intersections, symplectic energy, and areas of holomorphic curves*, Duke Math. J., 95 (1998), 213–226. MR **99k**:58034
- [3] Floer, A., *Cup-length estimates for Lagrangian intersections*, Comm. Pure App. Math., 42 (1989), 335–356. MR **90g**:58034
- [4] Hofer, H., and Zehnder, E., *Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1994. MR **96g**:58001
- [5] Lalonde, F., and McDuff, D., *Hofer's  $L^\infty$ -geometry: energy and stability of Hamiltonian flows, I, II*, Invent. Math., 122 (1995), 1–69. MR **96h**:58063; errata MR **97b**:58060
- [6] Milinković, D., *Morse homology for generating functions of Lagrangian submanifolds*, Trans. AMS, 351 (1999), 3953–3974. MR **99m**:58048
- [7] Milinković, D., *On equivalence of two constructions of invariants of Lagrangian submanifolds*, Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [8] Oh, Y.-G., *Symplectic topology as the geometry of action functional I - Relative Floer theory on the cotangent bundle*, J. Diff. Geom., 45 (1997), 499–577. MR **99a**:58032
- [9] Oh, Y.-G., *Symplectic topology as the geometry of action functional II - Pants product and cohomological invariants*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 7 (1999), 1–55. MR **98a**:58032
- [10] Viterbo, C., *Symplectic topology as the geometry of generating functions*, Math. Ann., 292 (1992), 685–710. MR **93b**:58058

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92697-3875  
*E-mail address:* `dmilinko@math.uci.edu`  
*Current address:* Matematički Fakultet, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia, Yugoslavia  
*E-mail address:* `milinko@matf.bg.ac.yu`