

## ON MINIMAL LENGTHS OF EXPRESSIONS OF COXETER GROUP ELEMENTS AS PRODUCTS OF REFLECTIONS

MATTHEW J. DYER

(Communicated by John R. Stembridge)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the absolute length  $l'(w)$  of a Coxeter group element  $w$  (i.e. the minimal length of an expression of  $w$  as a product of reflections) is equal to the minimal number of simple reflections that must be deleted from a fixed reduced expression of  $w$  so that the resulting product is equal to  $e$ , the identity element. Also,  $l'(w)$  is the minimal length of a path in the (directed) Bruhat graph from the identity element  $e$  to  $w$ , and  $l'(w)$  is determined by the polynomial  $R_{e,w}$  of Kazhdan and Lusztig.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and let  $T := \bigcup_{w \in W} wSw^{-1}$  denote the corresponding set of reflections. For  $w \in W$ , define  $l(w)$  (resp.,  $l'(w)$ ) to be the minimal number of factors occurring amongst all expressions of  $w$  as a product of simple reflections  $S$  (resp., reflections). The function  $l$  (resp.,  $l'$ ) is called the standard (resp., absolute) length function of  $(W, S)$ . An expression  $w = s_1 \cdots s_n$  with  $s_i \in S$  and  $n = l(w)$  is called a reduced expression for  $w$ .

The standard length function has been extensively studied (see e.g. [2], [11] as general references on Coxeter groups). In case  $W$  is a finite reflection group in its natural reflection representation,  $l'(w)$  is the codimension of the 1-eigenspace of  $w$  (see [4, Lemmas 1–3], which do not use the crystallographic condition), and  $\sum_{w \in W} X^{l'(w)} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + (d_i - 1)X)$  where  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  are the degrees of  $W$  ([13]; see also [1] for other natural interpretations of this polynomial). However, this geometric description of  $l'(w)$  does not extend to arbitrary infinite  $W$ , for the function  $l'$  may be unbounded even if  $S$  is finite.

In this note, we show that  $l'(w)$  is equal to the minimum number of simple reflections that must be deleted from a fixed reduced expression for  $w$  so that the resulting product of simple reflections is equal to the identity element  $e$  of  $W$ . Moreover,  $l'(w)$  can also be characterized in terms of combinatorial data related to the well-known Chevalley-Bruhat order (namely the Bruhat graph [8] and the  $R$ -polynomial  $R_{e,w}$  of [12]). The  $R$ -polynomials have been studied quite extensively (cf. [12], [5], [10], [3] for example) because of their close connections to Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, which are of interest for their significant applications to Lie representation theory and related geometry and combinatorics.

I wish to thank Alex Hahn for questions which stimulated this note.

---

Received by the editors August 23, 1999 and, in revised form, January 27, 2000.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20F55, 22E47, 06A07.

1. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $w = s_1 \dots s_n$  be a reduced expression for  $w \in W$ . Then  $l'(w)$  is the minimum of the natural numbers  $p$  for which there exist  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n$  such that  $e = s_1 \dots \widehat{s_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{s_{i_p}} \dots s_n$ , where a hat over a factor indicates its omission.*

Write  $t_i = s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_i s_{i-1} \dots s_1$ , and fix an expression for  $e$  as in the theorem, with  $p = l'(w)$ . Then  $t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_p} w = e$ , or equivalently  $w = t_{i_p} \dots t_{i_1}$ . Thus,  $l'(w)$  and an expression of  $w$  as a product of  $l'(w)$  reflections are effectively computable.

Define the ‘‘Bruhat graph’’  $\Omega = \Omega_{(W,S)}$  to be the directed graph with vertex set  $W$  and an edge from  $x \in W$  to  $y \in W$  iff  $l(y) > l(x)$  and there is a reflection  $t$  such that  $y = tx$  (see [8]<sup>1</sup>). It is often convenient to regard  $\Omega$  as an edge-labelled directed graph, by attaching the label  $t \in T$  to an edge  $(x, tx)$ . Let  $\bar{\Omega}$  be the underlying undirected graph of  $\Omega$ . A path of length  $n$  in  $\Omega$  (resp.,  $\bar{\Omega}$ ) is a sequence  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  in  $W$  such that for each  $i$  there is a directed (resp., undirected) edge of  $\Omega$  (resp.,  $\bar{\Omega}$ ) from  $x_{i-1}$  to  $x_i$ . Note that  $l'(x)$  is the minimal length of a path in  $\bar{\Omega}$  from  $e$  to  $x$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $W$ .

The Chevalley-Bruhat order  $\leq$  on  $W$  may be defined by  $x \leq y$  if there is a path in  $\Omega$  from  $x$  to  $y$ ; equivalently, if  $y = s_1 \dots s_n$  is a reduced expression for  $y$ , then  $x \leq y$  iff  $x = s_1 \dots \widehat{s_{i_1}} \dots \widehat{s_{i_p}} \dots s_n$  for some  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any  $w \in W$ ,  $l'(w)$  is the minimal length of a path in  $\Omega$  from  $e$  to  $w$ .*

Let  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  be the polynomial ring in an indeterminate  $\alpha$ . It is known that there are polynomials  $\tilde{R}_{x,y} = \tilde{R}_{x,y}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  for  $x, y \in W$  uniquely determined by the initial conditions  $\tilde{R}_{x,y} = 0$  unless  $x \leq y$  and  $\tilde{R}_{x,x} = 1$ , and the following recurrence relation: for  $x, y \in W$  and  $s \in S$  with  $l(sy) < l(y)$ ,

$$\tilde{R}_{x,y} = \begin{cases} \tilde{R}_{sx,sy} & \text{if } l(sx) < l(x), \\ \alpha \tilde{R}_{x,sy} + \tilde{R}_{sx,sy} & \text{if } l(sx) > l(x). \end{cases}$$

In fact,  $q^{(l(y)-l(x))/2} \tilde{R}_{x,y}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = R_{x,y}$  where  $R_{x,y}$  is as defined in [12].

**Theorem 1.3.** *For  $w \in W$ ,  $l'(w)$  is the smallest integer  $m$  such that the coefficient of  $\alpha^m$  in  $\tilde{R}_{e,w}$  is non-zero.*

The subgroups of  $W$  generated by subsets of the simple reflections are called standard parabolic subgroups, and their conjugates in  $W$  are called parabolic subgroups. We record the following simple consequence of the theorems above.

**Corollary 1.4.** *If  $W'$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $W$ , then for all  $w \in W'$ ,  $l'(w)$  is equal to the minimal number of factors occurring amongst expressions for  $w$  as a product of elements of  $W' \cap T$ .*

*Proof.* If  $W'$  is a standard parabolic subgroup the corollary is clear from Theorem 1.1. The general case follows since the function  $l'$  is constant on conjugacy classes. □

---

<sup>1</sup>We take this opportunity to remove an unintended and unnecessary restriction in [8]; the initial sentence of [8, §1] should begin ‘‘Let  $(W, R)$  be a Coxeter system...’’.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We will deduce both Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 using 1.2. We begin by recalling from [7], [8] (cf. also [6], in which some of the proofs are simpler) certain properties of reflection subgroups of  $W$  which are used in the proofs here.

For a reflection subgroup  $W'$  of  $W$  (i.e.  $W'$  is generated by  $W' \cap T$ ), let

$$\chi(W') := \{ t \in W' \cap T \mid l(t't) > l(t) \text{ for all } t' \in W' \cap T \text{ with } t' \neq t \}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any reflection subgroup  $W'$  of  $W$ ,  $S' = \chi(W')$  is a set of Coxeter generators of  $W'$ , and the set of reflections of  $(W', S')$  is  $W' \cap T$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in W$ , the coset  $W'x$  contains a unique element  $x_0$  with  $l(x_0)$  minimal, and then the map  $v \mapsto vx_0: W' \mapsto W'x$  induces an isomorphism of directed labelled graphs between  $\Omega_{(W', S')}$  and the full subgraph  $\Omega_{(W, S)}(W'x)$  of  $\Omega_{(W, S)}$  on vertex set  $W'x$ .*

We say  $(W', \chi(W'))$  above is a dihedral reflection subsystem of  $(W, S)$  if  $W'$  can be generated by two distinct reflections, or, equivalently by [7], if  $\chi(W')$  has exactly two elements.

The following lemma immediately establishes Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If there is a path from  $e$  to  $x \in W$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$  of length  $n$ , then there is a path from  $e$  to  $x$  in  $\Omega$  of length  $n'$  for some  $n' \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* To prove the lemma, consider a path  $e = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = x$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$  of length  $n$  from  $e$  to  $x$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$ , and then for  $n > 0$  by induction on  $m := l(x_{n-1})$ . Replacing  $n$  by a smaller integer if necessary, we may assume by the inductive hypothesis that  $n \geq 2$  and  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}$  is a path in  $\Omega$ . We also assume without loss of generality that  $x_n \neq x_{n-2}$  and  $l(x_n) < l(x_{n-1})$ .

There exist distinct reflections  $t$  and  $t'$  so  $x_n = tx_{n-1}$  and  $x_{n-1} = t'x_{n-2}$ . Let  $W'$  be the dihedral reflection subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $t$  and  $t'$ ,  $S' := \chi(W')$  and  $\Omega' := \Omega_{(W, S)}(W'x_{n-1})$ . By Theorem 2.1 and inspection of Bruhat graphs of dihedral Coxeter systems (cf. [8, (1.2)]) it follows that there is a path  $x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, x'_{n-1}, x_n$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$  with  $x'_{n-1} \in W'x_{n-1}$  which is either a path in  $\Omega$  (as required) or else such that there is an edge of  $\Omega$  from  $x'_{n-1}$  to  $x_{n-2}$ . In the latter case, we have  $l(x'_{n-1}) < l(x_{n-2}) < l(x_{n-1}) = m$  so we are done by induction on  $m$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $w \in W$  have reduced expression  $s_1 \cdots s_n$ , and define the reflection  $t_i = s_1 \cdots s_i \cdots s_1$  for each  $i$ . If  $e = s_1 \cdots \widehat{s_{i_1}} \cdots \widehat{s_{i_p}} \cdots s_n$ , then  $t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_p} w = e$  so  $w = t_{i_p} \cdots t_{i_1}$  and  $p \geq l'(w)$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 1.2 there is a directed path  $x_0 = e, x_1, \dots, x_p = w$  of length  $p = l'(w)$  in  $\Omega$ . The strong exchange condition [11] immediately implies that if  $x$  has an expression  $x = r_1 \cdots r_m$  as a product of simple reflections and there is an edge in  $\Omega$  from  $y$  to  $x$ , then  $y = r_1 \cdots \widehat{r_i} \cdots r_m$  for some  $i$ . It follows immediately by descending induction on  $i$  that  $x_i$  has an expression obtained by deleting  $p - i$  simple reflections from the reduced expression  $s_1 \cdots s_n$  for  $w$ . For  $i = 0$ , this is what we had to show. □

Finally, Theorem 1.3 is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and the following fact from [6]; we provide a more conceptual proof here.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The coefficient of  $\alpha^n$  in the polynomial  $\tilde{R}_{x,y}$  is non-zero iff there is a path of length  $n$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Recall from [10] that a reflection order of a dihedral Coxeter system  $(W', S')$  is a total order  $\preceq$  of its reflections such that if  $S' = \{r, s\}$  with  $r \prec s$ , then  $r \prec rsr \prec rsrsr \prec \dots \prec srs \prec s$ . A reflection order of an arbitrary Coxeter system  $(W, S)$  is a total order of the set  $T$  of its reflections which restricts to a reflection order on the set of reflections of each dihedral reflection subsystem  $(W', \chi(W'))$  of  $(W, S)$ ; such reflection orders exist by [10, (2.3)].

We deduce Theorem 2.3 here from the formula [10, (3.4)] for  $\tilde{R}_{x,y}$  as the generating function for the set of paths from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Omega$  with increasing label. Fix a reflection order  $\preceq$  of  $(W, S)$ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{R}_{x,y} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{(t_1, \dots, t_n)} \alpha^n$$

where the inner sum is over those  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T^n$  such that  $x, t_1x, \dots, t_n \cdots t_1x = y$  is a path in  $\Omega$  and  $t_1 \prec t_2 \prec \dots \prec t_n$ .

Hence if the coefficient of  $\alpha^n$  in  $\tilde{R}_{x,y}$  is non-zero, there is a path of length  $n$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Omega$ . Conversely, if there is a path  $x = x_0, \dots, x_n = y$  of length  $n$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Omega$ , one may write  $x_i = t_i \cdots t_1x$  for some reflections  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  in  $W$ . One may suppose the “label”  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T^n$  is chosen to be minimal (in the lexicographic order on  $T^n$  induced by  $\preceq$ ) amongst the labels of all paths of length  $n$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Omega$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , let  $W_i$  be the dihedral reflection subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$ , and let  $S_i = \chi(W_i)$ . Let  $y_i$  be the element of  $W_i x_i$  with minimal length  $l(y_i)$ . The restriction of  $\preceq$  to a total order on  $W_i \cap T$  is a reflection order of  $(W_i, S_i)$ . Now by Theorem 2.1,  $(t_i, t_{i+1})$  is the lexicographically first label (in  $(W_i \cap T)^2$ ) of length two paths in  $\Omega_{(W_i, S_i)}$  from  $x_{i-1}y_i^{-1}$  to  $x_{i+1}y_i^{-1}$ . An examination of Bruhat graphs for dihedral groups shows that  $t_i \prec t_{i+1}$  for each  $i$ . Hence  $t_1 \prec \dots \prec t_n$  and the coefficient of  $\alpha^n$  in  $\tilde{R}_{x,y}$  is non-zero as required.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* By Theorem 2.3 and either [3, 6.1] or [5, 1.3 and 5.3], or by the proof of [8, (3.3)], it follows that if  $x \leq y$ , then there is an integer  $m \equiv l(y) - l(x) \pmod{2}$  such that there is a path of length  $n$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Omega$  iff  $m \leq n \leq l(y) - l(x)$  and  $n \equiv l(y) - l(x) \pmod{2}$ . If  $x = e$ , then  $m = l(y)$ .

*Remark 2.5.* The analogue of (2.1) holds for the  $R$ -functions of the “twisted Bruhat orders” of [9]; Theorem 2.3 and its proof, and Remark 2.4, extend to these orders mutatis mutandis.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Barcelo and A. Goupil, *Combinatorial aspects of the Poincaré polynomial associated with a reflection group*, Jerusalem Combinatorics '93 (Providence, R.I.), Contemp. Math., vol. 178, Amer. Math. Soc, 1994, pp. 21–44. MR **96e**:20061
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Ch. 4–6, Hermann, Paris, 1964.
- [3] F. Brenti, *A combinatorial formula for Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 371–394. MR **96c**:20074
- [4] R. W. Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*, Comp. Math. **25** (1972), 1–59. MR **47**:6884
- [5] V. V. Deodhar, *On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings. I. a finer decomposition of Bruhat cells*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 499–511. MR **86f**:20045
- [6] M. J. Dyer, *Hecke algebras and reflections in Coxeter groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Sydney, 1987.
- [7] ———, *Reflection subgroups of Coxeter systems*, J. of Alg. **135** (1990), 57–73. MR **91j**:20100
- [8] ———, *On the “Bruhat graph” of a Coxeter system*, Comp. Math. **78** (1991), 185–191. MR **92c**:20076

- [9] ———, *Hecke algebras and shellings of Bruhat intervals II: twisted Bruhat orders*, Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and related topics (V. V. Deodhar, ed.), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 139, 1992, pp. 141–165. MR **94c**:20072
- [10] ———, *Hecke algebras and shellings of Bruhat intervals*, *Comp. Math.* **89** (1993), 91–115. MR **95c**:20053
- [11] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 29, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR **92h**:20002
- [12] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, *Invent. Math.* **53** (1979), 165–184. MR **81j**:20066
- [13] L. Solomon, *Invariants of finite reflection groups*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **22** (1963), 57–64. MR **27**:4872

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, ROOM 370 CCMB, NOTRE DAME, INDIANA 46556-5683

*E-mail address:* `Dyer.1@nd.edu`