

HALL'S THEOREM REVISITED

ZHI-WEI SUN

(Communicated by John R. Stembridge)

ABSTRACT. Let A_1, \dots, A_n ($n > 1$) be sets. By a simple graph-theoretic argument we show that any set of distinct representatives of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ can be extended to a set of distinct representatives of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in more than $\min_{n \in I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} (|\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i| - |I|)$ ways. This yields a natural induction proof of the well-known theorem of P. Hall.

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be sets. If $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n$, and a_1, \dots, a_n are distinct, then we say that the sequence

$$(1) \quad \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$$

has a *system of distinct representatives* (abbreviated to SDR) $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

A classical theorem of P. Hall [Ha] asserts that (1) has an SDR if and only if

$$(2) \quad \left| \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| \geq |I| \quad \text{for all } I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Hall's theorem and its restatements in other contexts have many applications throughout discrete mathematics; it is in some sense the fundamental combinatorial min-max relation. (For its connection with transversal matroids, the reader may see M. Aigner [A].) Many textbooks on combinatorics contain the proof given by P. R. Halmos and H. E. Vaughan [HV], who deduced the sufficiency by handling separately the case where $|\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i| > |I|$ for all nonempty $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the remaining case where strong induction on n is used. Surprisingly, it seems that no one has provided a proof of Hall's theorem by passing from $n - 1$ sets to n sets.

Now we give

Theorem. *Let A_1, \dots, A_n ($n > 1$) be subsets of a set X . Suppose that $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ forms an SDR of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$. Then for some $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ containing n there are exactly $|\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j| - |J| + 1$ elements of X that combine with a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} to form an SDR of (1) with a_i representing A_i for $i \notin J$.*

Proof. Consider a directed graph G with vertices $1, \dots, n$, which has an edge from i to j if and only if $i \neq n$ and $a_i \in A_j$. Let

$$J = \{1 \leq j \leq n : \text{there exists a path in } G \text{ from } j \text{ to } n\}$$

Received by the editors March 31, 2000 and, in revised form, October 30, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A05; Secondary 05C20.

This research was supported by the Teaching and Research Award Fund for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions of MOE, and the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China.

and put $A = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j$. For any $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_i \in A &\iff a_i \in A_j \text{ for some } j \in J \\ &\iff \text{there is an edge in } G \text{ from } i \text{ to some } j \in J \\ &\iff G \text{ contains a path from } i \text{ to } n \\ &\iff i \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{1 \leq i < n : a_i \in A\} = J \setminus \{n\}$.

Set $B = A \setminus \{a_i : i \in J \setminus \{n\}\}$. Then $B \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\} = \emptyset$ and $|B| = |A| - |J| + 1$.

Let $a \in X$. If a and those a_i with $1 \leq i < n$ can be rearranged to form an SDR of (1) with a_i representing A_i for $i \notin J$, then $a \in B$ since a represents A_j for some $j \in J$.

Conversely, if $a \in B$, then $a \in A_j$ for some $j \in J$. If $j = n$, then $a_n = a \in A_n$ and hence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ forms an SDR of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$. If $j \neq n$, then G contains a path from j to n , say j_0, j_1, \dots, j_l where $j_0 = j$ and $j_l = n$. Note that $I = \{j_0, \dots, j_l\} \subseteq J$. Let $b_{j_0}, b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_l}$ be $a, a_{j_0}, \dots, a_{j_{l-1}}$ respectively. Evidently $b_i \in A_i$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an SDR of (1) where we set $b_i = a_i$ for $i \notin I$. This concludes the proof. \square

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be finite sets. Concerning the number of SDR's of (1), in 1948 M. Hall [H] obtained the following lower bound providing (1) has an SDR:

$$(3) \quad f(m, n) = (m)_{\min\{m, n\}} = \begin{cases} m! & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ m(m-1) \cdots (m-n+1) & \text{if } m > n, \end{cases}$$

where $m = \min\{|A_1|, \dots, |A_n|\}$. We emphasize that this bound can be used only if one has verified that

$$d = \min_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left(\left| \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| - |I| \right) \geq 0.$$

For any SDR $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of (1) we call the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of cardinality n a *set of distinct representatives* (abbreviated to s.d.r.) of (1). Distinct SDR's of (1) may yield the same set of distinct representatives. Obviously (1) has an s.d.r. if and only if (1) has an SDR. Our theorem shows that any s.d.r. of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ can be extended to an s.d.r. of (1) in at least $1 + d(n)$ ways where

$$(4) \quad d(n) = \min_{n \in I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \left(\left| \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| - |I| \right).$$

This immediately yields a proof of Hall's theorem by ordinary induction on the number of sets.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is indebted to an anonymous referee of [CS] for his comment on Lemma 1 of [CS], which stimulated the present work; he is also grateful to his former student Hui-Qin Cao for her work in the paper [CS]. The author thanks one of the referees of the present paper for his or her many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [A] M. Aigner, *Combinatorial Theory*, Springer, New York, 1979. MR **80h**:05002
- [CS] Hui-Qin Cao and Zhi-Wei Sun, *On sums of distinct representatives*, *Acta Arith.* **87** (1998), 159–169. MR **99k**:11021
- [H] M. Hall, *Distinct representatives of subsets*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1948), 922–926. MR **10**:238g
- [Ha] P. Hall, *On representatives of subsets*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1935), 26–30.
- [HV] P. R. Halmos and H. E. Vaughan, *The marriage problem*, *Amer. J. Math.* **72** (1950), 214–215. MR **11**:423h

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: zwsun@nju.edu.cn