

A WEAK ASPLUND SPACE WHOSE DUAL IS NOT WEAK* FRAGMENTABLE

PETAR S. KENDEROV, WARREN B. MOORS, AND SCOTT SCIFFER

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)

ABSTRACT. Under the assumption that there exists in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ an uncountable set A with the property that every continuous mapping from a Baire metric space B into A is constant on some non-empty open subset of B , we construct a Banach space X such that (X^*, weak^*) belongs to Stegall's class but (X^*, weak^*) is not fragmentable.

1. INTRODUCTION

We say that a Banach space X is *weak Asplund* if every continuous convex function defined on a non-empty open convex subset A of X is Gâteaux differentiable at the points of a residual subset of A . In the study of weak Asplund spaces Stegall [8] introduced the following class of topological spaces, which are defined in terms of minimal uscos. Recall that a set-valued mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ acting between topological spaces X and Y is called a *usco mapping* if for each $x \in X$, $\varphi(x)$ is a non-empty compact subset of Y and for each open set W in Y , $\{x \in X : \varphi(x) \subseteq W\}$ is open in X . An usco mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is called *minimal* if its graph does not properly contain the graph of any other usco defined on X . We say that a topological space Y belongs to Stegall's *class*(\mathcal{S}) if for every Baire space B and minimal usco $\varphi : B \rightarrow 2^Y$, φ is single-valued at the points of a residual subset of B . In [8] Stegall showed that a Banach space X is weak Asplund if (X^*, weak^*) lies in *class*(\mathcal{S}). In fact, Stegall proved that if the dual unit ball B_{X^*} of X equipped with the weak* topology belongs to *class*(\mathcal{S}), then X is weak Asplund. Another class of topological spaces that have played a significant role in the study of weak Asplund spaces is the class of fragmentable spaces. We say that a topological space Y is *fragmented* by a pseudo metric ρ if every non-empty subset of Y contains a non-empty relatively open set of arbitrarily small ρ -diameter. A space that is fragmented by some metric is called *fragmentable*. An easy argument shows that fragmentable spaces belong to Stegall's *class*(\mathcal{S}) (see Theorem 5.1.11 in [2]). The converse question was considered in [4]. Indeed, in that paper the author shows

Received by the editors February 17, 2000 and, in revised form, April 22, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54C60, 46B20, 54C10.

Key words and phrases. Stegall's class, fragmentability, weak Asplund space, double arrow space, Baire space, minimal usco.

The first author was partially supported by Grant MM-701/97 of the National Fund for Scientific Research of the Bulgarian Ministry of Education, Science and Technology.

The second author was supported by a Marsden fund grant, VUW 703, administered by the Royal Society of New Zealand.

that under some additional set-theoretic assumptions there are compact spaces in Stegall’s class(\mathcal{S}) that are not fragmentable. We show in this paper that under similar set-theoretic assumptions there are Banach spaces X such that (X^*, weak^*) lies in Stegall’s class(\mathcal{S}) but (X^*, weak^*) is not fragmentable.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF A BANACH SPACE

Given a subset A of $(0, 1)$ we shall consider the Banach space D_A of all real-valued functions on $(0, 1]$ that have finite right-hand limits at the points of $[0, 1)$, are left-continuous at the points of $(0, 1]$ and are continuous at the points of $(0, 1] \setminus A$, endowed with sup-norm. Then we shall characterise the duals of these spaces in terms of functions of bounded variation. Given bounded functions f and α defined on $(0, 1]$ and $[0, 1]$ respectively and a partition $P := \{t_k : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ of $[0, 1]$ where

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = 1,$$

the *Riemann-Stieltjes sum* of f with respect to α , determined by P , is the real number

$$S(P, f, \alpha) := \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \cdot [\alpha(t_k) - \alpha(t_{k-1})].$$

We say that f is *Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to α* if there exists a real number I such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition P_ε of $[0, 1]$ such that $|S(P, f, \alpha) - I| < \varepsilon$ for all partitions P that refine P_ε . In this case I is denoted by $I := \int_{[0,1]} f(t)d\alpha(t)$ and is called the *Riemann-Stieltjes integral of f with respect to α* .

For any subset A of $(0, 1)$ we shall denote by $BV_A[0, 1]$ the space of all real-valued functions of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$ that are right-continuous at the points of $(0, 1) \setminus A$ and map 0 to 0. We will consider this space endowed with the total variation norm, i.e. for each $\alpha \in BV_A[0, 1]$

$$\|\alpha\| := \text{Var}(\alpha) = \sup\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha(t_k) - \alpha(t_{k-1})| : \{t_k : 0 \leq k \leq n\} \text{ is a partition of } [0, 1]\right\}.$$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 1 (Uniform approximation lemma). *Let A be any dense subset of $(0, 1)$, $f \in D_A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a partition $P_\varepsilon := \{t_k : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ of $[0, 1]$ with $t_k \in A$ for all $1 \leq k < n$ such that $\|f - f_{P_\varepsilon}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, where $f_{P_\varepsilon} : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f_{P_\varepsilon}(t) := \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) \cdot \chi_{(t_{k-1}, t_k]}(t)$.*

One can now use the previous lemma to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has bounded variation and $f \in D_{(0,1)}$. Then f is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to α .*

Proof. First note that to show f is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to α we need only show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition P_ε of $[0, 1]$ such that $|S(P_\varepsilon, f, \alpha) - S(P', f, \alpha)| < \varepsilon$ for all partitions P' that refine P_ε . Further, an elementary calculation shows that for any $g, g' \in D_{(0,1)}$ and partition P we have

that $|S(P, g, \alpha) - S(P, g', \alpha)| \leq \|g - g'\| \cdot \text{Var}(\alpha)$. Therefore, if we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a partition P of $[0, 1]$ such that $\|f - f_P\| < \varepsilon/(\text{Var}(\alpha) + 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |S(P, f, \alpha) - S(P', f, \alpha)| &\leq |S(P, f, \alpha) - S(P, f_P, \alpha)| \\ &\quad + |S(P, f_P, \alpha) - S(P', f_P, \alpha)| \\ &\quad + |S(P', f_P, \alpha) - S(P', f, \alpha)| \\ &< 0 + 0 + \varepsilon = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all partitions P' that refine P . □

By a *slight* adaption of the proof of Riesz’s representation theorem for the dual of $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ we can obtain the following representation theorem. Note: it is easiest to make the adaption to the proof of Riesz’s representation theorem that relies upon the Hahn-Banach extension theorem. In fact the standard proof only *uses* extensions to the space $D_{(0,1)}$ and not to all of $B[0, 1]$ - the space of bounded functions on $[0, 1]$; see [1]. Further details may also be found in the paper [6].

Theorem 2. *Let A be any subset of $(0, 1)$. Then the dual of D_A is isometrically isomorphic to $BV_A[0, 1]$. In particular the mapping $T : BV_A[0, 1] \rightarrow D_A^*$ defined by $T(\alpha)(x) := \int_{[0,1]} x(t)d\alpha(t)$ for each $x \in D_A$ is an isometry from $BV_A[0, 1]$ onto D_A^* .*

For a non-empty subset A of $[0, 1]$ we shall denote by τ_A the topology (on $BV_A[0, 1]$) of pointwise convergence on $A \cup \{1\}$. If A is dense in $[0, 1]$, then τ_A is a Hausdorff topology. Moreover, the closed unit ball in $BV_A[0, 1]$ (with respect to the total variation norm) is τ_A -compact.

Corollary 1. *For a non-empty subset A of $(0, 1)$, $(BV_A[0, 1], \tau_A)$ is homeomorphic to D_A^* endowed with the weak topology generated by the functions $\chi_{(0,a]}$ with $a \in A \cup \{1\}$. If A is dense in $(0, 1]$, then τ_A is Hausdorff and the closed unit ball $B_{BV_A[0,1]}$ in $BV_A[0, 1]$ with the τ_A -topology is homeomorphic to $(B_{D_A^*}, \text{weak}^*)$. In fact the mapping T defined in the previous theorem, restricted to the ball $B_{BV_A[0,1]}$, realizes such a homeomorphism.*

Proof. The proof of the first assertion is based upon the simple fact that for each $\alpha \in BV_A[0, 1]$ and $t \in A \cup \{1\}$, $T(\alpha)(\chi_{(0,t]}) = \alpha(t)$. The fact that T restricted to $B_{BV_A[0,1]}$ realizes a homeomorphism onto $(B_{D_A^*}, \text{weak}^*)$ follows from the fact that on $B_{D_A^*}$ the relative weak* topology and the relative topology generated by the functions $\chi_{(0,t]}$, $t \in A \cup \{1\}$ coincide (see Lemma 1). □

3. $(BV_A[0, 1], \tau_A)$ BELONGS TO CLASS(S)

We begin this section with the following preliminary theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let Y be a compact topological space and ρ a metric on it. Then Y belongs to class(S) if (and only if) for $\varepsilon > 0$, each Baire metric space B and each minimal usco $\varphi : B \rightarrow 2^Y$ there exists a point $x \in B$ such that $\rho\text{-diam } \varphi(x) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. By the “factorization theorem” in [5] we need only show that for every complete metric space M and minimal usco $\varphi : M \rightarrow 2^Y$ there exists a residual set R of M such that φ is single-valued at the points of R . If we now apply the proof of Theorem 3.2.6 in [2] to our current situation we obtain the desired result. □

Lemma 2. *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a minimal usco acting between topological spaces X and Y and let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there is a residual set R in X such that the composition mapping $f \circ \varphi : X \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $(f \circ \varphi)(x) := \{f(y) : y \in \varphi(x)\}$ is single-valued at the points of R .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1.2(iv) in [2], $f \circ \varphi$ is a minimal usco on X and so the result follows from Theorem 5.1.11 in [2]. \square

In the remainder of this section A will always denote a dense subset of $(0, 1)$ that satisfies the property: (*) Every continuous function from a Baire metric space B into A is constant on some non-empty open subset of B .

Of course every countable dense subset of $(0, 1)$ has this property; however we shall be particularly interested in the case when A is uncountable, if indeed such a set exists.

Theorem 4. *Let A be a dense subset of $(0, 1)$ that satisfies property (*). Then $(BV_A[0, 1], \tau_A)$ belongs to class(\mathcal{S}).*

Proof. First, let us note that by Theorem 3.1.5, part(iv) in [2], we need only show that the closed unit ball $B_{BV_A[0,1]}$ of $BV_A[0, 1]$ belongs to class(\mathcal{S}). In fact, we need only show that the $(\tau_A$ -compact) set $M_A[0, 1]$ of all non-decreasing functions in $B_{BV_A[0,1]}$, endowed with the τ_A -topology lies in Stegall's class(\mathcal{S}). Since if $M_A[0, 1] \in \text{class}(\mathcal{S})$, then by Theorem 3.1.5, part(iii) in [2], $M_A[0, 1] \times M_A[0, 1] \in \text{class}(\mathcal{S})$. However, by the Jordan decomposition theorem $B_{BV_A[0,1]} \subseteq \Delta(M_A[0, 1] \times M_A[0, 1])$, where $\Delta : M_A[0, 1] \times M_A[0, 1] \rightarrow BV_A[0, 1]$ is defined by $\Delta(f, g) := f - g$. Hence the result follows from Theorem 3.1.5, part(i) in [2], since Δ is a perfect mapping. For any α, β in $M_A[0, 1]$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(\alpha, \beta) &:= |(\alpha - \beta)(1)|, & \rho_I(\alpha, \beta) &:= \int_0^1 |(\alpha - \beta)(t)| dt, \\ \rho_J(\alpha, \beta) &:= \sum_{t \in A} |(\alpha - \beta)(t^+) - (\alpha - \beta)(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\{t \in A : |(\alpha - \beta)(t^+) - (\alpha - \beta)(t)| > 0\}$ is at most countable. Then we define $\rho(\alpha, \beta) := \rho_1(\alpha, \beta) + \rho_I(\alpha, \beta) + \rho_J(\alpha, \beta)$. With a little thought it should be clear that ρ defines a metric on the set $M_A[0, 1]$. We now proceed via Theorem 3. To this end, let $\varepsilon > 0$, B be a Baire metric space and $\varphi : B \rightarrow 2^{M_A[0,1]}$ be a minimal usco.

Step 1. It is not too difficult to check that ρ_I is a continuous pseudo-metric on $M_A[0, 1]$, i.e. for each $\alpha \in M_A[0, 1]$ and $r > 0$ the set $\{\beta \in M_A[0, 1] : \rho_I(\alpha, \beta) < r\}$ is τ_A -open in $M_A[0, 1]$. Hence it follows that ρ_I “fragments” $M_A[0, 1]$. It is also very easy to see that ρ_1 is a continuous pseudo-metric on $M_A[0, 1]$ and so ρ_1 also “fragments” $M_A[0, 1]$. In particular this means that there is a residual set $R \subseteq B$ such that both ρ_1 -diam $\varphi(x) = 0$ and ρ_I -diam $\varphi(x) = 0$ at each point $x \in R$ (see the proof of Theorem 5.1.11 in [2]). Therefore by restricting φ to R and re-labeling we may assume, without loss of generality, that both ρ_1 -diam $\varphi(x) = 0$ and ρ_I -diam $\varphi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in B$. One immediate consequence of this is that for each $x \in B$ we may unambiguously refer to the left-hand and right-hand limits of $\varphi(x)$, since if $\alpha, \beta \in \varphi(x)$, then both the left-hand and right-hand limits of α and β coincide on $[0, 1]$.

Step 2. In this step we decompose the space $M_A[0, 1]$ into countably many parts, $\{M_{m,n,(F,f)} : (m, n, (F, f)) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathcal{F}\}$, but first we introduce some notation. For each $\alpha \in M_A[0, 1]$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$S(\alpha, m) := \{t \in A : \alpha(t^+) - \alpha(t^-) > 1/m\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$L^1(\alpha, m) := \sum_{t \in S(\alpha, m)} [\alpha(t^+) - \alpha(t^-)].$$

The notation $S(\alpha, \infty)$ and $L^1(\alpha, \infty)$ will have the expected meaning. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define, $M_m := \{\alpha \in M_A[0, 1] : L^1(\alpha, m) > L^1(\alpha, \infty) - \varepsilon/2\}$ and for each partition $P := \{t_k : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ of $[0, 1]$ we let $I_k(P) := [t_{k-1}, t_k]$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let P_n denote the uniform $1/n$ -partition of $[0, 1]$ and we define

$$M_{m,n} := \{\alpha \in M_m : P_n \cap S(\alpha, m) = \emptyset \text{ and}$$

$$\text{card}[S(\alpha, m) \cap I_k(P_n)] \leq 1 \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

One can check that $\bigcup\{M_{m,n} : (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2\} = M_A[0, 1]$. Now, with m and n fixed we further decompose $M_A[0, 1]$ as follows: For each fixed non-empty subset $F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and function $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$, i.e. $f(k) := (f_1(k), f_2(k)) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, we consider the set

$$M_{m,n,(F,f)} := \{\alpha \in M_{m,n} : \text{card}[I_k(P_n) \cap S(\alpha, m)] = 1 \text{ if, and only if, } k \in F,$$

$$\text{and } \max\{|\alpha(t^-) - f_1(k)|, |\alpha(t^+) - f_2(k)|\} < 1/(4m)$$

$$\text{for each } t \in I_k(P_n) \cap S(\alpha, m) \text{ and } k \in F\}.$$

If we let \mathcal{F} denote the family of all such pairs (F, f) , then \mathcal{F} is at most countable. Hence $\{M_{m,n,(F,f)} : (m, n, (F, f)) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathcal{F}\}$ is a countable decomposition of $M_A[0, 1]$.

Step 3. For any subset $X \subseteq M_A[0, 1]$ we define $\varphi^{-1}(X) := \{x \in B : \varphi(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$. Now since $M_A[0, 1] = \bigcup\{M_{m,n,(F,f)} : (m, n, (F, f)) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathcal{F}\}$, it follows that $\bigcup\{\varphi^{-1}(M_{m,n,(F,f)}) : (m, n, (F, f)) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathcal{F}\} = B$. Therefore there must be some $(m', n', (F', f')) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathcal{F}$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(M_{m',n',(F',f')})$ is second (Baire) category in B . Moreover, since the set $M_{m',n',(F',f')}$ is defined solely in terms of the left-hand and right-hand limits of its members it follows, by the note at the end of Step 1, that

$$\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(M_{m',n',(F',f')})) \subseteq M_{m',n',(F',f')}.$$

Further, by Proposition 3.2.5 in [2] there exists a non-empty open set U in B such that $B' := U \cap \varphi^{-1}(M_{m',n',(F',f')})$ is dense in U and a Baire space with the relative topology. Now by applying Lemma 2 in [4] twice we see that the restriction of φ to B' is a minimal usco. In this way, we see that there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\varphi(B) \subseteq M_{m',n',(F',f')}$.

Step 4. For each $k \in F' \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n'\}$ we define the function $g_k : B \rightarrow A$ by $g_k(x) := S(\varphi(x), m') \cap I_k(P_{n'})$. Note: this definition is sensible since for each $x \in B$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \varphi(x)$, $S(\alpha, m') = S(\beta, m')$. It now follows from the τ_A -upper semi-continuity of φ and the definition of $M_{m',n',(F',f')}$ that each g_k is continuous on B . Hence by property (*) there exists a non-empty open subset U of B such that each $g_k, k \in F'$, is constant on U .

Step 5. For each $k \in F'$ define $t_k := g_k(x)$, $x \in U$. Then by Lemma 2 there exists a residual set R in U such that each of the uscos $\hat{t}_k \circ \varphi : U \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by $(\hat{t}_k \circ \varphi)(x) := \{\alpha(t_k) : \alpha \in \varphi(x)\}$ are single-valued on R . We claim that ρ -diam $\varphi(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $x \in R$. To see this, first note that it is sufficient to show that ρ_J -diam $\varphi(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for each $x \in R$. Now fix $x_0 \in R$ and consider $\alpha, \beta \in \varphi(x_0)$; then

$$\rho_J(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{t \in A} |(\alpha - \beta)(t^+) - (\alpha - \beta)(t)| = \sum_{t \in S(\alpha, \infty)} |(\alpha - \beta)(t^+) - (\alpha - \beta)(t)|.$$

However, if $t \in S(\alpha, m')$, then $|(\alpha - \beta)(t^+) - (\alpha - \beta)(t)| = 0$ since (by Step 1) $\alpha(t^+) = \beta(t^+)$ and (as just noted) $\alpha(t) = \beta(t)$. On the other hand, if we write $S_{tail} := S(\alpha, \infty) \setminus S(\alpha, m')$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in S_{tail}} |(\alpha - \beta)(t^+) - (\alpha - \beta)(t)| &\leq \sum_{t \in S_{tail}} \alpha(t^+) - \alpha(t) + \sum_{t \in S_{tail}} \beta(t^+) - \beta(t) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in S_{tail}} \alpha(t^+) - \alpha(t^-) + \sum_{t \in S_{tail}} \beta(t^+) - \beta(t^-) \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\rho(\alpha, \beta) \leq \varepsilon$ and so ρ -diam $\varphi(x_0) \leq \varepsilon$, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. *Let A be any dense subset of $(0, 1)$ that satisfies property $(*)$. Then (D_A^*, weak^*) belongs to class (\mathcal{S}) .*

We end this section of the paper by returning to the question of the existence of uncountable sets that have property $(*)$. The good news is that there is such a subset A of $(0, 1)$ that satisfies property $(*)$ in Gödel's universe ($V = L$) and hence the set $A' := A \cup [(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}]$ will serve our needs; see [7]. However, the set A necessarily relies upon additional axioms, as it is known that if we assume the existence of a precipitous ideal over ω_1 , then for every uncountable separable metric space A there exists a Baire metric space B and a continuous function $f : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\text{int}(f^{-1}(a)) = \emptyset$ for each $a \in A$ (see [3]).

4. WHEN IS $(BV_A[0, 1], \tau_A)$ FRAGMENTABLE?

We will show that for every set $A \subseteq (0, 1)$, D_A is isometrically isomorphic to $C(K_A)$ for some compact Hausdorff space K_A . Indeed, if $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (0, 1)$, then we may define K_A in the following manner: $K_A := [(\{0\} \cup A) \times \{1\}] \cup [(0, 1] \times \{0\}]$. We endow K_A with the order topology (on K_A) generated by the lexicographic (i.e. dictionary) ordering, i.e. $(s_1, s_2) \leq (t_1, t_2)$ if, and only if, either $s_1 < t_1$ or $s_1 = t_1$ and $s_2 \leq t_2$. It is shown in [4] (see Proposition 2) that K_A is always Hausdorff and compact. It is also shown that K_A is fragmentable if, and only if, A is countable and this occurs if, and only if, K_A is metrizable.

Theorem 5. *Let A be a non-empty subset of $(0, 1)$. Then (D_A^*, weak^*) is fragmentable if, and only if, A is countable.*

Proof. We define an isometry T from D_A onto $C(K_A)$ in the following way: $T(f)((t, 0)) := f(t)$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$ and $T(f)((t, 1)) := \lim_{t' \rightarrow t^+} f(t')$ for $t \in \{0\} \cup A$. One can check, as in ([2], p. 47), that T is in fact an isometry from D_A onto $C(K_A)$. Indeed, it is routine to verify that T is a linear isometry into $C(K_A)$, so it suffices to check that T is surjective. To this end, let $g \in C(K_A)$ and define $f : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(t) := g((t, 0))$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Then $f \in D_A$ and $T(f) = g$. \square

Corollary 3. *If A is an uncountable dense subset of $(0, 1)$ that satisfies property $(*)$, then (D_A^*, weak^*) belongs to class (\mathcal{S}) (and so D_A is weak Asplund) but (D_A^*, weak^*) is not fragmentable.*

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bachman and L. Narici, *Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, 1966. MR **36**:638
- [2] M. Fabian, *Gâteaux Differentiability of Convex Functions: Weak Asplund Spaces*, John Wiley and Sons, 1997. MR **98h**:46009
- [3] R. Frankiewicz and K. Kunen, Solution of Kuratowski's problem on functions having the Baire property I, *Fund. Math.* **128** (1987), 171–180. MR **89a**:03090
- [4] O. Kalenda, Stegall compact spaces which are not fragmentable, *Topology Appl.* **96** (1999), 121–132. MR **2000i**:54027
- [5] P. S. Kenderov and J. Orihuela, A generic factorization theorem, *Mathematika* **42** (1995), 56–66. MR **96h**:54014
- [6] W. B. Moors and S. D. Sciffer, Sigma-fragmentable spaces that are not countable unions of fragmentable subspaces, *Topology Appl.* (to appear.)
- [7] I. Namioka and R. Pol, Mappings of Baire spaces into function spaces and Kadec renorming, *Israel J. Math.* **78** (1992), 1–20. MR **94f**:46020
- [8] C. Stegall, A class of topological spaces and differentiation of functions on Banach spaces, *Vorlesungen aus dem Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Essen* **10** (1983), 63–77. MR **85j**:46026

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, ACAD. G. BONCHEV STREET,
BLOCK 8, 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA

E-mail address: `pkend@bgcict.acad.bg`; `pkend@math.bas.bg`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO, PRIVATE BAG 3105, HAMILTON,
NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: `moors@math.auckland.ac.nz`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NEWCASTLE NSW-2308, AUS-
TRALIA