

## CHARACTER DEGREE SETS THAT DO NOT BOUND THE CLASS OF A $p$ -GROUP

I. M. ISAACS AND M. C. SLATTERY

(Communicated by Stephen D. Smith)

ABSTRACT. Suppose that we are given a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of powers of a prime  $p$  and that  $1 \in \mathcal{S}$ . A technique is presented that enables the construction of a  $p$ -group of specified nilpotence class  $n$  such that its set of irreducible character degrees is exactly  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 2$ , then this can be done for  $2 \leq n \leq p$  and if  $p \in \mathcal{S}$ , then the only requirement is  $2 \leq n$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $G$  is a finite group, then as usual, we write  $\text{cd}(G)$  to denote the set of degrees of the irreducible characters of  $G$ . Now fix some prime  $p$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finite set of powers of  $p$ , subject only to the condition that  $1 \in \mathcal{S}$ . It is known that there necessarily exists some  $p$ -group  $P$  such that  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ , and in fact, it is always possible to choose  $P$  so that its nilpotence class  $c(P)$  is at most 2. (This is the main result of [2].)

For some choices of the set  $\mathcal{S}$ , there exists an upper bound on the nilpotence classes of those  $p$ -groups  $P$  for which  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ , while for other sets  $\mathcal{S}$ , there is no such bound. For example, it was shown in [1] that if  $\text{cd}(P) = \{1, p^e\}$ , then the class of  $P$  is at most  $p$  if  $e > 1$ , but that the class of  $P$  can be unboundedly large if  $e = 1$ . (The problem of determining which sets of powers of  $p$  imply an upper bound on the nilpotence class of a  $p$ -group having that degree set was first suggested by the second author in his paper [6].)

We shall say that a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of powers of  $p$  (containing 1) is **class bounding** if there exists an upper bound (depending on  $\mathcal{S}$ , of course) for the nilpotence classes of all  $p$ -groups  $P$  such that  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ . By the result mentioned in the previous paragraph, for example, we see that if  $|\mathcal{S}| = 2$ , then  $|\mathcal{S}|$  is class bounding if and only if  $p \notin \mathcal{S}$ . It was proved in [5] that the corresponding result also holds when  $|\mathcal{S}| = 3$ . In this case too, the set  $\mathcal{S}$  is class bounding if and only if  $p \notin \mathcal{S}$ . Other class-bounding sets were constructed in [5], including some having arbitrarily large cardinality, but all known class-bounding sets of powers of  $p$  fail to contain the number  $p$ .

Of course, these results suggest the general conjecture that an arbitrary set  $\mathcal{S}$  of powers of  $p$  (containing 1) is class bounding if and only if  $p \notin \mathcal{S}$ . The main result of this paper establishes the “only if” part of this conjecture.

---

Received by the editors February 23, 2001 and, in revised form, April 16, 2001.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20C15.

The research of the first author was partially supported by the U. S. National Security Agency.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $p$  be any prime, let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer and suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a finite set of powers of  $p$  containing both 1 and  $p$ . Then there exists a  $p$ -group  $P$  with nilpotence class  $n$  and  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ .*

Our proof of Theorem A uses a variation of the technique used in [5] to prove the corresponding result when  $|\mathcal{S}| = 3$ .

## 2. THE CONSTRUCTION

Our principal construction tool is the well known “pullback”, as is described in the following lemma.

**(2.1) Lemma.** *Let  $A \triangleleft X$  and  $B \triangleleft Y$  be groups such that  $X/A \cong Y/B$ . Then there exists a group  $P$  having normal subgroups  $R$  and  $S$  with the following properties:*

- (a) *There exist isomorphisms  $\alpha : P/R \rightarrow X$  and  $\beta : P/S \rightarrow Y$ .*
- (b)  *$R \cap S = 1$ .*
- (c)  *$\alpha(RS/R) = A$  and  $\beta(RS/S) = B$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\bar{X} = X/A$  and  $\bar{Y} = Y/B$  and let  $\theta : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  be the given isomorphism. Let  $\Gamma = X \times Y$  be the external direct product and take  $P$  to be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  consisting of all pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $\theta(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$ . It is clear that for each element  $x \in X$ , there exists an element  $y \in Y$  such that  $(x, y)$  lies in  $P$ , and since  $\theta$  is surjective, we see that the reverse is true too: For each element  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $(x, y) \in P$ . In other words, the projection homomorphisms from  $P$  to  $X$  and from  $P$  to  $Y$  are surjective. We let  $R$  and  $S$  be respectively the kernels of these homomorphisms and we let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the corresponding isomorphisms from  $P/R$  onto  $X$  and from  $P/S$  onto  $Y$ . We have now established (a).

The first component of an arbitrary element of  $R$  is 1, and hence the second component can be any element  $y \in Y$  such that  $\theta(\bar{1}) = \bar{y}$ . In other words, the second component of an element of  $R$  is an arbitrary element of  $B$ , and thus  $R = 1 \times B$ . Also, since  $\theta$  is injective, we see that  $S = A \times 1$ , and in particular, it is clear that  $R \cap S = 1$ , as required.

Now  $\alpha(RS/R)$  is the image of  $RS = A \times B$  under the projection to  $X$ , and it follows that  $\alpha(RS/R) = A$ . Similarly,  $\beta(RS/S) = B$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

In the notation of Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $p$ -groups, then  $P$  is also a  $p$ -group. Furthermore, it follows from the facts that  $P/R \cong X$ ,  $P/S \cong Y$  and  $R \cap S = 1$  that the nilpotence class  $c(P) = \max\{c(X), c(Y)\}$ .

**(2.2) Definition.** Let  $a \geq 0$  be an integer. We will say that a  $p$ -group  $P$  is **suitable** with respect to  $a$  if  $P$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  such that the following hold:

- (1)  $P/A$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^a$ .
- (2) Every linear character of  $A$  extends to its stabilizer in  $P$ .

Note that if  $P$  is suitable with respect to  $a$ , then since the subgroup  $A$  is abelian and has index  $p^a$ , it follows that every irreducible character of  $P$  has degree at most  $|P : A| = p^a$ . Note also that every abelian  $p$ -group is suitable with respect to 0 and that every  $p$ -group having an abelian subgroup of index  $p$  is suitable with respect to 1. (To check this last assertion, it suffices to observe that an invariant irreducible character of a normal subgroup of prime index in any finite group is guaranteed to be extendible. This is Corollary 6.20 of [3].) The key to the proof of Theorem A is the following “one-new-degree” theorem.

**(2.3) Theorem.** *Let  $b > a \geq 0$  be integers and suppose that  $Q$  is a  $p$ -group that is suitable with respect to  $a$ . Then there exists a  $p$ -group  $P$  that is suitable with respect to  $b$  and such that  $\text{cd}(P) = \text{cd}(Q) \cup \{p^b\}$ . Furthermore,  $P$  can be chosen so that its nilpotence class  $c(P)$  is the maximum of 2 and the class  $c(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = Q \times V$ , where  $V$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^{b-a}$  and view  $Q$  and  $V$  as subgroups of  $X$ . Since  $Q$  is suitable with respect to  $a$ , we know that  $Q$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  such that  $Q/A$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^a$ , and it follows that  $A \triangleleft X$  and that  $X/A$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^b$ .

Now let  $Y$  be extraspecial of order  $p^{2b+1}$  and let  $B$  be a maximal abelian subgroup of  $Y$ . Then as is well known,  $|Y : B| = p^b$  and  $Y/B$  is elementary abelian, and thus  $X/A \cong Y/B$ . We can therefore construct the “pullback”  $P$ , as in Lemma 2.1, and we use the notation of that lemma. As we remarked earlier,  $P$  is a  $p$ -group and  $c(P)$  is the maximum of  $c(X) = c(Q)$  and  $c(Y) = 2$ , as required.

Now  $RS \cong R \times S \cong A \times B$ , which is abelian, and  $P/RS \cong X/A$ , which is elementary abelian of order  $p^b$ . To prove that  $P$  is suitable with respect to  $b$ , therefore, it suffices to show that every linear character of the subgroup  $RS$  extends to its stabilizer in  $P$ . Every such linear character is uniquely of the form  $\lambda\mu$ , where  $R \subseteq \ker(\lambda)$  and  $S \subseteq \ker(\mu)$ , and because of the uniqueness, we see that the stabilizer of  $\lambda\mu$  in  $P$  is the intersection of the stabilizers in  $P$  of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

There are just two possibilities for the stabilizer of  $\mu$  in  $P$ . To see why this is so, observe that  $\mu$  can be identified with a linear character  $\mu_0$  of  $B \cong RS/S$ . (Recall that  $B$  is a maximum abelian subgroup of the extraspecial group  $Y \cong P/S$ .) If  $Y' \subseteq \ker(\mu_0)$ , then  $\mu_0$  is extendible to  $Y$  and hence is invariant in  $Y$ . Otherwise,  $\mu_0$  induces irreducibly to  $Y$ , and hence  $B$  is its stabilizer in  $Y$ . We deduce that either  $\mu$  is invariant in (and extends to)  $P$  or else the stabilizer of  $\mu$  in  $P$  is the subgroup  $RS$ . In the latter case, we see that the stabilizer of  $\lambda\mu$  in  $P$  is  $RS$ , and in this situation it is trivially true that  $\lambda\mu$  extends to its stabilizer, as required. We can thus suppose that  $\mu$  is invariant in  $P$  and we let  $T$  be the stabilizer of  $\lambda$  in  $P$ . Then  $T$  is also the stabilizer of  $\lambda\mu$  in  $P$  and we must show that  $\lambda\mu$  extends to  $T$ . But since  $\mu$  extends to  $P$  in this situation, it suffices to show that  $\lambda$  extends to  $T$ .

Now  $\lambda$  corresponds to a linear character  $\lambda_0$  of  $A \cong RS/R$ . Also, the stabilizer  $T_0$  of  $\lambda_0$  in  $X = Q \times V$  is the subgroup that corresponds to  $T/R$  under the isomorphism  $X \cong P/R$ . Since  $Q$  is suitable, we know that  $\lambda_0$  extends to its stabilizer in  $Q$ , and thus it also extends to its stabilizer  $T_0$  in  $X = Q \times V$ . It follows from this that  $\lambda$  extends to its stabilizer  $T$  in  $P$ , and this completes the proof that  $P$  is suitable with respect to  $b$ , as desired.

Finally, we must show that  $\text{cd}(P) = \text{cd}(Q) \cup \{p^b\}$ . Since  $P/R \cong X = Q \times V$ , we see that  $Q$  is a homomorphic image of  $P$ , and thus  $\text{cd}(Q) \subseteq \text{cd}(P)$ . Similarly, the extraspecial group  $Y \cong P/S$  is a homomorphic image of  $P$  and we know that  $\text{cd}(Y) = \{1, p^b\}$ , and thus  $p^b \in \text{cd}(P)$ , as desired. To complete the proof, we must consider an arbitrary character  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(P)$  and show that either  $\chi(1) = p^b$  or  $\chi(1) \in \text{cd}(Q)$ .

Let  $\lambda\mu$  be a linear constituent of  $\chi_{RS}$ , where, as before,  $R \subseteq \ker(\lambda)$  and  $S \subseteq \ker(\mu)$ . We saw previously that the stabilizer of  $\mu$  is either  $RS$  or  $P$ . If the stabilizer of  $\mu$  is  $RS$ , we know that the stabilizer of  $\lambda\mu$  is also  $RS$ , and thus  $(\lambda\mu)^P$  is irreducible. In this case,  $\chi = (\lambda\mu)^P$  has degree  $|P : RS| = p^b$ , and there is nothing further to prove. In the remaining case, we know that  $\lambda\mu$  extends to its stabilizer  $T$  in  $P$ , and thus since  $T/RS$  is abelian, it follows that every irreducible character

of  $T$  that lies over  $\lambda\mu$  is linear. We conclude from this that  $\chi(1) = |P : T|$ . But we know in this case that  $T$  corresponds to the stabilizer  $T_0$  of some linear character  $\lambda_0$  of  $A$  in the group  $X = Q \times V$ , and we also know that  $\lambda_0$  extends to  $T_0$ . It follows that the number  $|P : T| = |X : T_0|$  lies in the set  $\text{cd}(X) = \text{cd}(Q)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Our principal application of Theorem 2.3 is the following, from which Theorem A is immediate.

**(2.4) Corollary.** *Suppose that  $Q$  is a  $p$ -group that is suitable with respect to  $e$ , where  $p^e$  is the largest member of  $\text{cd}(Q)$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a set of powers of  $p$  all of which exceed  $p^e$ . Then there exists a  $p$ -group  $P$  such that  $\text{cd}(P) = \text{cd}(Q) \cup \mathcal{B}$ . Furthermore, we can choose  $P$  so that  $c(P) = c(Q)$  except when  $c(Q) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is nonempty, in which case  $c(P) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* We can certainly assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is nonempty and we write  $\mathcal{B} = \{p^{e_1}, p^{e_2}, \dots, p^{e_r}\}$ , where  $e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_r$ . We set  $P_0 = Q$  and we use Theorem 2.3 repeatedly to construct a sequence of  $p$ -groups  $P_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . We can do this so that  $\text{cd}(P_i) = \text{cd}(P_{i-1}) \cup \{p^{e_i}\}$  and each of the groups  $P_i$  is suitable with respect to  $e_i$ . Furthermore, the nilpotence classes of the groups  $P_i$  will all be equal to  $c(Q)$  except when  $c(Q) = 1$ , in which case  $c(P_i) = 2$  for  $i > 0$ . The group  $P = P_r$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.* By [1], we know that there exists a  $p$ -group  $Q$  such that  $c(Q) = n$  and  $\text{cd}(Q) = \{1, p\}$ . Furthermore,  $Q$  has an abelian subgroup of index  $p$ , and thus  $Q$  is suitable with respect to 1. We can now apply Corollary 2.4 with this group  $Q$  and with  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{S} - \{1, p\}$  to obtain a group  $P$  such that  $c(P) = n$  and  $\text{cd}(P) = \text{cd}(Q) \cup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{S}$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3. FURTHER APPLICATIONS

We can also use Corollary 2.4 to give a new proof of the main theorem of [2].

**(3.1) Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of powers of  $p$  and assume that  $1 \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then there exists a  $p$ -group  $P$  with nilpotence class 2 and  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be any abelian  $p$ -group. Then  $Q$  is suitable with respect to 0 and  $\text{cd}(Q) = \{1\}$ . Now apply Corollary 2.4 with  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{S} - \{1\}$  to obtain the desired group  $P$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a class-bounding set of powers of  $p$ , we ask how small the corresponding bound can be. We can use Corollary 2.4 to show that this bound can never be smaller than  $p$ , and in fact, we have a bit more.

**(3.2) Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of powers of  $p$  and assume that  $1 \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $|\mathcal{S}| > 1$ . If  $n$  is an integer and  $2 \leq n \leq p$ , then there exists a  $p$ -group  $P$  with nilpotence class  $n$  and  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Let 1 and  $p^e$  be the two smallest members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We claim that there exists a  $p$ -group  $R$  such that  $\text{cd}(R) = \{1, p^e\}$  and class  $c(R) = p$ , and that in addition,  $R$  can be chosen so that it has an abelian normal subgroup  $B$  such that  $R/B$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^e$ . If  $e = 1$ , it is easy to construct such a group: Let  $R$  be the wreath product of a cyclic group of order  $p$  with itself. If  $e > 1$ , then Example 3.9 of [1] shows that  $R$  exists.

Since  $n \leq p$ , there is some homomorphic image  $Q$  of  $R$  with  $c(Q) = n$ , and we know that  $\text{cd}(Q) \subseteq \text{cd}(R) = \{1, p^e\}$ . Also, because  $n \geq 2$ , we see that  $Q$  is nonabelian, and thus  $\text{cd}(Q) = \{1, p^e\}$ . Furthermore, the image  $A \subseteq Q$  of  $B$  is abelian and  $|Q : A| \leq |R : B| = p^e$ . It follows that  $|Q : A| = p^e$  and  $Q/A \cong R/B$  is elementary abelian.

Now if  $\lambda$  is any linear character of  $A$ , we show that  $\lambda$  extends to its stabilizer in  $Q$ . If some linear character of  $Q$  lies over  $\lambda$ , this is obvious, and otherwise there exists  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(Q)$  lying over  $\lambda$  with  $\chi(1) = p^e$ . Since  $|P : A| = p^e$ , it follows that  $\lambda^G = \chi$ , and thus the full stabilizer of  $\lambda$  in  $P$  is the subgroup  $A$ . In this case too, we see that  $\lambda$  extends to its stabilizer, and thus  $Q$  is suitable with respect to  $e$ .

We can now apply Corollary 2.4 with  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{S} - \{1, p^e\}$  to obtain a group  $P$  with the desired properties.  $\square$

#### 4. SOME QUESTIONS

The big remaining question, of course, is the following.

**Question 1.** If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a set of powers of  $p$  that contains 1 but not  $p$ , must  $\mathcal{S}$  be class bounding?

It seems hard to find sets that one can prove to be class bounding, and so the answer to Question 1 may well be “no”. In fact, the assumption that  $\mathcal{S}$  is class bounding may turn out to be extremely restrictive. It may be, for example, that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is class bounding and  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ , then the structure of  $P$  is very tightly constrained. For example, we do not know the answer to the following.

**Question 2.** Does there exist a  $p$ -group  $P$  such that  $\text{cd}(P)$  is class bounding and  $P$  is not metabelian?

If the answer to Question 2 is “no”, then the answer to Question 1 must also be “no”. This is because there exist  $p$ -groups  $P$  of arbitrarily large derived length for which  $p \notin \text{cd}(P)$ . For example, we can take  $P$  to be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $GL(n, p^e)$  where  $e > 1$  and  $n$  is large. In this situation, it is known (see [4]) that  $\text{cd}(P)$  consists of powers of  $p^e$ , and so does not contain  $p$ , but the nilpotence class and derived length of  $P$  are unboundedly large as  $n$  grows.

For every class-bounding set  $\mathcal{S}$ , there is some integer  $b(\mathcal{S})$ , which is the largest possible nilpotence class for a  $p$ -group  $P$  such that  $\text{cd}(P) = \mathcal{S}$ . By Theorem 3.2, we know that  $b(\mathcal{S}) \geq p$  for every class-bounding set other than  $\{1\}$ , but is there an upper bound for  $b(\mathcal{S})$ ?

**Question 3.** Can  $b(\mathcal{S})$  be arbitrarily large for a class-bounding set  $\mathcal{S}$ ?

If the answer to Question 3 is “no”, then again, by considering a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $GL(n, p^e)$  with  $e > 1$  and  $n$  large, we see that the answer to Question 1 must be “no”.

We know of just one class-bounding set  $\mathcal{S}$  for which we can show that  $b(\mathcal{S})$  exceeds  $p$ : the set  $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 4, 16\}$ . (This set is class bounding because it has cardinality 3 and does not contain  $p = 2$ .) If  $P$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $GL(4, 4)$ , then it is well known that  $P$  has class 3. Also, all members of  $\text{cd}(P)$  are powers of 4 and it is easy to see that  $P$  has an abelian subgroup of index 16. It follows that  $\text{cd}(P) \subseteq \{1, 4, 16\}$ , and it is not too hard to show that all three of these degrees occur, and thus  $\text{cd}(P) = \{1, 4, 16\}$ . This suggests what is probably the least ambitious of our questions.

**Question 4.** If  $p > 2$ , does there exist a  $p$ -group  $P$  of class  $p+1$  such that  $\text{cd}(P) = \{1, p^2, p^4\}$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. M. Isaacs and D. S. Passman, A characterization of groups in terms of the degrees of their characters. II. *Pacific J. Math.* **24** (1968) 467–510. MR **39**:7001
- [2] I. M. Isaacs, Sets of  $p$ -powers as irreducible character degrees. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **96** (1986) 551–552. MR **87d**:20013
- [3] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Dover, New York, 1994. MR **57**:417
- [4] I. M. Isaacs, Characters of groups associated with finite algebras. *J. Algebra* **177** (1995) 708–730. MR **96k**:20011
- [5] I. M. Isaacs and A. Moretó, Character degrees and the nilpotence class of a  $p$ -group, *J. of Algebra* **238** (2001) 827–842. CMP 2001:11
- [6] M. C. Slattery, Character degrees and nilpotence class in  $p$ -groups, *J. of Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **57** (1994) 76–80. MR **95d**:20013

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706

*E-mail address:* isaacs@math.wisc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1881, MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53201

*E-mail address:* mikes@mscs.mu.edu