

REDUCING SUBSPACES OF WEIGHTED SHIFT OPERATORS

MICHAEL STESSIN AND KEHE ZHU

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. A complete description of the reducing subspaces of weighted unilateral shift operators of finite multiplicity is obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space H and let X be a closed subspace of H . Recall that X is invariant under T , or X is an invariant subspace of T , if T maps X into itself. We say that X is a reducing subspace of T if X is invariant under both T and its adjoint T^* . An operator T on H is irreducible if the only reducing subspaces of T are H and $\{0\}$.

The purpose of this paper is to give a complete description of the reducing subspaces of weighted unilateral shift operators of finite multiplicity. As usual we will realize these shift operators as multiplication by z^N on certain Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on the unit disk.

Shift operators have been studied very extensively, and the related bibliography is quite elaborate. Here we mention two excellent surveys [5] and [6], which contain many results and references related to the topic of this paper.

Let $\omega = \{\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \dots\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. We consider the Hilbert space H_ω^2 consisting of analytic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

in the unit disk \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|f\|^2 = \|f\|_\omega^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k |a_k|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well known that M_z , the operator of multiplication by z , is bounded on H_ω^2 if and only if

$$M = \sup \left\{ \frac{\omega_{n+1}}{\omega_n} : n \geq 0 \right\} < \infty$$

(see Proposition 7 in [6]). Throughout the paper we fix a weight sequence ω satisfying this condition. In particular, $\omega_n \leq \omega_0 M^n$ for all n .

It is also well known that M_z is irreducible, namely, the operator M_z has no proper reducing subspaces in H_ω^2 (see Corollary 2 to Theorem 3 in [6]; we also

Received by the editors February 6, 2001 and, in revised form, April 1, 2001.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B37, 47A15.

obtain this as Corollary 8 in Section 3). Throughout the paper we fix an integer $N > 1$ and study the reducing subspace lattice of the operator

$$S = M_z^N = M_{z^N}.$$

Note that S is a weighted unilateral shift operator of multiplicity N .

It is clear that S has proper reducing subspaces. More specifically, for each integer n with $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$, we let

$$X_n = \text{Span}\{z^{n+kN} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\};$$

here and throughout the paper we use Span to denote the closed linear span of a set in a Hilbert space. Then each X_n is obviously a reducing subspace of S . Surprisingly, for most weight sequences ω , these are more or less the only reducing subspaces. To state our main results, we need to introduce more notions about reducing subspaces and weight sequences.

A reducing subspace X of S in H_ω^2 will be called *minimal* if the only reducing subspaces of S contained in X are X and $\{0\}$.

A weight sequence ω is of *type I* if for each $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ with $n \neq m$ there exists some integer $k > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\omega_{n+kN}}{\omega_n} \neq \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_m}.$$

A weight sequence ω is of *type II* if it is not of type I. Thus ω is of type II if and only if there exist $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ with $n \neq m$ such that

$$\frac{\omega_{n+kN}}{\omega_n} = \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_m}$$

for all integers $k > 0$.

We can now state our main results.

Theorem A. *Every reducing subspace X of S in H_ω^2 contains a minimal reducing subspace. The reducing subspaces X_n , $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$, are all minimal. And every minimal reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 is singly generated by a polynomial of degree less than N .*

Theorem B. *If ω is of type I, then X_n , $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$, are the only minimal reducing subspaces of S in H_ω^2 . Moreover, S has exactly 2^N distinct reducing subspaces in H_ω^2 (counting the two trivial ones: H_ω^2 and $\{0\}$).*

Theorem C. *If ω is of type II, then S has minimal reducing subspaces other than the X_n 's. In fact, S has infinitely many distinct minimal reducing subspaces in H_ω^2 .*

Theorem D. *Every reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 is a direct (orthogonal) sum of no more than N minimal reducing subspaces.*

A special case of our results was obtained in [7]. The structure of the reducing subspace lattice for unweighted unilateral shifts was described in [3] and [4]. We also mention that the papers [1] and [2] considered reducing subspaces for analytic Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space with symbols more general than inner functions.

2. TRANSPARENT POLYNOMIALS

Recall that N and ω are fixed throughout the paper. We now introduce a class of polynomials based on N and ω .

Suppose $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ and $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$. We say that m and n are $(\omega - N)$ -related if

$$\frac{\omega_{n+kN}}{\omega_n} = \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_m}$$

for all positive integers k . In this case we write $m \sim n$.

Suppose p is a polynomial of degree less than N ,

$$p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k z^k.$$

We say that p is transparent if for any two nonzero coefficients a_i and a_j of p we have $i \sim j$. It is clear that every monomial is transparent. The following lemma shows that other transparent polynomials exist if and only if $i \sim j$ for some $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq N - 1$ with $i \neq j$.

Lemma 1. *If we use the equivalence relation \sim above to partition the set*

$$\{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$$

into equivalence classes $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_K$, then for each $1 \leq k \leq K$, polynomials of the form

$$p(z) = \sum \{a_i z^i : i \in \Omega_k\}$$

are transparent.

Proof. This is obvious. □

Given any nonzero polynomial p of degree less than N ,

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{N-1} z^{N-1},$$

we define polynomials q_1, \dots, q_K as follows:

$$q_k(z) = \sum \{a_i z^i : i \in \Omega_k\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K.$$

We then drop the zero polynomials in the sequence $\{q_1, \dots, q_K\}$ and list the remaining ones as $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ in such a way that the order of zero at the origin of the polynomials p_k is increasing in k . The resulting decomposition

$$p = p_1 + \dots + p_n$$

is then called the *canonical decomposition* of p .

Lemma 2. *For any nonnegative integers k and n we have*

$$(S^k)^* S^k(z^n) = \frac{\omega_{n+kN}}{\omega_n} z^n.$$

Proof. This follows from an easy calculation using the standard basis of H_ω^2 . □

Lemma 3. *If*

$$p(z) = \sum_{i=m}^{N-1} a_i z^i$$

is a transparent polynomial, where $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ and $a_m \neq 0$, then

$$(S^k)^* S^k(p) = \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_m} p$$

for every nonnegative integer k .

Proof. If $m \leq i \leq N - 1$ and $a_i \neq 0$, then

$$(S^k)^* S^k(z^i) = \frac{\omega_{i+kN}}{\omega_i} z^i = \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_m} z^i.$$

This clearly implies the desired result. □

Let \mathbb{S} be the vector space consisting of all finite linear combinations of finite products of the operators S and S^* . For any nonzero function $f \in H_\omega^2$ the closure of $\mathbb{S}f = \{Tf : T \in \mathbb{S}\}$ in H_ω^2 is clearly a reducing subspace of S (possibly the whole space) and will be denoted by X_f . We call X_f the reducing subspace generated by f . It is clear that X_f is the smallest reducing subspace containing f .

Lemma 4. *If f is a transparent polynomial, then*

$$X_f = \text{Span} \{fz^{kN} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$X = \text{Span} \{fz^{kN} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Then $f \in X \subset X_f$. Since X_f is the smallest reducing subspace of S containing f , it suffices for us to show that X is a reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 .

It is clear that X is invariant under S . To show that X is also invariant under S^* , we fix some positive integer k and write $k = k' + 1$. Then

$$S^*(fz^{kN}) = S^*S(fz^{k'N}).$$

If

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=m}^{N-1} a_i z^i,$$

where $a_m \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2

$$S^*(fz^{kN}) = \sum_{i=m}^{N-1} a_i \frac{\omega_{i+kN}}{\omega_{i+k'N}} z^{i+k'N}.$$

For each i with $a_i \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{\omega_{i+kN}}{\omega_{i+k'N}} = \frac{\omega_{i+kN}}{\omega_i} \cdot \frac{\omega_i}{\omega_{i+k'N}} = \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_m} \cdot \frac{\omega_m}{\omega_{m+k'N}} = \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_{m+k'N}}.$$

It follows that

$$S^*(fz^{kN}) = \frac{\omega_{m+kN}}{\omega_{m+k'N}} fz^{k'N}.$$

This shows that X is invariant under S^* . □

Lemma 5. *Suppose X is a reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 and*

$$p = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n$$

is the canonical decomposition of a polynomial of degree less than N into transparent polynomials. If $p \in X$, then $p_i \in X$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ write

$$p_i(z) = \sum_{j=m_i}^{N-1} a_j^{(i)} z^j, \quad a_{m_i}^{(i)} \neq 0.$$

Note that the p_i 's are mutually orthogonal. Also, $m_1 < \dots < m_n$, and no two of them are $(\omega - N)$ -related.

Choose a positive integer k such that

$$\frac{\omega_{m_1+kN}}{\omega_{m_1}} \neq \frac{\omega_{m_n+kN}}{\omega_{m_n}}.$$

A calculation using Lemma 3 shows that

$$\frac{\omega_{m_n+kN}}{\omega_{m_n}} p - (S^k)^* S^k(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\omega_{m_n+kN}}{\omega_{m_n}} - \frac{\omega_{m_i+kN}}{\omega_{m_i}} \right) p_i.$$

Since X is reducing for S , the above polynomial is still in X . The coefficient of p_1 above is nonzero. If some of the coefficients of p_2, \dots, p_{n-1} are nonzero, we then continue this process. After at most $n - 1$ steps, we will have a single term remaining, namely, a nonzero constant multiple of p_1 , which belongs to X . Thus $p_1 \in X$. Similarly, we can show that each p_i belongs to X . \square

3. AN EXTREMAL PROBLEM

For any subspace X of H_ω^2 let $m = m_X$ be the minimal non-negative integer such that there exists some $f \in X$ with $f^{(m)}(0) \neq 0$ but $g^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for all $g \in X$ and $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$. We will call m the order of zero of X at the origin.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 with $X \neq \{0\}$ and let m be the order of zero of X at the origin. Then the extremal problem*

$$\sup \left\{ \operatorname{Re} f^{(m)}(0) : f \in X, \|f\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

has a unique solution G with $\|G\| = 1$ and $G^{(m)}(0) > 0$. Furthermore, G is a polynomial of degree less than N .

Proof. If f is a function in X with Taylor expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

then $f^{(m)}(0) = a_m m!$. By the definition of the norm in H_ω^2 , the mapping $f \mapsto f^{(m)}(0)$ is a bounded linear functional on H_ω^2 . It then follows from elementary functional analysis that the extremal problem has a unique solution G with $\|G\| = 1$ and $G^{(m)}(0) > 0$.

To show that G is a polynomial of degree less than N , we consider the functions

$$g = \frac{G + Sf}{\|G + Sf\|},$$

where f is any function in X . Since

$$\operatorname{Re} g^{(m)}(0) \leq G^{(m)}(0),$$

we obtain

$$\|G + Sf\| \geq 1$$

for all $f \in X$. This easily implies that $G \perp SX$. In particular, since the function S^*G belongs to X , we must have $\langle SS^*G, G \rangle = 0$, or $S^*G = 0$. Since the kernel of S^* consists of polynomials of degree less than N , the proof of the theorem is now complete. \square

The function G in the theorem above will be called the *extremal function* of X .

Corollary 7. *If X is a reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 , then the order of zero of X at the origin is less than N .*

Corollary 8. *The operator M_z is irreducible on H_ω^2 .*

Proof. The proof of the theorem above also works when $N = 1$. Thus any reducing subspace X of M_z in H_ω^2 with $X \neq \{0\}$ has 0 as the order of zero at the origin; and its associated extremal function must be a nonzero constant. This obviously implies that $X = H_\omega^2$. \square

Theorem 9. *The extremal function of any reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 is transparent.*

Proof. Let G be the extremal function of a reducing subspace X of S in H_ω^2 . Let m be the order of zero of X at the origin. If

$$G = p_1 + \cdots + p_n$$

is the canonical decomposition of G into transparent polynomials, then p_1 contains the term $(G^{(m)}(0)/m!)z^m$. Since the p_i 's are mutually orthogonal, we have $\|p_1\| \leq \|G\| = 1$, $p_1^{(m)}(0) = G^{(m)}(0)$, and $p_1 \in X$ by Lemma 5. The extremality of G then implies that $G = p_1$, and hence G is transparent. \square

4. MINIMAL REDUCING SUBSPACES

In this section we study minimal reducing subspaces of the operator S in H_ω^2 . Note that in general operators may have reducing subspaces that do not contain minimal reducing subspaces. Actually, there are operators which possess lots of reducing subspaces but have no minimal reducing subspaces at all. Just look at the operator of multiplication by z on the Lebesgue space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$, where dA is area measure.

Theorem 10. *Suppose X is a minimal reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 . If*

$$p(z) = \sum_{k=m}^{N-1} a_k z^k$$

is a polynomial in X , where $a_m \neq 0$, then p is transparent.

Proof. Assume that there exists some k with $m < k \leq N - 1$ such that $a_k \neq 0$ and k is not $(\omega - N)$ -related to m . Then there exists some positive integer l such that

$$\frac{\omega_{m+lN}}{\omega_m} \neq \frac{\omega_{k+lN}}{\omega_k}.$$

Consider the function

$$q = (S^l)^* S^l p - \frac{\omega_{m+lN}}{\omega_m} p.$$

An easy calculation using Lemma 2 shows that q is a polynomial of the form

$$q(z) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{N-1} b_i z^i.$$

Since k and m are not $(\omega - N)$ -related, we must have $b_k \neq 0$, so that q is nonzero. The reducing subspace X_q is contained in X , and every function in X_q is missing z^m in its Taylor expansion. In particular, p does not belong to X_q . Thus X_q is a nonzero reducing subspace of S that is properly contained in X . This contradicts the assumption that X is minimal. \square

Theorem 11. *Let X be a reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 . Then X is minimal if and only if there is a transparent polynomial p such that*

$$X = X_p = \text{Span} \{pz^{kN} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Proof. If X is a minimal reducing subspace and G is its associated extremal function, then G is transparent according to Theorem 9. Since $X_G \subset X$, the minimality of X together with Lemma 4 gives

$$X = X_G = \text{Span} \{pz^{kN} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Next assume that p is a transparent polynomial. To show that the reducing subspace X_p is minimal, we assume that Y is another nonzero reducing subspace of S contained in X_p . Let G_Y be the extremal function of Y . Then $G_Y = pf(z^N)$ for some analytic function f . On the other hand, G_Y is a polynomial of degree less than N . It follows that f must be constant, or G_Y is a constant multiple of p . In particular, $p \in Y$. The definition of X_p then implies that $X_p \subset Y$. This shows that $X_p = Y$ and hence X_p is minimal. \square

Corollary 12. *Every reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 contains a minimal reducing subspace.*

Proof. If X is a reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 , then Theorem 9 tells us that the extremal function G of X is transparent. By Theorem 11, the reducing subspace generated by G is minimal and is contained in X . \square

Theorem 13. *Every reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 is a direct (orthogonal) sum of no more than N minimal reducing subspaces.*

Proof. Let X be a nonzero reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 . Let G be the extremal function of X . Then G is transparent and hence X contains the minimal reducing subspace

$$X_G = \text{Span} \{Gz^{kN} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Let $Y = X \ominus X_G$ and continue this process (note that the order of zero of Y at the origin is strictly greater than the order of zero of X at the origin). By Corollary 7 this process will stop in no more than N steps. \square

5. TYPE I WEIGHTS

In this section we give a complete description of the reducing subspaces of S in H_ω^2 for type I weights.

Theorem 14. *If ω is of type I, then X_n , $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$, are the only minimal reducing subspaces of S in H_ω^2 . There are exactly $2^N - 2$ proper reducing subspaces of S in H_ω^2 ; and they are simply the direct (orthogonal) partial sums of these X_n 's.*

Proof. If ω is of type I, then the only transparent polynomials are the monomials of degree less than N . The desired results then follow from Theorems 11 and 13. \square

Corollary 15. *If ω is of type I, then the extremal function of every nonzero reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 is a monomial.*

6. TYPE II WEIGHTS

We characterize the reducing subspaces of S in H_ω^2 for type II weights in this section.

Theorem 16. *Suppose ω is of type II. Then there are infinitely many minimal reducing subspaces of S in H_ω^2 ; every minimal reducing subspace is generated by a transparent polynomial; and every reducing subspace is the direct (orthogonal) sum of no more than N minimal reducing subspaces.*

Proof. Choose two different integers $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ such that they are $(\omega - N)$ -related. For any complex numbers a and b let

$$p(z) = az^n + bz^m.$$

Then p is transparent and X_p is a minimal reducing subspace of S in H_ω^2 . Also, if p and q are two nonzero such polynomials, then $X_p = X_q$ if and only if the coefficient vectors of p and q are linearly dependent. This shows that there are infinitely many distinct minimal reducing subspaces of the form X_p . The other two claims have already been proved in earlier sections. \square

7. SOME EXAMPLES AND REMARKS

If α is any nonzero real number, then

$$\omega_n = (n + 1)^\alpha, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

defines a weight sequence of type I for any $N > 1$. Hilbert spaces generated by such weights include the Bergman space and the Dirichlet space.

If M is any positive constant, then

$$\omega_n = M^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

defines a weight sequence of type II for any $N > 1$. Hilbert spaces generated by such weights are the Hardy spaces of disks centered at the origin.

Finally we remind the reader that whether or not a weight sequence is of type I or type II depends on the integer N in the definition of $S = M_z^N$. However, if ω is of type I for some N , then it is also of type I for all smaller N 's; and if ω is of type II for some N , then it is also of type II for all larger N 's.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.B. Abrahamse and J.A. Ball, Analytic Toeplitz operators with automorphic symbol II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1976), 323-328. MR **56**:12962
- [2] J.A. Ball, Hardy space expectation operators and reducing subspaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **47** (1975), 351-357. MR **50**:10887
- [3] P. Halmos, Shifts on Hilbert spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **208** (1961), 102-112. MR **27**:2868

- [4] E. Nordgren, Reducing subspaces of analytic Toeplitz operators, *Duke Math. J.* **34** (1967), 175-181. MR **35**:7155
- [5] D. Sarason, Invariant subspaces, *Topics in Operator Theory, Mathematical Surveys* **13** (1974), 1-47. MR **50**:10862
- [6] A.L.Shields, Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory, *Topics in Operator Theory, Mathematical Surveys* **13** (1974), 49-128. MR **50**:14341
- [7] K. Zhu, Reducing subspaces for a class of multiplication operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **62** (2000), 553-568. CMP 2001:01

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, ALBANY, NEW YORK
12222

E-mail address: `stessin@math.albany.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, ALBANY, NEW YORK
12222

E-mail address: `kzhu@math.albany.edu`