

## ENDPOINT ESTIMATES FOR CERTAIN COMMUTATORS OF FRACTIONAL AND SINGULAR INTEGRALS

SHANZHEN LU AND QIANG WU

(Communicated by Andreas Seeger)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the authors obtain the endpoint estimates for a class of non-standard commutators with higher order remainders and their variants. Moreover, the authors show that these operators are actually not bounded in certain cases.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

During the development of Calderón-Zygmund operators and their commutators, a class of non-standard singular integrals and commutators with higher order remainders were well studied. There are many works on these topics; see [1], [2], [4], [6], etc. In this paper, we study the non-standard commutator defined by

$$T_\alpha^A f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_m(A; x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha < n$ ,  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$  is homogeneous of degree zero,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A$  has derivatives of order  $m-1$  in  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$R_m(A; x, y) = A(x) - \sum_{|\gamma| < m} \frac{1}{\gamma!} D^\gamma A(y) (x-y)^\gamma.$$

Here and in what follows, for any locally integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Fefferman-Stein's sharp function of  $f$  is defined by

$$f^\sharp(x) = \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y) - m_B(f)| dy,$$

where  $B$  is any ball centered at  $x$  and  $m_B(f) = |B|^{-1} \int_B f(z) dz$ . Moreover,  $f$  is said to belong to  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $f^\sharp \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and define  $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \|f^\sharp\|_\infty$ . A well-known property of  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is that it is the dual space of the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . When  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $T_\alpha^A$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\Omega$  satisfies the additional moment conditions

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x) x^\gamma d\sigma(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } |\gamma| = m-1,$$

---

Received by the editors May 2, 2001 and, in revised form, September 12, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B20; Secondary 47B38, 47A30, 42B30, 42B35.

*Key words and phrases*. Commutator, Hardy space, BMO, atom.

This project was supported by the National 973 Foundation of China.

and when  $0 < \alpha < n$ , it maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and  $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$  since  $\Omega \in L^\infty(S^{n-1})$ ; see [4] and [9], respectively. In this paper, we will study the boundedness properties of these kinds of commutators for the extreme values of  $p$ . In what follows, to avoid distinguishing the case  $\alpha = 0$  and the case  $0 < \alpha < n$  and to simplify the statements, we will constantly use a general boundedness assumption of  $T_\alpha^A$  and let  $n/\alpha = \infty$  when  $\alpha = 0$ .

Note that when  $m = 1$ ,  $T_\alpha^A$  degenerates into the classical commutator of the fractional or singular integral

$$T_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y) dy$$

with the BMO( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) function  $A$ . It is shown in [5] that, in general, this commutator does not map  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^{n/(n-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^{n/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into BMO( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). However, this is not the case when  $m \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$  and assume that  $A$  has derivatives of order  $m - 1$  in BMO( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). If  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$  and  $T_\alpha^A$  maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $T_\alpha^A$  maps  $L^{n/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into BMO( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).*

We also consider the variant of  $T_\alpha^A$ , which is defined by

$$\bar{T}_\alpha^A f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} Q_m(A; x, y) f(y) dy$$

with

$$Q_m(A; x, y) = R_{m-1}(A; x, y) - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} D^\gamma A(x)(x-y)^\gamma.$$

$\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  is closely related to  $T_\alpha^A$  since

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{T}_\alpha^A f(x) = T_\alpha^A f(x) - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} [D^\gamma A, T_{\alpha,\gamma}] f(x),$$

where  $T_{\alpha,\gamma}$  is the singular or fractional integral with the kernel

$$K_{\alpha,\gamma}(x, y) = \frac{\Omega(x-y)(x-y)^\gamma}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}$$

and for any suitable functions  $b$  and  $f$  and any suitable linear operator  $T$ ,

$$[b, T]f(x) = b(x)Tf(x) - T(bf)(x).$$

By this, we see that if  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and  $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ , the  $(L^p, L^q)$ -boundedness of  $T_\alpha^A$  and  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  is almost equivalent. Unlike the classical commutators,  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  has a better property on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$  and assume that  $A$  has derivatives of order  $m - 1$  in BMO( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). If  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$  and  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  maps  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^{n/(n-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

Theorems 1 and 2 indicate the non-standard commutators with higher order remainders. Their variants have better properties than the classical commutators, although they are more like commutators than the classical Calderón-Zygmund operators. As it is well known that the classical Calderón-Zygmund operators are both  $(H^1, L^{n/(n-\alpha)})$  bounded and  $(L^{n/\alpha}, \text{BMO})$  bounded. But this is not true for the non-standard commutators and their variants. In fact, by Theorems 1 and 2,

the equality (1.2) and the unboundedness properties of classical commutators for the extreme values of  $p$ , we may expect that, in general,  $T_\alpha^A$  does not map  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^{n/(n-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  does not map  $L^{n/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is indeed true. To state our results, we need the concept of an atom. A function  $a$  is called an  $H^1$  atom if there exists a ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $a$  is supported on  $B$ ,  $\|a\|_\infty \leq |B|^{-1}$  and  $\int a(x) dx = 0$ . It is well known that the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  has the atomic decomposition characterization; see [8, Chapter 3] for details.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$  and assume that  $A$  has derivatives of order  $m - 1$  in  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$  and  $T_\alpha^A$  maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T_\alpha^A$  maps  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^{n/(n-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii) for any  $H^1$  atom  $a$  supported on certain ball  $B$  and  $u \in 3B \setminus 2B$ , there is

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{(4B)^c} \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} K_{\alpha,\gamma}(x, u) \int_B D^\gamma A(y) a(y) dy \right|^{n/(n-\alpha)} dx \leq C.$$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $m \geq 2$ ,  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$  and assume that  $A$  has derivatives of order  $m - 1$  in  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$  and  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  maps  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  maps  $L^{n/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii) for any ball  $B$  and  $u \in 3B \setminus 2B$ , there is

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} [D^\gamma A(x) - m_B(D^\gamma A)] \right. \\ \left. \times \int_{(4B)^c} K_{\alpha,\gamma}(u, y) f(y) dy \right| dx \leq C \|f\|_{n/\alpha}.$$

We remark that Theorems 1-4 are still true if the homogeneous kernels of the form  $\frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}}$  are replaced by the non-homogeneous kernels  $K(x, y)$  satisfying

$$K(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^{-(n-\alpha+m-1)}$$

and

$$|\nabla_x K(x, y)| + |\nabla_y K(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-(n-\alpha+m)}.$$

However, when these operators have homogeneous kernels, we can obtain a more significant result.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $m \geq 2$ , let  $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_1(S^{n-1})$  not be zero, and, if  $\alpha = 0$ , let  $\Omega$  satisfy the additional moment conditions (1.1). Suppose that  $A$  has derivatives of order  $m - 1$  in  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T_\alpha^A$  maps  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $L^{n/(n-\alpha)}$ ;
- (ii)  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  maps  $L^{n/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (iii)  $A$  is a polynomial of degree no more than  $m - 1$ .

It should be noted that the higher order derivatives of  $A$  are needed to be in  $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for our results to be true. This assumption may probably not be removed since there is no criterion on the boundedness of these non-standard commutators analogous with the well-known Coifman-Rochberg-Weiss' theorem for the classical commutators.

By Theorem 5, one can easily deduce that  $T_\alpha^A$  is not  $(H^1, L^{n/(n-\alpha)})$  bounded and  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  is not  $(L^{n/\alpha}, \text{BMO})$  bounded unless  $T_\alpha^A = \bar{T}_\alpha^A = 0$ . This conclusion follows from the fact that if  $A$  is a polynomial of degree no more than  $m - 1$ , there is  $R_m(A; x, y) = Q_m(A; x, y) = 0$ . However, we remark that although  $T_\alpha^A$  is not  $(H^1, L^{n/(n-\alpha)})$  bounded, we can prove that it maps  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  continuously into weak  $L^{n/(n-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In fact, in their recent paper [3], Chen and Hu have proved this for the case  $\alpha = 0$ . The proof for the case  $0 < \alpha < n$  is much similar. We will not give the details here.

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

We will prove the theorems in this section. We remark that Theorem 1 can be proved by a standard sharp estimate. As for Theorem 2, because it has been essentially proved in [7] for the case  $\alpha = 0$  and in [9] for the case  $0 < \alpha < n$ , we will omit its proof. The ideas to prove Theorems 3 and 4 mainly come from [5]. However, the proof of Theorem 5 is not so trivial as that of the classical commutators in [5]. Now let us turn to the proof of the Theorems. We start with a key lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [4]). *Let  $b(x)$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $m$ -th order derivatives in  $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $q > n$ . Then*

$$|R_m(b; x, y)| \leq C_{m,n} |x - y|^m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left( \frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}(x, y)|} \int_{\tilde{Q}(x, y)} |D^\alpha b(z)|^q dz \right)^{1/q},$$

where  $\tilde{Q}(x, y)$  is the cube centered at  $x$  and having diameter  $5\sqrt{n}|x - y|$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Noting that

$$f^\sharp(x) \leq 2 \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y) - c| dy$$

with the supremum taken over all balls centered at  $x$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we need only show that there exists  $c_B$  so that

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_\alpha^A f(y) - c_B| dy \leq C \left( \|T_\alpha^A\|_{(p,q)} + \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \right) \|f\|_{n/\alpha}$$

holds for any ball  $B = B(x, r)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C$  independent of  $B$  and  $f$ . To do this, write  $f_1 = f\chi_{4B}$  and  $f_2 = f - f_1$ , choose  $y_0 \in 3B \setminus 2B$ , and take  $c_B = T_\alpha^A f_2(y_0)$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_\alpha^A f(y) - T_\alpha^A f_2(y_0)| dy &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_\alpha^A f_1(y)| dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_\alpha^A f_2(y) - T_\alpha^A f_2(y_0)| dy \equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $1 < p < n/\alpha$  and  $q$  such that  $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ . By the  $(L^p, L^q)$  boundedness of  $T_\alpha^A$ , the term  $I_1$  can be well estimated:

$$I_1 \leq \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T_\alpha^A f_1(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q} \leq C |B|^{-1/q} \|T_\alpha^A\|_{(p,q)} \|f_1\|_p \leq C \|T_\alpha^A\|_{(p,q)} \|f_1\|_{n/\alpha}.$$

To estimate the term  $I_2$ , let

$$\tilde{A}(y) = A(y) - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} m_B(D^\gamma A) y^\gamma.$$

Obviously there is  $R_m(A; y, z) = R_m(\tilde{A}; y, z)$ . By the formula (see [1])

$$(2.1) \quad R_m(A; x, y) - R_m(A; x, z) = \sum_{|\beta| < m} \frac{1}{\beta!} R_{m-|\beta|}(D^\beta A; z, y)(x - z)^\beta.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that when  $|y - x| < r$  and  $2^k r < |z - x| \leq 2^{k+1} r$  with  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Omega(y - z)}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_m(\tilde{A}; y, z) - \frac{\Omega(y_0 - z)}{|y_0 - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_m(\tilde{A}; y_0, z) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\Omega(y - z)}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} - \frac{\Omega(y_0 - z)}{|y_0 - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \right| |R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; y, z)| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\Omega(y_0 - z)}{|y_0 - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \right| |R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; y, z) - R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; y_0, z)| \\ & \quad + \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} |D^\gamma \tilde{A}(z)| \left| \frac{\Omega(y - z)(y - z)^\gamma}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} - \frac{\Omega(y_0 - z)(y_0 - z)^\gamma}{|y_0 - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \right| \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \left[ k|y - y_0||y - z|^{-(n-\alpha+1)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |y_0 - z|^{-(n-\alpha+m-1)} \left( |y - y_0|^{m-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} k|y - y_0|^l |y_0 - z|^{m-1+l} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} |D^\gamma \tilde{A}(z)| |y - y_0| |y - z|^{n-\alpha+1} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} (\|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} + |D^\gamma \tilde{A}(z)|) k 2^{-k} |y - z|^{-(n-\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted some well-known technical computations. Therefore, taking  $s, t > 1$  so that  $1/s + 1/t + \alpha/n = 1$  and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_\alpha^A f_2(y) - T_\alpha^A f_2(y_0)| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^\infty \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} \left| \frac{\Omega(y - z)}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_m(\tilde{A}; y, z) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\Omega(y_0 - z)}{|y_0 - z|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} Q_m(\tilde{A}; y_0, z) \right| |f_2(z)| dz \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=2}^\infty k 2^{-k} \|f_2\|_{n/\alpha} \left( \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} |y - z|^{-(n-\alpha)s} dz \right)^{1/s} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{2^{k+1}B} \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} (\|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} + |D^\gamma \tilde{A}(z)|)^t dz \right)^{1/t} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f_2\|_{n/\alpha} \sum_{k=2}^\infty k^2 2^{-k} \\ & = C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f_2\|_{n/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$I_2 \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f_2\|_{n/\alpha}.$$

Combining the estimate for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , we finish the proof.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Because of the atomic decomposition theory of the space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , a linear operator  $T$  being bounded from  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $q \geq 1$ , is equivalent to the fact that for any  $H^1$  atom  $a$  there is  $\|Ta\|_q \leq C$ . So we need only consider the behavior of  $T_\alpha^A$  acting on an  $H^1$  atom. Suppose that  $a$  is such an atom supported on  $B = B(x_0, r_0)$ . Let

$$\tilde{A}(x) = A(x) - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} m_B(D^\gamma A)x^\gamma;$$

then  $R_m(A; x, y) = R_m(\tilde{A}; x, y)$ . For  $u \in 3B \setminus 2B$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(x) &= \chi_{4B}(x) T_\alpha^A a(x), \\ \mu_2(x, u) &= \chi_{(4B)^c}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\Omega(x-u)}{|x-u|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, u) \right) a(y) dy, \\ \mu_3(x, u) &= \chi_{(4B)^c}(x) \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_B [K_{\alpha, \gamma}(x, y) - K_{\alpha, \gamma}(x, u)] D^\gamma \tilde{A}(y) a(y) dy, \\ \mu_4(x, u) &= \chi_{(4B)^c}(x) \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_B K_{\alpha, \gamma}(x, u) D^\gamma \tilde{A}(y) a(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the vanishing condition of  $a$ , it is not difficult to verify that

$$T_\alpha^A a(x) = \mu_1(x) + \mu_2(x, u) - \mu_3(x, u) - \mu_4(x, u).$$

Taking  $n/(n-\alpha) < q < \infty$  and  $p$  so that  $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ , it follows from the  $(L^p, L^q)$  boundedness of  $T_\alpha^A$  that

$$\|\mu_1\|_{n/(n-\alpha)} \leq |4B|^{(n-\alpha)/n-1/q} \|T_\alpha^A a\|_q \leq C|B|^{1-1/p} \|a\|_p \leq C.$$

In what follows, we assume that  $k \geq 2$ . When  $x \in 2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B$ , using the formula (2.1) and Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, y) - \frac{\Omega(x-u)}{|x-u|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, u) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} - \frac{\Omega(x-u)}{|x-u|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \right| |R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, y)| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\Omega(x-u)}{|x-u|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \right| |R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, y) - R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, u)| \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \left( k|x-y|^{-(n-\alpha+1)} |y-u| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{l=0}^{m-2} |x-y|^{-(n-\alpha+m-1)+l} |y-u|^{m-1-l} \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} k 2^{-k} |x-y|^{-(n-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mu_2(\cdot, u)\|_{n/(n-\alpha)} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=2}^\infty k2^{-k} \\ & \quad \times \left[ \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} \left( \int_B |x-y|^{-(n-\alpha)} |a(y)| dy \right)^{n/(n-\alpha)} dx \right]^{(n-\alpha)/n} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=2}^\infty k2^{-k} \\ & = C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}}. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the term  $\mu_3(x, u)$ , since  $\Omega$  is Lipschitz, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mu_3(\cdot, u)\|_{n/(n-\alpha)} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \sum_{k=2}^\infty \\ & \quad \times \left[ \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} \left( \int_B \frac{|y-u|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+1}} |D^\gamma \tilde{A}(y)a(y)| dy \right)^{n/(n-\alpha)} \right]^{(n-\alpha)/n} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k=2}^\infty 2^{-k} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we see that  $\|T_\alpha^A a\|_{n/(n-\alpha)} \leq C$  is equivalent to  $\|\mu_4(\cdot, u)\|_{n/(n-\alpha)} \leq C$ . Using the vanishing condition of  $a$ , we see the last expression is just (1.3). This finishes the proof.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Let  $f \in L^{n/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for any ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , write

$$f = f_1 + f_2 = f\chi_{4B} + f\chi_{(4B)^c}.$$

Also, as in the proof of Theorems 1 and 3, let

$$\tilde{A}(x) = A(x) - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} m_B(D^\gamma A)x^\gamma.$$

For  $u \in 3B \setminus 2B$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(x) &= \bar{T}_\alpha^A f_1(x), \\ \sigma_2(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} R_{m-1}(\tilde{A}; x, y) f_2(y) dy, \\ \sigma_3(x, u) &= \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} [(D^\gamma A)(x) - m_B(D^\gamma A)] (T_{\alpha, \gamma} f_2(x) - T_{\alpha, \gamma} f_2(u)), \\ \sigma_4(x, u) &= \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{1}{\gamma!} [(D^\gamma A)(x) - m_B(D^\gamma A)] T_{\alpha, \gamma} f_2(u). \end{aligned}$$

Then obviously there is

$$\bar{T}_\alpha^A f(x) = \sigma_1(x) + \sigma_2(x) - \sigma_3(x, u) - \sigma_4(x, u).$$

Noting that  $m_B(\sigma_4(\cdot, u)) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}_\alpha^A f(x) - m_B(\bar{T}_\alpha^A f) &= \sigma_1(x) - m_B(\sigma_1) + [\sigma_2(x) - \sigma_2(u)] \\ &\quad - m_B([\sigma_2(\cdot) - \sigma_2(u)]) - \sigma_3(x, u) + m_B(\sigma_3(\cdot, u)) - \sigma_4(x, u). \end{aligned}$$

Like the estimate for  $I_1$  in the proof of Theorem 1, it follows from the  $(L^p, L^q)$  boundedness of  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  that

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\sigma_1(x)| dx \leq C \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{n/\alpha}.$$

By the method of estimating the term  $I_2$  in the proof of Theorem 1, but a little simpler here, we can show

$$|\sigma_2(x) - \sigma_2(u)| \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{n/\alpha}.$$

Finally, since  $\Omega$  is Lipschitz, a standard computation leads to

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\sigma_3(x, u)| dx \leq C \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \|D^\gamma A\|_{\text{BMO}} \|f\|_{n/\alpha}.$$

Then integrating in  $x$  on  $B$  and using the above estimates we obtain the equivalence of the estimate

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\bar{T}_\alpha^A f(x) - m_B(\bar{T}_\alpha^A f)| dx \leq C \|f\|_{n/\alpha}$$

and the estimate

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\sigma_4(x, u)| dx \leq C \|f\|_{n/\alpha}.$$

Since  $B$  is arbitrary, we finish the proof.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* First note that (iii) obviously implies both (i) and (ii) since when  $A$  is a polynomial of degree no more than  $m - 1$ ,  $T_\alpha^A = \bar{T}_\alpha^A = 0$ .

Now let us consider the converse. As we have pointed out in Section 1, under the assumptions of the theorem, both  $T_\alpha^A$  and  $\bar{T}_\alpha^A$  are bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus, by Theorems 3 and 4, we need only show that both (1.3) and (1.4) imply (iii).

We first show that (1.3) implies (iii). Let  $a$  be any  $H^1$  atom and

$$C_\gamma = \frac{1}{\gamma!} \int_B D^\gamma A(y) a(y) dy.$$

Suppose that  $a$  is supported on the ball  $B = B(x_0, r_0)$ . By (1.3), for any  $u \in 3B \setminus 2B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C &\geq \int_{(4B)^c} \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} C_\gamma \frac{\Omega(x-u)(x-u)^\gamma}{|x-u|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \right|^{n/(n-\alpha)} dx \\ &\geq \int_{7r_0 < |x-u| < Nr_0} \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} C_\gamma \frac{\Omega(x-u)(x-u)^\gamma}{|x-u|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} \right|^{n/(n-\alpha)} dx \\ &= \int_{7r_0}^{Nr_0} r^{-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} C_\gamma \Omega(x) x^\gamma \right|^{n/(n-\alpha)} d\sigma(x) dr \\ &= \log(N/7) \int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} C_\gamma \Omega(x) x^\gamma \right|^{n/(n-\alpha)} d\sigma(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $N > 7$  is any large positive integer. Noting that  $\log(N/7) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

we must have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} C_\gamma \Omega(x) x^\gamma \right|^{n/(n-\alpha)} d\sigma(x) = 0.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} C_\gamma \Omega(x) x^\gamma = 0.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is not zero, as the result of the fact that  $\Omega(x)x^\gamma$ ,  $|\gamma| = m - 1$ , are linear independent, we obtain  $C_\gamma = 0$  for all  $\gamma$ ,  $|\gamma| = m - 1$ . That is,

$$\int_B D^\gamma A(y) a(y) dy = 0.$$

Since  $a$  is arbitrary,  $D^\gamma A$  must be constant. This means  $A$  must be a polynomial of degree no more than  $m - 1$ .

Let us turn to show (1.4) implies (iii). Let  $\{\gamma^i\}_{i=1}^M$  be all the multi-indices such that  $|\gamma^i| = m - 1$ . Denote by  $U$  the matrix with its coefficients

$$u_{ij} = u_{ji} = \frac{1}{\gamma^i! \gamma^j!} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(x)|^2 x^{\gamma^i} x^{\gamma^j} d\sigma(x).$$

Since  $\Omega(x)x^{\gamma^i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq M$ , are linear independent, we have  $\det(U) \neq 0$ . For any fixed  $\gamma$ ,  $|\gamma| = m - 1$ , there exists unique  $i(\gamma)$  such that  $\gamma = \gamma^{i(\gamma)}$ . Let  $e_{i(\gamma)}$  be the  $i(\gamma)$ -th unit coordinate basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^M$  and put

$$(c_{\gamma,1}, c_{\gamma,2}, \dots, c_{\gamma,M}) = e_{i(\gamma)} U^{-1}.$$

Let

$$g_\gamma(x) = \sum_{i=1}^M c_{\gamma,i} \frac{1}{\gamma^i!} \Omega(x) x^{\gamma^i}.$$

Suppose that  $B = B(x_0, r_0)$  is any fixed ball on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$h_{\gamma,N}(x) = g_\gamma \left( \frac{x}{|x|} \right) |x|^{-\alpha} \chi_{\{\tau r_0 < |x| < N r_0\}}(x)$$

and for any  $u \in 3B \setminus 2B$  and any large integer  $N > 7$ , let  $f_{\gamma,N}(x) = h_{\gamma,N}(u - y)$ . Then  $f_{\gamma,N} \in L^{n/\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\|f_{\gamma,N}\|_{n/\alpha} = [\log(N/7)]^{\alpha/n} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} |g_\gamma(x)|^{n/\alpha} d\sigma(x) \right)^{\alpha/n}.$$

At the same time, we note that the left-hand side of (1.4) is larger than

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{\gamma^j!} [D^{\gamma^j} A(x) - m_B(D^{\gamma^j} A)] \int_{(4B)^c} K_{\alpha, \gamma^j}(u, y) f_{\gamma, N}(y) dy \right| dx \\
& \geq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{\gamma^j!} [D^{\gamma^j} A(x) - m_B(D^{\gamma^j} A)] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \int_{7r_0 < |y-u| < Nr_0} \frac{\Omega(u-y)(u-y)^{\gamma^j}}{|u-y|^{n-\alpha+m-1}} f_{\gamma, N}(y) dy \right| dx \\
& = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{\gamma^j!} [D^{\gamma^j} A(x) - m_B(D^{\gamma^j} A)] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \sum_{i=1}^M c_{\gamma, i} \frac{1}{\gamma^i!} \int_{7r_0}^{Nr_0} r^{-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(x)|^2 x^{\gamma^j} x^{\gamma^i} d\sigma(y) dr \right| dx \\
& = \log(N/7) \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| \sum_{j=1}^M [D^{\gamma^j} A(x) - m_B(D^{\gamma^j} A)] \sum_{i=1}^M c_{\gamma, i} u_{ij} \right| dx \\
& = \log(N/7) \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |D^\gamma A(x) - m_B(D^\gamma A)| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (1.4), there is

$$[\log(N/7)]^{1-\alpha/n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |D^\gamma A(x) - m_B(D^\gamma A)| dx \leq C.$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |D^\gamma A(x) - m_B(D^\gamma A)| dx = 0.$$

Since  $B$  is arbitrary,  $D^\gamma A$  must be constant. Hence,  $A$  must be a polynomial of degree no more than  $m-1$ . So far, the proof of Theorem 5 is completed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors want to express their deep thanks to the referee for his/her several valuable remarks and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Bajsanski and R. Coifman, On singular integrals, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol **10**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R. I. (1967), 1-17. MR **38**:6405
- [2] A. P. Calderón, On algebras of singular integral operators, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol **10**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R. I. (1967), 18-55. MR **52**:15112
- [3] W. Chen and G. Hu, Weak type  $(H^1, L^1)$  estimate for a multilinear singular integral operator, Adv. in Math., **30**:1 (2001), 63-69. CMP 2001:12
- [4] J. Cohen and J. Gosselin, A BMO estimate for multilinear singular integrals, Illinois J. Math. **30** (1986), 445-464. MR **87k**:42022
- [5] E. Harboure, C. Segovia and J. L. Torrea, Boundedness of commutators of fractional and singular integrals for the extreme values of  $p$ , Illinois J. Math. **41** (1997), 676-700. MR **99j**:42025
- [6] S. Hofmann, On certain non-standard Calderón-Zygmund operators, Studia Math. **109** (1994), 105-131. MR **95h**:42021
- [7] G. Hu and D. Yang, Multilinear oscillatory singular integral operators on Hardy spaces, Chinese J. of Contemporary Math. **18** (1997), 403-413. MR **99g**:42017

- [8] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993. MR **95c**:42002
- [9] Q. Wu and D. Yang, On fractional multilinear singular integrals, Math. Nachr. to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `lusz@bnu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE'S  
REPUBLIC OF CHINA