PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 135, Number 2, February 2007, Pages 469–478
S 0002-9939(06)08498-X
Article electronically published on August 10, 2006

ON FRAMES FOR COUNTABLY GENERATED HILBERT C^*-MODULES

LJILJANA ARAMBAŠIĆ

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

Abstract. Let V be a countably generated Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra A. We prove that a sequence $\{f_i : i \in I\} \subseteq V$ is a standard frame for V if and only if the sum $\sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle$ converges in norm for every $x \in V$ and if there are constants $C, D > 0$ such that $C\|x\|^2 \leq \|\sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\| \leq D\|x\|^2$ for every $x \in V$. We also prove that surjective adjointable operators preserve standard frames. A class of frames for countably generated Hilbert C^*-modules over the C^*-algebra of all compact operators on some Hilbert space is discussed.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A (*right*) *Hilbert C^*-module* V over a C^*-algebra A (or a *Hilbert A-module*) is a linear space which is a right A-module, together with an A-valued inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $V \times V$ which is linear in the second and conjugate linear in the first variable such that V is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{1/2}$. We use the symbol $\langle V, V\rangle$ for the closed, two-sided ideal of A spanned by all inner products $\langle x, y\rangle$, $x, y \in V$. V is said to be a *full Hilbert A-module* if $\langle V, V\rangle = A$.

We denote the C^*-algebra of all adjointable operators on a Hilbert C^*-module V by $\mathbf{B}(V)$. We also use $\mathbf{B}(V, W)$ to denote the space of all adjointable operators acting between different Hilbert A-modules. A good reference for Hilbert C^*-modules are the lecture notes of E. C. Lance [12].

The C^*-algebra of all bounded operators and the ideal of all compact operators on a Hilbert space H are denoted by $\mathbf{B}(H)$ and $\mathbf{K}(H)$, respectively.

Frames for Hilbert spaces were introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [6] as part of their research in non-harmonic Fourier series. A *frame* for a separable Hilbert space H is defined to be a finite or countable sequence $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ for which there exists constants $C, D > 0$ such that

$$C\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{i\in I} |(x, f_i)|^2 \leq D\|x\|^2, \quad x \in H.$$

M. Frank and D. Larson [7, 8] generalized this definition to the situation of countably generated Hilbert C^*-modules. A *frame* for a countably generated Hilbert

Received by the editors July 30, 2005 and, in revised form, September 19, 2005.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L99; Secondary 46L05, 46H25.
Key words and phrases. C^*-algebra, Hilbert C^*-module, frame, frame transform, frame operator, compact operator.

C^*-module V is a sequence $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ ($I \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ finite or countable) for which there are constants $C, D > 0$ such that

$$C\langle x, x\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} \langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle, \quad x \in V. \tag{1.1}$$

We consider *standard frames* for which the sum in the middle of (1.1) converges in norm for every $x \in V$. (For non-standard frames the sum in (1.1) converges only weakly for at least one element of V.) The numbers C and D are called *frame bounds*. A frame $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is called a *tight frame* if we can choose $C = D$ and a *Parseval frame* (or a *normalized tight frame*) if $C = D = 1$. A sequence which satisfies only the right-hand inequality in (1.1) is called a *Bessel sequence* with a *Bessel bound* D.

The *frame transform* for a standard frame $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is the map $\theta : V \to \ell_2(A)$ defined by $\theta(x) = (\langle f_i, x\rangle)_i$, where $\ell_2(A)$ denotes a Hilbert A-module $\{(a_i)_i : a_i \in A, \sum_{i\in I} a_i^* a_i$ converges in norm$\}$ with pointwise operations and the inner product $\langle (a_i)_i, (b_i)_i\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} a_i^* b_i$. The frame transform possesses an adjoint operator and realizes an embedding of V onto an orthogonal summand of $\ell_2(A)$ ([8, Theorem 4.4]). The operator $S = (\theta^*\theta)^{-1} \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ is said to be the *frame operator* for a standard frame $\{f_i : i \in I\}$. The frame operator is positive, invertible, and is the unique operator in $\mathbf{B}(V)$ such that the *reconstruction formula*

$$x = \sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle Sf_i, x\rangle \tag{1.2}$$

holds for all $x \in V$. Let us remark that although M. Frank and D. Larson [7, 8] stated all their results for the unital case, many proofs can be applied to the non-unital situation.

In a countably generated Hilbert C^*-module over a unital C^*-algebra, standard frames always exist [7]. Also, a Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra of all compact operators $\mathbf{K}(H)$ on some Hilbert space H possesses frames; this follows from [2], where the concept of an orthonormal basis for a Hilbert C^*-module was discussed.

An element v of a Hilbert A-module V is said to be a *basic vector* if $e = \langle v, v\rangle$ is a projection in A such that $eAe = \mathbf{C}e$. The system of basic vectors $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ in V is said to be an *orthonormal basis* for V if $\langle v_i, v_j\rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, and if it generates a dense submodule of V. Every orthonormal basis $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ for a Hilbert C^*-module satisfies $\langle x, x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle$ for all $x \in V$, with the norm convergence ([2, Theorem 1]).

Recall that, if A is a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathbf{K}(H)$ and $e \in A$ a non-zero projection, the condition $eAe = \mathbf{C}e$ is equivalent to the minimality of e (i.e., the only subprojections of e in A are 0 and e) [1, Lema 1.4.1]. Minimal projections in $\mathbf{K}(H)$ are exactly orthogonal projections of rank 1.

Clearly, an arbitrary Hilbert C^*-module does not possess an orthonormal basis, since there are C^*-algebras without projections. It is known that every Hilbert C^*-module V over $\mathbf{K}(H)$ possesses an orthonormal basis; furthermore, for a fixed orthogonal projection $e \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ of rank 1, there is an orthonormal basis $\{v : i : i \in I\}$ for V such that $\langle v_i, v_i\rangle = e$ for all $i \in I$.

In a Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module, the condition of the minimality of supporting projections $e_i = \langle v_i, v_i\rangle, i \in I$, ensures that all orthonormal bases have the same cardinality ([2, Theorem 2]). For a countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module, a set of indices for (all) orthonormal bases is countable. (By choosing an orthonormal basis

$\{v_i : i \in I\}$ such that $\langle v_i, v_i\rangle = e, i \in I$, for some orthogonal projection $e \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ of rank 1, the last statement proves in the same way as in the Hilbert space case.) So, every orthonormal basis for a countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module V is a standard Parseval frame for V.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we study standard frames for arbitrary countably generated Hilbert C^*-modules. We first show that an adjointable operator between Hilbert C^*-modules is bounded below with respect to the norm if and only if it is bounded below with respect to the inner product; furthermore, this is equivalent to the surjectivity of its adjoint operator. The first equivalence implies that, in the definition of standard frames, we can replace (1.1) with $C\|x\|^2 \leq \|\sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\| \leq D\|x\|^2$ for all $x \in V$ (Theorem 2.6). From the second equivalence we conclude that surjective adjointable operators preserve standard frames (Theorem 2.5).

In Section 3 we discuss standard frames $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ for which there exists a family of projections $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ such that $e_iAe_i = \mathbf{C}e_i$ and $f_i = f_ie_i$ for every $i \in I$. Surjective images of orthonormal bases are frames of this form. We prove that only a Hilbert C^*-module V for which $\langle V, V\rangle$ is a CCR-algebra admits such frames. Discussion is mainly restricted to countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-modules, where such frames always exist; moreover, for every orthogonal projection $e \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ of rank 1, there is a frame $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ such that $f_i = f_ie$ for all $i \in I$. We show that frames $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ for a countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module V such that $\langle f_i, f_i\rangle = e, i \in I$, correspond to frames for a Hilbert space $V_e = \{ve : v \in V\}$ (Theorem 3.4).

2. Some properties of standard modular frames

The results we obtain in this section are the consequences of the statement which generalizes the well known fact: a bounded linear operator between Hilbert spaces is surjective if and only if its adjoint is bounded below.

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a C^*-algebra, V and W Hilbert A-modules, and $T \in \mathbf{B}(V, W)$. The following statements are mutually equivalent:*

(1) *T is surjective.*
(2) *T^* is bounded below with respect to the norm, i.e., there is $m > 0$ such that $\|T^*x\| \geq m\|x\|$ for all $x \in V$.*
(3) *T^* is bounded below with respect to the inner product, i.e., there is $m' > 0$ such that $\langle T^*x, T^*x\rangle \geq m'\langle x, x\rangle$ for all $x \in V$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Suppose T is surjective. Then $\operatorname{Im} T = W$ is closed. It follows from [12, Theorem 3.2] that $\operatorname{Im} T^*$ is also closed, $\operatorname{Ker} T \oplus \operatorname{Im} T^* = V$ and $\operatorname{Ker} T^* \oplus \operatorname{Im} T = W$. We shall prove that TT^* is bijective.

If $TT^*x = 0$ for some $x \in V$, then $T^*x \in \operatorname{Ker} T \cap \operatorname{Im} T^* = \{0\}$, hence $T^*x = 0$. Now $x \in \operatorname{Ker} T^* = (\operatorname{Im} T)^\perp = W^\perp = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$. This proves that TT^* is injective.

Let z be an arbitrarily chosen element of W. T is surjective, so $z = Ty$ for some $y \in V$. There are $y_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} T$ and $x \in W$ such that $y = y_1 \oplus T^*x$. Then $z = Ty = T(y_1 \oplus T^*x) = TT^*x$; therefore TT^* is surjective.

Since TT^* is a positive invertible element of the C^*-algebra $\mathbf{B}(V)$, we have

$$0 \leq (TT^*)^{-1} \leq \|(TT^*)^{-1}\| \operatorname{id}_V \Rightarrow TT^* \geq (\|(TT^*)^{-1}\|)^{-1} \operatorname{id}_V,$$

where id_V stands for the identity operator on V. Denoting $m' = \|(TT^*)^{-1}\|^{-1}$ we get $TT^* - m'\,\mathrm{id}_V \geq 0$. By [12, Lemma 4.1], this is equivalent to

$$\langle (TT^* - m'\,\mathrm{id}_V)x, x\rangle \geq 0$$

for all $x \in V$, i.e., $\langle T^*x, T^*x\rangle \geq m'\langle x, x\rangle$ for all $x \in V$.

The implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is trivial.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that T^* is bounded below with respect to the norm. Then T^* is clearly injective, and it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Im}\, T^*$ is closed. Then T has the closed range, again by [12, Theorem 3.2], and $W = \mathrm{Ker}\, T^* \oplus \mathrm{Im}\, T = \{0\} \oplus \mathrm{Im}\, T = \mathrm{Im}\, T$. Hence T is surjective. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let A be a C^*-algebra, V a Hilbert A-module, and $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ such that $T = T^*$. The following statements are mutually equivalent:*

(1) *T is surjective.*
(2) *There are $m, M > 0$ such that $m\|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|$ for all $x \in V$.*
(3) *There are $m', M' > 0$ such that $m'\langle x, x\rangle \leq \langle Tx, Tx\rangle \leq M'\langle x, x\rangle$ for all $x \in V$.*

Remark 2.3. An operator $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ is said to be *coercive* if there is a positive constant m such that $\langle T^*x, T^*x\rangle \geq m\langle x, x\rangle$ holds for all $x \in V$. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that coercive operators in $\mathbf{B}(V)$ are exactly surjections in $\mathbf{B}(V)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be a C^*-algebra, V a countably generated Hilbert A-module, $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ a sequence in V, and $\theta(x) = (\langle f_i, x\rangle)_{i\in I}$ for $x \in V$. The following statements are mutually equivalent:*

(1) *$\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V.*
(2) *$\theta \in \mathbf{B}(V, \ell_2(A))$ and θ is bounded below.*
(3) *$\theta \in \mathbf{B}(V, \ell_2(A))$ and θ^* is surjective.*

Proof. It follows from [8, Theorem 4.1] and Proposition 2.1 since

$$\langle \theta x, \theta x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle, \quad x \in V.$$

□

Another direct consequence of Proposition 2.1 is that surjective adjointable operators preserve standard frames.

Theorem 2.5. *Let A be a C^*-algebra, V and W countably generated Hilbert A-modules, and $T \in \mathbf{B}(V, W)$ surjective. If $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V with frame bounds C and D, then $\{Tf_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for W with frame bounds $\frac{C}{\|(TT^*)^{-1}\|}$ and $D\|T\|^2$.*

Proof. Since $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V, and since $T^*y \in V$ for all $y \in W$, we have

$$C\langle T^*y, T^*y\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} \langle T^*y, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, T^*y\rangle \leq D\langle T^*y, T^*y\rangle, \quad y \in W.$$

From the proof of Proposition 2.1 we have $\langle T^*y, T^*y\rangle \geq \|(TT^*)^{-1}\|^{-1}\langle y, y\rangle$ for all $y \in W$, since T is surjective. It follows that

$$\frac{C}{\|(TT^*)^{-1}\|}\langle y, y\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} \langle y, Tf_i\rangle\langle Tf_i, y\rangle \leq D\|T\|^2\langle y, y\rangle, \quad y \in W.$$ □

We conclude this section with the result which states that the condition (1.1) from the definition of standard frames can be replaced with a weaker one.

Theorem 2.6. *Let A be a C^*-algebra, V a countably generated Hilbert A-module, and $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ a sequence in V such that $\sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle$ converges in norm for every $x \in V$. Then $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V if and only if there are constants $C, D > 0$ such that*

$$C\|x\|^2 \leq \|\sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\| \leq D\|x\|^2, \quad x \in V. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Evidently, every standard frame for V satisfies (2.1).

For the converse we suppose that a sequence $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ fulfills (2.1). For an arbitrary $x \in V$ and a finite $J \subseteq I$ we define $x_J = \sum_{i\in J} f_i\langle f_i, x\rangle$. Then

$$\|x_J\|^4 = \|\langle x_J, x_J\rangle\|^2 = \|\langle x_J, \sum_{i\in J} f_i\langle f_i, x\rangle\rangle\|^2 = \|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x_J, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\|^2$$
$$\leq \|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x_J, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x_J\rangle\| \cdot \|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\| \leq D\|x_J\|^2\, \|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\|,$$

therefore

$$\|\sum_{i\in J} f_i\langle f_i, x\rangle\|^2 = \|x_J\|^2 \leq D\|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\|.$$

Since J is arbitrary, the series $\sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle f_i, x\rangle$ converges and

$$\|\sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle f_i, x\rangle\|^2 \leq D\|\sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle\| \leq D^2\|x\|^2 \;\Rightarrow\; \|\sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle f_i, x\rangle\| \leq D\|x\|.$$

Since $x \in V$ is arbitrarily chosen, the operator

$$T : V \to V, \quad x \mapsto \sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle f_i, x\rangle$$

is well defined, bounded and A-linear. It is easy to check that $\langle Tx, y\rangle = \langle x, Ty\rangle$ for all $x, y \in V$, so $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ and $T = T^*$. From $\langle Tx, x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$, it follows that $T \geq 0$. Now (2.1) and $\langle T^{1/2}x, T^{1/2}x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle$ imply $\sqrt{C}\|x\| \leq \|T^{1/2}x\| \leq \sqrt{D}\|x\|$ for all $x \in V$. By Corollary 2.2, there are constants $C', D' > 0$ such that

$$C'\langle x, x\rangle \leq \langle T^{1/2}x, T^{1/2}x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle \leq D'\langle x, x\rangle, \quad x \in V.$$

This proves that $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V. □

3. On a class of frames for Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-modules

The existence of standard frames in countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-modules V follows from the existence of orthonormal bases. If $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ is a surjection and $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ an orthonormal basis for V, then $\{Tv_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V which satisfies $Tv_i = T(v_ie_i) = (Tv_i)e_i$, where $e_i := \langle v_i, v_i\rangle$ is an orthogonal projection of rank 1 for every $i \in I$. However, not every standard frame in a Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module is of this type, as we show in the next example.

Example 3.1. Let $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis for a countably and not finitely generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module V with property $e_ie_j = 0, i \neq j$, where $e_i = \langle v_i, v_i\rangle$. (Such a basis can always be constructed by following the procedure described in [2, Remark 4(d)].) Let $I = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$ be a partition of I such that $|I_j| = j$. Let $f_j = \sum_{i\in I_j} v_i \in V$. Since $\langle x, v_j\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle = \langle x, v_je_j\rangle\langle v_ie_i, x\rangle = \langle x, v_j\rangle e_je_i\langle v_i, x\rangle = \delta_{ij}\langle x, v_j\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle$ for all $x \in V$, we have

$$\langle x, f_j\rangle\langle f_j, x\rangle = \langle x, \sum_{i\in I_j} v_i\rangle\langle \sum_{i\in I_j} v_i, x\rangle = \sum_{i,j\in I_j} \langle x, v_j\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I_j}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle$$

and then

$$\langle x, x\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle = \sum_{j\in J}\langle x, f_j\rangle\langle f_j, x\rangle.$$

This means that $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ is a standard Parseval frame for V such that $\langle f_j, f_j\rangle = \sum_{i\in I_j} e_i$ is a projection with $\dim \operatorname{Im}\langle f_i, f_i\rangle = |I_j| = j$ for all $j \in J$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let V be a countably and not finitely generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module. Let $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ be a standard frame for V such that $f_i = f_ie_i$ for some orthogonal projections $e_i, i \in I$, of rank 1. Then there is an orthonormal basis $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ and a surjection $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ such that $Tv_i = f_i, i \in I$.*

Proof. Let C and D be frame bounds. Let $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis such that $v_i = v_ie_i, i \in I$. (We may assume that the sets of indices for a standard frame and a basis are the same, since they are both infinite subsets of $\mathbf{N}$.)

We first show that for every $x \in V$ the series $\sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle v_i, x\rangle$ converges. Let J be a finite subset of I and $x_J = \sum_{i\in J} f_i\langle v_i, x\rangle$. Then

$$\|x_J\|^4 = \|\langle x_J, x_J\rangle\|^2 = \|\langle \sum_{i\in J} f_i\langle v_i, x\rangle, x_J\rangle\|^2 = \|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle f_i, x_J\rangle\|^2$$
$$\leq \|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle\|\|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x_J, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x_J\rangle\| \leq \|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle\| \cdot D\|x_J\|^2,$$

from where we get $\|x_J\|^2 \leq D\|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle\|$, that is,

$$\|\sum_{i\in J} f_i\langle v_i, x\rangle\|^2 \leq D\|\sum_{i\in J}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle\|, \text{ for every finite } J \subseteq I.$$

Since $\sum_{i\in I}\langle x, v_i\rangle\langle v_i, x\rangle$ converges in norm ([2, Theorem 1]), it follows that the series $\sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle v_i, x\rangle$ converges.

Similarly, we check that the series $\sum_{i\in I} v_i\langle f_i, x\rangle$ converges for every $x \in V$.

Now we can define the operators $T, R : V \to V$ by $Tx = \sum_{i\in I} f_i\langle v_i, x\rangle$ and $Rx = \sum_{i\in I} v_i\langle f_i, x\rangle$. It is straightforward to see that $\langle Tx, y\rangle = \langle x, Ry\rangle$ for all $x, y \in V$. Therefore $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ and $R = T^*$. From Proposition 2.1 and

$$C\langle x, x\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle = \langle T^*x, T^*x\rangle, \quad x \in V,$$

it follows that T is surjective.

It only remains to note that $Tv_i = f_i\langle v_i, v_i\rangle = f_ie_i = f_i$ for all $i \in I$. □

A Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module contains a Hilbert space V_e with respect to the inner product $(x, y) = \operatorname{tr}(\langle y, x\rangle)$, where 'tr' means the trace. More precisely, for a fixed orthogonal projection $e \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ of rank 1, V_e is given as the set of all xe, $x \in V$.

Also, for all $x, y \in V_e$ we obtain that $\langle x, y\rangle = (y, x)e$. V_e is an invariant subspace for each T in $\mathbf{B}(V)$ and the map

$$T \mapsto T|V_e, \quad \mathbf{B}(V) \to \mathbf{B}(V_e) \tag{3.1}$$

establishes an isomorphism of C^*-algebras, where $\mathbf{B}(V_e)$ denotes the C^*-algebra of all bounded operators on V_e. It is known that a family $\{v_i : i \in I\} \subseteq V_e$ is an orthonormal basis for V if and only if it is an orthonormal basis for V_e. (The proofs can be found in [2, Remark 4, Theorem 5]).) We extend the last statement to a standard frame $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ contained in V_e. First we need a lemma which describes some properties of the isomorphism (3.1).

Lemma 3.3. *Let V be a Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module, $e \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ an orthogonal projection of rank 1, and $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$. The following statements hold:*

(1) *T is bounded below if and only if $T|V_e \in \mathbf{B}(V_e)$ is bounded below.*
(2) *T is surjective if and only if $T|V_e \in \mathbf{B}(V_e)$ is surjective.*

Proof. (1) First observe that if $T|V_e \in \mathbf{B}(V_e)$ is a positive operator on the Hilbert space V_e, then $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ is a positive element of the C^*-algebra $\mathbf{B}(V)$. This is a consequence of the fact that the map $T \mapsto T|V_e$ is an isomorphism of C^*-algebras.

Suppose $T_e := T|V_e$ is bounded below. Let $m > 0$ be such that $\|T_e(xe)\| \geq m\|xe\|$ for all $x \in V$. In other words, $T_e^*T_e - m^2\mathrm{id}_{V_e}$ is a positive operator on the Hilbert space V_e. By the observation from the beginning of the proof, we get $T^*T - m^2\mathrm{id}_V \geq 0$, i.e., $\langle (T^*T - m^2\mathrm{id}_V)x, x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$. Now we have $\langle Tx, Tx\rangle \geq m^2\langle x, x\rangle$, and then $\|Tx\| \geq m\|x\|$ for all $x \in V$.

The opposite statement is obvious.

(2) It follows from (1) and Proposition 2.1. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let V be a countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module, $e \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ an orthogonal projection of rank 1, and $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ a sequence of vectors in V_e. Then $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for the Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module V with frame bounds C and D if and only if $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a frame for the Hilbert space V_e with frame bounds C and D.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for a Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module V with frame bounds C and D. It means that

$$C\langle x, x\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I}\langle x, f_i\rangle\langle f_i, x\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle, \quad x \in V.$$

Since $\langle xe, ye\rangle = (ye, xe)e$ for all $xe, ye \in V_e$, by choosing xe instead of x in the above inequalities, we get

$$C(xe, xe)e \leq \sum_{i\in I}(xe, f_i)(f_i, xe)e \leq D(xe, xe)e, \quad x \in V,$$

which implies $C(x, x) \leq \sum_{i\in I}(x, f_i)(f_i, x) \leq D(x, x)$ for all $x \in V_e$. It proves that $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a frame for the Hilbert space V_e with frame bounds C and D.

Now suppose that $\{f_i : i \in I\} \subseteq V_e$ is a frame for the Hilbert space V_e with frame bounds C and D.

First we assume that V is finitely generated. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subseteq V_e$ be an orthonormal basis for V and $S_e \in \mathbf{B}(V_e)$ the frame operator associated to the (Hilbert

space) frame $\{f_i : i \in I\}$. Then

$$xe = \sum_{i\in I} S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i (xe, S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i) = \sum_{i\in I} S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i \langle S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i, xe\rangle, \quad xe \in V_e.$$

Since $x = \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \langle v_i, x\rangle$ for all $x \in V$, and since $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V_e$, we immediately get that for all $x \in V$, $x = \sum_{i\in I} S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i \langle S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i, x\rangle$ holds. This proves that $\{S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i : i \in I\}$ is a Parseval standard frame for V. Let $S \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ be the unique extension of $S_e \in \mathbf{B}(V_e)$. Since S_e is invertible and positive, $S \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ is also invertible and positive. Therefore $S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ preserves standard frames, so the sequence $\{S^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i) : i \in I\} = \{S_e^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_e^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i : i \in I\} = \{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V.

Now we assume that V is not finitely generated. Let $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V such that $v_i = v_i e$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space V_e. Let $T_e : V_e \to V_e$ be the operator defined as $T_e(xe) = \sum_{i\in I} f_i \langle v_i, xe\rangle$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2 we show that T_e is well defined, $T_e \in \mathbf{B}(V_e)$ and T_e is surjective. Let $T \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ be the unique extension of $T_e \in \mathbf{B}(V_e)$. By the previous lemma, T is surjective. Now Theorem 2.5 implies that $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame for V, since $T(v_i) = T_e(v_i) = f_i$ for all $i \in I$. □

The concept of an orthonormal basis has been introduced in Hilbert C^*-modules over an arbitrary C^*-algebra. Obviously, there are Hilbert C^*-modules which do not possess an orthonormal basis. Actually, if a Hilbert C^*-module V possesses an orthonormal basis, then $\langle V, V\rangle$ has to be a CCR-algebra. We prove this in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let A be a C^*-algebra and V a full countably generated Hilbert A-module. Let $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of projections in A such that $e_i A e_i = \mathbf{C} e_i, i \in I$, and $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ a standard frame for V such that $f_i = f_i e_i, i \in I$. Then A is a CCR-algebra. In particular, if V possesses an orthonormal basis, then A is a CCR-algebra.*

Proof. By the definition of a CCR-algebra we need to show that for every irreducible representation $\varphi : A \to \mathbf{B}(H)$, $\varphi(A) \subseteq \mathbf{K}(H)$ holds.

Let $0 \neq \varphi : A \to \mathbf{B}(H)$ be an irreducible representation of A. Then $e_i A e_i = \mathbf{C} e_i$ implies $\varphi(e_i)\varphi(A)\varphi(e_i) = \mathbf{C}\varphi(e_i)$ for every $i \in I$.

Let $i \in I$ be such that $\varphi(e_i) \neq 0$. Now $\varphi(e_i)$ is a non-zero projection, so there is a non-zero vector $\xi_0 \in H$ which belongs to $\operatorname{Im}\varphi(e_i)$. Then $\varphi(e_i)\xi_0 = \xi_0$ and

$$\varphi(e_i)\varphi(A)\varphi(e_i)\xi_0 = \mathbf{C}\varphi(e_i)\xi_0 \Rightarrow \varphi(e_i)\varphi(A)\xi_0 = \mathbf{C}\xi_0.$$

φ is irreducible, therefore it is a cyclic representation of A, and every non-zero vector is cyclic for φ. In particular, ξ_0 is a cyclic vector for φ. Therefore

$$\{0\} \neq \varphi(e_i)H = \varphi(e_i)\overline{\varphi(A)\xi_0} \subseteq \overline{\varphi(e_i)\varphi(A)\xi_0} = \mathbf{C}\xi_0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Im}\varphi(e_i) = \mathbf{C}\xi_0.$$

This proves that $\varphi(e_i) \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ for every $i \in I$.

Let $S \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ be the frame operator associated to $\{f_i : i \in I\}$. From the reconstruction formula (1.2) we have $\langle x, y\rangle = \sum_{i\in I} \langle x, Sf_i\rangle\langle f_i, y\rangle$ and then

$$\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) = \sum_{i\in I} \varphi(\langle x, Sf_i\rangle)\varphi(\langle f_i, y\rangle), \quad x, y \in V. \tag{3.2}$$

From $f_i = f_i e_i$ and compactness of $\varphi(e_i)$ it follows that

$$\varphi(\langle x, Sf_i\rangle)\varphi(\langle f_i, y\rangle) = \varphi(\langle x, Sf_i\rangle)\varphi(e_i)\varphi(\langle f_i, y\rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(H), \quad x, y \in V, i \in I.$$

Finally, we get $\varphi(\langle x, y\rangle) \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ for all $x, y \in V$, as the convergence in (3.2) is in norm. Since V is full, we conclude that $\varphi(A) \subseteq \mathbf{K}(H)$.

This finishes our proof. □

The converse of the previous theorem does not hold. For example, we can take an arbitrary Hilbert C^*-module over the C^*-algebra $A = C([0,1])$ of all continuous complex functions on the unit segment $[0,1]$. A is a CCR-algebra, since it is commutative, and the only projection $e \in A$ which satisfies $eAe = \mathbf{C}e$ is the constant function 0.

Remark 3.6. Frames of subspaces for a separable Hilbert space have been recently introduced and studied in [4]. We can generalize their definition for Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-modules in the following way.

Let V be a countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-module, $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ ($I \subseteq \mathbf{N}$) a family of closed submodules of V, and $\{\lambda_i : i \in I\}$ a family of weights, i.e., a family of positive numbers. We say that $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ is a *standard frame of submodules for V with respect to a family of weights* $\{\lambda_i : i \in I\}$, if there are constants $C, D > 0$ such that

$$C\langle x, x\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i^2 \langle \pi_i(x), \pi_i(x)\rangle \leq D\langle x, x\rangle, \quad x \in V, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\pi_i \in \mathbf{B}(V)$ denotes the orthogonal projection on W_i for every $i \in I$, and convergence of the sum in the middle of (3.3) is in norm.

Let us fix an orthogonal projection $e \in \mathbf{K}(H)$ of rank 1. It can be proved that a family of closed submodules $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ is a standard frame of submodules for V with respect to the family of weights $\{\lambda_i : i \in I\}$ if and only if $\{(W_i)_e : i \in I\}$ is a frame of subspaces for V_e with respect to the family of weights $\{\lambda_i : i \in I\}$. Therefore many statements from [4] can be extended to countably generated Hilbert $\mathbf{K}(H)$-modules. This will be done in our subsequent paper.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professors Damir Bakić and Boris Guljaš for helpful discussions. Thanks are also due to the referee for useful comments.

References

[1] W. B. Arveson, An invitation to C^*-algebras, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. MR0512360 (58:23621)

[2] D. Bakić, B. Guljaš, Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*-algebras of compact operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 68 (2002), no. 1-2, 249–269. MR1916579 (2003f:46092)

[3] P. G. Casazza, The art of frame theory, Taiwanese J. Math 4 (2000), 129–201. MR1757401 (2001f:42046)

[4] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, Frames of subspaces, wavelets, frames and operator theory, 87–113, Contemp. Math., 345, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. MR2066823 (2005e:42090)

[5] J. Dixmier, *C^*-algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977. MR0458185 (56:16388)

[6] R. J. Duffin, A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952). 341–366. MR0047179 (13:839a)

[7] M. Frank, D. R. Larson, A module frame concept for Hilbert C^*-modules, 207–233, Contemp. Math., 247, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. MR1738091 (2001b:46094)

[8] ———, Frames in Hilbert C^*-modules and C^*-algebras, J. Operator Theory 48 (2002), no. 2, 273–314. MR1938798 (2003i:42040)

[9] M. Frank, V. I. Paulsen, T. R. Tiballi, Symmetric approximation of frames and bases in Hilbert spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 2, 777–793. MR1862567 (2002j:42042)

[10] A. Khosravi, N. A. Moslemipour, Basic properties of standard frame in Hilbert C^*-modules, Int. J. Appl. Math. 14 (2003), no. 3, 243–258. MR2067907 (2005b:46131)

[11] ———, Frame operator and alternate dual modular frame, Int. J. Appl. Math. 13 (2003), no. 2, 177–189. MR2022092 (2005b:46154)

[12] C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules - a toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR1325694 (96k:46100)

[13] I. Raeburn, S. J. Thompson, Countably generated Hilbert modules, the Kasparov stabilisation theorem, and frames in Hilbert modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 5, 1557–1564. MR1949886 (2003j:46089)

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička c. 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

E-mail address: ljsekul@math.hr