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#### Abstract

We prove isoperimetric inequality on a Riemannian manifold, assuming that the Cheeger constant for balls satisfies a certain estimation.


## 1. Introduction and the main result

The isoperimetric property of a ball, which maximizes the volume for a given surface area, was well known to the ancient Greeks. Nowadays, isoperimetric inequalities have also become a part of Analysis, due to seminal contributions of Lord Rayleigh [12], Faber [4, Krahn [7], Pólya and Szegö [11] et al. For a recent account of isoperimetric inequalities in relation to Analysis, see Chavel [2].

Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ and let $\Omega \subset M$ be an open set with smooth boundary. Denote by $V(\Omega)$ the $n$-dimensional Riemannian volume of $\Omega$, and by $A(\partial \Omega)$ the $(n-1)$-dimensional Riemannian area of $\partial \Omega$. Let $\rho(p, q)$ be a distance on $M$, and let $\rho_{p}(q)$ be the $C^{2}$ distance function from the point $p \in M$, such that $\left|\nabla \rho_{p}\right| \leq 1$ for all $p \in M$.

Denote by $B_{r}(p)$ the open ball centered at $p \in M$ of radius $r>0$. The Cheeger constant $\bar{h}(B)$ of a ball $B=B_{r}(p)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}(B):=\inf _{\Omega \subset B} \frac{A(\partial \Omega)}{V(\Omega)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where inf is taken over all open sets $\Omega \subset B$ with smooth boundaries and compact closure. We say that a function $h(r)$ on an interval $\left(0, r_{\max }\right)$ is a Cheeger function if it is positive, decreasing, and for any $p \in M$ and $r \in\left(0, r_{\max }\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}\left(B_{r}(p)\right) \geq h(r) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $r_{\max }$ is either a positive number or $+\infty$. Our main result is as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that $M$ admits a Cheeger function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(r)=\frac{n}{r}-h_{0}(r), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $h_{0}(r) \geq 0$ is a continuous function on ( $0, r_{\text {max }}$ ), such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
J:=\int_{0}^{r_{\max }} h_{0}(r) d r<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Then, for any open set $\Omega \subset M$ with smooth boundary and compact closure, such that $V(\Omega) \leq v_{\max }$, the following inequality takes place:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\partial \Omega) \geq C V(\Omega)^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=4^{-n}\left(\frac{3}{7} \omega_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \exp \left(-\frac{J}{n}\right)$ and $v_{\text {max }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\max }=\frac{3}{7} \omega_{n} r_{\max }^{n} \exp (-J) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Remark 1.2. The case when $M$ admits a Cheeger function $h(r)=\frac{n}{r}$ was considered by Chung, Grigor'yan and Yau 3. They proved the isoperimetric inequality (1.5) using the method of Michael and Simon [9]; our proof is based on the same approach.

## 2. The proof

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps.
First step. Fix a open set $\Omega \subset M$ with smooth boundary and compact closure, a point $p \in M$ and define two functions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m(r)=V\left(\Omega \cap B_{r}\right),  \tag{2.1}\\
s(r)=A\left(\partial \Omega \cap B_{r}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $B_{r}=B_{r}(p)$.
Set $\Omega_{r}=\Omega \cap B_{r}$ and observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \Omega_{r}=\left(\Omega \cap \partial B_{r}\right) \cup\left(\partial \Omega \cap B_{r}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Omega_{r} \subset B_{r}$, we have by definition of a Cheeger function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(r) V\left(\Omega_{r}\right) \leq A\left(\partial \Omega_{r}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(\partial \Omega_{r}\right) & \leq A\left(\Omega \cap \partial B_{r}\right)+A\left(\partial \Omega \cap B_{r}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =m^{\prime}(r)+s(r),
\end{align*}
$$

where $m^{\prime}(r)$ is the derivative with respect to $r$. From (2.3) and (2.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(r) m(r) \leq s(r)+m^{\prime}(r) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(m^{\prime}(r)-h(r) m(r)\right) \leq s(r) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix some $a \in\left(0, r_{\max }\right)$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(r):=\int_{a}^{r} h(t) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the function $H(r)$ is a differentiable and increasing function defined on $\left[0, r_{\max }\right.$ ] onto $\left[H_{\min }, H_{\max }\right.$ ], where

$$
-\infty \leq H(0)=: H_{\min }<H(a)=0<H\left(r_{\max }\right)=: H_{\max } \leq \infty
$$

We prove this as follows: we already know that $h(r)$ is a continuous function, so that $H^{\prime}(r)=h(r) \neq 0$ for all $r \in\left(0, r_{\max }\right)$, and therefore by the Inverse

Function Theorem there exists an inverse function denoted by $H^{-1}(s)$ where $s \in$ [ $H_{\min }, H_{\max }$ ]. Moreover $H^{-1}(s)$ is a differentiable function in $\left(H_{\min }, H_{\max }\right)$, with derivative given by

$$
\left(H^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(s)=\frac{1}{H^{\prime}\left(H^{-1}(s)\right)}=\frac{1}{h\left(H^{-1}(s)\right)}>0
$$

therefore $H^{-1}(s)$ is also an increasing function.
Multiplying by the factor $F(r):=\exp (-H(r))$ gives the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d r}(F(r) m(r)) \leq F(r) s(r) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second step. In the sequel we will use the following lemma, which was proved in [3].

Lemma 2.1. Let $f(r)$ be an absolutely continuous positive function on $(0, \infty)$. Suppose that for some $r_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ and all $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ the inequality is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d r} f(r)<\frac{1}{r_{0}} f(4 r) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\left(0, r_{0}\right)} f<\frac{7}{3} \sup _{\left(r_{0}, 4 r_{0}\right)} f . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $V(\Omega)<v_{\max }$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{7}{3} V(\Omega) \omega^{-1}\right)<H_{\max }:=H\left(r_{\max }\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega:=a^{n} \omega_{n} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{a} h_{0}(r) d r\right)$. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\max }:=\int_{a}^{r_{\max }} h(r) d r=n \ln \left(\frac{r_{\max }}{a}\right)-\int_{a}^{r_{\max }} h_{0}(r) d r \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \exp \left(H_{\max }\right)=\omega_{n} r_{\max }^{n} \exp (-J)=\frac{7}{3} v_{\max } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $V(\Omega)<v_{\text {max }}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\Omega)<\frac{3}{7} \omega \exp \left(H_{\max }\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence (2.11) follows. Therefore $\frac{7}{3} V(\Omega) \omega^{-1} \in\left[H_{\min }, H_{\max }\right]$, and we can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}:=H^{-1}\left(\ln \left(\frac{7}{3} V(\Omega) \omega^{-1}\right)\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that there exists $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(r) \geq \frac{1}{r_{0}} m(4 r) \frac{F(4 r)}{F(r)} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume on the contrary that (2.16) is false. That means for all $r \in\left(0 r_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r) s(r)<\frac{1}{r_{0}} F(4 r) m(4 r) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies by (2.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d r}(F(r) m(r))<\frac{1}{r_{0}} F(4 r) m(4 r) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to $f(r)=F(r) m(r)$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\left(0, r_{0}\right)} F(r) m(r)<\frac{7}{3} \sup _{\left(r_{0}, 4 r_{0}\right)} F(r) m(r) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of $F$ and $H$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r)=\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{n} \exp \left(-\int_{r}^{a} h_{0}(r) d r\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $m(r) \sim \omega_{n} r^{n}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, we obtain from (2.20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} F(r) m(r)=\omega_{n} a^{n} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{a} h_{0}(r) d r\right)=\omega \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (2.19) and (2.21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega<\frac{7}{3} \sup _{\left(0, r_{0}\right)} F(r) m(r) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $F(r)$ is decreasing and $m(r) \leq V(\Omega)$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\left(r_{0}, 4 r_{0}\right)} F(r) m(r) \leq F\left(r_{0}\right) V(\Omega) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the above two lines, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega<\frac{7}{3} F\left(r_{0}\right) V(\Omega) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, by the choice of $r_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(r_{0}\right)=\exp \left(-H\left(r_{0}\right)\right)=\frac{3}{7} V(\Omega)^{-1} \omega \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence $\frac{7}{3} V(\Omega) F\left(r_{0}\right)=\omega$, contradicting (2.24).
Third step. In the previous step we proved that there exists $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\partial \Omega \cap B_{r}\right) \geq \frac{1}{r_{0}}\left(\frac{F(4 r)}{F(r)}\right) V\left(\Omega \cap B_{4 r}\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h(r) \leq \frac{n}{r}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F(4 r)}{F(r)}=\exp \left(-\int_{r}^{4 r} h(r) d r\right) \geq 4^{-n} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (2.26) and (2.27) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\partial \Omega \cap B_{r}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4^{n} r_{0}} V\left(\Omega \cap B_{4 r}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we will use the following lemma, proved in [5] (see also [3] and [8]).
Lemma 2.2. Let $(M, d)$ be a metric space with countable base. Suppose that any point $x$ from a set $\Omega \subset M$ is assigned a metric ball $B_{r_{x}}(x)$ of radius $r_{x} \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$. Then there exists an (at most countable) set $S \subset \Omega$ such that all balls $B_{r_{x}}(x)$, $x \in S$, are disjoint, whereas the union of the balls $B_{4 r_{x}}(x), x \in S$, covers all the set $\Omega$.

Let $S=\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ be the countable set given by Lemma 2.2, and set $r_{i}=r_{x_{i}}$. We have by (2.26):

$$
\begin{align*}
A(\partial \Omega) & \geq \sum_{i} A\left(\partial \Omega \cap B_{r_{i}}\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{i} \frac{1}{r_{0}} \frac{1}{4^{n}} V\left(\Omega \cap B_{4 r_{i}}\right)  \tag{2.29}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{r_{0}} \frac{1}{4^{n}} V(\Omega)
\end{align*}
$$

To conclude the proof of the theorem, we need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0} \leq K V(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\left(\frac{7}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{n}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \exp \left(\frac{J}{n}\right)$.
Indeed we have by definition of $r_{0}$ (equation (2.15)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{7}{3} V(\Omega) \omega^{-1}\right)=H\left(r_{0}\right)=n \ln \frac{r_{0}}{a}-\int_{a}^{r_{0}} h_{0}(r) d r \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0} \leq a\left(\frac{7}{3} V(\Omega) \omega^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{a}^{r_{0}} h_{0}(r) d r\right) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\omega$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0} \leq\left(\frac{7}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{n}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \exp \left(\frac{J}{n}\right) V(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof.

## 3. EXAMPLES

Example 3.1 (Hadamard-Cartan manifolds). A manifold $M$ is called a HadamardCartan (H-C) manifold, if and only if $M$ is a geodesic complete manifold, simply connected, noncompact and with nonpositive sectional curvature.

Any H-C manifold (including $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{\kappa}^{n}$ ) admits as a Cheeger function $h(r)=$ $\frac{n}{r}$. We prove this as follows: let $M$ be an H-C manifold. Grigory'an [6] and García León [5] report that if $M$ is an H-C manifold and $\rho_{p}$ denotes a $C^{2}$ distance function from the point $p \in M$ such that $\left|\nabla \rho_{p}\right| \leq 1$, then its Laplacian satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle \rho_{p} \geq \frac{n-1}{\rho_{p}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r_{p}$ be the geodesic distance from the point $p \in M$. It is well known that $\left|\nabla r_{p}\right|=1$; hence by (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r_{p}^{2}=2\left(r_{p} \triangle r_{p}+\left|\nabla r_{p}\right|^{2}\right) \geq 2 n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r \in\left(0, r_{\max }\right)$ and $\Omega \subset B_{r}(p)$ be an open set with smooth boundary and compact closure. Hence by (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \triangle r_{p}^{2} d V \geq 2 n V(\Omega) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the Green's formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 r A(\partial \Omega) \geq \int_{\Omega} \triangle r_{p}^{2} d V \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus from equations (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}\left(B_{r}(p)\right) \geq \frac{n}{r} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $r_{\max }=\infty$ and $h_{0}(r)=0, \forall r>0$. Applying Theorem 1.1. we conclude that if $M$ is an H-C manifold, then the inequality (1.5) is satisfied for all open sets $\Omega$ with smooth boundary and compact closure.

Example 3.2. If the curvature of $M$ is allowed to be positive, one should expect a Cheeger function to be smaller than $\frac{n}{r}$. Indeed, $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ has a Cheeger function $h(r)=$ $\cot \left(\frac{r}{2}\right), 0<r<\pi$, which is smaller than $\frac{2}{r}$, but the function $h_{0}=\frac{2}{r}-\cot \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)$ is bounded and hence satisfies our condition (1.4). A similar statement is true for $\mathbb{S}^{n}$.

Example 3.3 (Surfaces with bounded mean curvature). Let $M$ be a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $p, q \in M$ and let $d(p, q)$ be the extrinsic distance. We know that if $\rho_{p}$ denotes the extrinsic distance function, then $\rho_{p} \leq r_{p}$, where $r_{p}$ denotes the geodesic distance function from the point $p \in M$. Moreover it implies that $\left|\nabla \rho_{p}\right| \leq 1, \forall p \in$ M.

If we denote by $H$ the mean curvature vector and we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup |H| \leq K \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sup runs on all points of $M, 0<K<\infty$ is a constant and $r_{\max }>0$ is an arbitrary and fixed number. Osserman [10] and Grigor'yan 6] proved that: If $\rho_{p}$ is the extrinsic distance, shifting $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and taking $p$ as the origin, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \rho_{p}^{2} & =2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} H_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\nabla x_{i}^{2}\right|\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} H_{i}+n\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{i}$ means coordinates of the mean curvature vector, and by computation we obtain that it is true that $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\nabla x_{i}^{2}\right|=n$. By Schwarz inequality it is obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} H_{i} \leq \rho_{p}|H| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{align*}
\triangle \rho_{p}^{2} & \geq 2\left(n-\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} H_{i}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \geq 2\left(n-\rho_{p}|H|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We already know that $|H| \leq K$, where $K>0$ is constant. By (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle \rho_{p}^{2} \geq 2\left(n-K \rho_{p}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $\varphi(r)$ by

$$
\varphi(r)=n-K r, \quad 0<r<r_{\max }
$$

where we take

$$
r_{\max }=\frac{n}{K}>0
$$

We will construct a continuous function $h_{0}:\left(0, r_{\max }\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $h_{0}(r) \geq 0, \forall r \in\left(0, r_{\max }\right)$, and
- $\int_{0}^{r_{\max }} h_{0}(r) d r \leq J$, for all $p \in M$, where $0<J<\infty$ is a constant.

Note that the function $\varphi(r)$ is in fact a decreasing and continuous function. Let $r \in\left(0, r_{\max }\right), p \in M$ and let $\Omega \subset B_{r}(P)$ be an open set with smooth boundary and compact closure. Hence integrating (3.10) on $\Omega$, and by the fact that $\varphi(r)$ is a decreasing function,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \triangle \rho_{p}^{2} d V & \geq 2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(\rho_{p}\right) d V  \tag{3.11}\\
& \geq 2 \varphi(r) V(\Omega)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by the Green's formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 r A(\partial \Omega) \geq \int_{\Omega} \triangle \rho_{p}^{2} d V \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (3.11) and (3.12), for all open sets with smooth boundary and compact closure, it is satisfied that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A(\partial \Omega)}{V(\Omega)} \geq \frac{\varphi(r)}{r} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude by definition of Cheeger's constant on the ball that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}\left(B_{r}(p)\right) \geq \frac{\varphi(r)}{r}=\frac{n}{r}-K \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h_{0}:\left(0, r_{\max }\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the constant function $h_{0}(r)=K \geq 0$. Thus estimating its integral on $\left(0, r_{\max }\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r_{\max }} h_{0}(r) d r=K r_{\max }=n=: J<\infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by Theorem 1.1, if $V(\Omega)<v_{\max }$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\partial \Omega) \geq C V(\Omega)^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=4^{-n}\left(\frac{3}{7}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-1}  \tag{3.17}\\
& v_{\max }=\frac{3}{7}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n} K^{-n}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.4. On minimal manifolds we already know that $|H|=0$, and in this case we obtain that $r_{\max }=v_{\max }=\infty$. Therefore if $M$ is a minimal manifold, then $M$ satisfies the isoperimetric property.
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