

## ON A PROBLEM OF BORSUK AND ULAM

ALEXANDER Y. GORDON

(Communicated by Alexander N. Dranishnikov)

**ABSTRACT.** Borsuk and Ulam posed the following problem: For an arbitrary closed subset  $C$  of the  $d$ -dimensional sphere, does there exist a sequence of homeomorphisms of the sphere such that the sequence of images of every point of the sphere converges to a point of  $C$  and each point of  $C$  is the limit of such a sequence? The answer is known to be positive, but the existing proof is complicated. We give a simple proof that extends to some other manifolds including the  $d$ -dimensional torus.

Among the topological problems that S. Ulam included in his book [5], was the following question originally posed in [2]:

Given an arbitrary closed subset  $C \neq \emptyset$  of the  $d$ -dimensional sphere  $S^d$ , does there exist a sequence of homeomorphisms  $H_n: S^d \rightarrow S^d$ , such that

- (a) for any  $p \in S^d$ , there exists a limit of  $H_n(p)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (b) this limit belongs to  $C$ ;
- (c) every point of  $C$  is such a limit.

M. K. Fort [4] gave an affirmative answer to this question. He proved the following statement:

**Theorem 1.** *For any closed set  $C \subset S^d$  ( $C \neq \emptyset$ ), there exists a sequence of homeomorphisms  $H_n$  of  $S^d$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that*

- (i)  $H_n(p) = p$  for any  $p \in C$  and all  $n$ ;
- (ii) for any  $p \in S^d \setminus C$  the sequence  $H_n(p)$  converges to some point of  $C$ .

However, the proof in [4], based on the concept of an admissible polyhedron and on an earlier result [3], is not highly intuitive. Below we give an alternative proof of Theorem 1, which is simpler and gives more (see the discussion following the proof).

*Proof.* The stereographic projection  $R$  provides a homeomorphism of  $S^d$  onto the one-point compactification  $\overline{\mathbf{R}^d}$  of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . It suffices, therefore, to solve the problem for  $\overline{\mathbf{R}^d}$  and its compact subset  $C' = R(C)$ . We may assume that the point  $R^{-1}(\infty)$  belongs to  $C$ , so that  $\infty \in C'$ .

We define a function  $Q: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$  by  $Q(x) = f(x)u$ , where  $u$  is a nonzero vector in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and  $f(x) = \min(\text{dist}(x, C'), 1)$ . Here  $\text{dist}(x, C')$  is the Euclidean distance from  $x$  to the closed subset  $C' \cap \mathbf{R}^d$  of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  if that subset is nonempty;

---

Received by the editors December 27, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57N99.

*Key words and phrases.* Sequence of homeomorphisms;  $d$ -dimensional sphere.

©2009 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

otherwise  $\text{dist}(x, C') = +\infty$ . The Lipschitzian function  $Q(x)$  defines a system of ordinary differential equations

$$(1) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = Q(x),$$

to which the existence and uniqueness theorem [1] applies; since  $Q(x)$  is bounded, the solutions  $x(t)$  are defined for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . In view of the continuous dependence of a solution on the initial data [1], the mapping  $G_t$  that transforms  $x(0)$  into  $x(t)$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  onto itself. Moreover, letting  $G_t(\infty) = \infty$  we obtain a homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbf{R}^d}$  onto itself.

Clearly, every integral curve  $x(t)$  of the system (1) lies on a line parallel to the vector  $u$  and, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , converges to a limit, which may be infinite or finite; in both cases it belongs to  $C'$ . Finally, if  $x \in C'$ , then  $G_t(x) = x$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Therefore, the sequence of homeomorphisms  $G_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $\overline{\mathbf{R}^d}$  is such that (i')  $G_n(x) = x$  for any  $x \in C'$  and all  $n$ ; (ii') for any  $x \in \overline{\mathbf{R}^d} \setminus C'$  the sequence  $G_n(x)$  converges to some point of  $C'$ . It follows that the sequence of homeomorphisms  $H_n = R^{-1}G_nR$  of  $S^d$  has properties (i) and (ii).  $\square$

*Remark 1.* It is a by-product of the above construction that the sequence of inverse homeomorphisms  $H_n^{-1}$  also solves the Borsuk–Ulam problem for  $S^d$  and  $C$ .

*Remark 2.* Since  $G_{s+t} = G_s G_t$  for all  $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ , the sequence of homeomorphisms  $H_n$  constructed above consists of powers of the homeomorphism  $H_1$ .

*Remark 3.* The construction (and its consequences just indicated) extends naturally to an arbitrary closed smooth manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with the following property:

(A) There exists a locally Lipschitzian vector field  $V(p)$  on  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \{p^*\}$  (with some  $p^* \in \mathcal{M}$ ) whose every integral curve  $p(t)$  is defined for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and converges to  $p^*$  as  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .

It is obvious that the sphere  $S^d$  has this property (see above). Another example of a manifold with property (A) is the  $d$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbf{T}^d$ . (Indeed, we can represent  $\mathbf{T}^d$  as the quotient space  $\mathbf{T}^d = \mathbf{R}^d / \mathbf{Z}^d$  with coordinates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$  ( $x_j \bmod 1$ ) and consider the vector field with components  $V_j(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sin^2(\pi x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .) Therefore, the Borsuk–Ulam problem for  $\mathbf{T}^d$  has a positive solution. The same, and for a similar reason, is true for the Klein bottle.

**Problem 1.** *Does there exist a connected closed smooth manifold without property (A)?*

The following property of a manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is formally weaker than (A), but still is sufficient for the Borsuk–Ulam problem to have a positive solution.

(A<sub>+</sub>) There exists a locally Lipschitzian vector field  $V(p)$  on  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \{p^*\}$  (with some  $p^* \in \mathcal{M}$ ) whose every integral curve  $p(t)$  is defined for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and converges to  $p^*$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Problem 2.** *Are properties (A) and (A<sub>+</sub>) of a closed smooth manifold equivalent?*

Note that a negative answer to Problem 1 would imply a trivial positive answer to Problem 2.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Dr. Joseph Quinn for bringing the work [4] to my attention and to Dr. Mikhail Shubin for a useful discussion.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Arnold, V. I., Ordinary differential equations, 3rd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1992. MR1162307 (93b:34001)
- [2] K. Borsuk, S. M. Ulam, Über gewisse Invarianten der  $\varepsilon$ -Abbildungen, Math. Ann. **108** (1933), 311-318. MR1512851
- [3] M. K. Fort, Jr., A theorem about topological  $n$ -cells, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 456-459. MR0062434 (15:978d)
- [4] M. K. Fort, Jr., Sequences of homeomorphisms on the  $n$ -sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 361-363. MR0132538 (24:A2378)
- [5] S. M. Ulam, A collection of mathematical problems, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1960. MR0120127 (22:10884)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE, 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BOULEVARD, CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28223

*E-mail address:* `aygordon@uncc.edu`