

## REFINED CONFIGURATION RESULTS FOR EXTREMAL TYPE II LATTICES OF RANKS 40 AND 80

NOAM D. ELKIES AND SCOTT DUKE KOMINERS

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

ABSTRACT. We show that, if  $L$  is an extremal Type II lattice of rank 40 or 80, then  $L$  is generated by its vectors of norm  $\min(L) + 2$ . This sharpens earlier results of Ozeki, and the second author and Abel, which showed that such lattices  $L$  are generated by their vectors of norms  $\min(L)$  and  $\min(L) + 2$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Preliminaries.** A *lattice* of rank  $n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n$  equipped with a positive-definite inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For  $x \in L$ , we call  $\langle x, x \rangle$  the *norm* of  $x$ . If  $\langle x, x' \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x, x' \in L$ , then we say that  $L$  is *integral*. The lattice  $L$  is called *even* if every vector of  $L$  has an even integer norm, i.e. if  $\langle x, x \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x \in L$ . The well-known parallelogram identity,

$$(1) \quad 2\langle x, x' \rangle = \langle x + x', x + x' \rangle - \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x', x' \rangle,$$

shows that an even lattice must be integral.

The *dual* of  $L$ , denoted  $L^*$ , is the lattice defined by

$$L^* = \{x' \in L \otimes \mathbb{R} : \langle x, x' \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in L\}.$$

If  $L = L^*$ , then  $L$  is said to be *self-dual*. A self-dual lattice is said to be of *Type II* if it is even, and of *Type I* otherwise.

The rank of a Type II lattice must be divisible by 8 (see [Ser73, p. 53 (Cor. 2)] and [Ser73, p. 109]). Mallows, Odlyzko, and Sloane [MOS75] (see also [CS99, p. 194]) used theta functions and modular forms to show that the *minimal nonzero norm* (or just *minimal norm*)  $\min(L)$  of vectors in a Type II lattice  $L$  of rank  $n$  satisfies the upper bound  $\min(L) \leq 2\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 2$ . The lattice  $L$  is called *extremal* if it attains equality in this bound, that is, if

$$\min(L) = 2\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 2.$$

---

Received by the editors May 29, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11H55; Secondary 05B30, 11F11.

*Key words and phrases*. Type II lattice, extremal lattice, weighted theta function, spherical design, configuration result.

**1.2. Configuration results for extremal Type II lattices.** Venkov [Ven84] and Ozeki [Oze86a] showed that if  $L$  is an extremal Type II lattice of rank 32, then  $L$  is generated by its vectors of minimal norm. Additionally, Ozeki [Oze86b] proved an analogous result for extremal Type II lattices of rank 48. Recently, the second author [Kom09] extended the methods of Ozeki [Oze86b] to obtain analogous results for extremal Type II lattices of ranks 56, 72, and 96.

The following similar but weaker result has been obtained for extremal Type II lattices of ranks 40, 80, and 120.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $L$  is an extremal Type II lattice of rank 40, 80, or 120, then  $L$  is generated by its vectors of norms  $\min(L)$  and  $\min(L) + 2$ .*

The rank 40 case of this result is due to Ozeki [Oze89], while the cases of ranks 80 and 120 are due to the second author and Abel [KA08]. Ozeki [Oze89] noted that the rank 40 case of Theorem 1 is sharp, in the sense that there exist extremal Type II lattices of rank 40 that are not generated by their vectors of minimal norm.

In this note, we prove the following refinement of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $L$  is an extremal Type II lattice of rank 40 or 80, then  $L$  is generated by its vectors of norm  $\min(L) + 2$ .*

## 2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $L$  be an extremal Type II lattice of rank  $n$ , and let  $m_0 = \min(L)$ . Adopting the notation of [KA08], we write  $\Lambda_j(L) = \{x \in L : \langle x, x \rangle = j\}$  for the set of norm- $j$  vectors of  $L$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{L}_j(L)$  the lattice generated by  $\Lambda_j(L)$ . Also following [KA08], we define, for  $\dot{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$M_j(L; \dot{x}) = |\{x \in \Lambda_{m_0+2}(L) : \langle \dot{x}, x \rangle = j\}|,$$

noting that  $M_{-j}(L; \dot{x}) = M_j(L; \dot{x})$  for any  $\dot{x}$  and  $j$ .

We recall that Venkov [Ven01] used weighted theta functions and modular forms to prove that, for each  $j > 0$  such that  $\Lambda_j(L) \neq \emptyset$ , the shell  $\Lambda_j(L)$  is a spherical  $(t\frac{1}{2})$ -design, where  $t$  is 11, 7, or 3, according as  $n$  is congruent to 0, 8, or 16 modulo 24. This means that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{x \in \Lambda_j(L)} P(x) = 0$$

holds for all spherical harmonic polynomials  $P$  of degree  $s$  with  $1 \leq s \leq t$  as well as  $s = t + 3$ . We shall use (2) with  $P(x) = P_{s;\dot{x}}(x)$  for  $s$  even and  $\dot{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $P_{d;\dot{x}}$  is the zonal spherical harmonic polynomial of degree  $d$  (see [Vil68]).

Now take  $n = 40$  or  $n = 80$ , so that  $m_0 = n/10$  and  $t = m_0 - 1$ . Using the modularity of the theta function of  $L$ , we calculate that  $|\Lambda_{m_0+2}(L)|$  is 87859200 for  $n = 40$ , and 7541401190400 for  $n = 80$ ; in particular  $\Lambda_{m_0+2}(L) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $S_n$  be the set of  $m_0/2$  even values of  $s$  for which we can apply (2) to  $P_{s;\dot{x}}$ ; that is,  $S_{40} = \{2, 6\}$  and  $S_{80} = \{2, 4, 6, 10\}$ .

We now proceed to prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Theorem 1, it suffices to show that every vector in  $\Lambda_{m_0}(L)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{L}_{m_0+2}(L)$ . Therefore, we suppose that there is some vector  $\dot{x} \in \Lambda_{m_0}(L)$  not in  $\mathcal{L}_{m_0+2}(L)$ , seeking a contradiction.

For any  $x \in \Lambda_{m_0+2}(L)$ , we must have

$$(3) \quad |\langle x, \dot{x} \rangle| \in \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, \frac{m_0}{2} - 1, \frac{m_0}{2} + 1 \right\}.$$

Indeed, if  $\langle \dot{x}, \pm x \rangle > \frac{m_0}{2} + 1$ , then  $[\dot{x}]$  contains a nonzero vector  $x \mp \dot{x}$  of norm

$$\langle x \mp \dot{x}, x \mp \dot{x} \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle \mp 2\langle x, \dot{x} \rangle + \langle \dot{x}, \dot{x} \rangle < \langle \dot{x}, \dot{x} \rangle = m_0$$

by (1), contradicting the extremality of  $L$ . Furthermore, we may assume that  $\langle x, \dot{x} \rangle \neq \pm m_0/2$ , else we would have  $x \mp \dot{x} \in \Lambda_{m_0+2}(L)$  by (1), whence

$$x = \pm \dot{x} + (x \mp \dot{x}) \in \mathcal{L}_{m_0+2}(L)$$

follows. That is, we may assume  $M_{m_0/2}(L; \dot{x}) = 0$ .

Now, when we take  $P = P_{s; \dot{x}}$  in (2), we obtain  $P(x) = Q(\langle x, \dot{x} \rangle)$  for some even polynomial  $Q$  in one variable. For any such polynomial  $Q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \Lambda_{m_0+2}(L)} Q(\langle x, \dot{x} \rangle) &= Q(0) \cdot M_0(L; \dot{x}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(m_0/2)+1} Q(j) \cdot M_j(L; \dot{x}) \\ &= Q(0) \cdot M_0(L; \dot{x}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(m_0/2)-1} Q(j) \cdot M_j(L; \dot{x}) \\ &\quad + 2Q\left(\frac{m_0}{2} + 1\right) \cdot M_{(m_0/2)+1}(L; \dot{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $s$  vary over  $S_n$ , we obtain  $m_0/2$  homogeneous linear equations in the  $(m_0/2) + 1$  variables

$$M_0(L; \dot{x}), \dots, M_{(m_0/2)-1}(L; \dot{x}), M_{(m_0/2)+1}(L; \dot{x}).$$

In each of our cases  $n = 40$  and  $n = 80$ , we find that these equations are linearly independent and thus have a unique solution up to scaling. We compute that for  $n = 40$  the  $M_j(L; \dot{x})$  for  $j = 0, 1, 3$  are proportional to

$$4688, 4293, -37,$$

while for  $n = 80$  the  $M_j(L; \dot{x})$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$  are proportional to

$$5661456, 3946750, 711000, 88875, -553.$$

But this is impossible because  $M_j(L; \dot{x}) \geq 0$  for all  $j$  by definition, and if every  $M_j(L; \dot{x})$  vanished, then we would have  $\Lambda_{m_0+2}(L) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

### 3. REMARKS

It is not known whether the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds for  $L$  extremal and Type II of rank 120. We cannot directly adapt our approach to this case, because when  $n = 120$  (and  $m_0 = 12$ ) the system used in the proof of Theorem 2 yields nonnegative ratios among the values  $M_j(L; \dot{x})$  for  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$ . However, the first author [Elk09] has obtained a different refinement of Theorem 1 in the rank-120 case: any extremal Type II lattice of rank 120 is generated by its vectors of minimal norm.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was partly supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0501029. The second author was partly supported by a Harvard Mathematics Department Highbridge Fellowship and a Harvard College Program for Research in Science and Engineering Fellowship.

## REFERENCES

- [CS99] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1999. MR1662447 (2000b:11077)
- [Elk09] N. D. Elkies, *On the quotient of an extremal Type II lattice of rank 40, 80, or 120 by the span of its minimal vectors*, in preparation, 2009.
- [KA08] S. D. Kominers and Z. Abel, *Configurations of rank-40r extremal even unimodular lattices* ( $r = 1, 2, 3$ ), *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **20** (2008), 365–371. MR2477509
- [Kom09] S. D. Kominers, *Configurations of extremal even unimodular lattices*, *Int. J. Number Theory* **5** (2009), 457–464.
- [MOS75] C. L. Mallows, A. M. Odlyzko, and N. J. A. Sloane, *Upper bounds for modular forms, lattices and codes*, *J. Algebra* **36** (1975), 68–76. MR0376536 (51:12711)
- [Oze86a] M. Ozeki, *On even unimodular positive definite quadratic lattices of rank 32*, *Math. Z.* **191** (1986), 283–291. MR818672 (87h:11061)
- [Oze86b] ———, *On the configurations of even unimodular lattices of rank 48*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **46** (1986), 54–61. MR829816 (87j:11063)
- [Oze89] ———, *On the structure of even unimodular extremal lattices of rank 40*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **19** (1989), 847–862. MR1043254 (91d:11072)
- [Ser73] J.-P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, 1973. MR0344216 (49:8956)
- [Ven84] B. B. Venkov, *Even unimodular Euclidean lattices in dimension 32*, *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **26** (1984), 1860–1867. MR0687838 (84g:10058)
- [Ven01] ———, *Réseaux et designs sphériques*, *Réseaux Euclidiens, Designs Sphériques et Formes Modulaires*, Monographie de L'Enseignement Mathématique, vol. 37, Enseignement Mathématique, Genève, 2001 (in French), pp. 10–86. MR1878745 (2002m:11061)
- [Vil68] N. J. Vilenkin, *Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations*, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 22, American Mathematical Society, 1968. MR0229863 (37:5429)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, ONE OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

*E-mail address:* [elkies@math.harvard.edu](mailto:elkies@math.harvard.edu)

*URL:* <http://math.harvard.edu/~elkies/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, ONE OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

*E-mail address:* [kominers@fas.harvard.edu](mailto:kominers@fas.harvard.edu)

*Current address:* 8520 Burning Tree Road, Bethesda, Maryland 20817

*E-mail address:* [skominers@gmail.com](mailto:skominers@gmail.com)

*URL:* <http://www.scottkom.com/>